Use Crow-AMSAA Method to predict the cases of the Coronavirus 19 in Michigan and U.S.A

Yanshuo Wang*, Reliability and Data Mining Consultant

*Correspondence to: bill.wang@lllw-llc.com, Telephone 1 517 395 6214

Abstract:

The Crow-AMSAA method is used in engineering reliability world to predict the failures and evaluate the reliability growth. The author intents to use this model in the prediction of the Coronavirus 19 cases by using the daily reported data in Michigan and U.S.A. The Crow-AMSAA model fits the data significantly since the corona virus outbreak, especially for the Michigan infected cases and death.

Summary:

This paper is to use Crow-AMSAA method to fit the Coronavirus 19 confirmed cases in Michigan and U.S.A.

Introduction:

The coronavirus was first found in Wuhan, China in December, 2019. Right now the virus is spread into all the 50 states in United States. Since the contagious of this disease, many states like Michigan have ordered people to stay at home. The author observed the U.S. infected cases and death, the Michigan infected cases and death since March 16th. The author is curious that there must be a statistical model to predict this event. Since the Crow-AMSAA model is used for automotive warranty data by author to predict the failures numbers at field. When the coronavirus infected cases and death were plugged in this model for Michigan, it is interested to see it fits this model very well since the outbreak of this disease. Then the author continues to update this fitting by using the daily reported data in Michigan, and attempt to predict the next few day's infected cases and death. On 3/28/2020, the author decided to plug all the U.S.A infected cases and death data in this model, it is also surprised that this fits the Crow-AMSAA model as well. Then the author decided to write this paper to describe what is the Crow-AMSAA model and how the analysis has been done by author. The Crow-AMSAA model might be useful to predict the infected cases and death for a future pandemic.

Crow-AMSAA Model:

James T. Duane at GE Motors Division pioneered the reliability growth analysis by observing the cumulative failure rates of the product subsystems during the development test. He plotted the cumulative failures versus the development time on a log-log paper (Duane, 1964). The AMSAA model, a major improvement in Duane's approach was developed by Dr. Larry Crow in 1974 while he was at the Army Material Systems Analysis Activity (AMSAA). Dr. Crow proposed that the Duane model can be represented as non-homogeneous Poisson process (NHPP) model under Weibull intensity function. (reference [I][II])

The logarithm of cumulative failure events n(t) versus logarithm time (t) is a linear plot if the Crow-AMSAA model applies

$$n(t) = \lambda t^{\beta} \quad (1)$$

taking the natural logarithms of equation (1)

$$\text{Ln } n(t) = \text{Ln } (\lambda) + \beta \text{ Ln } (t) \quad (2)$$

The model intensity function $\rho(t) = \lambda\beta t^{\beta-1}$ (3)

The cumulative failure rate is use the equation (1) divided by t. it is $C(t) = \lambda t^{\beta-1}$ (4)

The intensity function is the derivative of the cumulative events $n(t) = \lambda t^{\beta}$, $\rho(t)$ is called the rate of occurrence (ROC). In equation (2), the scale parameter, λ, is the intercept on the y axis of n(t) when t =1, (ln(1) =0); the slope β, is interpreted in a similar manner as a Weibull plot, If the slope β is greater than 1, the failure rate is increasing, the failure rate come more rapidly, if the slope β is smaller than 1, the failure rate is decreasing, the failure rate come more slowly, if the slope β is equal to 1, the process is called homogenous Poisson process, if the slope β is not equal 1, the process is called non-homogenous Poisson process.

There are three methods to be used to fit the line, the regression, IEC unbiased, and IEC MLE (Maximum Likelihood Estimation). The regression solution is not as accurate as the newer IEC unbiased and MLE methods except for very small samples.

Data Analysis:

The daily infected cases and death data in Michigan are reported in the website in the reference [IV]. The daily confirmed data is shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1. The Crow-AMSAA model in SuperSmith package is used to fit the data, the Crow-AMSAA fitting in Log-Log scale is shown in Fig. 2. From the Fig. 2, in the first 9 days (3/10/2020 to 3/18/2020), it has the less infected cases, so the infected cases do not follow the Crow-AMSAA line, but after 3/19/2020, when the outbreak starts, the infected data fits the Crow-AMSAA line significantly.(See Fig. 2, pink line). The death data in MI is also fitting Crow-AMSAA model. (See Fig. 2, blue line). The interval prediction for both infected and death in Michigan are shown in Fig. 3 based on Crow-AMSAA. The author observed the predicted data versus the real data for past 13 days, although the predicted number has the some deviation from the real number, the Crow-AMSAA is still a useful model for the Coronavirus 19 cases.

The same study has been done for both infected cases and death data in U.S.A. The data and results are shown in Table 1, Fig. 4, 5, and 6. From Fig. 5, from 2/15/2020 to 3/1/2020, the infected cases do not follow Crow-AMSAA, from 3/2/2020 to 3/18/2020, the infected cases fit one Crow-AMSAA line, but after 3/19/2020, the infected cases fit another Crow-AMSAA line. The death data fits the Crow-AMSAA line after 3/16/2020.

Conclusion:

From the above analysis for the confirmed cases (infected and death) for Coronavirus 19 in Michigan and U.S.A, the Crow-AMSAA model can be used to fit the infected cases and death in the outbreak period. Although there is a deviation between real and Crow-AMSAA prediction, the Crow-AMSAA is still a good model to fit the data.

References and Notes:

1. R. B. Abernethy, The New Weibull Handbook, Fifth Addition, 2006 [I]
2. D. E. Tananko, Practical Guide to Reliability Growth Analysis, 2020 Annual RELIABILITY and MAINTAINABILITY Symposium. [II]

3. https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/us/ [III]
4. https://www.clickondetroit.com/news/local/2020/03/20/michigan-covid-19-data-tracking-case-count-cases-by-county-deaths-cases-by-age-tests/ [IV]

Acknowledgments:

The author appreciate the data which provided by website in reference [III] and [IV]. The author also thanks the Fulton Findings company to provide the SuperSmith package.

Supplementary Materials:



Fig 1. Michigan Daily Confirmed Cases



Fig 2. Crow-AMSAA fitting for the MI Infected Cases & Death



Fig. 3 Crow-AMSAA prediction for Michigan Infected & Death (Interval)



Fig. 4 The U.S.A. daily confirmed cases



Fig. 5. The Crow-AMSAA analysis for U.S.A Infected and Death cases



Fig. 6. The Crow-AMSAA prediction for U.S.A. Infected & Death (Interval)

Date	U.S.A Confirmed Cases	U.S.A Death	MI Confirmed Cases	MI Death
2/15/2020	15	0		
2/16/2020	15	0		
2/17/2020	15	0		

2/18/2020	15	0		
2/19/2020	15	0		
2/20/2020	15	0		
2/21/2020	35	0		
2/22/2020	35	0		
2/23/2020	35	0		
2/24/2020	53	0		
2/25/2020	57	0		
2/26/2020	60	0		
2/27/2020	60	0		
2/28/2020	63	0		
2/29/2020	68	1		
3/1/2020	75	1		
3/2/2020	100	6		
3/3/2020	124	9		
3/4/2020	158	11		
3/5/2020	221	12		
3/6/2020	319	15		
3/7/2020	435	19		
3/8/2020	541	22		
3/9/2020	704	26		
3/10/2020	994	30	2	0
3/11/2020	1301	38	2	0
3/12/2020	1630	41	12	0
3/13/2020	2183	48	25	0
3/14/2020	2770	57	33	0
3/15/2020	3613	69	53	0
3/16/2020	4596	87	54	0
3/17/2020	6344	110	65	0
3/18/2020	9197	150	110	1
3/19/2020	13779	206	334	3
3/20/2020	19364	255	549	4
3/21/2020	24192	301	807	8
3/22/2020	33592	414	1065	9
3/23/2020	43781	555	1328	15
3/24/2020	54856	780	1791	24
3/25/2020	68211	1027	2294	43
3/26/2020	85435	1295	2856	60
3/27/2020	104126	1695	3657	92
3/28/2020	123578	2220	4658	111
3/29/2020	143491	2583	5524	132

3/30/2020	163788	3141	6498	184
3/31/2020	188530	4053	7615	259
4/1/2020	215003	5102	9334	337
4/2/2020	244877	6070	10791	417
4/3/2020	270062	6927	12744	479

Table 1. The daily confirmed data