

1 **Widespread use of face masks in public may slow the spread of SARS CoV-2:**  
2 **an ecological study**

3

4

5 Chris Kenyon<sup>1,2\*</sup>

6

7

8

9 *<sup>1</sup>HIV/STI Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Division of*  
10 *Infectious Diseases and HIV Medicine, University of Cape Town, Anzio Road,*  
11 *Observatory 7700, South Africa;*

12

13 \*Corresponding author. HIV/STI Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, 2000,  
14 Belgium. Tel: +32 3 2480796; Fax: +32 3 2480831; E-mail: ckenyon@itg.be

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 Word Count: 1753

32 **Abstract**

33

34

35 **Background**

36 The reasons for the large differences between countries in the sizes of their SARS  
37 CoV-2 epidemics is unknown. Individual level studies have found that the use of face  
38 masks was protective for the acquisition and transmission of a range of respiratory  
39 viruses including SARS CoV-1. We hypothesized that population level usage of face  
40 masks may be negatively associated SARS CoV-2 spread.

41

42 **Methods**

43 At a country level, linear regression was used to assess the association between  
44 COVID-19 diagnoses per inhabitant and the national promotion of face masks in  
45 public (coded as a binary variable), controlling for the age of the COVID-19 epidemic  
46 and testing intensity.

47

48 **Results**

49 Eight of the 49 countries with available data advocated wearing face masks in public  
50 – China, Czechia, Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, Thailand and  
51 Malaysia. In multivariate analysis face mask use was negatively associated with  
52 number of COVID-19 cases/inhabitant (coef. -326, 95% CI -601- -51, P=0.021).  
53 Testing intensity was positively associated with COVID-19 cases (coef. 0.07, 95% CI  
54 0.05-0.08, P<0.001).

55

56 **Conclusion**

57 Whilst these results are susceptible to residual confounding, they do provide  
58 ecological level support to the individual level studies that found face mask usage to  
59 reduce the transmission and acquisition of respiratory viral infections.

60

61 **Keywords:** SARS CoV-2; COVID-19; face masks; prevention; epidemiology; Asia

## 62 **Background**

63 SARS CoV-2, the viral cause of COVID-19, has spread rapidly to over 190 countries  
64 [1]. There has, however, been remarkable variation in how extensively it has spread  
65 and in the national responses to this spread [1, 2]. For example, although the virus is  
66 thought to have first emerged in China, European countries such as Italy and Spain  
67 have reported roughly 30-fold higher number of infections per capita than China [1,  
68 2]. Understanding the reasons underpinning this heterogeneity in spread is crucial to  
69 ongoing prevention efforts. The cornerstones of prevention efforts have included  
70 extensive testing, contact tracing and isolation and various forms of social  
71 distancing/quarantining [3, 4]. Whilst there have been important differences in how  
72 these were implemented in different countries, arguably the most striking difference  
73 in approach has been in the use of universal face masks in public. Whereas a  
74 number of predominantly Asian countries have promoted this practice, the World  
75 Health Organization (WHO) and most European and North American countries have  
76 not promoted this strategy [5, 6]. The head of the Chinese Center for Disease  
77 Control and Prevention has stated that the biggest mistake that Europe and the US  
78 were making in tackling COVID-19 was their failure to promote the widespread usage  
79 of face masks in public [7]. The WHO argues against universal face mask use  
80 based on a lack of evidence to support the practice, as well as a concern that using  
81 face masks will provide users with a false sense of security which may result in  
82 poorer hand hygiene and hence increased transmission [3, 5, 8]. The US Centers for  
83 Disease Control and Prevention does not recommend that people who are well wear  
84 a face mask to protect themselves from respiratory diseases, including COVID-19  
85 [5]. In fact, the US Surgeon General stated that facemasks “are not effective in

86 preventing (the) general public from catching coronavirus” and urged people to  
87 stop buying face masks [5].

88

89 Advocates of universal usage of face masks point to four types of evidence. Firstly,  
90 SARS CoV-1 and -2 are spread mainly through contact- and droplet- but also  
91 through airborne-transmission [6, 9]. Detailed environmental and epidemiological  
92 investigations from the large Amoy Gardens outbreak of SARS CoV-1 revealed that  
93 airborne transmission played an important role in the outbreak [10, 11]. Likewise in  
94 vitro studies demonstrate that SARS CoV-2 can be aerosolized and remain viable in  
95 the air in this form for at least 3 hours [12]. Although viral viability was not assessed,  
96 air samples from hospital rooms and toilets used by COVID-19 patients as well as  
97 from a crowded entrance to a department store tested positive for SARS CoV-2 [13].  
98 Even if we discount the evidence of airborne transmission, face masks could play a  
99 major role in reducing droplet and possibly contact (via reduced digital-oral  
100 interactions) transmission. The second type of evidence is that from epidemiological  
101 studies showing that masks do provide this protective effect. One systematic review  
102 on the efficacy of face masks to prevent influenza, found evidence that face masks  
103 were effective in preventing the transmission to others and weaker evidence that  
104 they prevented influenza acquisition [14]. Likewise, a systematic review and  
105 metanalysis in health care workers found that mask wearing was associated with a  
106 lower incidence of clinical respiratory infections [15]. A Cochrane review of different  
107 physical measures to prevent the acquisition of respiratory viruses found face masks  
108 to be the most effective of all measures investigated - including social distancing  
109 [16]. The results were similar for studies limited to SARS CoV-1 transmission, with  
110 the authors concluding: ‘wearing a surgical mask or a N95 mask is the measure with

111 the most consistent and comprehensive supportive evidence' [16]. Thirdly, there is  
112 increasing evidence that a large proportion of SARS CoV-2 transmission occurs from  
113 pauci- or asymptomatic individuals. An estimated 30% of infections are truly  
114 asymptomatic and 80% mild infections [17]. Evidence is also mounting that infected  
115 individuals are infectious prior to the onset of symptoms [18]. Taken together these  
116 findings provide an explanation for why epidemiological studies have found that  
117 nondocumented infections were the infection source for 79% of documented cases  
118 in Wuhan, China [18]. In this setting limiting masks to confirmed infections is far less  
119 likely to have an impact on transmission than universal use. The key argument for  
120 universal use is thus preventing transmission and a secondary argument is  
121 preventing acquisition [7, 9].

122

123 These considerations provided the motivation for the current analysis where we  
124 assessed if there was ecological level evidence that countries that promoted face  
125 mask usage in public had a lower number of COVID-19 diagnoses per capita.

126

## 127 **Methods**

### 128 ***Dependent variable:***

129 The *cumulative number of cases of COVID-19* infection per million inhabitants on 29  
130 March 2020 per country. This data was obtained from the European Centre for  
131 Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) data repository:  
132 <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases>

133

### 134 ***Independent variables:***

135 *Universal face mask.* A binary variable equal to 1 or 0 according to whether or not  
136 national policies promote the wearing of face masks in public regardless of  
137 symptoms. Countries were classified according to a narrative review of official  
138 national documents and other sources (STable 1).

139

140 *Testing intensity.* Cumulative number of nucleic acid amplification SARS CoV-2 tests  
141 conducted per country per million inhabitants up till 29 March 2020. This data was  
142 extracted from the Wikipedia COVID-19 testing site on 29 March 2020:  
143 [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/COVID-19\\_testing](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/COVID-19_testing)

144

145 *Age of epidemic.* The date the first case of COVID-19 was diagnosed in each  
146 country. This was measured in days after the first COVID-19 case was officially  
147 reported in China (10 January 2020). This data was obtained from the ECDC data  
148 repository on 29 March 2020: [https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-](https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases)  
149 [distribution-2019-ncov-cases](https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases)

150

### 151 ***Data analysis***

152 Linear regression was used to analyze the association between the independent and  
153 dependent variables. We controlled for the fact that SARS CoV-2 epidemic is at  
154 different stages in different countries via two methods. Firstly, the 'age of the  
155 epidemic' variable was included in all analyses. Secondly, we only included countries  
156 with at least 500 cumulative cases and countries whose first case was reported  
157 before 7 March 2020. Countries with missing data were dropped from the analyses.  
158 The analysis was performed in STATA version 16 (Stata Corp, College Station, Tx).  
159 Although Hong Kong is a part of China it was included as a separate data point in

160 keeping with its population size and relative autonomy as regards public health  
161 responses. Because Czechia was the only country to introduce universal face masks  
162 late in the epidemic (18 March 2020), we repeated the analyses excluding Czechia  
163 [19, 20].

164

## 165 **Results**

166 Forty-nine countries were found with complete data, epidemics older than 7 March  
167 2020 and more than 500 cases/million inhabitants (STable 1). Large variations were  
168 evident in the number of COVID-19 cases per million inhabitants (median 158,  
169 interquartile range [IQR] 20-486), testing per million (median 1723, IQR 307-4802)  
170 and the date of the first case (median 24 February, IQR 28 January – 28 February;  
171 STable 1). Only 8 of these countries advocated wearing face masks in public –  
172 China, Czechia, Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, Thailand and  
173 Malaysia. These countries tended to have older epidemics. Seven of the 8 were in  
174 the group of countries with the 10 oldest epidemics (STable 1).

175

176 In multivariate analysis face mask use was negatively associated with number of  
177 COVID-19 cases (coef. -326, 95% CI -601- -51,  $P=0.021$ ; Table 1). Testing intensity  
178 was positively associated with COVID-19 cases (coef. 0.07, 95% CI 0.05-0.08,  
179  $P<0.001$ ).

180

181 Repeating the analyses excluding Czechia strengthened the association between  
182 COVID-19 cases and face mask usage slightly (STable 2).

183

## 184 **Discussion**

185 In this ecological study we found that countries that promoted widespread face mask  
186 usage had lower cumulative numbers of COVID-19 diagnosed after controlling for  
187 testing intensity and age of the epidemic. It is important to note that this association  
188 may be entirely explained by unmeasured confounders. For example, if countries  
189 promoting universal face masking also conducted more effective contact tracing and  
190 isolation than other countries and this was responsible for the slower spread, our  
191 study design would have falsely attributed this effect to using face masks. We did not  
192 have accurate data to control for these confounders. We did however control for  
193 testing intensity which is an important potential confounder. We also controlled for  
194 the age of the epidemic which is an obvious independent determinant of the size of  
195 the epidemic. A further limitation of our study was that we were unable to quantitate  
196 the intensity of face mask use per country. This resulted in a rather crude binary  
197 classification of face mask usage per country.

198

199 At this albeit early phase of the pandemic it is important to explore why the virus has  
200 spread more extensively in many European countries than China and neighboring  
201 countries where the outbreak appeared to have commenced. This is difficult in the  
202 absence of data that quantitates national competency in terms of the various  
203 components of successful national COVID-19 response plans. Narrative reviews of  
204 the features that resulted in the success of countries such as China, Hong Kong,  
205 Japan, Singapore and Taiwan have noted a number of common features. These  
206 include: rapid response, extensive testing, contact isolation and the widespread  
207 usage of face masks in public [4, 21].

208

209 There are a number of countries in Western European such as Italy that have  
210 conducted intensive screening, contact tracing, isolation, social distancing and  
211 widespread lockdowns and yet have amongst the largest COVID-19 epidemics in the  
212 world [2]. A striking omission from this response-list if we compare it to the  
213 responses in China and other Asian countries with lower COVID-19 incidence is that  
214 the widespread use of face masks in public was not promoted. The only European  
215 country to adopt this strategy was Czechia, and it did so at a relatively late stage in  
216 the epidemic [19, 20]. Early indications suggest that despite higher testing rates than  
217 the average for western European countries, the number of new infections is lower in  
218 Czechia [1]. Future studies will however be crucial to evaluate the impact of this  
219 intervention in Czechia and elsewhere. These studies may benefit from including  
220 data from Taiwan and Macau where use of face masks in public has been high and  
221 the cumulative number of infections has remained so low that they did not meet the  
222 500 case threshold for inclusion in this study (Taiwan 283 and Macau 34 cumulative  
223 cases as of 29 March) [1].

224

225 It is likely that a single intervention is not sufficient to suppress the spread of COVID-  
226 19 [2]. The safest approach in the middle of this epidemic may be to introduce the  
227 full package of interventions that have been proven to work in Asian countries and  
228 then scale back according to new findings [3, 9]. Our analysis provides further  
229 evidence that this package should include widespread usage of face masks in public.  
230 Currently the only European country that can be considered to be doing this is  
231 Czechia.

232

233 **Authors' contributions**

234 CK conceptualized the study, was responsible for the acquisition, analysis and  
235 interpretation of data and wrote the analysis up as a manuscript.

236

237 **Funding**

238 Nil

239

240 **Conflict of interest**

241 The author declares that he/she has no competing interests.

242

243 **Ethical approval**

244 The analysis involved a secondary analysis of public access ecological level data. As  
245 a result, no ethics approval was necessary.

246

247 **Informed consent**

248 Not applicable

249 **Acknowledgements**

250 Nil

251

252 Table 1. Country level, multivariate linear regression of factors associated with  
253 cumulative number of COVID-19 diagnoses per million inhabitants (N=49).

254

	<b>Coef.</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Testing intensity</b>	0.069	0.05-0.08	<0.001
<b>Face masks</b>	-326	-601 - -51	0.021
<b>Date of epidemic</b>	-3.59	-9.31 – 2.11	0.211

255

256

257

258

259

260

## 261 References

- 262 1. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Situation update worldwide, as  
263 of 29 March 2020 [cited 29 March 2020]. Available from:  
264 <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases>.
- 265 2. Anderson RM, Heesterbeek H, Klinkenberg D, Hollingsworth TD. How will country-  
266 based mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic? *The Lancet*.  
267 2020;395(10228):931-4.
- 268 3. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports.  
269 WHO: Geneva. 2020.
- 270 4. World Health Organization. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus  
271 Disease 2019 (COVID-19). WHO: Geneva. 2020.
- 272 5. Feng S, Shen C, Xia N, Song W, Fan M, Cowling BJ. Rational use of face masks in  
273 the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2020.
- 274 6. Leung CC, Lam TH, Cheng KK. Mass masking in the COVID-19 epidemic: people  
275 need guidance. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10228):945.
- 276 7. Cohen J. Not wearing masks to protect against coronavirus is a 'big mistake,' top  
277 Chinese scientist says. *Science Magazine*; 27 March 2020.
- 278 8. World Health Organization. Advice on the use of masks in the community, during  
279 home care and in health care settings in the context of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV)  
280 outbreak, interim guidance 29 January 2020. WHO: Geneva; 2020.
- 281 9. Leung CC, Lam TH, Cheng KK. Let us not forget the mask in our attempts to stall the  
282 spread of COVID-19. *IJTL D*. 2020;April. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.20.0000.
- 283 10. Yu IT, Qiu H, Tse LA, Wong TW. Severe acute respiratory syndrome beyond Amoy  
284 Gardens: completing the incomplete legacy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;58(5):683-6. Epub  
285 2013/12/10. doi: 10.1093/cid/cit797. PubMed PMID: 24319085.
- 286 11. Li Y, Duan S, Yu IT, Wong TW. Multi-zone modeling of probable SARS virus  
287 transmission by airflow between flats in Block E, Amoy Gardens. *Indoor Air*. 2005;15(2):96-  
288 111. Epub 2005/03/02. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0668.2004.00318.x. PubMed PMID: 15737152.
- 289 12. van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson  
290 BN, et al. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1.  
291 *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020.
- 292 13. Liu Y, Ning Z, Chen Y, Guo M, Liu Y, Gali NK, et al. Aerodynamic Characteristics  
293 and RNA Concentration of SARS-CoV-2 Aerosol in Wuhan Hospitals during COVID-19  
294 Outbreak. *bioRxiv*. 2020.
- 295 14. Cowling BJ, Zhou Y, Ip DK, Leung GM, Aiello AE. Face masks to prevent  
296 transmission of influenza virus: a systematic review. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2010;138(4):449-56.  
297 Epub 2010/01/23. doi: 10.1017/S0950268809991658. PubMed PMID: 20092668.
- 298 15. Offeddu V, Yung CF, Low MSF, Tam CC. Effectiveness of Masks and Respirators  
299 Against Respiratory Infections in Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-  
300 Analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(11):1934-42. Epub 2017/11/16. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix681.  
301 PubMed PMID: 29140516.
- 302 16. Jefferson T, Del Mar C, Dooley L, Ferroni E, Al-Ansary LA, Bawazeer GA, et al.  
303 Physical interventions to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses. *Cochrane*  
304 *Database Syst Rev*. 2010;(1):CD006207. Epub 2010/01/22. doi:  
305 10.1002/14651858.CD006207.pub3. PubMed PMID: 20091588.
- 306 17. Nishiura H, Kobayashi T, Miyama T, Suzuki A, Jung S, Hayashi K, et al. Estimation  
307 of the asymptomatic ratio of novel coronavirus infections (COVID-19). *International Journal*  
308 *of Infectious Diseases*. 2020.

- 309 18. Li R, Pei S, Chen B, Song Y, Zhang T, Yang W, et al. Substantial undocumented  
310 infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2). Science.  
311 2020. Epub 2020/03/18. doi: 10.1126/science.abb3221. PubMed PMID: 32179701.
- 312 19. Hutt D. Coronavirus: Czechs facing up to COVID-19 crisis by making masks  
313 mandatory. Euronews; 24 March 2020.
- 314 20. Servick K. Would everyone wearing face masks help us slow the pandemic? : Science  
315 Magazine; 28 March 2020.
- 316 21. McCurry J, Ratcliffe R, Davidson H. Mass testing, alerts and big fines: the strategies  
317 used in Asia to slow coronavirus: The Guardian; 2020 [cited 11 March 2020]. Available  
318 from: [https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/11/mass-testing-alerts-and-big-fines-](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/11/mass-testing-alerts-and-big-fines-the-strategies-used-in-asia-to-slow-coronavirus)  
319 [the-strategies-used-in-asia-to-slow-coronavirus](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/11/mass-testing-alerts-and-big-fines-the-strategies-used-in-asia-to-slow-coronavirus).  
320