Open and laparoscopic surgeries are associated with comparable 90 day morbidities and mortalities following ERAS protocols- A prospective Study.

Keywords: Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS);laparoscopic surgeries; morbdity; mortality; gastrointestinal surgery.

Dr.Bhavin B Vasavada, (corrosponding Author)

Consultant hepatobiliary and liver transplant,

Shalby hospitals,

Ahmedbad.

Gujarat. (INDIA)

Email:drbhavin.liversurgeon@gmail.com

Dr.Hardik Patel,

Consultnat hepatobiliary and liver transplant,

Shalby Hospitals,

Ahmedabad.

Ethical clearence: obtained from hospital ethical committee.

Conflict of interest :none

Keywords: Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS);laproscopic surgeries;morbdity;mortality; gastrointestinal surgery.

Abbreviations: Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS), Hepato pancreatico biliary (HPB). Gastrointestinal (GI),Centre for disease control (CDC),Amercian society for Anesthesia (ASA)

ABSTRACT:

Introduction:

Aim of study to evaluate 90 days mortality and morbidity after ERAS protocol and also weather there is any difference in morbidity and mortality between Open and Laparoscopic surgeries.

Material and Methods:

All the gastrointestinal surgeries performed between April 2016 to march 2019 in our institution have been analysed for morbidity and mortality after ERAS protocols and data was collected prospectively.

Results:

We performed 245 gastrointestinal and hepato-biliary surgeries between April 2016 to march 2019. Mean age of patients was 50.96 years. 135 were open surgeries and 110 were laparoscopic surgeries. Mean ASA score was 2.40, mean operative time was 111 minutes, mean CDC grade of surgery was 2.56. 40 were emergency surgeries and 205 were elective surgeries. Overall 90 days mortality rate was 8.5% and over all morbidity rate was around 9.79% . On univariate analysis morbidity was associated

significantly with higher CDC grade of surgeries, higher ASA grade, more operative time, more blood products use, more hospitalstay, open surgeries,HPB surgeries and luminal surgeries(non hpb gastrointestinal surgeries) were associated with higher 90 days morbidity. On multivariate analysis no factors independently predicted morbidity. On univariate analysis 90 days mortality was predicted by grade of surgeries, higher ASA grade, more operative time, more blood products use, open surgeries and emergency surgeries. However on multivariate analysis only more blood products used was independently associated with mortality

Conclusion:

There is no difference between 90 day mortality and moribidity rates between open and laparoscopic surgeries.

Introduction:

Early recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol is becoming gold standard in peri operative care with excellent results in colorectal, gastric and HPB surgeries.[1]

ERAS is a evidence based peri-operative protocol which has shown significant improvements in perioperative outcomes.[2] Despite these overwhelming evidences

implementation of these protocols has been very slow and lack wide spread approval.[3] ERAS has initially developed for colorectal surgeries [4], However its implementation is being tested in all the other fields.[4] It is difficult to have a common ERAS protocol across all subspecialties of GI surgery, hence there are not many papers

We evaluated perioperative outcomes after ERAS protocols in our data which includes Upper gastrointestinal, HPB, colorectal and laparoscopic as well as open surgeries.

Laparoscopic gastrointestinal surgeries have significantly reduced peri-operiative morbidity and mortalities.[5]However many of these studies were done before ERAS era. Very few studies have compared laparoscopic vs open gastrointestinal surgeries after ERAS protocols.

Aims of study were to evaluate morbidity and mortality rates following ERAS protocol and if there is any difference in perioperative outcomes in morbidity and mortality between Open and Laparoscopic surgeries.

Materials and Methods:

All the gastrointestinal and HPB surgeries performed between April 2016 to march 2019 in our institution have been analysed prospectively for morbidity and mortality after ERAS protocols. Morbidity was defined as any grade of complications according to clavien-dindo classification.[6]We also evaluated factors responsible for

morbidity and mortalities and studies weather there is any difference in 90 days morbidity and mortality between open or laparoscopic group following ERAS protocol. We perform laparoscopic surgery unless need for conversion in all benign and elective cases while we perform open surgeries in malignant conditions (as we have doubts about oncological outcomes of laparoscopic surgeries after recently published trials) and emergency surgeries or sometimes due to cost issues.[7] Ethical approval for our clinical study was obtained by human research (COA number SBI-5243)

ERAS protocols:

We follow perioperative guidelines for ERAS protocol described for colorectal surgeries in all our gastrointestinal and HPB surgeries.[8] Our ERAS protocols has been mentioned in table 1.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis done using SPSS version 23. p value less than 0.05 was considered as statistical significant.Categorial values was evaluated using chi square test, numerical values were evaluated using Mann-Whitney U test. Multivariate analysis was done using logistic regression method. Cox multivariate regression analysis done to evaluate factors affecting 90 days overall and morbidity free survivals. All the factors with p value less than 0.05 were included in multivariate analysis.

Results:

We performed 245 gastrointestinal and hepatobiliary surgeries between April 2016 to march 2019. Mean age of patients was 50.96 years. 144 patients were males and 101 were females. 135 were open surgeries and 110 were laparoscopic surgeries. 11 were upper gastro intestinal surgeries (stomach and oesophagus), 27 were small intestinal surgeries, 143 were HPB surgeries,38 colorectal and 26 hernia surgeries. Mean ASA score was 2.40, mean operative time was 111 minutes, mean CDC grade of surgery was 2.56. 40 were emergency surgeries and 205 were elective surgeries.

Overall 90 days mortality rate was 8.5% and over all morbidity rate was around 9.79% . 90 days mortality and morbidity in elective surgeries was 4.08% and 8.16% respectively.

On univariate analysis 90 days morbidity was significantly with higher CDC grade of surgeries, higher ASA grade, more operative time, more blood products use, more hospital stay and HPB and luminal surgeries were associated with higher 90 days morbidity. [Table 2]. On multivariate analysis no factor independently predicted morbidity.

On univariate analysis 90 days mortality was predicted by grade of surgeries, higher ASA grade, more operative time, more blood products use, open surgeries and emergency surgeries. [Table 3]However on multivariate analysis number of blood product used independently predicted mortality. (p= 0.046, odds ratio 1.52,95 percent C.I. 1.008-2.317).

We also did univariate and multivariate analysis of different factors between open and laparoscopic groups.

In univariate analysis open surgeries were associated with increased morbidity and mortality ,However on multivariate analysis open surgery did not independently predicted morbidity.

However ,multivariate analysis showed there is significantly increased operative time and hospital stay in open surgeries . [Table 4]

To, confirm our findings apart from logistic regression we also did multivariate cox regression analysis of 90 day survivals which showed, only less operative time, less hospital stay and less blood products independently predicted 90 days survival.

We also did same thing for 90 days morbidity free survival, which showed no factor independently predicted morbidity free survival after cox regression multivariate analysis.

We also prepared 90 days morbidity free and over all survival curves for open and laparoscopic surgeries.[figure1,2], Which did not show statistical significance on cox multivariate analysis.

Discussion:

Enhanced recovery after surgery though initially described for colorectal surgery is now becoming standard protocol for all surgeries and it has significantly reduced hospital stay and cost without affecting morbidity and mortality.[1-5]

However, there are very few studies available for studying effect of ERAS protocols on morbidity and mortality in entire cohort including HPB and Gastrointestinal surgeries.

We follow ERAS protocols for every gastrointestinal and HPB surgeries as shown in table 1 so we evaluated 90 days morbidity and mortality in our series after implementing ERAS protocols and also studied various factor affecting 90 days morbidity and mortality.

We also evaluated difference in morbidity and mortality in laparoscopic vs open surgery group after implementing ERAS protocol.

In our series Overall 90 days mortality rate was 8.5% and over all morbidity rate was around 9.79%. 90 days mortality and morbidity in elective surgery was around 4.08 and 8.16 percent respectively. Mortality is defined as any death within 90 days post operative period and morbidity included clavien-dindo grade 3 and grade 4 complications, which is similar to published data. [9,10]

In our study in univariate analysis morbidity was associated significantly with higher CDC grade of surgeries, higher ASA grade, more operative time, more blood products use, more hospital stay, open surgeries,HPB surgeries and luminal surgeries(non hpb gastrointestinal surgeries) were associated with higher 90 days morbidity However on multivariate analysis no factors independently predicted morbidity.

Similarly in mortality analysis univariate analysis 90 days mortality was predicted by grade of surgeries, higher ASA grade, more operative time, more blood products use, open surgeries and emergency surgeries and on multivariate analysis only more blood products used was independently associated with mortality. These data shows

laparoscopic or open surgeries did not predicted 90 days morbidity and mortalities independently.

Thus, 90 days morbidity and mortality analysis in our series shows patient and disease related factors. Which is also shown in various published studies. [11.12.13]

One of the main aims of our study was to evaluate 90 morbidity and mortality difference between open and laparoscopic surgeries after implementing ERAS protocol.

Various study published showed that morbidity after colorectal surgeries were less with similar mortalities after colorectal surgeries.[14,15] In case of cholecystectomy it is now concluded that laparoscopic surgery reduces morbidity. [16] However, all these were before wide spread use of ERAS protocol.

In our study we analysed laparoscopic and open surgery though univariate and multivariate logistic regression [figure 1] analysis. 90 days morbidity and mortality were associated with open surgery in univariate analysis but multivariate logistic regression showed open or laparoscopic surgeries did not independently predicted 90 days morbidity and mortality after following ERAS protocol across gastrointestinal and HPB surgeries.

Open surgeries were more complex in terms of more operative time, higher blood products used , high CDC grade of surgeries, emergency surgeries and high ASA grades as we do open surgeries in emergency surgeries and oncologic surgeries explaining their association with morbidity and mortality in univariate analysis.and on

multivariate analysis open surgeries did not predict 90 days morbidity and mortality independently confirming that patient related factors and not open or laparoscopic way of performing surgeries predict mortality and morbidity following our ERAS protocol.

To, further confirm our findings we did 90 days survival analysis on multivariate cox regression analysis which also confirmed our findings. However, hospital stay was significantly more in open group. [figure 2,3]

Spanjersberg et al [17] showed that even after implementing ERAS laparoscopic surgeries was associated with reduced morbidity and hospital stay which is contrary to our results of data including all kinds of gastrointestinal and HPB surgeries. Zhuang et al[18] showed benefit of laparoscopic surgery in optimal ERAS settings is yet to be proved. Damania et al showed ERAS protocol reduced hospital stay in HPB surgeries, however they did not compare open vs laparoscopic surgeries.

However majority of such evidences are available in colorectal surgery only, ours is to our knowledge one of the first studies to show that in mixed population of hpb and gastrointestinal surgeries there is no difference in 90 days morbidity and mortality between open and laparoscopic surgeries, however hospital stay was still significantly higher in open surgery.

Our study being a retrospective study has inherent biases of retrospective study, and further randomised control trials are needed to confirm our findings. Also although primary aim of study to evaluate effect of ERAS protocol in heterogenous

populations, more data in each specific subgroups are needed and we are in process of evaluating the same.

In conclusion there is no difference in 90 days morbidity and mortality between open and laparoscopic surgeries after implementing ERAS protocols and morbidity and mortality is associated with patient and disease related factors.

References:

1. Pędziwiatr M, Mavrikis J, Witowski J, Adamos A[5], Major P, Nowakowski M, et al. Current status of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in gastrointestinal surgery. Med Oncol. 2018 May 9;35(6):95.
2. Ljungqvist O, Scott M, Fearon KC. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: A Review. JAMA Surg. 2017 Mar 1;152(3):292-298.
3. Melnyk M , Casey RG, Black P, Koupparis AJ. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols: Time to change practice? Can Urol Assoc J. 2011 Oct;5(5):342-8
4. Ljungqvist O. ERAS--enhanced recovery after surgery: moving evidence-based perioperative care to practice. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2014 Jul;38(5):559-66.
5. Scott-Conner CE. Laparoscopic gastrointestinal surgery. Med Clin North Am. 2002 Nov;86(6):1401-22.
6. Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, Vauthey JN, Dindo D, Schulick RD et al. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. Ann Surg. 2009 Aug;250(2):187-96

7. Martínez-Pérez A, Carra MC, Brunetti F, de'Angelis N. Pathologic Outcomes of Laparoscopic vs Open Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Surg.* Published online April 01, 2017152(4):e165665.

8. Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Hubner M, Nygren J, Demartines N, Francis N et al.Guidelines for Perioperative care in Elective Colorectal Surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society Recommendations: 2018. World J Surg. 2019 Mar;43(3):659-695.

9. Triin Jakobson, Juri Karjagin, Liisa Vipp, Martin Padar, Ants-Hendrik Parik, Liis Starkopf et al. Postoperative complications and mortality after major gastrointestinal surgery. Medicina,2014;50 (2)111-117.

10. McLean, K.A. et al. Critical care usage after major gastrointestinal and liver surgery: a prospective, multicentre observational study. British Journal of Anaesthesia , Volume 122 , Issue 1 , 42 - 50

11. Bentrem DJ, Cohen ME, Hynes DM, Ko CY, Bilimoria KY. Identification of Specific Quality Improvement Opportunities for the Elderly Undergoing Gastrointestinal Surgery. *Arch Surg.* 2009;144(11):1013–1020.

12. Mann CD, Palser T, Briggs CD, Cameron I, Rees M, Buckles J et al. A review of factors predicting perioperative death and early outcome in hepato pancreaticobiliarycancer surgery. HPB (Oxford). 2010 Aug;12(6):380-8.

13. Potrc, S., Ivanecz, A., Pivec, V., Marolt, U., Rudolf, S., Iljevec, B. et al Impact factors for perioperative morbidity and mortality and repercussion of perioperative morbidity and long-term survival in pancreatic head resection, *Radiology and Oncology*, *52*(1), 54-64.

14. Mamidanna R, Burns EM, Bottle A, Aylin P, Stonell C, Hanna GB et al. Reduced risk of medical morbidity and mortality in patients selected for laparoscopic colorectalresection in England: a population-based study. Arch Surg. 2012 Mar;147(3):219-27.

15. Hida K, Okamura R, Sakai Y, Konishi T, Akagi T, Yamaguchi T et al. Open versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Advanced Low Rectal Cancer: A Large, Multicenter, Propensity Score Matched Cohort Study in Japan. Ann Surg. 2018 Aug;268(2):318-324

16. Attwood SE, Hill AD, Mealy K, Stephens RB. A prospective comparison of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 1992 Nov;74(6):397-400.

17. Spanjersberg WR, van Sambeeck JD, Bremers A, Rosman C, van Laarhoven CJ. Systematic review and meta-analysis for laparoscopic versus open colon surgery with or without an ERAS programme. Surg Endosc. 2015 Dec;29(12):3443-53.

18. Zhuang CL, Huang DD, Chen FF, Zhou CJ, Zheng BS, Chen BC et al

Laparoscopic versus open colorectal surgery within enhanced recovery after surgery programs: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Surg Endosc. 2015 Aug;29(8):2091-100.

19. Damania R, Cocieru A. Impact of enhanced recovery after surgery protocols on postoperative morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing routine hepatectomy: review of the current evidence. Ann Transl Med. 2017 Sep;5(17):341.

1. Preoperative course	Nill by mouth not more than 4 hours
	Single dose antibiotics at the time of induction
Intra Operative and Post operative Course	No ryle's tube post operatively
	No post Operative antibiotics except ongoing sepsis
	Early Ambulation within 6 hours
	Liquid orally within 6 hourse,soft diet in post operative day1 and normaldiet according to patient,s wish from post operative day 2
	No intraoperative drains
	Day 1 foley,s catheter removal.

TABLE : 1 Our ERAS protocol.

Table 2: univariate and multivariate analysis of morbidity.

Factors	Morbidity Present (n=24)	Morbidity Absent (n=221)	Univariate analysis (P value)	Multivariate analysis (Pvalue)
Open surgery vs Laproscopic	Lap: 1,Open:23	Lap: 109,Open: 112	<0.0001	0.091
Emergency surgery (N)= 40	4	36	1.0	
Luminal Surgery(non HPB gastrointestinal surgery)	16	59	<0.0001	0.377
HPB surgery	6	133	0.003	0.573
Age(mean)	51.17	50.94	0.850	
Hospital stay (mean)	4.5	2.95	<0.0001	0.421
CDC grade of surgery (mean)	3.04	2.51	<0.0001	0.962
Blood product (mean)	1.42	0.51	<0.0001	0.981
ASA grade (mean)	2.83	2.35	<0.0001	0.691
Operative time (mean)	168.7	104.7	<0.0001	0.142

Factors	Mortality (n=21)	No mortality (n=224)	Univariate analysis (P value)	Multivariate analysis (P value)
Emmergency surgery(n=21)	11	10	<0.0001	0.116
Open surgery (135) vs laproscopic surgery (110)	Open surgery 21/laparoscopic surgery 0	114 opensurgery/110 laparoscopic surgery	<0.0001	0.996
Luminal (non HPB) surgeries	11	159	0.087	
Morbidity (90days)	5	19	0.041	0.716
Hospital stay (mean)	3.42	3.08	0.173	
Age (mean)	51.76	50.89	0.956	
Blood products (mean)	2.24	0.44	<0.0001	0.046,odds ratio 1.52(95 percent C.I. 1.008-2.317)
CDC grade of surgery (mean)	3.29	2.5	<0.0001	0.818
Operative time	177.14	104.79	<0.0001	0.263

(mean)				
ASA (Mean)	3.1	2.33	<0.0001	0.656

Table :3 Univariate and multivariate analysis of 90 day mortality.

Multivariate analysis of open and laproscopic surgeries

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	ODDS RATIO	95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	morbdityall(1)	-1.063	1.319	.650	1	.420	.345	.026	4.584
	mortality_A(1)	-21.629	6941.449	.000	1	.998	.000	.000	.
	LUMINNAL(1)	.737	.618	1.425	1	.233	2.090	.623	7.013
	EMMERGENCY(1)	2.244	1.118	4.030	1	.045	9.433	1.055	84.371
	operativetime	-.016	.005	8.739	1	.003	.984	.973	.995
	ASA	1.330	.624	4.546	1	.033	3.780	1.113	12.836
	hospiitalstay	2.232	.415	28.990	1	.000	9.318	4.135	20.996
	gradeofsurgery	.941	.544	2.986	1	.084	2.562	.881	7.446
	Constant	10.725	6941.450	.000	1	.999	45479.336		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: morbdityall, mortality_A, LUMINNAL, EMMERGENCY, operativetime, ASA, hospiitalstay, gradeofsurgery.

Table 4: multivariate analysis between open and laparoscopic surgeries



Figure 2: Kaplan meier 90 days survival curve between open and laparoscopic surgery, which did not show significant difference in multivariate analysis. (p= 0.920)



Figure 3: 90 days morbidity free survival between open and laparoscopic surgeries, which did not, shows statistical significance on multivariate analysis. (p= 0.059, hazard ratio 0.127 95% C.I (0.015-1.079)