Capacities and predicted demands of Brazil's health system in view of the novel coronavirus disease outbreak

D.Sc. Eric Luis Barroso Cavalcante[a, *], M.D. Juliana Cristina Cardoso Ferreira[b]

[a] *Brazilian Federal Court of Accounts, Brasília, DF 70042-900, Brazil*

[b] *Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP 04021-001, Brazil*

Summary

The aim of the present work is to estimate capacities of Brazil's health system and their demand as a result of predicted incoming severe cases of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. Three incrementing levels of hospital equipment usage are considered: (1) in terms of available intensive care unit (ICU) beds; (2) available ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms; and (3) available ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms and respirators located in other hospital areas. The average available (adult) ICU beds in a hospital has been computed deducting from the number of existing beds the equivalent number of beds that has been demanded during 2019 on a monthly average. Based on a reference mean duration of hospitalization for the disease, it is computed the daily admission capacity of infected patients per state and of the entire country for each of the three referred levels of hospital equipment usage. Furthermore, an exponential regression model is fitted using the daily available data for the number of new patients who have been documented as infected in Brazil. It can then be predicted the three dates at which the health system might begin to demonstrate stress for the maximum demand of the three incrementing groups of hospital equipments. The necessary public data are analyzed by means of the Python programming language and are made available on the internet by the Department of Health Informatics of Brazil (DATASUS - Departamento de Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde) and the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE - Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística).

Keywords: health system capacity; predictive analysis; novel coronavirus

---

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ericlb@fastmail.net (Eric Luis Barroso Cavalcante).

Introduction

Starting in the state capital of Wuhan, China, in December 2019, the novel coronavirus (SARS-COV-2) has been spreading rapidly all over the world and the World Health Organization (WHO) declared its disease, COVID-19, as a pandemic on 11th March 2020. Three weeks later the number of confirmed infections neared the one million mark and reached 50000 deaths and almost every country and territory across the world, according to the Johns Hopkins University tracker (https://www.jhu.edu/).

Like the pandemic influenza in 1918, COVID-19 is associated with respiratory spread, an undetermined percentage of infected people with presymptomatic or asymptomatic cases transmitting infection to others, and a high fatality rate.[1]

Ji et al, after performing analysis of 70641 confirmed cases and 1772 deaths due to COVID-19 and assuming that average levels of healthcare are similar throughout China, consider that higher numbers of infections in a given population can be considered an indirect indicator of a heavier healthcare burden. By plotting the mortality rate against the incidence of the disease (cumulative number of confirmed cases since the start of the outbreak, per 10000 population) they have found a significant positive correlation, suggesting that mortality is correlated with healthcare burden and, consequently, with healthcare resource availability in an inverse correlation.[2]

In this fashion, the present work proposes to estimate capacities of Brazil's health system and their demand as a result of predicted incoming severe cases of the novel coronavirus disease outbreak. Three incrementing levels of hospital equipment usage are considered: (1) in terms of available intensive care unit (ICU) beds; (2) available ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms; and (3) available ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms and respirators located in other hospital areas. Using the daily available data for the number of patients who have been documented as infected in Brazil it is fitted an exponential regression model that predicts the number of new patients on the *n*th day from 26th February 2020. The combination of the daily admission capacity for each of the three incrementing groups of hospital equipments with the predictive model allows to estimate the date at which Brazil's health system might begin to demonstrate stress under each scenario.

To the best of the authors' knowledge it is the first public attempt to estimate (without mathematical simulation) the average availability of critical care beds in hospitals of the entire country deducting from the existing beds the equivalent number of beds that has been

demanded during 2019 on a monthly average.[3,4] Two even more recent studies, one reported by the Brazilian Federal Council of Medicine (https://portal.cfm.org.br/) and the other by the Department of Economics and Statistics of the Rio Grande do Sul (RS) state (https://planejamento.rs.gov.br/inicial), only take into account the existing ICU beds.

Modeling capacities

The main data sources for estimating hospital equipment capacities are two administrative health information systems: the National Registry of Health Facilities of Brazil (CNES - Cadastro Nacional de Estabelecimentos de Saúde) and the Hospital Information System of the Brazilian Public Health System (SIHSUS - Sistema de Informações Hospitalares do Sistema Único de Saúde). The CNES data, obtained per facility, concern: (1) the number of existing ICU beds dedicated to the Brazilian Public Health System (SUS - Sistema Único de Saúde) ($x_{\text{existing public ICUb}}$) and the number of existing ICU beds private to the for-profit healthcare provider ($x_{\text{existing private ICUb}}$); (2) the number of existing operating rooms dedicated to surgeries ($x_{\text{oper. rooms}}$); and (3) the number of existing respirators ($x_{\text{resps.}}$). The SIHSUS data, aggregated per facility, concern the number of ICU-days-per-month of beds dedicated to SUS ($x_{\text{public ICU-days/month}}$). These data are available in the File Transfer Protocol server's internet address of DATASUS (ftp://ftp.datasus.gov.br/) and have been downloaded and gathered in a local (personal computer) database by means of a Python programming package developed by one of the authors and currently available on the internet at https://github.com/SecexSaudeTCU/PegaSUS/tree/master/pegasus/8dbs.

The types of ICU beds taken into account are the ones that are designed for adults, including the ones for burned, coronary and isolated patients and excluding the neonatal and pediatric beds. It has been taken into account only the healthcare facilities that have at least one ICU bed dedicated to SUS and that remained as such during all months of 2019. Each one of the five healthcare facility quantities considered and mentioned on the previous paragraph have been arithmetically averaged through the months of 2019, leading to:

- $\bar{x}_{\text{existing public ICUb}}$: monthly mean of existing public ICU beds;
- $\bar{x}_{\text{existing private ICUb}}$: monthly mean of existing private ICU beds;
- $\bar{x}_{\text{oper. rooms}}$: monthly mean of existing surgery operating rooms;
- $\bar{x}_{\text{resps.}}$: monthly mean of existing respirators;

- $\bar{x}_{\text{public ICU-days/month}}$: monthly mean of ICU-days-per-month of beds dedicated to SUS.

First, it is computed the monthly mean of existing ICU beds (for each healthcare facility):

$$\bar{x}_{\text{existing ICUb}} = \bar{x}_{\text{existing public ICUb}} + \bar{x}_{\text{existing private ICUb}}. \tag{1}$$

One can also write the vector form of (1):

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing ICUb}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing public ICUb}} + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing private ICUb}} \tag{2}$$

with

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing ICUb}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}^{(1)}_{\text{existing ICUb}} \\ \bar{x}^{(2)}_{\text{existing ICUb}} \\ \dots \\ \bar{x}^{(1937)}_{\text{existing ICUb}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing ICUb}}$ represents the vector of monthly mean existing ICU beds for the 1937 healthcare facilities that comply with the aforementioned criteria. In the sequel, the expression "monthly mean" is omitted from the term "vector of monthly mean".

To compute the vector of available public ICU beds the following expression is utilized:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{available public ICUb}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing public ICUb}} - \frac{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{public ICU-days/month}}}{30}. \tag{4}$$

The vector of available private ICU beds is calculated considering the same proportion of public ICU bed usage:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{available private ICUb}} = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{available public ICUb}}}{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing public ICUb}}} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing private ICUb}}. \tag{5}$$

Now, one can compute the vector of available ICU beds:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{available ICUb}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{available public ICUb}} + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{available private ICUb}}. \tag{6}$$

Also, the vector of respirators that surpass the existing ICU beds and surgery operating rooms is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{extra resps.}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{resps.}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{existing ICUb}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{oper. rooms}}. \tag{7}$$

Modeling predictions

The daily number of documented COVID-19 infections in Brazil between 26th February 2020 and 1th April 2020 is available at https://covid.saude.gov.br/, and it has allowed to fit, using

ordinary least squares, a five-degree exponential regression model to represent the trained part of this dataset comprised in total of 30 observations:

$$y = e^{-0.138319+0.151999n-0.015743n^2+0.002591n^3-0.000108n^4+0.000001n^5} \quad (8)$$

where n is the nth day since 26th February 2020 and y is the number of new COVID-19 cases on the nth day. Equation (8) represents the best fit among one to eight-degree exponential regression models and one to eight-degree polynomial regression models using the R^2 score as statistical measure. Each model has been trained on 75% of the randomly chosen observations and the test R^2 score is 0·93 and it has been computed on the 25% remaining observations.

Results

The scatter plot of the daily new number of COVID-19 cases and the curve of the best model (8) are illustrated in Figure 1. In an informative capacity, it is also shown the scatter plot of the cumulative number of COVID-19 cases against the date in Figure 2.

Four vectors defined in the "Modeling capacities" section are vertically aligned and gathered as Table 1, which thus contains the monthly mean of available and existing (adult) ICU beds (excluding neonatal and pediatric units), the monthly mean of existing surgery operating rooms and the monthly mean of extra respirators per healthcare facility (listed only six). During the year of 2019, 9021 ICU beds were on average available in Brazil out of 21908 that are appropriate for adult COVID-19 patients. It is as if at any given time 41% of the ICU beds in the country were available, indicating that this hospital equipment is not on average operating near or at capacity in terms of national numbers and it is worth mentioning that this ICU availability rate is 7·6 percentage points larger than in the United States.[5] Table 2 aggregates the data of Table 1 per state (including the federal capital territory: Distrito Federal (DF)).

Table 3 depicts the equivalent quantities of Table 2 in terms of 10000 inhabitants. The 2019 population data that allowed calculate these rates are provided by IBGE (https://www.ibge.gov.br/). Comparing Table 2 and Table 3 it can be seen that the Roraima state (RR) is the most precarious in terms of available and existing ICU beds and existing operating rooms (20; 40; 18), and the most precarious in terms of available ICU beds per 10000 inhabitants is the state of Mato Grosso do Sul (MS) with 0·23. On the other hand, the São Paulo (SP) state has the largest absolute number of existing ICU beds (4838), but the state of Tocantins (TO) appears as the most equipped in terms of available ICU beds per 10000

(0·65). The Brazilian national rate of existing (adult) ICU beds per 10000 (1·04) is less than a third of the United States rate in the year of 2009 (3·47).[6]

Table 4, also constructed based on Table 2, illustrates the available capacity of Brazil's health system to face severe COVID-19 cases considering three incrementing levels of hospital equipment usage (aggregated per state plus the federal capital territory): (1) in terms of available ICU beds (named Level 1; $\bar{x}_1$); (2) available ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms (Level 2; $\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_3$); and (3) available ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms and respirators located in other hospital areas (Level 3; $\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4$). It is thus assumed that all existing surgery operating rooms and respirators located in other hospital areas (computed accordingly to equation (7)) can be allocated to attend the severely infected by COVID-19.

Assuming as true the mean duration of hospitalization as 12·8 days, one can estimate the possible daily admission of patients with respiratory failure for each of the three incrementing groups of hospital equipments considered in Table 4.[7] Such rates are shown in Table 5 as Scenario 1, Scenario 2 and Scenario 3, respectively. The state that can admit the least number of severe COVID-19 patients considering only the usage of available ICU beds is RR (1 per day) and, in the worst-case scenario (demand of the available ICU beds and existing operating rooms and respirators located in other hospital areas), the state with the worst number is Amapá (AP): 3 possible admissions per day. On the other extreme, it is the state of SP, which can daily admit the largest number of severe patients for all scenarios (130, 251, 544), but also is the most populated state, and has the biggest Italian community of Brazil, the most internationally connected Brazilian airport (https://www.oag.com/oag-megahubs-2019) and the two most busiest Brazilian airports in terms of domestic passenger traffic.[8,9] It is worth noting that, at least up until 1st April 2020, Italy was one of the countries most impacted by COVID-19 and the SP state had the second largest number of documented cases of the coronavirus disease per inhabitant behind only the Distrito Federal (DF), according to data available at https://covid.saude.gov.br/.

It can be argued from Table 5 that if it reaches a point at which Brazil's health system is daily admitting more than 693, 1243 and 2166 patients with respiratory failure the system may demonstrate stress under Scenario 1, Scenario 2 and Scenario 3, respectively. In this way, assuming that 15% of documented COVID-19 infections result in severe disease the daily numbers of new COVID-19 cases would have to be at maximum 4620 (693/0.15), 8287

(1243/0.15) and 14440 (2166/0.15) in order to not demand Brazil' health system beyond the limits of Scenario 1, Scenario 2 e Scenario 3, respectively.[10]

Table 6 is constructed based on the fitted exponential regression model (8) and presents, in each row, the true number of new COVID-19 cases (if in the past) and the 90% prediction interval for a given date. According to it, the LB values of the 90% predictions intervals indicate that the limit numbers of daily new infected people (4620, 8287 e 14440) would not be reached. Conversely, the UBs revel that these limits would be reached on the 2th, 3th and 3th of April 2020, respectively. The 90% prediction interval for these two dates are [346, 5836] and [318, 17881], which means that 90% of intervals of these forms will contain the true number of new COVID-19 cases for the respective dates. Along with the uncertainty that resides in the own definition of prediction intervals, it can be noticed that the range of these intervals is quite significant, expressing another source of uncertainty regarding the true number of new COVID-19 cases for a certain future date.

Conclusions

In addition to the novelty of the COVID-19 disease and of its worldwide outbreak, the present work seems to be the first public attempt to estimate (without mathematical simulation) the average availability of ICU beds in hospitals of the entire Brazil deducting from the number of existing beds the equivalent number of beds that has been demanded during 2019 on a monthly average. Acknowledging the potential negative association of COVID-19 mortality rate with healthcare resource availability might help Brazil to be better prepared.[2] In this way, it has been estimated that 41% of the (adult) ICU beds in Brazil were available during 2019, indicating that this hospital equipment is not on average operating near or at capacity in terms of national numbers and it is worth mentioning that this ICU availability rate is 7·6 percentage points larger than in the United States.[5] On the other hand, the Brazilian rate of existing (adult) ICU beds per 10000 (1·04) is less than a third of the United States rate in the year of 2009 (3·47).[6]

Moreover, there is a marked heterogeneity in the absolute and relative numbers of available and existing ICU beds and existing surgery operating rooms and extra respirators between the states of Brazil (including its federal capital territory). The remarkable differences between federated units reflect into the number of possible admissions per day of COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure for each of the three levels of hospital equipment usage, as shows Table 5.

In national numbers, Brazil's health system is estimated to be capable of daily admitting at maximum 693, 1243 and 2166 patients with respiratory failure under the three studied scenarios and the fitted exponential growth model indicates that Brazil' health system might or not reach these limits on the first days of April 2020. The wide range of the 90% prediction intervals for future dates expresses a source of uncertainty of the fitted model.

This work concern data of 2019 and, consequently, takes into account only hospital equipments available previously to the COVID-19 disease outbreak. Additionally, it does not include hospitals that do not have at least one critical care bed dedicated to SUS.

Contributors

ELBC was responsible for literature search, data collection, data analysis, statistics, data interpretation and writing of the manuscript. JCCF was responsible for literature search, data interpretation and writing of the manuscript.

Declarations of interest

We declare no competing interests.

References

1 Morens DM, Daszak P, Taubenberger JK. Escaping Pandora's box - another novel coronavirus. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2020; published online February 26.

2 Ji Y, Ma Z, Peppelenbosch MP, Pan Q. Potential association between COVID-19 mortality and health-care resource availability. *Lancet Global Health* 2020; published online February 25.

3 Moraes RS. Modeling and simulation of public ICU bed usage in the state of Rio de Janeiro (in Portuguese). *Master's Dissertation in Production Engineering* 2014; Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro.

4 Goldwasser RS, Lobo MSC, Arruda EF, et al. Difficulties in access and estimates of public beds in intensive care units in the state of Rio de Janeiro. *Rev Saúde Pública* 2016; **50**: 19–19.

5 Halpern NA, Tan KS. U.S. ICU resource availability for COVID-19. *Society of Critical Care Medicine* 2020; March 13, https://sccm.org/Blog/March-2020/United-States-Resource-Availability-for-COVID-19.

6 Wallace D J, Angus DC, Seymour CW, Barnato AE, Kahn JM. Critical care bed growth in the United States – A comparison of regional and national trends. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2015; **191**(4): 410–416.

7 Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2020; published online February 28.

8 Rosoli G. Italian Emigration to Brazil. *Center for Migration Studies* 1994; special issue **3**(11): 229–235.

9 Couto GS, da Silva APC, Ruiz LB, Benevenuto F. Structural properties of the Brazilian air transportation network. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 2015; **87**(3): 1653–1674.

10 Cavallo JJ, Donoho DA, Forman HP. Hospital capacity and operations in the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic - planning for the nth patient. *JAMA* 2020; published online March 17.

Figure caption list

Figure 1. Measured and predicted new number of documented COVID-19 infected patients in Brazil

Figure 2. Measured cumulative number of documented COVID-19 infected patients in Brazil


Available Data
Fitted Curve
New infected patients
0
100
200
300
400
500
600
700
800
900
1000
1100
1200
Feb 26
Feb 29
Mar 04
Mar 05
Mar 06
Mar 07
Mar 08
Mar 10
Mar 11
Mar 12
Mar 13
Mar 14
Mar 15
Mar 16
Mar 17
Mar 18
Mar 19
Mar 20
Mar 21
Mar 22
Mar 23
Mar 24
Mar 25
Mar 26
Mar 27
Mar 28
Mar 29
Mar 30
Mar 31
Apr 01
Apr 02
Date (2020)


Available Data
Cumulative infected patients
7000
6000
5000
4000
3000
2000
1000
0
Feb 26
Feb 27
Feb 28
Feb 29
Mar 01
Mar 02
Mar 03
Mar 04
Mar 05
Mar 06
Mar 07
Mar 08
Mar 09
Mar 10
Mar 11
Mar 12
Mar 13
Mar 14
Mar 15
Mar 16
Mar 17
Mar 18
Mar 19
Mar 20
Mar 21
Mar 22
Mar 23
Mar 24
Mar 25
Mar 26
Mar 27
Mar 28
Mar 29
Mar 30
Mar 31
Abr 01
Abr 02
Date (2020)

Table1. Mean of available and existing ICU beds, existing surgery oper. rooms and extra respirators

Obs.	CNES ID†	City	State	$\bar{x}_{\text{available ICUb}}$ ‡	$\bar{x}_{\text{existing ICUb}}$	$\bar{x}_{\text{oper. rooms}}$	$\bar{x}_{\text{extra resps.}}$ ‡
1	2028840	São Paulo	SP	145	155	4	0
2	2080575	São Paulo	SP	134	188	44	93
3	2278855	Itaperuna	RJ	81	81	12	0
…	…	…	…	…	…	…	…
1935	2493888	Porto Velho	RO	0	45	4	3
1936	2181770	Belo Horizonte	MG	0	10	2	0
1937	2093332	Santa Fé do Sul	SP	0	7	3	0
			Brazil	***9021***	***21908***	***7072***	***11806***

†the 7-digit number identifier of the healthcare facility; ‡eventual negative values have been replaced by zero

Table 2. Mean of available and existing ICU beds, existing surgery oper. rooms and extra respirators per state

State	$\bar{x}_1$	$\bar{x}_2$	$\bar{x}_3$	$\bar{x}_4$	**State**	$\bar{x}_1$	$\bar{x}_2$	$\bar{x}_3$	$\bar{x}_4$
AP	28	52	21	0	AM	207	316	107	241
AC	29	55	21	45	MS	65	252	91	264
TO	102	101	42	49	ES	205	587	148	300
PI	134	233	116	79	DF	144	324	74	305
RR	20	40	18	80	SC	294	769	289	383
RO	51	189	41	85	PR	464	1787	517	403
AL	131	255	88	124	CE	384	648	225	465
SE	122	221	52	131	BA	655	1254	378	488
RN	133	334	94	145	PE	471	1127	263	604
MA	226	445	194	153	RS	600	1504	549	702
GO	388	777	235	162	MG	763	2672	841	960
PB	238	445	159	193	RJ	849	1768	593	1256
MT	170	352	143	220	SP	1670	4838	1551	3745
PA	478	563	222	224	**Brazil**	***9021***	***21908***	***7072***	***11806***

$\bar{x}_1 : \sum \bar{x}_{\text{available ICUb}}$; $\bar{x}_2 : \sum \bar{x}_{\text{existing ICUb}}$; $\bar{x}_3 : \sum \bar{x}_{\text{oper. rooms}}$; $\bar{x}_4 : \sum \bar{x}_{\text{extra resps.}}$

Table 3. Rate of available and existing ICU beds, existing surgery oper. rooms and extra respirators per state

State	$\bar{x}_1/10^4$	$\bar{x}_2/10^4$	$\bar{x}_3/10^4$	$\bar{x}_4/10^4$	**State**	$\bar{x}_1/10^4$	$\bar{x}_2/10^4$	$\bar{x}_3/10^4$	$\bar{x}_4/10^4$
AP	0·33	0·61	0·25	0·00	AM	0·50	0·76	0·26	0·58
AC	0·32	0·59	0·24	0·00	MS	0·23	0·91	0·33	0·95
TO	0·65	0·64	0·27	0·31	ES	0·51	1·46	0·37	0·75
PI	0·41	0·71	0·35	0·24	DF	0·48	1·07	0·25	1·01
RR	0·33	0·66	0·30	1·32	SC	0·41	1·07	0·40	0·53
RO	0·29	1·06	0·23	0·48	PR	0·41	1·56	0·45	0·35
AL	0·39	0·76	0·26	0·37	CE	0·42	0·71	0·25	0·51
SE	0·53	0·96	0·23	0·57	BA	0·44	0·84	0·25	0·33
RN	0·38	0·95	0·27	0·41	PE	0·49	1·18	0·28	0·63
MA	0·32	0·63	0·27	0·22	RS	0·53	1·32	0·48	0·62
GO	0·55	1·11	0·33	0·23	MG	0·36	1·26	0·40	0·45
PB	0·59	1·11	0·40	0·48	RJ	0·49	1·02	0·34	0·73
MT	0·49	1·01	0·41	0·63	SP	0·36	1·05	0·34	0·82
PA	0·56	0·65	0·26	0·26	**Brazil**	***0·43***	***1·04***	***0·34***	***0·56***

$\bar{x}_i/10^4$: $\bar{x}_i$ per 10^4 inhabitants; $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

Table 4. Capacities of Brazil's health system to face severe COVID-19 cases per state

State	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	**State**	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
AP	28	49	49	AM	207	314	555
AC	29	50	95	MS	65	156	420
TO	102	144	193	ES	205	353	653
PI	134	250	329	DF	144	218	523
RR	20	38	118	SC	294	583	966
RO	51	92	177	PR	464	981	1384
AL	131	219	343	CE	384	609	1074
SE	122	174	305	BA	655	1033	1521
RN	133	227	372	PE	471	734	1338
MA	226	420	573	RS	600	1149	1851
GO	388	623	785	MG	763	1604	2564
PB	238	397	590	RJ	849	1442	2698
MT	170	313	533	SP	1670	3221	6966
PA	478	700	924	**Brazil**	***9021***	***16093***	***27899***

Table 5. Daily admission number of patients with respiratory failure per state

State	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	**State**	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3
AP	2	3	3	AM	16	24	43
AC	2	3	7	MS	5	12	32
TO	7	11	15	ES	16	27	51
PI	10	19	25	DF	11	17	40
RR	1	2	9	SC	22	45	75
RO	3	7	13	PR	36	76	108
AL	10	17	26	CE	30	47	83
SE	9	13	23	BA	51	80	118
RN	10	17	29	PE	36	57	104
MA	17	32	44	RS	46	89	144
GO	30	48	61	MG	59	125	200
PB	18	31	46	RJ	66	112	210
MT	13	24	41	SP	130	251	544
PA	37	54	72	**Brazil**	***693***	***1243***	***2166***

Table 6. True number of new COVID-19 cases and 90% prediction intervals

Date	*n*th day	*y* true value	LB†	UB†
February 26	0	1	0	2
March 10	13	9	4	23
March 20	23	283	74	391
March 30	33	323	238	1439
April 1	35	1119	330	2817
April 2	36	1076	346	5832
April 3	37	1146	318	17881
April 4	38	1222	254	86692
April 5	39	852	176	712828
April 6	40	NA	105	10820540
April 10	44	NA	2	2339210000000000

LB: lower bound of the 90% prediction interval

UB: upper bound of the 90% prediction interval

NA: value not available until the manuscript submission

†computed based on model (8) and rounded to integer