

1 **Title: Systematic review of distribution models for *Amblyomma* ticks and Rickettsial group**
2 **pathogens**

3 **Authors:** Catherine A. Lippi^{a,b}, Holly D. Gaff^{c,d}, Alexis L. White^{a,b}, Sadie J. Ryan*^{a,b}

4 ^a Quantitative Disease Ecology and Conservation (QDEC) Lab Group, Department of
5 Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

6 ^b Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

7 ^c Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA

8 ^d School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal,
9 Durban, South Africa

10

11

12 *to whom correspondence should be sent: sjryan@ufl.edu

13

14 **Abstract**

15 The rising prevalence of tick-borne diseases in humans in recent decades has called attention to
16 the need for more information on geographic risk for public health planning. Species distribution
17 models (SDMs) are an increasingly utilized method of constructing potential geographic ranges.
18 There are many knowledge gaps in our understanding of risk of exposure to tick-borne
19 pathogens, particularly for those in the rickettsial group. Here, we conducted a systematic review
20 of the SDM literature for rickettsial pathogens and tick vectors in the genus *Amblyomma*. Of the
21 174 reviewed papers, only 24 studies used SDMs to estimate the potential extent of vector and/or
22 pathogen ranges. The majority of studies (79%) estimated only tick distributions using vector
23 presence as a proxy for pathogen exposure. Studies were conducted at different scales and across
24 multiple continents. Few studies undertook original data collection, and SDMs were mostly built
25 with presence-only datasets from public database or surveillance sources. While we identify a
26 gap in knowledge, this may simply reflect a lag in new data acquisition and a thorough
27 understanding of the tick-pathogen ecology involved.

28

29 **Keywords:** *Amblyomma*; *Rickettsia*; species distribution model; PRISMA

30

31 **Abbreviations**

32 SDM: species distribution model; ENM: ecological niche model; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting
33 Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses; GAM: generalized additive model; BRT:
34 boosted regression trees; RF: random forests; LR: logistic regression (LR); GWR:
35 geographically weighted regression; ENFA: ecological niche factor analysis

36

37 **Introduction**

38 Tick-borne diseases are a global threat to public health, posing risks to both humans and
39 domesticated animals. In recent years there have been documented increases in tick-borne
40 diseases both in the United States and around the world. Much of this burden can be attributed to
41 Lyme disease in the United States, Europe, and northern Asia. However, in the past 20 years,
42 identification of previously unrecognized pathogens has revealed a great diversity in tick-borne
43 viruses and bacteria (Paddock et al., 2016). Increases in tick-borne pathogen transmission and
44 case detection have garnered a great deal of attention, triggering greater funding, resources, and
45 agency responses (CDC, 2018; Couzin-Frankel, 2019). Nevertheless, the expanding burden of
46 tick-borne disease has also highlighted crucial gaps in knowledge, particularly with regards to
47 geographic risk mapping, an area of great interest to public health agencies. This is particularly
48 evident in the case of rickettsial pathogens, comprising the ehrlichiosis, anaplasmosis, and
49 spotted fever rickettsioses, which compared to Lyme disease remain understudied. Rickettsial
50 pathogens of medical importance may be encountered worldwide, and ticks from the
51 *Amblyomma* genus are competent vectors for many of these pathogens (Levin et al., 2018).
52 Although numbers of documented cases have been increasing in recent years, the true extent of
53 geographic risk for rickettsial pathogens is challenging to delineate due to a lack of consistent,
54 long-term, and widespread surveillance data, and regionally low case detection.

55 Species distributions models (SDMs), also commonly referred to as ecological niche
56 models (ENMs), are becoming routinely used in vector-borne disease systems to model the
57 potential geographic distribution of risk (e.g. Baak-Baak et al., 2017; Carvalho et al., 2015; Lippi
58 et al., 2019; Peterson et al., 2002; Thomas and Beierkuhnlein, 2013). Broadly, this is
59 accomplished by correlating locations where a species of interest is known to occur with the

60 underlying environmental characteristics (e.g. climate, elevation, land cover). The resulting
61 model can then be projected to unsampled areas on the landscape, providing a spatial prediction
62 of areas that are ecologically suitable for species presence. In addition to predicting
63 contemporary species distributions, SDMs are also employed to estimate the extent of potentially
64 suitable habitat for invasive species, and potential shifts in geographic distributions due to
65 climate change (Lippi et al., 2019). There are many methodological approaches to estimating
66 species distributions, and some of the more commonly encountered approaches include
67 Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt), Generalized Additive Models (GAM), Boosted Regression Trees
68 (BRT), and Random Forests (RF) (Elith et al., 2008; Elith and Leathwick, 2009; Evans et al.,
69 2011; Phillips et al., 2006). Although SDMs are a commonly used tool in estimating species
70 ranges, the diversity in modeling approaches and applications makes it challenging to compare
71 results across models.

72 For vector-borne disease SDMs, records of vector and/or pathogen presence (either the
73 vector, the pathogen, the vector and pathogen, or even simply human case data) are often used as
74 proxies for risk of exposure, and therefore transmission. Species distribution models have been
75 used in a risk mapping capacity for many vector-borne disease systems, spanning a range of
76 pathogens vectored by arthropods including mosquitoes, gnats, phlebotomine flies, fleas,
77 triatomine bugs, and ticks (Crkvencic and Šlapeta, 2019). This framework is particularly useful
78 in determining species limits for vectored transmission owing to the very close relationships
79 between ectotherm life histories, pathogen replication, and environmental drivers such a
80 temperature. Underlying distributions of reservoir hosts, another requisite component of zoonotic
81 transmission cycles, are also determined by land cover and environmental conditions.

82 This work provides a comprehensive review of the published, peer-reviewed literature of
83 studies that estimated species distribution, or ecological niche, of *Amblyomma* ticks, the
84 rickettsial pathogens they vector, or their combined distributions. Following Preferred Reporting
85 Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, we identified and
86 compiled studies that used occurrence records and environmental predictors to estimate the
87 geographic range of target organisms (Moher et al., 2009). Additionally, we provide a synthesis
88 of current knowledge in the field, identifying the range of regions, spatial scales, and
89 environmental determinants used to define risk in these systems. This work serves as a baseline
90 for identifying knowledge gaps and guiding new studies of geographic risk mapping in
91 understudied tick-borne disease systems.

92

93 **Materials and Methods**

94 Literature searches were conducted following the guidelines in the PRISMA Statement, a
95 checklist and flow diagram to ensure transparency and reproducibility in systematic reviews and
96 meta-analyses (Liberati et al., 2009; Moher et al., 2009). Initial searches for peer-reviewed
97 studies were conducted through September 2019. Five online databases were searched including
98 Web of Science (Web of Science Core Collection, MEDLINE, BIOSIS Citation Index,
99 Zoological Record) and Google Scholar. Searches were performed with combinations of key
100 terms including “*Amblyomma*”, “*Rickettsia**”, “niche model”, “ecological niche model”, and
101 “species distribution model”. No restrictions were placed on geographic region of study or date
102 of publication. Additional novel records for screening were identified via literature cited sections
103 in records identified via database searches.

104 Duplicate records from the initial database searches were removed, and the remaining
105 abstracts were screened for relevance. Records were excluded in this first screening based on
106 publication type (i.e. literature reviews, opinion pieces, and synthesis papers were excluded),
107 methodology (i.e. studies that did not examine geographic distributions or risk were excluded),
108 and target organisms (i.e. vectors and diseases other than the *Amblyomma* spp. and rickettsial
109 pathogens (*Ehrlichia* spp. and *Rickettsia* spp.) were excluded). *Anaplasma* spp. were excluded
110 from our analysis as these are primarily transmitted by *Ixodes* ticks.

111 The remaining articles were then assessed in full for eligibility, where information on
112 vectors, pathogens, modeling methods, geographic region, geographic scale of study, time period
113 of study, data sources, and major findings were extracted from the text. Full-text articles in this
114 final screening were flagged for possible exclusion based on focus the study (e.g. modeling tick
115 distributions as an exercise to compare modeling logistics and methodologies), mismatch in
116 target organisms (e.g. the distribution of a target pathogen in a vector of a non-target genus), or
117 status as grey literature (e.g. unpublished theses).

118

119 **Results**

120 *Reviewed Studies* – The initial search of the peer-reviewed literature yielded 174 studies
121 published between 1994 and 2019. After removal of duplicate search results, 109 unique studies
122 remained. Studies were screened for topic relevance and abstract content, after which 33 studies
123 remained. These publications were then assessed in full, resulting in 24 studies on species
124 distribution models for *Amblyomma* ticks and/or rickettsial pathogens that were included in the
125 literature synthesis (Table 1). The PRISMA flow diagram outlining our literature search and
126 screening process is shown in Fig. 1.

127 The geographic extent of suitability models varied with study focus and stated research
128 goals, ranging from local and regional foci (e.g. county and state-level) to national and global
129 species distributions. Studies were primarily conducted for the United States (46%), often limited
130 to a single state or regional boundary. Other common geographic foci included Africa (29%) and
131 Latin America (21%). The majority of studies (83%) reported the spatial scales of predicted
132 distributions, which varied considerably across studies ranging from fine-scale (1 km) to coarse
133 resolution (50 km) gridded models.

134 *Species Occurrence Data* – The majority of reviewed studies (79%) modeled geographic
135 distributions only for *Amblyomma* ticks, using vector presence as a proxy for pathogen
136 transmission and disease risk. *Amblyomma americanum* was featured in 33% of papers, making
137 it the most commonly studied vector, followed by *Amblyomma variegatum* (29%) and
138 *Amblyomma hebraeum* (25%). Studies that estimated pathogen distributions, using health
139 department data or wildlife blood samples to determine presence, accounted for 20% of the
140 reviewed literature. Only 8% of studies used both tick occurrence and pathogen presence to
141 model geographic risk of transmission.

142 A majority of studies (63%) obtained positive records of species occurrence from
143 previously published literature. The time period of sample collections from previously published
144 sources typically ranged from the 1950s through the early 2000s, though one study incorporated
145 historical records dating back to the 1900s. In contrast, 29% of studies primarily obtained
146 georeferenced data points from public databases or entomological collections. While using pre-
147 existing databases of tick records yield higher occurrence frequencies that span greater periods of
148 time, few details are typically provided regarding the nature of sample collections (e.g. active
149 versus passive surveillance, transects versus convenience sampling, etc.). Only 21% of studies

150 collected occurrence records solely through field sampling, with field collections spanning one to
151 thirteen years. Few studies (13%) used true absence data collected via field sampling, instead
152 opting to use modeling approaches that take advantage of presence-only datasets.

153 *Environmental Data* – Environmental predictor datasets used to build SDMs were generally
154 chosen in accordance with the specified geographic extent, scale, and goals of a given study.
155 Many of the data products used for localized studies are only available for a given region or
156 country (e.g. Daymet climate data for North America, USGS National Land Cover Database with
157 coverage for the United States, etc.). Despite the wide range of environmental inputs across
158 studies, the WorldClim dataset of long-term climate averages, and derived bioclimatic variables,
159 were the most commonly utilized source of climatological data, featured as input data in 36% of
160 reviewed papers (Hijmans et al., 2005). Six papers estimated potential shifts in vector ranges
161 driven by future climate change and required modeled climate data at given time horizons, with
162 half of these studies using WorldClim scenarios of future climatic conditions.

163 *Modeling Approaches and Output* – The reviewed literature primarily consisted of studies that
164 used presence-only, correlative modeling approaches. A variety of SDM methods were used to
165 estimate tick distributions, including logistic regression (LR), geographically weighted
166 regression (GWR), ecological niche factor analysis (ENFA), and generalized additive models
167 (GAM) (Table 1). However, the MaxEnt algorithm was the most commonly used method, with
168 50% of studies using MaxEnt to estimate species distributions. Regression analyses were also
169 frequently included in SDM studies (42%), even when more advanced statistical models were
170 also used.

171 Environmental factors that were most influential in published SDMs were reported in
172 80% of reviewed studies. Generally, studies included some measure of temperature,

173 precipitation, soil moisture, or land cover in models of tick distributions. The temporal scale of
174 environmental predictors varied considerably between studies, ranging from daily temperature
175 estimates and monthly ranges to annual and long-term climate averages. Covariates that
176 contributed most prominently to distribution estimates were often reported in the reviewed
177 literature, yet actual values and ranges for environmental predictors were seldom reported.
178 Indicators of seasonality for precipitation (66% of studies) and temperature (50% of studies)
179 were among the most consistent covariates included in final SDMs. The Normalized Difference
180 Vegetation Index (NDVI), an index derived from remote sensing data to measure green
181 vegetation cover, was used as an environmental predictor in 25% of studies. Despite the
182 prevalence of NDVI in these studies, compared to climate variables there was little consensus
183 regarding the reliability of this predictor in defining vector niches across studies. Only one study
184 incorporated tick host density as an environmental predictor of habitat suitability.

185

186 **Discussion**

187 Species distribution modeling has become a widely used tool for estimating ranges of organisms,
188 or in the case of pathogens and their vectors, the geographic risk of disease exposure. However,
189 the potential geographic distributions of rickettsial pathogens transmitted by *Amblyomma* spp.
190 are still relatively understudied, compared to other vector-borne disease systems. In contrast with
191 the 174 candidate publications identified for screening in this literature review, similar search
192 terminology applied to other vector-borne disease systems yielded raw publication counts of
193 1,126 for *Aedes* spp. and dengue fever, 728 for *Anopheles* spp. and malaria, and 366 for *Ixodes*
194 spp. and Lyme disease. This lag is likely in part to more recent recognition of the presence of
195 these disease transmission systems for the spotted fever rickettsioses and ehrlichiosis tick-borne

196 diseases (Childs and Paddock, 2003). Correlative models, such as SDMs, are useful in estimating
197 potential species limits, particularly when data for mechanistic or process-driven models are
198 lacking. This is the case for many tick species, where physiological mechanisms and limits are
199 often poorly understood. For *Amblyomma* spp. only a few papers address the effects of
200 temperature and humidity in a controlled setting (Guglielmone, 1992; Koch, 1983). At extreme
201 temperatures where insect vectors may die, ticks will become quiescent until conditions are more
202 favorable. Thresholds that are known to cause instantaneous tick mortality are prohibitive to life
203 such as -22°C (Burks et al., 1996). Presence-only modeling approaches, which predominated in
204 the reviewed literature, are also convenient when studying organisms that are difficult to
205 extensively sample throughout their range. These methods are attractive in that they allow us to
206 take advantage of pre-existing collection datasets, seemingly obviating the need for labor and
207 resource intensive field sampling. However, with the exception of studies which explicitly
208 conducted surveys for ticks, the full methods for originally obtaining presence points (e.g. the
209 original collection strategies) are not always clearly defined. Biases introduced via sampling
210 protocol (e.g. convenience sampling, or targeting a single life stage or behavior) may not
211 adequately represent the true realized niche for species, dramatically influencing SDM
212 predictions. In many tick models, data are collected through dragging or flagging which only
213 samples questing ticks that have not found hosts. The number of successful host-seeking ticks is
214 not known and for ticks that are not possible to collect on drags/flags the surveillance method
215 may drastically underrepresent this life stage (Gaff et al., 2020). If the purpose of the model is to
216 measure disease risk, questing data may be appropriate, but for tick control a greater
217 understanding of the species life history would be needed. While these limitations may be

218 logistically unavoidable, we recommend more detailed reporting of sampling methods and their
219 associated limitations in future studies.

220 A further difficulty presents itself when estimating species distributions for ticks based on
221 environmental conditions. Other arthropod vectors, such as mosquitoes, are extremely sensitive
222 to fluctuations in climatic conditions, which in turn dictate the suitability of an area for survival
223 and reproduction. In many instances, the physiological responses and environmental limits of
224 insect vectors are well understood, via both laboratory experiments and empirical field studies
225 (Mordecai et al., 2019; Paaijmans et al., 2013; Reuss et al., 2018). In contrast with insect vectors,
226 ticks are resilient to many of the climatic factors that would limit other species. In other words,
227 broad-scale patterns in temperature and precipitation are not necessarily primary drivers of tick
228 presence on the landscape. This may contribute to the relatively low agreement in niche-defining
229 environmental parameters across the reviewed studies. With the exception of indicators of
230 seasonality, the major climatic and land cover predictors in the literature vary greatly with
231 species and geographic extent. Given the close association between ticks and their vertebrate
232 hosts, this may indicate that it is not the vector's niche that is being modeled, but rather the niche
233 of the host organisms that support tick populations. Furthermore, reaching consensus across
234 SDMs is notoriously problematic, owing largely to the abundance of methodological approaches
235 and lack of standardized reporting practices in presence data and final models (Carlson et al.,
236 2018; Hao et al., 2019; Merow et al., 2013; Mordecai et al., 2019; Rund et al., 2019). We find
237 similar issues when comparing published SDMs for *Amblyomma* ticks and rickettsial pathogens,
238 where there is considerable diversity in methods and primary findings despite the small number
239 of studies performed. While major environmental predictors are typically reported for SDMs,
240 most studies do not report values or numerical ranges for suitability.

241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260

Conclusions

Species distribution modeling of *Amblyomma* ticks and the rickettsial group pathogens they vector is underrepresented in the literature compared to other vector-borne disease systems. Even among a limited number of published studies, there is considerable variation in the methods and reported environmental influences for these models. This systematic literature review highlights a knowledge gap in our understanding of potential geographic risk for this transmission system. Given the recent public health interest in tick-borne diseases, the dearth of studies may result from lags in new data acquisition and limitations in our knowledge of the tick-pathogen ecology involved.

Acknowledgements

Funding: CAL, HDG, and SJR were funded by NIH 1R01AI136035-01. ALW and SJR were additionally funded by CDC grant 1U01CK000510-01: Southeastern Regional Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases: The Gateway Program. This publication was supported by the Cooperative Agreement Number above from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

261 **Literature Cited**

- 262 Acevedo-Gutierrez, L.Y., Paternina, L.E., Londoño, A.F., Parra-Henao, G., Rodas, J.D., 2018.
263 Potential models of the geographic and climatic distribution of the *Amblyomma*
264 *cajennense* complex (Acari: Ixodidae), a potential vector of *Rickettsia rickettsii* in
265 Colombia. *Biomédica* 38.
- 266 Baak-Baak, C.M., Moo-Llanes, D.A., Cigarroa-Toledo, N., Puerto, F.I., Machain-Williams, C.,
267 Reyes-Solis, G., Nakazawa, Y.J., Ulloa-Garcia, A., Garcia-Rejon, J.E., 2017. Ecological
268 Niche Model for Predicting Distribution of Disease-Vector Mosquitoes in Yucatán State,
269 México. *J. Med. Entomol.* 54, 854–861. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjw243>
- 270 Behravesh, C.B., Paddock, C.D., Dahlgren, F.S., Eisen, R.J., Springer, Y.P., 2016. Expanding
271 Range of *Amblyomma americanum* and Simultaneous Changes in the Epidemiology of
272 Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiosis in the United States. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 94, 35–
273 42. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0580>
- 274 Bermúdez, S.E., Castro, A.M., Trejos, D., García, G.G., Gabster, A., Miranda, R.J., Zaldívar, Y.,
275 Paternina, L.E., 2016. Distribution of Spotted Fever Group Rickettsiae in Hard Ticks
276 (Ixodida: Ixodidae) from Panamanian Urban and Rural Environments (2007–2013).
277 *EcoHealth* 13, 274–284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-016-1118-8>
- 278 Burks, C.S., Stewart Jr, R.L., Needham, G.R., Lee Jr, R.E., 1996. The role of direct chilling
279 injury and inoculative freezing in cold tolerance of *Amblyomma americanum*,
280 *Dermacentor variabilis* and *Ixodes scapularis*. *Physiol. Entomol.* 21, 44–50.
- 281 Carlson, C.J., Dougherty, E., Boots, M., Getz, W., Ryan, S.J., 2018. Consensus and conflict
282 among ecological forecasts of Zika virus outbreaks in the United States. *Sci. Rep.* 8.
283 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22989-0>
- 284 Carvalho, B.M., Rangel, E.F., Ready, P.D., Vale, M.M., 2015. Ecological Niche Modelling
285 Predicts Southward Expansion of *Lutzomyia* (*Nyssomyia*) *flaviscutellata* (Diptera:
286 Psychodidae: Phlebotominae), Vector of *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *amazonensis* in South
287 America, under Climate Change. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0143282.
288 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143282>
- 289 CDC, 2018. Illnesses on the rise from mosquito, tick, and flea bites.
- 290 Childs, J.E., Paddock, C.D., 2003. The ascendancy of *Amblyomma americanum* as a vector of
291 pathogens affecting humans in the United States. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 48, 307–337.
- 292 Couzin-Frankel, J., 2019. Long-underfunded Lyme disease research gets an injection of
293 money—and ideas. *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax7340>
- 294 Crkvencic, N., Šlapeta, J., 2019. Climate change models predict southerly shift of the cat flea
295 (*Ctenocephalides felis*) distribution in Australia. *Parasit. Vectors* 12.
296 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3399-6>
- 297 Cumming, G.S., 2002. COMPARING CLIMATE AND VEGETATION AS LIMITING
298 FACTORS FOR SPECIES RANGES OF AFRICAN TICKS. *Ecology* 83, 255–268.
299 [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2002\)083\[0255:CCAVAL\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[0255:CCAVAL]2.0.CO;2)
- 300 Cumming, G.S., 2000. Using habitat models to map diversity: pan-African species richness of
301 ticks (Acari: Ixodida). *J. Biogeogr.* 27, 425–440. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00419.x>
- 302
303 Cumming, G.S., Van Vuuren, D.P., 2006. Will climate change affect ectoparasite species
304 ranges? *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 15, 486–497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-822X.2006.00241.x>
305

- 306 Elith, J., Leathwick, J.R., 2009. Species Distribution Models: Ecological Explanation and
307 Prediction Across Space and Time. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 40, 677–697.
308 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159>
- 309 Elith, J., Leathwick, J.R., Hastie, T., 2008. A working guide to boosted regression trees. *J. Anim.*
310 *Ecol.* 77, 802–813. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01390.x>
- 311 Estrada-Peña, A., 2003. Climate change decreases habitat suitability for some tick species
312 (Acari: Ixodidae) in South Africa. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* 70, 79–93.
- 313 Estrada-Peña, A., Horak, I.G., Petney, T., 2008. Climate changes and suitability for the ticks
314 *Amblyomma hebraeum* and *Amblyomma variegatum* (Ixodidae) in Zimbabwe (1974–
315 1999). *Vet. Parasitol.* 151, 256–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.11.014>
- 316 Estrada-Peña, A., Pegram, R.G., Barré, N., Venzal, J.M., 2007. Using invaded range data to
317 model the climate suitability for *Amblyomma variegatum* (Acari: Ixodidae) in the New
318 World. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 41, 203–214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-007-9050-9>
- 319 Estrada-Peña, A., Tarragona, E.L., Vesco, U., Meneghi, D. de, Mastropaolo, M., Mangold, A.J.,
320 Guglielmone, A.A., Nava, S., 2014. Divergent environmental preferences and areas of
321 sympatry of tick species in the *Amblyomma cajennense* complex (Ixodidae). *Int. J.*
322 *Parasitol.* 44, 1081–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2014.08.007>
- 323 Evans, J.S., Murphy, M.A., Holden, Z.A., Cushman, S.A., 2011. Modeling Species Distribution
324 and Change Using Random Forest, in: Drew, C.A., Wiersma, Y.F., Huettmann, F. (Eds.),
325 *Predictive Species and Habitat Modeling in Landscape Ecology*. Springer New York,
326 New York, NY, pp. 139–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7390-0_8
- 327 Gaff, H., Eisen, R.J., Eisen, L., Nadolny, R., Bjork, J., Monaghan, A.J., 2020. LYMESIM 2.0:
328 An Updated Simulation of Blacklegged Tick (Acari: Ixodidae) Population Dynamics and
329 Enzootic Transmission of *Borrelia burgdorferi* (Spirochaetales: Spirochaetaceae). *J. Med.*
330 *Entomol.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjz252>
- 331 Guglielmone, A.A., 1992. The effect of temperature and humidity on development and longevity
332 of *Amblyomma triguttatum triguttatum* (Acarina: Ixodidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 82, 203–
333 208. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300051737>
- 334 Hao, T., Elith, J., Guillerá Arroita, G., Lahoz Monfort, J.J., 2019. A review of evidence about
335 use and performance of species distribution modelling ensembles like BIOMOD. *Divers.*
336 *Distrib.* 25, 839–852. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12892>
- 337 Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution
338 interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* 25, 1965–1978.
339 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276>
- 340 Illoldi-Rangel, P., Rivaldi, C.-L., Sissel, B., Trout Fryxell, R., Gordillo-Pérez, G., Rodríguez-
341 Moreno, A., Williamson, P., Montiel-Parra, G., Sánchez-Cordero, V., Sarkar, S., 2012.
342 *Species Distribution Models and Ecological Suitability Analysis for Potential Tick*
343 *Vectors of Lyme Disease in Mexico*. *J. Trop. Med.* 2012, 1–10.
344 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/959101>
- 345 Kessler, William H, Blackburn, J.K., Sayler, K.A., Glass, G.E., 2019. Estimating the Geographic
346 Distribution of Host-Seeking Adult *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) in
347 Florida. *J. Med. Entomol.* 56, 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjy147>
- 348 Kessler, William H., Ganser, C., Glass, G.E., 2019. Modeling the Distribution of Medically
349 Important Tick Species in Florida. *Insects* 10, 190.
350 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10070190>

- 351 Koch, H.G., 1983. Lone star tick: oviposition, egg hatch, and molting under naturally fluctuating
352 ambient temperatures and humidities in the field. *Southwest. Entomol.* 6, 240–244.
- 353 Levin, M.L., Schumacher, L.B.M., Snellgrove, A., 2018. Effects of *Rickettsia amblyommatis*
354 Infection on the Vector Competence of *Amblyomma americanum* Ticks for *Rickettsia*
355 *rickettsii*. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. Larchmt. N* 18, 579–587.
356 <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2018.2284>
- 357 Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P.C., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Clarke,
358 M., Devereaux, P.J., Kleijnen, J., Moher, D., 2009. The PRISMA Statement for
359 Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care
360 Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. *PLoS Med.* 6, e1000100.
361 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100>
- 362 Lippi, C.A., Stewart-Ibarra, A.M., Loor, M.E.F.B., Zambrano, J.E.D., Lopez, N.A.E., Blackburn,
363 J.K., Ryan, S.J., 2019. Geographic shifts in *Aedes aegypti* habitat suitability in Ecuador
364 using larval surveillance data and ecological niche modeling: Implications of climate
365 change for public health vector control. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 13, e0007322.
366 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007322>
- 367 Lynen, G., Zeman, P., Bakuname, C., Di Giulio, G., Mtui, P., Sanka, P., Jongejan, F., 2007.
368 Cattle ticks of the genera *Rhipicephalus* and *Amblyomma* of economic importance in
369 Tanzania: distribution assessed with GIS based on an extensive field survey. *Exp. Appl.*
370 *Acarol.* 43, 303–319. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-007-9123-9>
- 371 Manangan, J.S., Schweitzer, S.H., Nibbelink, N., Yabsley, M.J., Gibbs, S.E.J., Wimberly, M.C.,
372 2007. Habitat Factors Influencing Distributions of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and
373 *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* in the Mississippi Alluvial Valley. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 7,
374 563–574. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2007.0116>
- 375 Merow, C., Smith, M.J., Silander, J.A., 2013. A practical guide to MaxEnt for modeling species'
376 distributions: what it does, and why inputs and settings matter. *Ecography* 36, 1058–
377 1069. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.07872.x>
- 378 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G., The PRISMA Group, 2009. Preferred
379 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement.
380 *PLoS Med.* 6, e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- 381 Mordecai, E.A., Caldwell, J.M., Grossman, M.K., Lippi, C.A., Johnson, L.R., Neira, M., Rohr,
382 J.R., Ryan, S.J., Savage, V., Shocket, M.S., Sippy, R., Stewart Ibarra, A.M., Thomas,
383 M.B., Villena, O., 2019. Thermal biology of mosquito-borne disease. *Ecol. Lett.* 22,
384 1690–1708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13335>
- 385 Norval, R.A.I., Perry, B.D., Meltzer, M.I., Kruska, R.L., Booth, T.H., 1994. Factors affecting the
386 distributions of the ticks *Amblyomma hebraeum* and *A. variegatum* in Zimbabwe:
387 implications of reduced acaricide usage. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 18, 383–407.
388 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00051522>
- 389 Oliveira, S.V. de, Romero-Alvarez, D., Martins, T.F., Santos, J.P. dos, Labruna, M.B., Gazeta,
390 G.S., Escobar, L.E., Gurgel-Gonçalves, R., 2017. *Amblyomma* ticks and future climate:
391 Range contraction due to climate warming. *Acta Trop.* 176, 340–348.
392 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.07.033>
- 393 Paaijmans, K.P., Heinig, R.L., Seliga, R.A., Blanford, J.I., Blanford, S., Murdock, C.C., Thomas,
394 M.B., 2013. Temperature variation makes ectotherms more sensitive to climate change.
395 *Glob. Change Biol.* 19, 2373–2380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12240>

- 396 Paddock, C.D., Lane, R.S., Staples, J.E., Labruna, M.B., 2016. Changing paradigms for tick-
397 borne diseases in the Americas, in: Mack, A. (Ed.), Global Health Impacts of Vector-
398 Borne Diseases: Workshop Summary. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and
399 Medicine, Washington, D.C. <https://doi.org/10.17226/21792>
- 400 Pascoe, E.L., Marcantonio, M., Caminade, C., Foley, J.E., 2019. Modeling Potential Habitat for
401 Amblyomma Tick Species in California. *Insects* 10, 201.
402 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10070201>
- 403 Peterson, A.T., Sánchez-Cordero, V., Ben Beard, C., Ramsey, J.M., 2002. Ecologic Niche
404 Modeling and Potential Reservoirs for Chagas Disease, Mexico. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 8,
405 662–667. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0807.010454>
- 406 Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species
407 geographic distributions. *Ecol. Model.* 190, 231–259.
408 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026>
- 409 Raghavan, R.K., Goodin, D.G., Hanzlicek, G.A., Zolnerowich, G., Dryden, M.W., Anderson,
410 G.A., Ganta, R.R., 2016a. Maximum Entropy-Based Ecological Niche Model and Bio-
411 Climatic Determinants of Lone Star Tick (*Amblyomma americanum*) Niche. *Vector-
412 Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 16, 205–211. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2015.1837>
- 413 Raghavan, R.K., Goodin, D.G., Neises, D., Anderson, G.A., Ganta, R.R., 2016b. Hierarchical
414 Bayesian Spatio–Temporal Analysis of Climatic and Socio–Economic Determinants of
415 Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0150180.
416 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150180>
- 417 Raghavan, R.K., Peterson, A.T., Cobos, M.E., Ganta, R., Foley, D., 2019. Current and Future
418 Distribution of the Lone Star Tick, *Amblyomma americanum* (L.) (Acari: Ixodidae) in
419 North America. *PLOS ONE* 14, e0209082. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209082>
- 420 Reese, S.M., Yates, K.F., Mead, P.S., Nicholson, W.L., Blount, K., Graham, C.B., Brown, H.E.,
421 Helterbrand, W.S., Dietrich, G., Patrick, S.L., MacMillan, K., Eisen, R.J., 2011. An
422 Acarologic Survey and *Amblyomma americanum* Distribution Map with Implications for
423 Tularemia Risk in Missouri. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 84, 411–419.
424 <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2011.10-0593>
- 425 Reuss, F., Wieser, A., Niamir, A., Bálint, M., Kuch, U., Pfenninger, M., Müller, R., 2018.
426 Thermal experiments with the Asian bush mosquito (*Aedes japonicus japonicus*)
427 (Diptera: Culicidae) and implications for its distribution in Germany. *Parasit. Vectors* 11.
428 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2659-1>
- 429 Rund, S.S.C., Braak, K., Cator, L., Copas, K., Emrich, S.J., Giraldo-Calderón, G.I., Johansson,
430 M.A., Heydari, N., Hobern, D., Kelly, S.A., Lawson, D., Lord, C., MacCallum, R.M.,
431 Roche, D.G., Ryan, S.J., Schigel, D., Vandegrift, K., Watts, M., Zaspel, J.M., Pawar, S.,
432 2019. MIREAD, a minimum information standard for reporting arthropod abundance
433 data. *Sci. Data* 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0042-5>
- 434 Springer, Y.P., Jarnevich, C.S., Monaghan, A.J., Eisen, R.J., Barnett, D.T., 2015. Modeling the
435 Present and Future Geographic Distribution of the Lone Star Tick, *Amblyomma
436 americanum* (Ixodida: Ixodidae), in the Continental United States. *Am. J. Trop. Med.
437 Hyg.* 93, 875–890. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0330>
- 438 Thomas, S.M., Beierkuhnlein, C., 2013. Predicting ectotherm disease vector spread—benefits
439 from multidisciplinary approaches and directions forward. *Naturwissenschaften* 100,
440 395–405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-013-1039-0>

441 Wimberly, M.C., Yabsley, M.J., Baer, A.D., Dugan, V.G., Davidson, W.R., 2008. Spatial
442 heterogeneity of climate and land-cover constraints on distributions of tick-borne
443 pathogens. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 17, 189–202. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00353.x)
444 [8238.2007.00353.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00353.x)

445

446

447 **Tables**

448 **Table 1.** List of final publications on *Amblyomma* ticks and rickettsial group pathogens featured
 449 in literature review.

Reference	Vector	Pathogen	Modeling Method	Location
(Acevedo-Gutierrez et al., 2018)	<i>A. cajennense</i>	N/A	ENFA, MaxEnt, GARP	Colombia
(Behravesh et al., 2016)	<i>A. americanum</i>	<i>Rickettsia</i> spp.	GAM, Splines, LR	USA
(Bermúdez et al., 2016)	<i>A. mixtum</i> , <i>A. ovale</i>	<i>R. rickettsia</i> , <i>R. amblyommii</i>	MaxEnt	Panama
(Cumming, 2000)	10+ species of <i>Amblyomma</i> ticks in mainland Africa	N/A	LR	Mainland Africa
(Cumming, 2002)	10+ species of <i>Amblyomma</i> ticks in	N/A	LR	Mainland Africa

	mainland Africa			
(Cumming and Van Vuuren, 2006)	10+ species of <i>Amblyomma</i> ticks	N/A	Multiple Regression	Global
(Estrada- Peña, 2003)	<i>A. hebraeum</i>	N/A	DOMAIN	South Africa
(Estrada- Peña et al., 2007)	<i>A. variegatum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt, Gower distance	Africa, projected to New World
(Estrada- Peña et al., 2008)	<i>A. hebraeum</i> , <i>A. variegatum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	Zimbabwe
(Estrada- Peña et al., 2014)	<i>A. mixtum</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>cajennense</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>tonelliae</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>sculptum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	Central and South America
(Illoldi- Rangel et al., 2012)	<i>A. cajennense</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	Mexico and USA (TX)
(William	<i>A. americanum</i>	N/A	LR,BRT,RF,MaxEnt,MARS	USA (FL)

H. Kessler et al., 2019)				
(William H Kessler et al., 2019)	<i>A. americanum</i>	N/A	LR	USA (FL)
(Lynen et al., 2007)	<i>A. variegatum</i> , <i>A. gemma</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>lepidum</i>	N/A	WofE, ENFA	Tanzania
(Manangan et al., 2007)	N/A	<i>E.</i> <i>chaffeensis</i>	LR	USA (MS)
(Norval et al., 1994)	<i>A. hebraeum</i> , <i>A. variegatum</i>	N/A	CLIMEX	Zimbabwe
(Oliveira et al., 2017)	<i>A. cajennense</i> , <i>A. sculptum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	Brazil
(Pascoe et al., 2019)	<i>A.</i> <i>americanum</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>maculatum</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>cajennense</i> , <i>A.</i> <i>mixtum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	USA (CA)
(Raghavan et al.,	<i>A. americanum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	USA (KS)

2016a)				
(Raghavan et al., 2016b)	N/A	<i>R. rickettsii</i>	BHM	USA (KS, MO, OK, AR)
(Raghavan et al., 2019)	<i>A. americanum</i>	N/A	MaxEnt	North America
(Reese et al., 2011)	<i>A. americanum</i>	N/A	LR	USA (MO)
(Springer et al., 2015)	<i>A. americanum</i>	N/A	BRT, GLM, MARS, MaxEnt, RF	USA
(Wimberly et al., 2008)	N/A	<i>E. chaffeensis</i>	GWR	South-central and Southeastern USA


450 BHM=Bayesian Hierarchical Model; BRT=Boosted Regression Trees; ENFA=Ecological Niche
 451 Factor Analysis; GARP=Genetic Algorithm for Rule-Set Production; GLM=Generalized Linear
 452 Model; GWR=Geographically Weighted Regression; LR=Linear Regression;
 453 MARS=Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines; RF=Random Forests
 454

455

456

457 **Figures**

458



459

460 **Figure 1.** PRISMA flow diagram outlining the literature search and screening process.