COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown measures impact on mental health among the general population in Italy. An N=18147 web-based survey.

- 4
- 5 Rodolfo Rossi¹, Valentina Socci^{2*}, Dalila Talevi², Sonia Mensi³, Cinzia Niolu^{1,4}, Francesca
- 6 Pacitti², Antinisca Di Marco⁵, Alessandro Rossi², Alberto Siracusano^{1,4}, Giorgio Di
- 7 **Lorenzo**^{1,4,6}.
- ¹Chair of Psychiatry, Department of Systems Medicine, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome,
 9 Italy
- ¹⁰ ²Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Sciences, University of L'Aquila,
- 11 L'Aquila, Italy
- ¹² ³Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, Fondazione Policlinico
- 13 Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy
- ⁴Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- ⁵Department of Information Engineering, Computer Science and Mathematics, University of
- 16 L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy
- ⁶IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy.
- 18

 19
 *Correspondence:

 20
 Valentina Socci

 21
 valentinasocci@gmail.com

 22
 valentinasocci@gmail.com

23 Abstract

24 Background

- The psychological impact of the COronaVIrus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak and lockdown
 measures on the Italian population are unknown.
- 27 The current study assesses rates of mental health outcomes in the Italian general population three
- to four weeks into lockdown measures and explores the impact of COVID-19 related potential risk
- 29 factors.

30 Methods

- 31 A web-based survey spread throughout the internet between March 27th and April 6th 2020. 18147
- 32 individuals completed the questionnaire, 79.6% women.
- 33 Selected outcomes were post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS), depression, anxiety, insomnia,
- 34 perceived stress and adjustment disorder symptoms (ADS). Seemingly unrelated logistic
- 35 regression analysis was performed to identify COVID-19 related risk factors.
- 36 **Results**

- 37 Respondents endorsing PTSS, depression, anxiety, insomnia, high perceived stress and adjustment
- 38 disorder were 6604 (37%), 3084 (17.3%), 3700 (20.8%), 1301 (7.3%), 3895 (21.8%) and 4092
- 39 (22.9%), respectively. Being woman and younger age were associated with all of the selected
- 40 outcomes. Quarantine was associated with PTSS, anxiety and ADS. Any recent COVID-related
- 41 stressful life event was associated with all the selected outcomes. Discontinued working activity
- 42 due to the COVID-19 was associated with all the selected outcomes, except for ADS; working
- 43 more than usual was associated with PTSS, Perceived stress and ADS. Having a loved one
- 44 deceased by COVID-19 was associated with PTSS, depression, perceived stress and insomnia.

45 Conclusion

- 46 We found high rates of negative mental health outcomes in the Italian general population three
- 47 weeks into the COVID-19 lockdown measures and different COVID-19 related risk factors. These
- 48 findings warrant further monitoring on the Italian population's mental health.
- 49

50 Background

51 The psychological impact of the COronaVIrus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak and related

52 lockdown measures among the Italian population are unknown. The COVID-19 pandemic is a

53 global health emergency that could potentially have a serious impact on public health, including

54 mental health (World Health Organization, 2020a; Xiang et al., 2020). Since clusters of atypical

55 pneumonia of unknown etiology were discovered in the city of Whuan, Hubei province, in late

56 December 2019, the viral disease has continued to exponentially spread throughout China and

- 57 worldwide. Italy has been the first European country that had to face the pandemic. On March 9th
- 58 2020, lockdown measures were enforced by the government on entire national territory.
- 59 Lockdown measures included travel restrictions, the mandatory closure of schools, nonessential 60 commercial activities and industries. People were asked to stay at home and socially isolate
- 60 commercial activities and industries. People were asked to stay61 themselves to prevent being infected.
- 62 As previously reported, health emergencies such as epidemic can lead to detrimental and long-
- 63 lasting psychosocial consequences, due to disease related fear and anxiety, large-scale social

64 isolation, and the overabundance of (mis)information on social media and elsewhere (Dong and

Bouey, 2020). At the individual level, epidemics are associated with a wide range of psychiatric

66 comorbidities including anxiety, panic, depression and trauma-related disorders (Tucci et al.,

67 2017). The psychosocial impact of health emergences seems to be even higher during quarantine

68 measures (Brooks et al., 2020). Quarantine has been associated with high stress levels

69 (DiGiovanni et al., 2004), depression (Hawryluck et al., 2004), irritability and insomnia (Lee et

al., 2005). Furthermore, being quarantined is associated with acute stress (Bai et al., 2004) and

71 trauma-related (Wu et al., 2009) disorders, particularly in specific at-risk populations such as

72 health workers (Lai et al., 2020).

73 Concerning the COVID-19 pandemic, a study on 1210 respondents in China found rates of 30%

of anxiety and 17% of depression (Wang et al., 2020). Further, in a nationwide survey including

75 more than 50.000 Chinese respondents, almost 35% of the participants reported trauma-related

76 distress symptoms, with women and young adults showing significantly higher psychological

77 distress (Qiu et al., 2020).

78 Together, these findings strongly suggest the need to accurately and timely assess the magnitude

of mental health outcomes in the general population exposed to COVID-19 pandemic, with

80 particular regard to the implementation of preventive and early interventions strategies for those at

81 higher risk. However, no study to date has investigated mental health outcomes and associated

risk factors in the Italian population. This could be of additional relevance considering the

- 83 implementation of the strict lockdown and social distancing measures imposed on the entire
- 84 national territory.

85 The aim of the current study was to assess rates of mental health outcomes in the Italian general

86 population three to four weeks into lockdown measures and to explore the impact of COVID-19

87 related potential risk factors. This study aims at providing evidence that could potentially inform

subsequent research strategies and mental health delivery in Italy and Europe.

89

90 Methods

91 Study Design

92 A cross-sectional web-based survey design was adopted. Approval for this study was obtained

93 from the local IRB at University of L'Aquila. On-line consent was obtained from the participants.

- 94 Participants were allowed to terminate the survey at any time they desired. The survey was
- anonymous, and confidentiality of information was assured.
- 96 Data on mental health were collected between March 27th and April 6th 2020 using an on-line
- 97 questionnaire spread throughout the internet, using sponsored social network advertisement
- 98 together with a snowball recruiting technique. The investigated timeframe corresponds to the
- 99 contagion peak in Italy, according to epidemiogical data confirmed by the World Health
- 100 Organization (World Health Organization, 2020). The survey was developed using the free
- 101 software Google Forms[®].
- 102
- 103 Participants
- 104 All Italian citizens \geq 18 years were eligible. A total of 18147 individuals completed the
- 105 questionnaire, of which 14447 (79.6%) women, median age was 38 (IQR=23). Because of the
- 106 web-based design, no response rate could be estimated as it was not possible to estimate how
- 107 many persons were reached by social network advertisement.
- 108
- 109 Mental health outcomes

110 Post-Traumatic Stress Symptoms (PTSS), depression, anxiety, insomnia, perceived stress and

- adjustment disorder symptoms (ADS) were assessed using the Italian versions of the following instruments and cut-offs or scoring:
- the Global Psychotrauma Screen, post-traumatic stress symptoms subscale (GPS-PTSS)
 (Olff et al. *in press*): PTSS were considered of clinical relevance if more than 3 out of five
 5 symptoms were reported as present;
- the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Spitzer et al., 1999), using the cut-off for severe depression at ≥15;
- the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) (Spitzer et al., 2006), using the cut-off for severe anxiety at ≥15;
- the 7-item Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) (Morin et al., 2011), using the cut-off at ≥22 for severe insomnia;
 - the 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) (Cohen and Hoberman, 1983), using a quartile split to separate the higher quartile from the remaining participants;
- the International Adjustment Disorder Questionnaire (IADQ) (Shevlin et al., 2020), using the standard scoring system. IADQ comprises a brief checklist of potentially stressful events, such as financial, work, health or housing problems. The IADQ checklist was modified in order to ascertain if the reported problem was due to COVID-19. ADS were rated as present if a stressful life event correlated to COVID-19 was present, together with preoccupation and failure to adapt symptoms and a relevant impact on global functioning.
- 130

122

123

- 131 Independent variables
- 132 Standardized age, gender and region of residence (Northern Italy: Aosta Valley, Piedmont,
- 133 Liguria, Lombardy, Trentino-Alto Adige, Veneto, Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Emilia-Romagna;
- 134 Central Italy: Tuscany, Umbria, Marche, Lazio; Southern Italy: Abruzzo, Molise, Apulia,
- 135 Campania, Basilicata, Calabria, Sicily and Sardinia) were inserted as independent variables.

- 136 Region of residence was inserted in order to account for the different incidence of COVID-19
- among Italian regions. COVID-19 related independent variables were: 1) being under quarantine
- either because infected or in close proximity to infected people; 2) any changes in working
- activity compared to "working as usual" (e.g., smart-working, working activity discontinued due
- to lockdown measures, higher workload due to COVID-19); 3) having a loved one infected,
 hospitalized or deceased due to COVID-19; 4) any stressful events comprised in the IDAQ
- 141 hospitalized of deceased due to COVID-19, 4) any stressful events comprised in the IDAQ 142 checklist, purposely modified in order to capture only stressful events due to COVID-19. The
- 142 Enceknist, purposery mounted in order to capture only stressful events due to COVID-17. The 143 IADQ checklist comprises 8 questions about any potential stressful life event occurred in the
- recent past, with a yes/no response, including financial, working, educational, housing,
- relationship, own or loved one's health and caregiving problems. In order to separate COVID-19
- related stressful life events from non-COVID-19 related events, responses to the checklist were
- 147 modified as follows: "no"; "yes"; "yes, due to COVID-19". Responses were collapsed in a binary
- 148 variable where 1="any stressful life evet only if due to COVID-19" and 0="no stressful life events 149 or presence of a stressful life event not due to COVID-19".
- 150
- 151 Confounders

152 A history of childhood trauma and any previous mental illness, as assessed by the dedicated GPS

- module; education level, occupation (employed, unemployed, student, retired) and being in arelationship.
- 155
- 156 Statistical Analysis

157 Frequency analysis were performed in order to ascertain the prevalence of each outcome,

- separately for Northern, Central and Southern Italy.
- 159 A seemingly-unrelated multivariate logistic regression model was fitted in order to explore the
- 160 impact of the proposed covariates and confounders on the selected outcomes. Seemingly unrelated

161 regression models are systems of equations that allow to jointly model several outcomes,

- assuming correlation among their errors. Because of the very low missing data rates (<3%),
- 163 missing data were treated with listwise deletion in regression analysis.
- 164 Data analysis was performed using Stata v. 16[®] (StataCorp). Seemingly unrelated logistic
- 165 regression was performed using the -suest- postestimation command after running a panel of
- 166 logistic regressions.
- 167
- 168 **Results**
- 169 Socio-demographic characteristics of the sample, along with rates of mental health outcomes, are

170 reported in Table 1. Of the 18147 respondents, 6666 (37.14%) reported \geq 3/5 PTSS, with a median

total GPS symptom score of 7 (IQR=6, range 0-17); 3099 respondents (17.3%) reported severe

depressive symptoms, with a PHQ total median score of 8 (IQR=6, range 0-17); 3732 (20.8%)

- 173 respondents reported severe anxiety symptoms, with GAD median score of 8 (range 0-21,
- 174 IQR=10); 1306 (7.3%) respondents reported severe insomnia symptoms, with ISI median total
- score of 10 (range 0-28, IQR=12); PSS total score median was 25 (range 4-44, IQR=13), 75th
- percentile was 31, with 3933 (21.9%) respondents scoring above this threshold; 4129 (23.0%)
- respondents reported a IADQ scoring compatible with the suspect of a presence of an adjustment
- 178 disorder.

179 Seemingly unrelated logistic regression analyses are reported in Table 2. Being a woman was 180 associated with all of the selected outcomes (PTSS: OR=2.12 [1.94, 2.31]; depression: OR=1.39 181 [1.24, 1.56]; anxiety: OR=1.77 [1.59, 1.97]; perceived stress: OR=2.06 [1.85, 2.30]; insomnia: 182 OR=1.50 [1.26, 1.78]; adjustment disorder: OR=1.64 [1.45, 1.84]). Younger age was associated 183 with PTSS, depression, anxiety and perceived stress (respectively: OR=1.49 [1.39, 1.60]; 1.55 184 [1.42, 1.69]; 1.72 [1.59, 1.87]; 1.76 [1.62, 1.90]). Compared to Northern Italy, participants from 185 Southern Italy showed higher odds of all of the selected outcomes, except for ADS (PTSS: 186 OR=1.36 [1.26, 1.47]; depression: OR=1.25 [1.13, 1.37]; anxiety: OR=1.29 [1.18, 1.41]; 187 perceived stress: OR=1.20 [1.10, 1.32]; insomnia: OR=1.41 [1.24, 1.62]). Being under quarantine 188 because infected or in close proximity to infected people was associated with PTSS, Anxiety and 189 ADS (respectively: OR=1.74 [1.21,2.49]; 1.52 [1.05,2.22]; 2.28 [1.44,3.61]). Having experienced 190 a stressful life event due to COVID-19, as assessed by the modified IADQ checklist, was 191 associated with all of the selected outcomes (PTSS: OR=1.46 [1.37,1.56]; depression: OR=1.58 192 [1.45,1.72]; anxiety: OR=1.64 [1.51,1.78]; perceived stress: OR=1.82 [1.68,1.97]; insomnia: 193 OR=1.58 [1.40,1.79]). OR of IADQ-Checklist on ADS was not estimated due to the perfect 194 prediction, because having an IADQ checklist event is a prerequisite for having a suspected 195 Adjustment Disorder. Working activity discontinued due to COVID-19 was associated with all of 196 the selected outcomes except for ADS (PTSS: OR=1.15 [1.05,1.27]; depression: OR=1.40 197 [1.23,1.59]; anxiety: OR=1.16 [1.03,1.31]; perceived stress: OR=1.19 [1.06,1.34]; insomnia: 198 OR=1.22 [1.03,1.46]), while working more than usual due to the COVID-19 was associated with 199 PTSS, perceived stress and ADS (respectively: OR= 1.42 [1.18,1.71]; 1.71 [1.38,2.12]; 1.39 200 [1.04,1.87]). Having a loved one deceased by COVID-19 was associated with PTSS (OR=1.68 201 [1.30,2.16]), depression (OR=1.41 [1.03,1.93]), perceived stress (OR=1.34 [1.01, 1.78], insomnia 202 (OR=1.74 [1.18, 2.54]), while having a loved one diagnosed with COVID-19 was associated with 203 PTSS (OR=1.22 [1.05, 1.42]).

204

205 Discussion

206 In this study, we report for the first time on the mental health outcomes related to COVID-19 207 outbreak and related lockdown measures on the general population in Italy. To the best of our 208 knowledge, this is the first study to report on mental health outcomes related to the COVID-19 209 outbreak in Europe on such a large sample size. This study shows relatively high rates of PTSS, 210 Depression, Anxiety, Insomnia, Perceived stress and ADS, with young women having higher odds 211 of endorsing a mental health outcome. These outcomes were associated with a number of COVID-212 19-related risk factors, including being under quarantine, having a loved one deceased by COVID-213 19, working activity discontinued due to lockdown measures, or experiencing other stressful 214 events (i.e. working, financial, relationship or housing problems) due to the pandemic or 215 lockdown measures. These findings were adjusted for previous psychiatric illness and a history of 216 childhood trauma, suggesting that the COVID-19 pandemic is exerting an independent effect on 217 the population mental health.

218

219 Previous literature

220 Compared to an early report on the mental health outcomes related to COVID-19 in China on

1210 respondents (Wang et al., 2020), we found lower rates of anxiety, similar rates of depression

and higher levels of perceived stress, notwithstanding differences in assessment tools. The

negative association with age and the positive association with female gender was confirmed,

- suggesting that young women may be at heightened risk for mental disorders. Compared to
- another large web-based survey from China on 52730 respondents that evaluated peritraumatic

stress-related symptoms, we found similar rates of PTSS (Qiu et al., 2020). Another study on 285

227 participants from hardest-hit Hubei province found substantially lower rates of PTSS, around 7%

(Liu et al., 2020). Such disparities could be due to different assessment tools used and differences

in sample size. A study on 7143 medical students in China (Cao et al., 2020) found severe anxiety rates assessed as CAD>15 to be 0.0% assessed to our 20.0%. This is consistence and be due

rates, assessed as $GAD \ge 15$, to be 0.9%, compared to our 20.9%. This inconsistence could be due to the particular population investigated, having a high education level. Indeed, higher education

was associated with better outcomes in our study. Furthermore, cultural, social and health care

- 232 was associated with better outcomes in our study. Furthermore, curtain, social and nearth care 233 system differences between China and Italy could explain differences in reported mental health
- 234 outcomes.

235 Coherently with previous reports from China, female gender (Liu et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2020;

Wang et al., 2020) and younger age (Qiu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020) were consistently

associated with higher risk for different mental health outcomes. If confirmed in other populations

worldwide, these findings could be of great importance for subsequent intervention strategy forglobal mental health related to COVID-19.

240 Relevance

241 Monitoring populations' mental health is critical during a pandemic, as generalized fear and fear-

242 induced over-reactive behaviour among the public could impede infection control (Dong and

Bouey, 2020). Further, the current strict lockdown measures and the home confinement of

244 unknown duration represent an unprecedented stressful event potentially leading to significant

245 long-term health costs. Epidemiological monitoring and targeted intervention should be therefore

timely implemented to prevent further mental health problems. Indeed, once the outbreak will be

over, its negative socio-economic consequences may have a detrimental effect on the population's

mental health, as suggested by our finding of an heightened risk of mental health issues due to
 COVID-19 related working difficulties and by earlier studies related to the last economic crisis

249 (Wahlbeck et al., 2011).

- 251
- 252 Limitations and future directions

This study has some important limitations due to the sampling technique. Relying on social networks voluntary recruitment and re-sharing could have introduced an important selection bias, firstly excluding people not on social networks, and secondly introducing a self-selection bias, as suggested by the highly unbalanced gender ratio observed. This latter bias could have affected also two other large web-based surveys in China, that reported on samples with a 64.7% and 67.3% proportion of woman (Qiu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). For these reasons, rates of mental health outcomes should be interpreted with caution. Secondly, this survey was based on as also report instruments that aculd interduce a surtematic bias and return different rates compared

- self-report instruments that could introduce a systematic bias and return different rates compared
- to interview-based measures.
- This study has also several strengths, including a very large sample size and the samplingtimeframe that corresponded to the pandemic peak in Italy.
- Future studies will need to monitor the trajectory of mental health outcomes, in order to define mental health interventions at a population level.
- 266
- 267 Conclusions

268 We found high rates of negative mental health outcomes in the Italian general population three to

269 four weeks into the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown measures. COVID-19 related factors

270 were associated with these outcomes independently from previous mental illness or childhood

trauma. These findings warrant further monitoring on the Italian population's mental health and

272 could serve to inform structured interventions in order to mitigate the impact on mental health of

- the outbreak.
- 274

275 Authors contribution

276 Conceptualization: RR, VS, FP, GDL; Methodology: RR; Formal Analysis: RR; Data Curation:

277 RR, SM, GDL; Writing - Original Draft: RR, VS; Writing - Review & Editing: RR, VS, DT,

ADM, FP, SM, CN, AR, AS, GDL.

279 Funding

280 No specific funding was granted for this study.

281 Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Territori Aperti, a project founded by "Fondo Territori Lavoro e
Conoscenza CGIL CISL UIL".

284 **Conflicts of interests**

285 The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

286 Contribution to the field

287 The COronaVIrus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is a global health emergency that could 288 potentially have a serious impact on public health, including mental health. The psychological 289 impact of the COVID-19 outbreak and related lockdown measures among the Italian population 290 are unknown. In this web-based study, we report for the first time on the psychological impact of 291 COVID-19 outbreak on the general population in Italy. This study shows high rates of post-292 traumatic symptoms, Depression, Anxiety, Insomnia, Perceived stress and Adjustment Disorder 293 associated with a number of COVID-19-related risk factors. This study represents the first 294 European report on mental health in the time of the COVID-19, and it could have a strong impact 295 on subsequent research and clinical intervention strategy for global mental health related to 296 COVID-19.

297

298

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bai, Y. M., Lin, C. C., Lin, C. Y., Chen, J. Y., Chue, C. M., and Chou, P. (2004). Survey of stress reactions among health care workers involved with the SARS outbreak. *Psychiatr. Serv.* 55, 1055–1057. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.55.9.1055.
- Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., et al. (2020). The
 psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* 395, 912–920.
 doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30460-8.
- Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., et al. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Res.*, 112934. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934.
- Cohen, S., and Hoberman, H. M. (1983). Positive Events and Social Supports as Buffers of Life Change Stress1. J.
 Appl. Soc. Psychol. 13, 99–125. doi:10.1111/j.1559-1816.1983.tb02325.x.
- DiGiovanni, C., Conley, J., Chiu, D., and Zaborski, J. (2004). Factors influencing compliance with quarantine in
 Toronto during the 2003 SARS outbreak. *Biosecur. Bioterror.* 2, 265–272. doi:10.1089/bsp.2004.2.265.
- Dong, L., and Bouey, J. (2020). Public Mental Health Crisis during COVID-19 Pandemic, China. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 26. doi:10.3201/eid2607.202407.
- Hawryluck, L., Gold, W. L., Robinson, S., Pogorski, S., Galea, S., and Styra, R. (2004). SARS control and
 psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 10, 1206–1212.
 doi:10.3201/eid1007.030703.
- Lai, J., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Cai, Z., Hu, J., Wei, N., et al. (2020). Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes
 Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw. open* 3, e203976.
 doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976.
- Lee, S., Chan, L. Y. Y., Chau, A. M. Y., Kwok, K. P. S., and Kleinman, A. (2005). The experience of SARS-related stigma at Amoy Gardens. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 61, 2038–2046. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.04.010.
- Liu, N., Zhang, F., Wei, C., Jia, Y., Shang, Z., Sun, L., et al. (2020). Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during
 COVID-19 Outbreak in China Hardest-hit Areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res.*, 112921.
 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112921.
- Morin, C. M., Belleville, G., Bélanger, L., and Ivers, H. (2011). The Insomnia Severity Index: Psychometric
 Indicators to Detect Insomnia Cases and Evaluate Treatment Response. *Sleep* 34, 601–608.
 doi:10.1093/sleep/34.5.601.
- Olff, M., Aakvaag, H. F., Brewer, D., Elmore Borbon, D. L., Hyland, P., Kassam-Adams, N., et al. Screening for
 consequences of trauma an update on the Global Collaboration on Traumatic Stress. *In press. Eur. J. Psychotraumatol.* 11.
- Qiu, J., Shen, B., Zhao, M., Wang, Z., Xie, B., and Xu, Y. (2020). A nationwide survey of psychological distress
 among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: Implications and policy recommendations. *Gen. Psychiatry* 33, 19–21. doi:10.1136/gpsych-2020-100213.
- Shevlin, M., Hyland, P., Ben-Ezra, M., Karatzias, T., Cloitre, M., Vallières, F., et al. (2020). Measuring ICD-11
 adjustment disorder: the development and initial validation of the International Adjustment Disorder
 Questionnaire. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. doi:10.1111/acps.13126.
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B. W., and Löwe, B. (2006). A Brief Measure for Assessing Generalized
 Anxiety Disorder. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 166, 1092. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092.
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroken, K., and Williams, J. B. (1999). Validation and Utility of a Self-Report Version of PRIME-MD:
 The PHQ Primary Care Study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire.
 JAMA 282, 1737. doi:10.1001/jama.282.18.1737.

- Tucci, V., Moukaddam, N., Meadows, J., Shah, S., Galwankar, S. C., and Bobby Kapur, G. (2017). The forgotten
 plague: Psychiatric manifestations of ebola, zika, and emerging infectious diseases. J. Glob. Infect. Dis. 9, 151–
 156. doi:10.4103/jgid.jgid_66_17.
- Wahlbeck, K., Anderson, P., Basu, S., McDaid, D., and Stuckler, D. (2011). Impact of economic crises on mental
 health. *World Health*.
- Wang, C., Pan, R., Wan, X., Tan, Y., Xu, L., Ho, C. S., et al. (2020). Immediate Psychological Responses and
 Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the
 General Population in China. *Int J Env. Res Public Heal*. 17. doi:10.3390/ijerph17051729.
- 350 World Health Organization (2020a). Mental Health and Psychosocial Considerations During COVID-19 Outbreak.
- 351 World Health Organization (2020b). World Health Organization COVID-19. Available at: https://who.sprinklr.com/.
- Wu, P., Fang, Y., Guan, Z., Fan, B., Kong, J., Yao, Z., et al. (2009). The psychological impact of the SARS epidemic
 on hospital employees in China: Exposure, risk perception, and altruistic acceptance of risk. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 54, 302–311. doi:10.1177/070674370905400504.
- Xiang, Y.-T., Yang, Y., Li, W., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Cheung, T., et al. (2020). Timely mental health care for the
 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 7, 228–229. doi:10.1016/S2215 0366(20)30046-8.
- 358

359

360

361 Table 1. Demographic characteristics and rates of mental health outcomes in the sample

	Total	North	Centre	South	
	No. / Median (%/ IQR)	No. / Median (%/ IQR)	No. / Median (%/ IQR)	No. / Median (%/IQR)	
Age	38 (23)	38 (23)	38 (24)	38 (31)	
Gender					
Women	14207 (79.5)	6310 (79)	3729 (79.4)	4168 (80.6)	
Men	3653 (20.5)	1681 (21)	966 (20.6)	1006 (19.4)	
Education					
≤Undergraduate	8538 (47.8)	3770 (47.2)	2243 (47.8)	2525 (48.8)	
\geq Postgraduate	7674 (43)	3411 (42.7)	2112 (45)	2151 (41.6)	
Lower education	1649 (9.2)	810 (10.1)	340 (7.2)	499 (9.6)	
Occupation					
Housewife	1139 (6.4)	367 (4.6)	244 (5.2)	528 (10.2)	
Unemployed	2094 (11.7)	793 (9.9)	484 (10.3)	817 (15.8)	
Employed	10881 (60.9)	5349 (66.9)	2867 (61.1)	2665 (51.5)	
Retired	291 (1.6)	124 (1.6)	77 (1.6)	90 (1.7)	
Student	3456 (19.3)	1358 (17)	1023 (21.8)	1075 (20.8)	
Currently on Quarantine	141 (0.8)	101 (1.3)	21 (0.5)	19 (0.4)	
Working activity change					
As usual	2320 (13.5)	977 (12.6)	633 (14)	710 (14.5)	
Smart-working	6688 (38.9)	3088 (39.9)	1847 (40.9)	1753 (35.7)	
Discontinued	7500 (43.7)	3347 (43.2)	1870 (41.4)	2283 (46.5)	
More than usual	665 (3.9)	335 (4.3)	168 (3.7)	162 (3.3)	
Loved one's status					
None	16312 (91.8)	6987 (87.6)	4431 (94.7)	4894 (95.5)	
Infected	789 (4.4)	519 (6.5)	139 (3)	131 (2.6)	
Deceased	253 (1.4)	183 (2.3)	30 (0.6)	40 (0.8)	
Hospitalized	424 (2.4)	284 (3.6)	80 (1.7)	60 (1.2)	
GPS PTSS>3	6604 (37)	2876 (36)	1560 (33.2)	2168 (41.9)	
0151155 <u>-</u> 5 PHO >15	3084(17.3)	1349 (16.9)	703 (15)	1032 (20)	
GAD >15	3700 (20.8)	1613 (20.2)	854 (18 3)	1233 (23.9)	
ISI >22	1301 (7 3)	542 (6.8)	280 (6)	479 (93)	
PSS 75th nercentile	3895 (21.8)	1720 (21.5)	918 (19 6)	1257 (24.3)	
ADS	4092 (22.9)	1900 (23.8)	1032 (22)	1160 (22.4)	

362 363

GPS: Global Psychotrauma Screen; PHQ: Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD: Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale; ISI: Insomnia severity Index; PSS: Perceived Stress Scale; ADS: Adjustment Disorder Symptom; IQR: Interquartile range.

Table 2: Seemingly Unrelated Logistic Regression

	PTSS OR [95%CI]	Depression OR [95%C1]	Anxiety OR [95%C1]	Perceived Stress OR [95%C1]	Insomnia OR [95%CI]	ADS OR [95%C1]
Age [§]	1.49*** [1.39,1.60]	1.55*** [1.42,1.69]	1.72*** [1.59,1.87]	1.76*** [1.62,1.90]	1.01 [0.97,1.05]	1.05 [0.75,1.47]
Gender						
Men	1.00 (ref)					
Women	2.12*** [1.94,2.31]	1.39*** [1.24,1.56]	1.77*** [1.59,1.97]	2.06*** [1.85,2.30]	1.50*** [1.26,1.78]	1.64*** [1.45,1.84]
Region						
North	1.00 (ref)					
Centre	0.93 [0.86,1.01]	0.87* [0.78,0.97]	0.90* [0.82,1.00]	0.90* [0.82,0.99]	0.9 [0.77,1.05]	0.91 [0.81,1.02]
South	1.36*** [1.26,1.47]	1.25*** [1.13,1.37]	1.29*** [1.18,1.41]	1.20*** [1.10,1.32]	1.41*** [1.24,1.62]	0.95 [0.85,1.06]
COVID-19-Related Stressful Event	1.46*** [1.37,1.56]	1.58*** [1.45,1.72]	1.64*** [1.51,1.78]	1.82*** [1.68,1.97]	1.58*** [1.40,1.79]	n.a. n.a.
Currently On Quarantine	1.74** [1.21,2.49]	1.49 [0.98,2.26]	1.52* [1.05,2.22]	1.42 [0.97,2.07]	1.23 [0.69,2.18]	2.28*** [1.44,3.61]
Working Activity Change						
As Usual	1.00 (ref)					
Smart-Working	1.01 [0.91,1.12]	0.99 [0.86,1.14]	0.97 [0.85,1.10]	1.02 [0.90,1.15]	0.9 [0.74,1.10]	1.07 [0.91,1.25]
Discontinued	1.15** [1.05,1.27]	1.40*** [1.23,1.59]	1.16* [1.03,1.31]	1.19** [1.06,1.34]	1.22* [1.03,1.46]	1.1 [0.95,1.28]
More Than Usual	1.42*** [1.18,1.71]	1.26 [0.98,1.63]	1.25 [1.00,1.57]	1.71*** [1.38,2.12]	1.29 [0.93,1.80]	1.39* [1.04,1.87]
Loved One's Condition						
None	1.00 (ref)					
Infected	1.22* [1.05,1.42]	1.05 [0.87,1.28]	0.91 [0.75,1.10]	0.88 [0.73,1.05]	1.02 [0.77,1.35]	0.96 [0.79,1.17]
Deceased	1.68*** [1.30,2.16]	1.41* [1.03,1.93]	1.22 [0.91,1.65]	1.34* [1.01,1.78]	1.74** [1.18,2.54]	1.21 [0.87,1.68]
Hospitalized	1.22 [1.00,1.48]	1.09 [0.84,1.41]	1.25 [0.99,1.57]	1.1 [0.87,1.39]	1.1 [0.76,1.60]	1.16 [0.91,1.49]
In A Relationship	1.14*** [1.06,1.22]	0.92 [0.84,1.00]	1.11* [1.02,1.22]	1.11* [1.02,1.21]	1.08 [0.94,1.23]	1.07 [0.97,1.19]
Education						
≥Postgraduate	1.00 (ref)					
≤Undergraduate	1.12** [1.04,1.20]	1.30*** [1.19,1.43]	1.28*** [1.18,1.39]	1.25*** [1.15,1.36]	1.31*** [1.15,1.50]	1.05 [0.95,1.16]
Lower Education	1.25*** [1.11,1.41]	1.62*** [1.40,1.87]	1.51*** [1.32,1.74]	1.47*** [1.28,1.69]	1.76*** [1.46,2.13]	1.21* [1.01,1.44]
Occupation						
Employed	1.00 (ref)					
Housewife	1.28*** [1.11,1.47]	1.35** [1.12,1.63]	1.31** [1.11,1.55]	1.21* [1.03,1.44]	1.39** [1.11,1.74]	1.05 [0.83,1.32]
Unemployed	1.05 [0.94,1.17]	1.59*** [1.40,1.80]	1.39*** [1.23,1.57]	1.22** [1.08,1.37]	1.33** [1.12,1.58]	1.09 [0.93,1.27]
Retired	0.9 [0.66,1.22]	1.17 [0.79,1.75]	1.02 [0.69,1.51]	1.39 [0.96,2.01]	0.88 [0.52,1.48]	0.46* [0.22,0.97]
Student	0.79*** [0.71,0.88]	1.60*** [1.41,1.83]	1.02 [0.90,1.16]	1.28*** [1.13,1.44]	1.02 [0.86,1.22]	1.16 [0.84,1.62]
Childhood Trauma	1.06 [0.99,1.13]	1.41*** [1.30,1.54]	1.29*** [1.19,1.39]	1.01 [0.93,1.09]	1.50*** [1.33,1.70]	1.10* [1.01,1.21]
Prior Psychiatric Diagnosis	1.59*** [1.48,1.71] Traumatic Stress Symptoms: ADS:	2.19*** [2.01,2.39] Adjustment Disorder Symptom	2.10*** [1.94,2.28]	1.73*** [1.59,1.87]	1.76*** [1.56,1.98]	1.25*** [1.13,1.39]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.09.20057802; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.