medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Effect of Polygenic Score on Alzheimer's Disease Risk Depends on Age and *APOE* Status

Brian Fulton-Howard[a], Alison M. Goate[a], Robert P. Adelson[b], Jeremy Koppel[b,c,d], Marc L. Gordon[b,d], Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium, Nir Barzilai[e], Gil Atzmon[e,f], Peter Davies[b,d], Yun Freudenberg-Hua[b,c,d,*]

a. Ronald M. Loeb Center for Alzheimer's disease, Department of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1 Gustave L. Levy Place, New York, New York 10029
b. Litwin-Zucker Center for Alzheimer's Disease, The Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, New York 11030
c. Division of Geriatric Psychiatry, Zucker Hillside Hospital, 75-59 263rd Street, Glen Oaks, New York 11004
d. Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, 500 Hofstra Boulevard, Hempstead, New York 11549
e. Institute for Aging Research, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, New York 10461
f. Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Haifa, Abba Hushi Boulevard, Mount Carmel, Haifa 3498838, Israel

*** Corresponding Author**: Yun Freudenberg-Hua, MD, Litwin Zucker Alzheimer's Research Center, the Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, 350 Community Drive, Manhasset, New York 11030, United States (yfreuden@northwell.edu)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Disclosure Statement

AMG has consulted for Eisai, Biogen, Pfizer, AbbVie, Cognition Therapeutics and GSK. She also served on the SAB at Denali Therapeutics from 2015-2018. YFH co-owns stock and stock options of Regeneron Pharmaceuticals. All other authors have no interests to declare.

Abstract

Polygenic risk scores (PRS) have the potential to serve as a low-cost, non-invasive screening method for Alzheimer's disease (AD). However, to what extent age and the Apolipoprotein E-ε4 (*APOE4*) risk allele influence the effect of PRS is underexplored. In a cohort of 346 superager controls (age ≥ 90 years), 2,930 controls (age 60-89) and 1,760 AD cases, we computed *APOE*-independent PRS for AD. When using superager controls, subjects with PRS in the top decile had nearly five times greater odds of having AD than subjects in the lowest decile (OR=4.91, $P=2.24\times10^{-6}$). In our cross-sectional cohort, PRS modifies the age of onset for AD among *APOE4* carriers, but not among non-carriers. Among *APOE4* carriers, PRS in the top decile was associated with a five years earlier AD onset than the lowest decile (70.0 vs 75.0 years; *t*-test $P=2.4\times10^{-5}$). These findings suggest that *APOE*-independent genetic risk disproportionally affects younger *APOE4* carriers, leading to earlier disease onset, while older controls carry less genetic risk.

Keywords

Alzheimer's disease; Polygenic risk score; Superager; Genetic risks; Risk interactions; *APOE*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) has an estimated heritability of 59-79%(Gatz et al., 2006) and a decades long pre-clinical stage, during which AD-specific pathological changes develop without clinical signs of cognitive decline (Bateman et al., 2012; Jack et al., 2010). Low-cost non-invasive testing would ideally identify at-risk individuals in the pre-clinical stage to enable preventive intervention. To this end, genetic risk factors have been proposed as useful predictors. *APOE* is a major risk gene for AD with dose-dependent risk effects. Compared to non-carriers of the *APOE*ε4 allele (*APOE4*), heterozygous carriers of *APOE4* have a three- to fourfold and homozygous carriers up to a 14-fold increased AD risk (Farrer et al., 1997; Genin et al., 2011). The *APOE*ε2 allele (*APOE*2) is protective (odds ratio, OR=0.6) (Bertram et al., 2008; Bickeböller et al., 1997; Corder et al., 1994; Genin et al., 2011; Kunkle et al., 2019a). The effects of these variants are also age-dependent (Rasmussen et al., 2018). In addition to the *APOE* gene, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and meta-analysis of GWAS have identified 39 risk loci in subjects of European ancestry (Jansen et al., 2019; Kunkle et al., 2019b; Lambert et al., 2013b; Marioni et al., 2018). The individual genetic risk can be aggregated into a polygenic risk score (PRS) by summing the number of risk alleles, each weighted by its effect size derived from independent GWAS summary statistics (Euesden et al., 2015; Khera et al., 2018; Purcell et al., 2009). PRS has been reported to be useful to identify individuals with high risk for several complex and common diseases. For example, subjects whose PRS for coronary artery disease (CAD) were in the top 8% of the distribution had a threefold increased risk for CAD (Khera et al., 2018).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

For AD, the predictive power of PRS derived from the GWAS meta-analysis (Lambert et al., 2013a) reached an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.78 in clinically defined AD when genetic risk factors were combined with demographic factors, and 0.84 in pathologically confirmed AD (Escott-Price et al., 2017; Escott-Price et al., 2015). The *APOE* gene confers most of the AD genetic risk. However, the predictive performance of PRS generally varies depending on the prevalence of the disease in a specific population (Gibson et al., 2019). Because the prevalence of AD increases with age (Brookmeyer et al., 2011; Mayeux and Stern, 2012), individuals who are ascertained as younger controls may develop dementia at an older age. In a prospective study of non-demented subjects in their 70s followed over 14 years, only 31% were still alive, of which 71% had dementia (Kuller et al., 2016). Furthermore, the prevalence of dementia increases from around 5% in individuals in their 70s to 37% among individuals aged 90 and older (Plassman et al., 2007).Thus, nonagenarians and centenarians who remain unaffected by dementia can be viewed as aging exceptionally well cognitively, i.e. “superagers”, but have not been systematically evaluated in studies of AD genetics.

Here, we investigate PRS in a sample that is enriched for subjects aged 90 years or older without dementia (90+; range 90-109 years) along with control subjects aged 89 or younger (89-; range 60-89 years) and AD cases at any age (range 60-99 years).

Given the known associations between age and AD risk as well as between *APOE* genotype and AD risk, we investigated the interactions between age, *APOE* and non-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

APOE genetic risk for AD. Specifically, we tested how the age of controls influences the proportion of AD phenotypic variance explained by non-*APOE* genetic risk. Because *APOE* affects both longevity (Sebastiani et al., 2019) and age at onset of AD (Olarte et al., 2006), we expected that both *APOE* genotype and age would influence the predictive power of non-*APOE* PRS. We hypothesized that the non-*APOE* PRS for AD contributes to longevity and is inversely correlated with age. We tested whether non-*APOE* PRS modifies the effect of the *APOE4* risk genotype.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

We selected 13 cohorts consisting of a total of 1,733 cases and 3,121 controls from the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC) that are independent from the 2013 International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) meta-analysis(Lambert et al., 2013b) (**Supplementary Table 1**; **Supplementary Methods**). Additionally, whole genome sequences of 182 subjects with Ashkenazi ancestry from the Litwin Zucker Alzheimer's Research Center at the Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research (LZ) were included. The sample ascertainment and assessment were described previously (Adelson et al., 2019; Freudenberg-Hua et al., 2016; Koppel et al., 2018) (**Supplementary Table 1**).

The enrollment of study participants in the LZ cohort was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Northwell Health as well as the Montefiore Medical Center and the Committee on Clinical Investigation at the Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects or their Legally Authorized Representatives prior to publication. The ADGC data and information regarding study approval at each contributing institution or organization have been previously published (Kunkle et al., 2019a). For the ADGC cohorts, written informed consent was obtained from study participants or, for those with substantial cognitive impairment, from a caregiver, legal guardian, or other proxy, and the study protocols for all populations were reviewed and approved by the appropriate IRBs at all institutions.

Age for ADGC samples is defined differently for AD cases and controls. For controls, age at death (AAD) is used when available (n=126), otherwise the age at last examination (AAE) is used (n=2,995). For cases, age at onset (AAO), as reported by the study, is used if available (n=1,574), otherwise imputed by subtracting 10 years from AAD if available (n=102). For the remaining cases, AAE is used (n = 57). For the LZ cases (n=27), age is reported as age at enrollment, and as AAE for controls (89-: n=35, 90+: n=120).

The total sample utilized in this study includes 1,760 AD cases (60-99 years), 2,930 89- controls (60-89 years) and 346 90+ controls.

2.2 Genotype Data Quality Control

Stringent sample quality control (QC) was performed on each cohort, removing samples with poor genotyping quality, subjects of non-European ancestry, and samples with discordant sex (**Supplementary Methods**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using PLINK 1.90 (Chang et al., 2015; Shaun Purcell) on linkage disequilibrium-pruned variants with minor allele frequency ≥5%. PCA was used to remove any samples more than six standard deviations from the mean eigenvector for any of the first ten principle components (PCs), using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 European superpopulation as a reference (Auton et al., 2015).

The demographics of the sample set following merging and QC are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. The distribution of *APOE* genotypes among cases and controls is described in **Supplementary Table 2**.

2.3 Polygenic Risk Score Estimation

A PRS is a sum of genetic variants, weighted by variant effect sizes for a given trait. The effect sizes are estimated from an independent GWAS summary statistics. PRS were calculated at various P-value thresholds (P_T) in the GWAS (**Supplementary Methods**). We estimated the best-fit PRS using two different control sets. One control set consisted of ADGC controls without age restriction, and the second control set consisted of controls with age ≥90 years from both ADGC and LZ. We separately optimized PRS using these two control sets (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the R language version 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2019). Linear or logistic regression models included covariates for sex and the top ten

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

PCs, as well as the variables of interest. All plots and statistical tests except for **Figure 1a** used Z-standardized PRS.

To compare PRS distributions across cases, 89- controls, and 90+ controls, we performed a one-way ANOVA and pairwise Student's *t*-tests. In order to ensure that observed differences were not due to ethnicity or sex, we tested the residuals of a linear model with sex and 10 PCs as predictors and PRS as the response variable.

We evaluated the association between PRS and AD status in two datasets: 1) all cases and ADGC controls; and 2) all cases and superager (90+) controls. To determine the effect of a one standard deviation SD increase in PRS, we performed logistic regressions with PRS as the predictor and AD status as the response (continuous model). To determine the difference in AD OR between the extremes of PRS, we selected the bottom and top deciles of PRS distribution and performed logistic regressions between PRS decile and AD status.

We investigated the relationship between *APOE4* genotype, age and PRS. We modeled the association between age, *APOE* genotype (dummied with *APOE3*/*3* as the contrast variable) and PRS using linear regression, with covariates for confounding variables as before. To determine if the effects were independent of AD status, we performed an additional linear regression controlling for AD status. We also tested for collinearity by calculating variance inflation factor (VIF) with the "car" package.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

To test the interaction between age and *APOE* genotype on PRS, we stratified by AD case-control status, categorized samples as *APOE4* carriers and non-carriers, and then tested for interactions. An *APOE4* carrier is defined as having *APOE3*/*4* or *4*/*4*, and a non-carrier as *APOE2*/*2*, *2*/*3* or *3*/*3* (excluding *2*/*4*). We first performed linear regressions separately in cases and controls, with *APOE4* carrier status and age as predictors and PRS as the response. We then performed a second linear regression stratified by AD status, adding the interaction between *APOE4* carrier status and age as a predictor.

Finally, to test for the effect of these interactions on case-control status, we performed logistic regressions for the relationship between AD case-control status, *APOE4* carrier status, age and PRS, with and without interactions. We also tested for collinearity by calculating VIF.

3. Results

Calculating PRS using the two control sets (all controls and 90+ controls; see **2.1, 2.3, 2.4,** and **Supplementary Methods**) resulted in identical optimal P-value thresholds for the most predictive PRS at $P_T = 1x10^{-5}$. The PRS at this P_T is based on 92 single nucleotide polymorphisms that are associated with AD at a genome-wide significant level or suggestive association level in the IGAP summary statistics (Lambert et al., 2013b). This PRS model explains 1.5% of the phenotypic variation (R^2) in our cohort using either control set, based on the Nagelkerke pseudo-R^2 estimate. This PRS was significantly associated with AD status when compared with the 90+ control-set (P=1.3 x

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

10^{-6}) as well as all ADGC controls (P=2.5 x 10^{-14}). Although both control-sets resulted in the same optimal P-value thresholds for PRS, the predictive performance of variants with weak association signals was reduced when 90+ controls were used (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

There was a significant difference in PRS between cases, 89- controls, and 90+ controls, both without controlling for covariates and after residualizing to control for age and PCs (ANOVA P<2x10^{-16}; F=38.9; residualized F=54.8) (**Figure 1a**). AD subjects had higher PRS compared to 89- controls (Bonferroni adj. post-hoc *t*-test P=3.6x10^{-14}; residualized P=1.2x10^{-5}) and the 89- controls had higher PRS than 90+ controls *(t*-test P=0.027; residualized P=4.4x10^{-16}). The difference between the PRS for 90+ controls and cases was also significant *(t*-test P = 2.8x10^{-10}; residualized P < 2x10^{-16}).

We assessed the effect of PRS on AD risk by separately comparing cases with all controls and with 90+ controls (**Figure 1b**). We calculated "Extremes ORs" between subjects in the bottom and top deciles of PRS, and "Continuous ORs" for a one standard deviation increase in PRS. Between the 90+ controls and AD cases, subjects having PRS in the top decile are nearly five times more likely to have AD than subjects with PRS in the lowest decile (Extremes OR=4.91, CI: 2.54-9.50, P=2.24x10^{-6}). The ORs were significant, but are less pronounced both for Continuous OR with 90+ controls (Continuous OR=1.56, CI: 1.30-1.87, P=1.46x10^{-6}) and when all controls are used (Extremes OR=2.50, CI: 1.88-3.32, P=2.54x10^{-10}; Continuous OR=1.30, CI: 1.20-1.41, P=1.02x10^{-10}). We evaluated whether AD PRS was more predictive when using

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. PRS Distribution and Effect Sizes in the AD Case and Control Groups. a, PRS violin plots comparing AD cases (case), controls aged 60-89 years (89-), and superager controls aged ≥90 (90+). Pairwise comparison Bonferroni P values: * significant ($P < 0.05$): 89- versus 90+, P=0.027; *** highly significant ($P < 0.001$): AD versus 89-, $P=3.6\times10^{-14}$; AD versus 90+, $P= 2.8\times10^{-10}$. b, PRS effects on AD risk, for PRS in top decile versus lowest decile. Abbreviations: AD: Alzheimer's disease; 90+ ctrl, only controls ≥90 years old were used in analysis; All ctrl: controls from ADGC at any age were used in analysis; CI: confidence interval; continuous OR: odds ratio for a one standard deviation increase in PRS; extremes OR: odds of a subject having AD with PRS in the top decile compared to odds of having AD with PRS in the lowest decile; OR: odds ratio; PRS: polygenic risk score. [print in color]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

90+ controls by calculating the AUC for the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. We found that PRS is marginally more predictive (P=0.07) when using 90+ controls (AUC=0.60; **Supplementary Figure 2**) than when using controls of all ages (AUC=0.57). Thus, PRS is not significantly more predictive of AD when using superager controls.

Given that superagers had particularly low AD PRS, we investigated the potential correlation of AD PRS with age (**Figure 2**). Age was negatively associated with PRS in the case-control design, even when AD status was accounted for (**Figure 2a**). In a linear regression controlling for sex, *APOE* and PCs, age was a significant predictor of PRS ($P=2.8x10^{-5}$, β=-0.007). This effect remained after accounting for case-control status ($P=1.6x10^{-4}$, β=-0.006). No *APOE* genotype was directly associated with PRS.

To investigate whether *APOE*4 carrier status modifies the correlation between PRS and age, we stratified the subjects into *APOE*4 carriers and non-carriers. We found that the correlation between PRS and age depended on both case-control status and *APOE* genotype (**Figure 2a**). Therefore, we investigated the interactions between *APOE4* carrier status and age on PRS separately in cases and controls (**Figure 2b-c**). We observed that the association between age and PRS depended on *APOE4* carrier status in AD cases, but not in controls.

In AD cases, age was weakly correlated with PRS (P=0.03, β=-0.007), and *APOE4* carrier status was not associated with PRS (P=0.55). However, when the interaction

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2: Regression plots visualizing interactions between PRS, *APOE* genotype, and age, in cases and controls.
a, Regression models for PRS and age among AD cases (red) and controls (blue). The shaded areas show the 95% confidence intervals. PRS in cases and controls is plotted against age in *APOE4* non-carriers and carriers. b, Age x *APOE* interaction in AD cases. c, Age x *APOE* interaction in controls, which is negatively associated with PRS for both *APOE4* carriers (purple) and non-carriers (green). Abbreviations: AD: Alzheimer's disease; *APOE4*: Apolipoprotein E-ε4 allele; PRS: polygenic risk score. [print in color]

between age and *APOE* ($P=5.9\times10^{-3}$, $\beta=-0.017$) was accounted for, *APOE* became a significant predictor of PRS ($P=7.5\times10^{-3}$, $\beta=1.3$), whereas age was no longer significant ($P=0.73$). Among AD cases who were *APOE4* carriers, those with PRS in the top decile had a significantly earlier age at onset (70.0 ± 6.4 years) than those with PRS in the lowest decile (75.0 ± 7.3 years; $P=2.4\times10^{-5}$). This difference in age at onset remained significant even after removing *APOE4/4* homozygous cases (71.1 vs 76.7 years;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

P=2.1x10^{-5}). This indicates that PRS was associated with age at onset in AD patients, but only in *APOE4* carriers (**Figure 2b**).

In controls, the negative correlation between age and PRS (P=0.02, β=-0.005) did not depend on *APOE4* carrier status (**Figure 2c**), and *APOE* was not associated with PRS (P=0.72). When accounting for interactions, the age and *APOE* associations remained unchanged, and there was no interaction between the two (P=0.81).

Due to the interaction in AD cases between *APOE* genotype and age that predicts PRS in cases, we tested whether the interactions between *APOE*, age and PRS predict AD status. Without modeling for interactions, age (P=4.5x10^{-6}, β=-0.003), *APOE4* carrier status (P<2.0x10^{-16}, β=0.29) and PRS (P=2.03x10^{-12}, β=0.045) were all predictors of AD status. When all interactions between these three predictors were included in the model, the main effects of age (P=0.93) and PRS (P=0.68) were no longer significant, indicating that the effects of age and PRS on AD status are dependent on *APOE*. *APOE4* carrier status remained a significant predictor (P<2.0x10^{-16}, β=1.16). The interaction between age and *APOE* showed a small but significant effect (P=4.15x10^{-12}, β=-0.012) on AD status, whereas the interaction between PRS and *APOE* (P=0.054, β=0.228) and the three-way interaction between age, PRS and *APOE* (P=0.07, β=-0.003) were suggestive but did not significantly predict AD status, further supporting that the effect of PRS and age depend on *APOE*.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Discussion

We show that non-*APOE* PRS is inversely correlated with dementia-free survival. Among AD cases who are *APOE4* carriers, a higher PRS is associated with a younger age of AD onset. Among AD cases with *APOE4*, those with PRS in the top decile had, on average, a disease onset five years earlier than those with PRS in the lowest decile. In contrast, no correlation between PRS and age-of-onset was observed among *APOE4* non-carriers. This suggests that high PRS is particularly detrimental in *APOE4* carriers, and conversely lower PRS delays dementia onset. In controls, the negative correlation between age and PRS is independent of *APOE4* carrier status. Thus, superager controls are depleted of AD risk variants and, as a result, are protected from dementia at higher age.

When comparing extremes in the PRS distribution, the individuals with the top 10% of PRS are nearly five times more likely to develop AD than those in the bottom 10% when superager controls are used. This effect size is comparable to the reported findings in CAD (Khera et al., 2018). This indicates that many younger unaffected controls will develop AD later in life, which is consistent with the long pre-clinical stage of 20-25 years during which a subject does not display dementia symptoms (Bateman et al., 2012). Our observation is consistent with the higher effect sizes of GWAS risk alleles reported when superagers are used as controls (Tesi et al., 2019). The lifetime risk of AD for healthy females and males at 75 years old is estimated to be 26.2% and 19.9%, respectively (Brookmeyer et al., 2018). As the majority of controls enrolled in AD genetic studies are in their 70s and 80s, a considerable proportion of them will convert to AD at

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

a later age unless they die from other causes. These cryptic preclinical AD cases reduce the power of case-control studies. Thus, increasing the number of superagers in case-control studies for AD may increase the power to detect additional risk variants as well as protective variants.

In this study, employing superagers did not significantly improve predictive power of PRS compared to standard controls. A possible explanation might be the inclusion of the *APOE* genotypes as covariates, which have been shown to be associated with longevity, thus reducing the power of using superagers. Escott-Price et al (Escott-Price et al., 2017) showed that PRS had higher predictive value than in our data, reaching an AUC of 0.74. This is likely due to the fact that *APOE* genotype along with age and sex were included in their models. In contrast we see that non-*APOE* common genetic risks explain very little of AD risk using current models even when superager controls are used. Thus, non-*APOE* AD PRS is not useful as a stand-alone test to predict individual risk of future disease. However, the strong interaction between PRS and *APOE4* carrier status in AD cases suggests a greater impact of non-*APOE* genetic risks in younger *APOE4* carriers. This has implications for the design of future association studies. Enrolling younger *APOE4* carrier cases and older controls, regardless of *APOE* status, would yield optimal power for signal detection. However, for *APOE4* negative cases enrollment does not require age consideration.

In addition to genetic factors, novel non-genetic protective factors may best be found among healthy elderly *APOE4* carriers with high PRS based on known GWAS risk loci,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

whereas novel risk factors may best be found among AD patients with both low PRS and no *APOE4* allele.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we show that *APOE*-independent polygenic risk is negatively correlated with dementia-free aging and that there is a complex interaction between *APOE*, age and PRS. Higher polygenic risk is especially detrimental for people carrying the *APOE4* risk allele. Future studies are necessary to evaluate whether interactions between PRS, *APOE* and other AD risk factors, such as health data and biomarkers, can improve AD risk prediction.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging (NIH-NIA) (ADGC and grant numbers U01 AG032984 and RC2 AG036528). Samples from the National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD), which receives government support under a cooperative agreement awarded by the NIH-NIA (grant number U24 AG21886), were used in this study. The NACC database is funded by the NIH-NIA (grant number U01 AG016976). Data for this study were prepared, archived, and distributed by the National Institute on Aging Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Site (NIAGADS) at the University of Pennsylvania (grant number U24-AG041689-01). In addition, this work was funded by the NIH (grant numbers U01AG052411, U01AG058635, K08AG054727, R01 AG618381, and R01 AG046949), and the Einstein Nathan Shock Center (grant number P30 AG038072). Additional

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

support was provided by the Mildred and Frank Feinberg Family Foundation, the Advancing Women in Science and Medicine Foundation, and the JPB Foundation.

The authors would like to thank the contributors who collected samples used in this study during a period of 30 years, as well as patients and their families, whose help and participation made this work possible. A list of ADGC members and their affiliations are included in the **Appendix** within the **Supplementary Data**.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Adelson, R.P., Renton, A.E., Li, W., Barzilai, N., Atzmon, G., Goate, A.M., Davies, P., Freudenberg-Hua, Y., 2019. Empirical design of a variant quality control pipeline for whole genome sequencing data using replicate discordance. Sci Rep 9(1), 16156.
Auton, A., Brooks, L.D., Durbin, R.M., Garrison, E.P., Kang, H.M., Korbel, J.O., Marchini, J.L., McCarthy, S., McVean, G.A., Abecasis, G.R., Consortium, G.P., 2015. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 526(7571), 68-74.
Bateman, R.J., Xiong, C., Benzinger, T.L., Fagan, A.M., Goate, A., Fox, N.C., Marcus, D.S., Cairns, N.J., Xie, X., Blazey, T.M., Holtzman, D.M., Santacruz, A., Buckles, V., Oliver, A., Moulder, K., Aisen, P.S., Ghetti, B., Klunk, W.E., McDade, E., Martins, R.N., Masters, C.L., Mayeux, R., Ringman, J.M., Rossor, M.N., Schofield, P.R., Sperling, R.A., Salloway, S., Morris, J.C., Network, D.I.A., 2012. Clinical and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 367(9), 795-804.
Bertram, L., Lange, C., Mullin, K., Parkinson, M., Hsiao, M., Hogan, M.F., Schjeide, B.M., Hooli, B., Divito, J., Ionita, I., Jiang, H., Laird, N., Moscarillo, T., Ohlsen, K.L., Elliott, K., Wang, X., Hu-Lince, D., Ryder, M., Murphy, A., Wagner, S.L., Blacker, D., Becker, K.D., Tanzi, R.E., 2008. Genome-wide association analysis reveals putative Alzheimer's disease susceptibility loci in addition to APOE. Am J Hum Genet 83(5), 623-632.
Bickeböller, H., Campion, D., Brice, A., Amouyel, P., Hannequin, D., Didierjean, O., Penet, C., Martin, C., Pérez-Tur, J., Michon, A., Dubois, B., Ledoze, F., Thomas-Anterion, C., Pasquier, F., Puel, M., Demonet, J.F., Moreaud, O., Babron, M.C., Meulien, D., Guez, D., Chartier-Harlin, M.C., Frebourg, T., Agid, Y., Martinez, M., Clerget-Darpoux, F., 1997. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: genotype-specific risks by age and sex. Am J Hum Genet 60(2), 439-446.
Brookmeyer, R., Abdalla, N., Kawas, C.H., Corrada, M.M., 2018. Forecasting the prevalence of preclinical and clinical Alzheimer's disease in the United States. Alzheimers Dement 14(2), 121-129.
Brookmeyer, R., Evans, D.A., Hebert, L., Langa, K.M., Heeringa, S.G., Plassman, B.L., Kukull, W.A., 2011. National estimates of the prevalence of Alzheimer's disease in the United States. Alzheimers Dement 7(1), 61-73.
Chang, C.C., Chow, C.C., Tellier, L.C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S.M., Lee, J.J., 2015. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience 4, 7.
Corder, E.H., Saunders, A.M., Risch, N.J., Strittmatter, W.J., Schmechel, D.E., Gaskell, P.C., Rimmler, J.B., Locke, P.A., Conneally, P.M., Schmader, K.E., 1994. Protective effect of apolipoprotein E type 2 allele for late onset Alzheimer disease. Nat Genet 7(2), 180-184.
Escott-Price, V., Myers, A.J., Huentelman, M., Hardy, J., 2017. Polygenic risk score analysis of pathologically confirmed Alzheimer disease. Ann Neurol 82(2), 311-314.
Escott-Price, V., Sims, R., Bannister, C., Harold, D., Vronskaya, M., Majounie, E., Badarinarayan, N., Morgan, K., Passmore, P., Holmes, C., Powell, J., Brayne, C., Gill, M., Mead, S., Goate, A., Cruchaga, C., Lambert, J.C., van Duijn, C., Maier, W., Ramirez, A., Holmans, P., Jones, L., Hardy, J., Seshadri, S., Schellenberg, G.D., Amouyel, P., Williams, J., GERAD/PERADES, consortia, I., 2015. Common polygenic variation enhances risk prediction for Alzheimer's disease. Brain 138(Pt 12), 3673-3684.
Euesden, J., Lewis, C.M., O'Reilly, P.F., 2015. PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score software. Bioinformatics 31(9), 1466-1468.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Farrer, L.A., Cupples, L.A., Haines, J.L., Hyman, B., Kukull, W.A., Mayeux, R., Myers, R.H., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Risch, N., van Duijn, C.M., 1997. Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. JAMA 278(16), 1349-1356.
Freudenberg-Hua, Y., Li, W., Abhyankar, A., Vacic, V., Cortes, V., Ben-Avraham, D., Koppel, J., Greenwald, B., Germer, S., Darnell, R.B., Barzilai, N., Freudenberg, J., Atzmon, G., Davies, P., T2D-GENES Consortium, 2016. Differential burden of rare protein truncating variants in Alzheimer's disease patients compared to centenarians. Hum Mol Genet 25(14), 3096-3105.
Gatz, M., Reynolds, C.A., Fratiglioni, L., Johansson, B., Mortimer, J.A., Berg, S., Fiske, A., Pedersen, N.L., 2006. Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. Arch Gen Psychiatry 63(2), 168-174.
Genin, E., Hannequin, D., Wallon, D., Sleegers, K., Hiltunen, M., Combarros, O., Bullido, M.J., Engelborghs, S., De Deyn, P., Berr, C., Pasquier, F., Dubois, B., Tognoni, G., Fievet, N., Brouwers, N., Bettens, K., Arosio, B., Coto, E., Del Zompo, M., Mateo, I., Epelbaum, J., Frank-Garcia, A., Helisalmi, S., Porcellini, E., Pilotto, A., Forti, P., Ferri, R., Scarpini, E., Siciliano, G., Solfrizzi, V., Sorbi, S., Spalletta, G., Valdivieso, F., Vepsalainen, S., Alvarez, V., Bosco, P., Mancuso, M., Panza, F., Nacmias, B., Bossu, P., Hanon, O., Piccardi, P., Annoni, G., Seripa, D., Galimberti, D., Licastro, F., Soininen, H., Dartigues, J.F., Kamboh, M.I., Van Broeckhoven, C., Lambert, J.C., Amouyel, P., Campion, D., 2011. APOE and Alzheimer disease: a major gene with semi-dominant inheritance. Mol Psychiatry 16(9), 903-907.
Gibson, J., Russ, T.C., Clarke, T.K., Howard, D.M., Hillary, R.F., Evans, K.L., Walker, R.M., Bermingham, M.L., Morris, S.W., Campbell, A., Hayward, C., Murray, A.D., Porteous, D.J., Horvath, S., Lu, A.T., McIntosh, A.M., Whalley, H.C., Marioni, R.E., 2019. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of epigenetic age acceleration. PLoS Genet 15(11), e1008104.
Jack, C.R., Knopman, D.S., Jagust, W.J., Shaw, L.M., Aisen, P.S., Weiner, M.W., Petersen, R.C., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2010. Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. Lancet Neurol 9(1), 119-128.
Jansen, I.E., Savage, J.E., Watanabe, K., Bryois, J., Williams, D.M., Steinberg, S., Sealock, J., Karlsson, I.K., Hägg, S., Athanasiu, L., Voyle, N., Proitsi, P., Witoelar, A., Stringer, S., Aarsland, D., Almdahl, I.S., Andersen, F., Bergh, S., Bettella, F., Bjornsson, S., Brækhus, A., Bråthen, G., de Leeuw, C., Desikan, R.S., Djurovic, S., Dumitrescu, L., Fladby, T., Hohman, T.J., Jonsson, P.V., Kiddle, S.J., Rongve, A., Saltvedt, I., Sando, S.B., Selbæk, G., Shoai, M., Skene, N.G., Snaedal, J., Stordal, E., Ulstein, I.D., Wang, Y., White, L.R., Hardy, J., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Sullivan, P.F., van der Flier, W.M., Dobson, R., Davis, L.K., Stefansson, H., Stefansson, K., Pedersen, N.L., Ripke, S., Andreassen, O.A., Posthuma, D., 2019. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new loci and functional pathways influencing Alzheimer's disease risk. Nat Genet 51(3), 404-413.
Khera, A.V., Chaffin, M., Aragam, K.G., Haas, M.E., Roselli, C., Choi, S.H., Natarajan, P., Lander, E.S., Lubitz, S.A., Ellinor, P.T., Kathiresan, S., 2018. Genome-wide polygenic scores for common diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic mutations. Nat Genet 50(9), 1219-1224.
Koppel, J., Sousa, A., Gordon, M.L., Giliberto, L., Christen, E., Davies, P., 2018. Association Between Psychosis in Elderly Patients With Alzheimer Disease and Impaired Social Cognition. JAMA Psychiatry 75(6), 652-653.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Kuller, L.H., Lopez, O.L., Becker, J.T., Chang, Y., Newman, A.B., 2016. Risk of dementia and death in the long-term follow-up of the Pittsburgh Cardiovascular Health Study-Cognition Study. Alzheimers Dement 12(2), 170-183.
Kunkle, B.W., Grenier-Boley, B., Sims, R., Bis, J.C., Damotte, V., Naj, A.C., Boland, A., Vronskaya, M., van der Lee, S.J., Amlie-Wolf, A., Bellenguez, C., Frizatti, A., Chouraki, V., Martin, E.R., Sleegers, K., Badarinarayan, N., Jakobsdottir, J., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Moreno-Grau, S., Olaso, R., Raybould, R., Chen, Y., Kuzma, A.B., Hiltunen, M., Morgan, T., Ahmad, S., Vardarajan, B.N., Epelbaum, J., Hoffmann, P., Boada, M., Beecham, G.W., Garnier, J.G., Harold, D., Fitzpatrick, A.L., Valladares, O., Moutet, M.L., Gerrish, A., Smith, A.V., Qu, L., Bacq, D., Denning, N., Jian, X., Zhao, Y., Del Zompo, M., Fox, N.C., Choi, S.H., Mateo, I., Hughes, J.T., Adams, H.H., Malamon, J., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Patel, Y., Brody, J.A., Dombroski, B.A., Naranjo, M.C.D., Daniilidou, M., Eiriksdottir, G., Mukherjee, S., Wallon, D., Uphill, J., Aspelund, T., Cantwell, L.B., Garzia, F., Galimberti, D., Hofer, E., Butkiewicz, M., Fin, B., Scarpini, E., Sarnowski, C., Bush, W.S., Meslage, S., Kornhuber, J., White, C.C., Song, Y., Barber, R.C., Engelborghs, S., Sordon, S., Voijnovic, D., Adams, P.M., Vandenberghe, R., Mayhaus, M., Cupples, L.A., Albert, M.S., De Deyn, P.P., Gu, W., Himali, J.J., Beekly, D., Squassina, A., Hartmann, A.M., Orellana, A., Blacker, D., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, E., Lovestone, S., Garcia, M.E., Doody, R.S., Munoz-Fernadez, C., Sussams, R., Lin, H., Fairchild, T.J., Benito, Y.A., Holmes, C., Karamujić-Čomić, H., Frosch, M.P., Thonberg, H., Maier, W., Roshchupkin, G., Ghetti, B., Giedraitis, V., Kawalia, A., Li, S., Huebinger, R.M., Kilander, L., Moebus, S., Hernández, I., Kamboh, M.I., Brundin, R., Turton, J., Yang, Q., Katz, M.J., Concari, L., Lord, J., Beiser, A.S., Keene, C.D., Helisalmi, S., Kloszewska, I., Kukull, W.A., Koivisto, A.M., Lynch, A., Tarraga, L., Larson, E.B., Haapasalo, A., Lawlor, B., Mosley, T.H., Lipton, R.B., Solfrizzi, V., Gill, M., Longstreth, W.T., Montine, T.J., Frisardi, V., Diez-Fairen, M., Rivadeneira, F., Petersen, R.C., Deramecourt, V., Alvarez, I., Salani, F., Ciaramella, A., Boerwinkle, E., Reiman, E.M., Fievet, N., Rotter, J.I., Reisch, J.S., Hanon, O., Cupidi, C., Andre Uitterlinden, A.G., Royall, D.R., Dufouil, C., Maletta, R.G., de Rojas, I., Sano, M., Brice, A., Cecchetti, R., George-Hyslop, P.S., Ritchie, K., Tsolaki, M., Tsuang, D.W., Dubois, B., Craig, D., Wu, C.K., Soininen, H., Avramidou, D., Albin, R.L., Fratiglioni, L., Germanou, A., Apostolova, L.G., Keller, L., Koutroumani, M., Arnold, S.E., Panza, F., Gkatzima, O., Asthana, S., Hannequin, D., Whitehead, P., Atwood, C.S., Caffarra, P., Hampel, H., Quintela, I., Carracedo, Á., Lannfelt, L., Rubinsztein, D.C., Barnes, L.L., Pasquier, F., Frölich, L., Barral, S., McGuinness, B., Beach, T.G., Johnston, J.A., Becker, J.T., Passmore, P., Bigio, E.H., Schott, J.M., Bird, T.D., Warren, J.D., Boeve, B.F., Lupton, M.K., Bowen, J.D., Proitsi, P., Boxer, A., Powell, J.F., Burke, J.R., Kauwe, J.S.K., Burns, J.M., Mancuso, M., Buxbaum, J.D., Bonuccelli, U., Cairns, N.J., McQuillin, A., Cao, C., Livingston, G., Carlson, C.S., Bass, N.J., Carlsson, C.M., Hardy, J., Carney, R.M., Bras, J., Carrasquillo, M.M., Guerreiro, R., Allen, M., Chui, H.C., Fisher, E., Masullo, C., Crocco, E.A., DeCarli, C., Bisceglio, G., Dick, M., Ma, L., Duara, R., Graff-Radford, N.R., Evans, D.A., Hodges, A., Faber, K.M., Scherer, M., Fallon, K.B., Riemenschneider, M., Fardo, D.W., Heun, R., Farlow, M.R., Kölsch, H., Ferris, S., Leber, M., Foroud, T.M., Heuser, I., Galasko, D.R., Giegling, I., Gearing, M., Hüll, M., Geschwind, D.H., Gilbert, J.R., Morris, J., Green, R.C., Mayo, K., Growdon, J.H., Feulner, T., Hamilton, R.L., Harrell, L.E., Drichel, D., Honig, L.S., Cushion, T.D., Huentelman, M.J., Hollingworth, P., Hulette, C.M., Hyman, B.T., Marshall, R., Jarvik, G.P., Meggy, A., Abner, E., Menzies, G.E., Jin, L.W., Leonenko, G., Real, L.M., Jun, G.R., Baldwin, C.T., Grozeva, D., Karydas, A., Russo, G., Kaye, J.A., Kim, R., Jessen, F., Kowall, N.W., Vellas, B., Kramer, J.H., Vardy, E., LaFerla,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

F.M., Jöckel, K.H., Lah, J.J., Dichgans, M., Leverenz, J.B., Mann, D., Levey, A.I., Pickering-Brown, S., Lieberman, A.P., Klopp, N., Lunetta, K.L., Wichmann, H.E., Lyketsos, C.G., Morgan, K., Marson, D.C., Brown, K., Martiniuk, F., Medway, C., Mash, D.C., Nöthen, M.M., Masliah, E., Hooper, N.M., McCormick, W.C., Daniele, A., McCurry, S.M., Bayer, A., McDavid, A.N., Gallacher, J., McKee, A.C., van den Bussche, H., Mesulam, M., Brayne, C., Miller, B.L., Riedel-Heller, S., Miller, C.A., Miller, J.W., Al-Chalabi, A., Morris, J.C., Shaw, C.E., Myers, A.J., Wiltfang, J., O'Bryant, S., Olichney, J.M., Alvarez, V., Parisi, J.E., Singleton, A.B., Paulson, H.L., Collinge, J., Perry, W.R., Mead, S., Peskind, E., Cribbs, D.H., Rossor, M., Pierce, A., Ryan, N.S., Poon, W.W., Nacmias, B., Potter, H., Sorbi, S., Quinn, J.F., Sacchinelli, E., Raj, A., Spalletta, G., Raskind, M., Caltagirone, C., Bossù, P., Orfei, M.D., Reisberg, B., Clarke, R., Reitz, C., Smith, A.D., Ringman, J.M., Warden, D., Roberson, E.D., Wilcock, G., Rogaeva, E., Bruni, A.C., Rosen, H.J., Gallo, M., Rosenberg, R.N., Ben-Shlomo, Y., Sager, M.A., Mecocci, P., Saykin, A.J., Pastor, P., Cuccaro, M.L., Vance, J.M., Schneider, J.A., Schneider, L.S., Slifer, S., Seeley, W.W., Smith, A.G., Sonnen, J.A., Spina, S., Stern, R.A., Swerdlow, R.H., Tang, M., Tanzi, R.E., Trojanowski, J.Q., Troncoso, J.C., Van Deerlin, V.M., Van Eldik, L.J., Vinters, H.V., Vonsattel, J.P., Weintraub, S., Welsh-Bohmer, K.A., Wilhelmsen, K.C., Williamson, J., Wingo, T.S., Woltjer, R.L., Wright, C.B., Yu, C.E., Yu, L., Saba, Y., Pilotto, A., Bullido, M.J., Peters, O., Crane, P.K., Bennett, D., Bosco, P., Coto, E., Boccardi, V., De Jager, P.L., Lleo, A., Warner, N., Lopez, O.L., Ingelsson, M., Deloukas, P., Cruchaga, C., Graff, C., Gwilliam, R., Fornage, M., Goate, A.M., Sanchez-Juan, P., Kehoe, P.G., Amin, N., Ertekin-Taner, N., Berr, C., Debette, S., Love, S., Launer, L.J., Younkin, S.G., Dartigues, J.F., Corcoran, C., Ikram, M.A., Dickson, D.W., Nicolas, G., Campion, D., Tschanz, J., Schmidt, H., Hakonarson, H., Clarimon, J., Munger, R., Schmidt, R., Farrer, L.A., Van Broeckhoven, C., C O'Donovan, M., DeStefano, A.L., Jones, L., Haines, J.L., Deleuze, J.F., Owen, M.J., Gudnason, V., Mayeux, R., Escott-Price, V., Psaty, B.M., Ramirez, A., Wang, L.S., Ruiz, A., van Duijn, C.M., Holmans, P.A., Seshadri, S., Williams, J., Amouyel, P., Schellenberg, G.D., Lambert, J.C., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Alzheimer Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC), European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (EADI), Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology Consortium (CHARGE), Genetic and Environmental Risk in AD/Defining Genetic, P.l.a.E.R.f.A.s.D.C.G.P., 2019a. Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. Nat Genet 51(3), 414-430.

Kunkle, B.W., Grenier-Boley, B., Sims, R., Bis, J.C., Damotte, V., Naj, A.C., Boland, A., Vronskaya, M., van der Lee, S.J., Amlie-Wolf, A., Bellenguez, C., Frizatti, A., Chouraki, V., Martin, E.R., Sleegers, K., Badarinarayan, N., Jakobsdottir, J., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Moreno-Grau, S., Olaso, R., Raybould, R., Chen, Y., Kuzma, A.B., Hiltunen, M., Morgan, T., Ahmad, S., Vardarajan, B.N., Epelbaum, J., Hoffmann, P., Boada, M., Beecham, G.W., Garnier, J.G., Harold, D., Fitzpatrick, A.L., Valladares, O., Moutet, M.L., Gerrish, A., Smith, A.V., Qu, L., Bacq, D., Denning, N., Jian, X., Zhao, Y., Del Zompo, M., Fox, N.C., Choi, S.H., Mateo, I., Hughes, J.T., Adams, H.H., Malamon, J., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Patel, Y., Brody, J.A., Dombroski, B.A., Naranjo, M.C.D., Daniilidou, M., Eiriksdottir, G., Mukherjee, S., Wallon, D., Uphill, J., Aspelund, T., Cantwell, L.B., Garzia, F., Galimberti, D., Hofer, E., Butkiewicz, M., Fin, B., Scarpini, E., Sarnowski, C., Bush, W.S., Meslage, S., Kornhuber, J., White, C.C., Song, Y., Barber, R.C., Engelborghs, S., Sordon, S., Voijnovic, D., Adams, P.M., Vandenberghe, R., Mayhaus, M., Cupples, L.A., Albert, M.S., De Deyn, P.P., Gu, W., Himali, J.J., Beekly, D., Squassina, A., Hartmann, A.M., Orellana, A., Blacker, D., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, E., Lovestone,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

S., Garcia, M.E., Doody, R.S., Munoz-Fernadez, C., Sussams, R., Lin, H., Fairchild, T.J., Benito, Y.A., Holmes, C., Karamujić-Čomić, H., Frosch, M.P., Thonberg, H., Maier, W., Roshchupkin, G., Ghetti, B., Giedraitis, V., Kawalia, A., Li, S., Huebinger, R.M., Kilander, L., Moebus, S., Hernández, I., Kamboh, M.I., Brundin, R., Turton, J., Yang, Q., Katz, M.J., Concari, L., Lord, J., Beiser, A.S., Keene, C.D., Helisalmi, S., Kloszewska, I., Kukull, W.A., Koivisto, A.M., Lynch, A., Tarraga, L., Larson, E.B., Haapasalo, A., Lawlor, B., Mosley, T.H., Lipton, R.B., Solfrizzi, V., Gill, M., Longstreth, W.T., Montine, T.J., Frisardi, V., Diez-Fairen, M., Rivadeneira, F., Petersen, R.C., Deramecourt, V., Alvarez, I., Salani, F., Ciaramella, A., Boerwinkle, E., Reiman, E.M., Fievet, N., Rotter, J.I., Reisch, J.S., Hanon, O., Cupidi, C., Andre Uitterlinden, A.G., Royall, D.R., Dufouil, C., Maletta, R.G., de Rojas, I., Sano, M., Brice, A., Cecchetti, R., George-Hyslop, P.S., Ritchie, K., Tsolaki, M., Tsuang, D.W., Dubois, B., Craig, D., Wu, C.K., Soininen, H., Avramidou, D., Albin, R.L., Fratiglioni, L., Germanou, A., Apostolova, L.G., Keller, L., Koutroumani, M., Arnold, S.E., Panza, F., Gkatzima, O., Asthana, S., Hannequin, D., Whitehead, P., Atwood, C.S., Caffarra, P., Hampel, H., Quintela, I., Carracedo, Á., Lannfelt, L., Rubinsztein, D.C., Barnes, L.L., Pasquier, F., Frölich, L., Barral, S., McGuinness, B., Beach, T.G., Johnston, J.A., Becker, J.T., Passmore, P., Bigio, E.H., Schott, J.M., Bird, T.D., Warren, J.D., Boeve, B.F., Lupton, M.K., Bowen, J.D., Proitsi, P., Boxer, A., Powell, J.F., Burke, J.R., Kauwe, J.S.K., Burns, J.M., Mancuso, M., Buxbaum, J.D., Bonuccelli, U., Cairns, N.J., McQuillin, A., Cao, C., Livingston, G., Carlson, C.S., Bass, N.J., Carlsson, C.M., Hardy, J., Carney, R.M., Bras, J., Carrasquillo, M.M., Guerreiro, R., Allen, M., Chui, H.C., Fisher, E., Masullo, C., Crocco, E.A., DeCarli, C., Bisceglio, G., Dick, M., Ma, L., Duara, R., Graff-Radford, N.R., Evans, D.A., Hodges, A., Faber, K.M., Scherer, M., Fallon, K.B., Riemenschneider, M., Fardo, D.W., Heun, R., Farlow, M.R., Kölsch, H., Ferris, S., Leber, M., Foroud, T.M., Heuser, I., Galasko, D.R., Giegling, I., Gearing, M., Hüll, M., Geschwind, D.H., Gilbert, J.R., Morris, J., Green, R.C., Mayo, K., Growdon, J.H., Feulner, T., Hamilton, R.L., Harrell, L.E., Drichel, D., Honig, L.S., Cushion, T.D., Huentelman, M.J., Hollingworth, P., Hulette, C.M., Hyman, B.T., Marshall, R., Jarvik, G.P., Meggy, A., Abner, E., Menzies, G.E., Jin, L.W., Leonenko, G., Real, L.M., Jun, G.R., Baldwin, C.T., Grozeva, D., Karydas, A., Russo, G., Kaye, J.A., Kim, R., Jessen, F., Kowall, N.W., Vellas, B., Kramer, J.H., Vardy, E., LaFerla, F.M., Jöckel, K.H., Lah, J.J., Dichgans, M., Leverenz, J.B., Mann, D., Levey, A.I., Pickering-Brown, S., Lieberman, A.P., Klopp, N., Lunetta, K.L., Wichmann, H.E., Lyketsos, C.G., Morgan, K., Marson, D.C., Brown, K., Martiniuk, F., Medway, C., Mash, D.C., Nöthen, M.M., Masliah, E., Hooper, N.M., McCormick, W.C., Daniele, A., McCurry, S.M., Bayer, A., McDavid, A.N., Gallacher, J., McKee, A.C., van den Bussche, H., Mesulam, M., Brayne, C., Miller, B.L., Riedel-Heller, S., Miller, C.A., Miller, J.W., Al-Chalabi, A., Morris, J.C., Shaw, C.E., Myers, A.J., Wiltfang, J., O'Bryant, S., Olichney, J.M., Alvarez, V., Parisi, J.E., Singleton, A.B., Paulson, H.L., Collinge, J., Perry, W.R., Mead, S., Peskind, E., Cribbs, D.H., Rossor, M., Pierce, A., Ryan, N.S., Poon, W.W., Nacmias, B., Potter, H., Sorbi, S., Quinn, J.F., Sacchinelli, E., Raj, A., Spalletta, G., Raskind, M., Caltagirone, C., Bossù, P., Orfei, M.D., Reisberg, B., Clarke, R., Reitz, C., Smith, A.D., Ringman, J.M., Warden, D., Roberson, E.D., Wilcock, G., Rogaeva, E., Bruni, A.C., Rosen, H.J., Gallo, M., Rosenberg, R.N., Ben-Shlomo, Y., Sager, M.A., Mecocci, P., Saykin, A.J., Pastor, P., Cuccaro, M.L., Vance, J.M., Schneider, J.A., Schneider, L.S., Slifer, S., Seeley, W.W., Smith, A.G., Sonnen, J.A., Spina, S., Stern, R.A., Swerdlow, R.H., Tang, M., Tanzi, R.E., Trojanowski, J.Q., Troncoso, J.C., Van Deerlin, V.M., Van Eldik, L.J., Vinters, H.V., Vonsattel, J.P., Weintraub, S., Welsh-Bohmer, K.A., Wilhelmsen, K.C., Williamson, J., Wingo, T.S., Woltjer, R.L., Wright, C.B., Yu, C.E., Yu, L., Saba, Y.,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Pilotto, A., Bullido, M.J., Peters, O., Crane, P.K., Bennett, D., Bosco, P., Coto, E., Boccardi, V., De Jager, P.L., Lleo, A., Warner, N., Lopez, O.L., Ingelsson, M., Deloukas, P., Cruchaga, C., Graff, C., Gwilliam, R., Fornage, M., Goate, A.M., Sanchez-Juan, P., Kehoe, P.G., Amin, N., Ertekin-Taner, N., Berr, C., Debette, S., Love, S., Launer, L.J., Younkin, S.G., Dartigues, J.F., Corcoran, C., Ikram, M.A., Dickson, D.W., Nicolas, G., Campion, D., Tschanz, J., Schmidt, H., Hakonarson, H., Clarimon, J., Munger, R., Schmidt, R., Farrer, L.A., Van Broeckhoven, C., C O'Donovan, M., DeStefano, A.L., Jones, L., Haines, J.L., Deleuze, J.F., Owen, M.J., Gudnason, V., Mayeux, R., Escott-Price, V., Psaty, B.M., Ramirez, A., Wang, L.S., Ruiz, A., van Duijn, C.M., Holmans, P.A., Seshadri, S., Williams, J., Amouyel, P., Schellenberg, G.D., Lambert, J.C., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Consortium, A.D.G., Initiative, E.A.s.D., Heart, C.f., Consortium, A.R.i.G.E., Genetic, Environmental Risk in AD/Defining Genetic, P.l., Consortium, E.R.f.A.s.D., 2019b. Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. Nat Genet 51(3), 414-430.

Lambert, J.C., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C.A., Harold, D., Naj, A.C., Sims, R., Bellenguez, C., DeStafano, A.L., Bis, J.C., Beecham, G.W., Grenier-Boley, B., Russo, G., Thorton-Wells, T.A., Jones, N., Smith, A.V., Chouraki, V., Thomas, C., Ikram, M.A., Zelenika, D., Vardarajan, B.N., Kamatani, Y., Lin, C.F., Gerrish, A., Schmidt, H., Kunkle, B., Dunstan, M.L., Ruiz, A., Bihoreau, M.T., Choi, S.H., Reitz, C., Pasquier, F., Cruchaga, C., Craig, D., Amin, N., Berr, C., Lopez, O.L., De Jager, P.L., Deramecourt, V., Johnston, J.A., Evans, D., Lovestone, S., Letenneur, L., Moron, F.J., Rubinsztein, D.C., Eiriksdottir, G., Sleegers, K., Goate, A.M., Fievet, N., Huentelman, M.W., Gill, M., Brown, K., Kamboh, M.I., Keller, L., Barberger-Gateau, P., McGuiness, B., Larson, E.B., Green, R., Myers, A.J., Dufouil, C., Todd, S., Wallon, D., Love, S., Rogaeva, E., Gallacher, J., St George-Hyslop, P., Clarimon, J., Lleo, A., Bayer, A., Tsuang, D.W., Yu, L., Tsolaki, M., Bossu, P., Spalletta, G., Proitsi, P., Collinge, J., Sorbi, S., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Fox, N.C., Hardy, J., Deniz Naranjo, M.C., Bosco, P., Clarke, R., Brayne, C., Galimberti, D., Mancuso, M., Matthews, F., European Alzheimer's Disease, I., Genetic, Environmental Risk in Alzheimer's, D., Alzheimer's Disease Genetic, C., Cohorts for, H., Aging Research in Genomic, E., Moebus, S., Mecocci, P., Del Zompo, M., Maier, W., Hampel, H., Pilotto, A., Bullido, M., Panza, F., Caffarra, P., Nacmias, B., Gilbert, J.R., Mayhaus, M., Lannefelt, L., Hakonarson, H., Pichler, S., Carrasquillo, M.M., Ingelsson, M., Beekly, D., Alvarez, V., Zou, F., Valladares, O., Younkin, S.G., Coto, E., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Gu, W., Razquin, C., Pastor, P., Mateo, I., Owen, M.J., Faber, K.M., Jonsson, P.V., Combarros, O., O'Donovan, M.C., Cantwell, L.B., Soininen, H., Blacker, D., Mead, S., Mosley, T.H., Jr., Bennett, D.A., Harris, T.B., Fratiglioni, L., Holmes, C., de Bruijn, R.F., Passmore, P., Montine, T.J., Bettens, K., Rotter, J.I., Brice, A., Morgan, K., Foroud, T.M., Kukull, W.A., Hannequin, D., Powell, J.F., Nalls, M.A., Ritchie, K., Lunetta, K.L., Kauwe, J.S., Boerwinkle, E., Riemenschneider, M., Boada, M., Hiltuenen, M., Martin, E.R., Schmidt, R., Rujescu, D., Wang, L.S., Dartigues, J.F., Mayeux, R., Tzourio, C., Hofman, A., Nothen, M.M., Graff, C., Psaty, B.M., Jones, L., Haines, J.L., Holmans, P.A., Lathrop, M., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Launer, L.J., Farrer, L.A., van Duijn, C.M., Van Broeckhoven, C., Moskvina, V., Seshadri, S., Williams, J., Schellenberg, G.D., Amouyel, P., 2013a. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 45(12), 1452-1458.

Lambert, J.C., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C.A., Harold, D., Naj, A.C., Sims, R., Bellenguez, C., DeStafano, A.L., Bis, J.C., Beecham, G.W., Grenier-Boley, B., Russo, G., Thorton-Wells, T.A., Jones, N., Smith, A.V., Chouraki, V., Thomas, C., Ikram, M.A., Zelenika, D., Vardarajan, B.N., Kamatani, Y., Lin, C.F., Gerrish, A., Schmidt, H., Kunkle, B., Dunstan, M.L., Ruiz, A.,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Bihoreau, M.T., Choi, S.H., Reitz, C., Pasquier, F., Cruchaga, C., Craig, D., Amin, N., Berr, C., Lopez, O.L., De Jager, P.L., Deramecourt, V., Johnston, J.A., Evans, D., Lovestone, S., Letenneur, L., Morón, F.J., Rubinsztein, D.C., Eiriksdottir, G., Sleegers, K., Goate, A.M., Fiévet, N., Huentelman, M.W., Gill, M., Brown, K., Kamboh, M.I., Keller, L., Barberger-Gateau, P., McGuiness, B., Larson, E.B., Green, R., Myers, A.J., Dufouil, C., Todd, S., Wallon, D., Love, S., Rogaeva, E., Gallacher, J., St George-Hyslop, P., Clarimon, J., Lleo, A., Bayer, A., Tsuang, D.W., Yu, L., Tsolaki, M., Bossù, P., Spalletta, G., Proitsi, P., Collinge, J., Sorbi, S., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Fox, N.C., Hardy, J., Deniz Naranjo, M.C., Bosco, P., Clarke, R., Brayne, C., Galimberti, D., Mancuso, M., Matthews, F., Moebus, S., Mecocci, P., Del Zompo, M., Maier, W., Hampel, H., Pilotto, A., Bullido, M., Panza, F., Caffarra, P., Nacmias, B., Gilbert, J.R., Mayhaus, M., Lannefelt, L., Hakonarson, H., Pichler, S., Carrasquillo, M.M., Ingelsson, M., Beekly, D., Alvarez, V., Zou, F., Valladares, O., Younkin, S.G., Coto, E., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Gu, W., Razquin, C., Pastor, P., Mateo, I., Owen, M.J., Faber, K.M., Jonsson, P.V., Combarros, O., O'Donovan, M.C., Cantwell, L.B., Soininen, H., Blacker, D., Mead, S., Mosley, T.H., Bennett, D.A., Harris, T.B., Fratiglioni, L., Holmes, C., de Bruijn, R.F., Passmore, P., Montine, T.J., Bettens, K., Rotter, J.I., Brice, A., Morgan, K., Foroud, T.M., Kukull, W.A., Hannequin, D., Powell, J.F., Nalls, M.A., Ritchie, K., Lunetta, K.L., Kauwe, J.S., Boerwinkle, E., Riemenschneider, M., Boada, M., Hiltuenen, M., Martin, E.R., Schmidt, R., Rujescu, D., Wang, L.S., Dartigues, J.F., Mayeux, R., Tzourio, C., Hofman, A., Nöthen, M.M., Graff, C., Psaty, B.M., Jones, L., Haines, J.L., Holmans, P.A., Lathrop, M., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Launer, L.J., Farrer, L.A., van Duijn, C.M., Van Broeckhoven, C., Moskvina, V., Seshadri, S., Williams, J., Schellenberg, G.D., Amouyel, P., , E.A.s.D.I., Disease, G., Alzheimer's, E.R.i., Consortium, A.s.D.G., Epidemiology, C.f.H., Genomic, A.R.i., 2013b. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 45(12), 1452-1458.

Marioni, R.E., Harris, S.E., Zhang, Q., McRae, A.F., Hagenaars, S.P., Hill, W.D., Davies, G., Ritchie, C.W., Gale, C.R., Starr, J.M., Goate, A.M., Porteous, D.J., Yang, J., Evans, K.L., Deary, I.J., Wray, N.R., Visscher, P.M., 2018. GWAS on family history of Alzheimer's disease. Transl Psychiatry 8(1), 99.

Mayeux, R., Stern, Y., 2012. Epidemiology of Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2(8).

Olarte, L., Schupf, N., Lee, J.H., Tang, M.X., Santana, V., Williamson, J., Maramreddy, P., Tycko, B., Mayeux, R., 2006. Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 and age at onset of sporadic and familial Alzheimer disease in Caribbean Hispanics. Arch Neurol 63(11), 1586-1590.

Plassman, B.L., Langa, K.M., Fisher, G.G., Heeringa, S.G., Weir, D.R., Ofstedal, M.B., Burke, J.R., Hurd, M.D., Potter, G.G., Rodgers, W.L., Steffens, D.C., Willis, R.J., Wallace, R.B., 2007. Prevalence of dementia in the United States: the aging, demographics, and memory study. Neuroepidemiology 29(1-2), 125-132.

Purcell, S.M., Wray, N.R., Stone, J.L., Visscher, P.M., O'Donovan, M.C., Sullivan, P.F., Sklar, P., Consortium, I.S., 2009. Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Nature 460(7256), 748-752.

R Core Team, 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

.

Rasmussen, K.L., Tybjaerg-Hansen, A., Nordestgaard, B.G., Frikke-Schmidt, R., 2018. Absolute 10-year risk of dementia by age, sex and APOE genotype: a population-based cohort study. CMAJ 190(35), E1033-E1041.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.20052332; this version posted April 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Sebastiani, P., Gurinovich, A., Nygaard, M., Sasaki, T., Sweigart, B., Bae, H., Andersen, S.L., Villa, F., Atzmon, G., Christensen, K., Arai, Y., Barzilai, N., Puca, A., Christiansen, L., Hirose, N., Perls, T.T., 2019. APOE Alleles and Extreme Human Longevity. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 74(1), 44-51.

Shaun Purcell, C.C., PLINK 1.9.

Tesi, N., van der Lee, S.J., Hulsman, M., Jansen, I.E., Stringa, N., van Schoor, N., Meijers-Heijboer, H., Huisman, M., Scheltens, P., Reinders, M.J.T., van der Flier, W.M., Holstege, H., 2019. Centenarian controls increase variant effect sizes by an average twofold in an extreme case-extreme control analysis of Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Hum Genet 27(2), 244-253.