medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Science Map of the Highly Tweeted Endodontic Articles

Jafar Kolahi

Independent Research Scientist, Associate Editor of Dental Hypotheses, Isfahan, Iran

Saber Khazaei

Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

Pedram Iranmanesh

Department of Endodontics, Dental Research Center, Dental Research Institute, School of Dentistry, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Correspondence author: Jafar Kolahi
Email:kolahi_jafar@yahoo.com
Twitter: @JafarKolahi

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Introduction: To identify active journals, authors, institutions and hot topics in the field of Endodontics within the Twittersphere.

Methods: On December 23, 2019, the Altmetric database was searched using the titles of 11 endodontic journals. The bibliometric data of the top 5% of endodontic articles with the highest tweets number were extracted from the Web of Science and analyzed.

Results: Overall, 3,918 tweets (from 3,881 individual posts) related to endodontic articles from seven journals were identified, which were mostly from the U.S. The Journal of Endodontics received the most tweets. Systematic review and apical periodontitis were the most popular keywords. At the author level, Dummer PMH and Patel S and at institution level King's College London and Cardiff University, had the largest number of popular articles in the Twittersphere. The number of tweets was not correlated with citations (r=0.007, P=0.929). No statistically significant differences were found between open access (n=41, Mean=11.19) and non-open access (n=136, Mean=9.38) articles regarding the number of tweets (P=0.648).

Conclusions: In the 'Twittersphere', the overall activity of endodontic journals and associations were low. They could be more active and increase their visibility and social impact by immediately sharing research outcomes and communicating with peers, practitioners, and patients.

Keywords: Bibliometric, endodontics, publication, social media

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Altmetrics is growing rapidly and acts as a complement to traditional citation-bases metrics (1,2). One of the most important altmetric resources is Twitter, which provides real-time data on mentions. Twitter is a microblogging and social networking service based in San Francisco, California, USA that was created in 2006. As of January 2020, Twitter had 340 million active users from all over the world (3) and the platform thus plays a significant role in the discovery of scholarly information and cross-disciplinary dissemination of knowledge (4). To demonstrate the power of Twitter in terms of the dissemination of scientific articles "The spread of true and false news online" would be a good example (5). This article was associated with 9099 tweets from 8323 users, with an upper bound of 39,938,946 followers.

Twitter is used widely by the scientific community and as a result new terms have been developed such as Twitter science stars (6) and the Kardashian index (which measures over-activity of researchers in Twittersphere) (7). This social media is used successfully by clinicians to communicate with peers and provide trusted health information to educate patients (8,9). A good example would be @MayoClinic with 49.3K tweets and 1.9M followers.

Twitter was also successfully used in scientific conferences as a peer-to-peer platform for scientific communication to present findings, exchange ideas, and develop networks. Twitter conferences could reduce the large financial costs for contributors and high environmental costs in the form of carbon emissions (10).

The number of tweets can predict highly-cited articles within the first 3 days of their publication (11). Journals with their own Twitter account get 34 percent more citations and 46 percent more tweets than journals without a Twitter account (12). Moreover, within general and internal medicine journals, the number of Twitter followers is significantly correlated with citations and impact factor (13).

Recent surveys have revealed that Twitter was the most popular social media and altmetric resource among the dental research community (14,15). In addition, Twitter is the most popular social media platform in Endodontology (16). Hence, we aimed to analyze highly tweeted endodontic articles to identify active journals, authors and hot topics. Furthermore, we planned to examine the correlation and association between the number of tweets and various bibliometric factors such as citations.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

The Altmetric database (Altmetric LLP, London, UK) was searched on 23 December, 2019 using the titles of 11 endodontic journals including Australian Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 1329-1947), Endodoncia (ISSN: 0071-0261), Endodontic Practice (ISSN:1465-9417), Endodontic Practice Today (ISSN:1753-2809), Endodontic Topics (ISSN: 1601-1538), Endodontology (ISSN:0970-7212), Evidence-Based Endodontics (ISSN: 2364-9526), International Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 0143-2885), Iranian Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 1735-7497), Journal of Endodontics (ISSN: 0099-2399) and Saudi Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 1658-5984). Bibliometric data of the top 5% endodontic articles with the highest number of tweets were extracted from the Web of Science and analyzed and visualized by means of author keyword co-occurrence, co-authorship and co-citation network analysis using VOSviewer 1.6.13 software (http://www.vosviewer.com/, Leiden University Centre for Science and Technology Studies).

The Pearson coefficient was used to analyze correlation between number of tweets, Altmetric score, Mendeley readers and citations. The Student T test was used to assess differences between open access and non-open access articles regarding number of tweets. Data analysis was carried out using R 3.6.3 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Excel 2016 was used to draw graphs and data-bar visualizations.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

In total, 3,918 tweets (from 3,881 individual posts) related to endodontic articles from seven journals were located (Fig. 1), mostly from the US (Table 1). "Acetaminophen Old Drug, New Issues" with 279 tweets (from 47 users, with an upper bound of 186,968 followers) was the most popular endodontic article in the Twittersphere (Table 2). @endogarrido (Roman Martinez, 1113 followers and 4676 tweets) with 560 mentions related to endodontic articles was the most active Twitter account, followed by @autismepi (Ann Bauer, 2480 followers and 36100 tweets) with 218 mentions and @Dddent2 (dddent.com, 8994 followers and 62800 tweets) with 159 mentions.

Overall, the top 5% of endodontic articles with the highest number of tweets were associated with 177 articles. Journal of Endodontics had the largest number of popular articles in Twittersphere (Fig. 2).

Systematic review and apical periodontitis were the most popular keywords (Fig. 2). At author level Dummer PMH and Patel S and at institution level the King's College London and Cardiff University, had the largest number of popular articles in the Twittersphere (Fig. 3).

Co-citation network analysis revealed the Journal of Endodontics had the greatest influence on the network (Fig. 4).

Among 177 popular articles in the Twittersphere, the number of tweets was not correlated with citations (source of citation was Dimensions database) (r=0.007, P=: 0.929), Yet, the non-parametric Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOWESS) line revealed for citations ranging from 20 to 40 that a relationship existed between tweets and citations (Fig. 5).

No statistically significant difference found between open access (n=41, Mean=11.19) and non-open access (n=136, Mean=9.38) articles regarding number of tweets (P=0.648).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Twitter added 5 million daily users recently (17) with a total of 2000 healthcare professionals active in the Twittersphere with at least one tweet daily (9). The endodontic community could use this free and powerful platform to create virtual communities with peers and disseminate scholarly information and research findings with members of the public.

Highly tweeted science articles and dental articles have been analyzed (18,19) and revealed that children, dental caries and periodontal diseases were hot topics among highly tweeted dental articles. The British Dental Journal, Journal of Dental Research and Journal of the American Dental Association with 39087, 4503 and 3960 tweets respectively had the largest number of tweets. The UK and US had tweeted the majority of highly tweeted dental articles. The tweeted dental articles revealed 3.9 tweetsper an article on average (19) .

The Journal of Endodontics received the most tweets. This journal, the official journal of the American Association of Endodontists, was active in the Twittersphere via @savingyourteeth (4,748 tweets and 3,224 followers). The International Endodontic Journal, which is the official journal of several national endodontic societies and the European Society of Endodontology, had a lower level of activity via @EuSocEndo (474 tweets and 239 followers). This journal is ranked first among all endodontic journals according to the 2019 Journal Citation Reports (Clarivate Analytics) (Impact factor: 3.801) and could be more active in the Twittersphere. The Saudi Endodontic Journal and Saudi Endodontic Society were also active in the Twittersphere via @SaudiEndo (87 tweets and 721 followers) and @SaudiEndoS (225 tweets and 2,417 followers). Disappointingly, no other Twitter account related to endodontic journals was found. Endodontic journal editors should be aware that journals with their own Twitter account get 34 percent more citations (12). The twitter account of the British Dental Journal, @The_BDJ, with 23000 followers and 23100 tweets would be a good example.

The endeavors of an independent endodontist from Mexico, Roman Martinez, must be acknowledged; he actively tweets endodontic articles via @endogarrido (Roman Martinez, 1113 followers and 4676 tweets). Another active account was @autismepi (Ann Bauer, 2480 followers and 36100 tweets) who discussed the association between Acetaminophen and autism in children. As shown in Table 2, the most popular endodontic article in Twittersphere was about

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Acetaminophen which is a popular medication in endodontics. Another account, @autismepi, tweets endodontic articles, whilst @Dddent2 (dddent.com, 8994 followers and 62800 tweets) is a commercial account for the sale of dental materials.

In terms of evaluating hot topics, systematic review was the hottest one. In view of principles of evidence-based density, this is promising and shows that in addition to editors that pay more attention to the papers with the highest level of evidence, the public view focused on these topics. This result may also be in contrast with the idea that the majority of tweets were carried out by members of the public which attracted buzzwords in the title of scientific articles. As with other reports in the field of dentistry (16,20–22), newly emerging and ground-breaking topics, e.g. genomic medicine, nanotechnology, artificial intelligence and machine learning were not included among highly tweeted endodontic articles.

In this study no statistically significant difference was found between open access and non-open access articles regarding the number of tweets. This results was in contrast with findings of a recent survey which reported Open access dental articles had significantly higher numbers of tweets (23).

The number of tweets was not correlated with citations among the top 5% endodontic articles with the highest tweets number Yet, citations were strongly correlated with the number of Mendeley readers (r= 0.8). Same lower correlation reported in dental science (r= 0.5) (24). The correlation between number of tweets and citations may be increased in future by increasing knowledge and attitude of endodontic researchers and practitioners regarding the importance of activity in the Twittersphere and other social media. As a recommendation, we suggest future evaluations will assess changes of a correlation pattern between number of tweets and citations over time.

The limitations of this study include the fact that the number of tweets may fluctuate over time. Tweets may be made from fake accounts and robots (25), indeed, it is well-known that there are spam companies who market tweets, Twitter followers and likes. In addition, censorship of Twitter in some countries with a growing number of scientific articles must be noted.

Despite the advantages of Twitter, the endodontic community must be alert about overuse of this social media phenomenon. The Kardashian index is a useful tool to measure over-activity of researchers in the Twittersphere (7). Interestingly, there were no Kardashian scientists among the endodontic community.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Kolahi Jafar. Altmetrics: A new emerging issue for dental research scientists. Dent Hypotheses 2015;6(1):1.
2. Konkiel Stacy R. What can altmetrics tell us about interest in dental clinical trials? Dent Hypotheses 2017;8(2):31.
3. Most used social media platform. Statista. Available at: https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/. Accessed August 7, 2020, n.d.
4. Mohammadi Ehsan, Thelwall Mike, Kwasny Mary, Holmes Kristi L. Academic information on Twitter: A user survey. PLoS One 2018;13(5):e0197265.
5. Vosoughi Soroush, Roy Deb, Aral Sinan. The spread of true and false news online. Science 2018;359(6380):1146–51.
6. You Jia. Scientific community. Who are the science stars of Twitter? Science 2014;345(6203):1440–1.
7. Hall Neil. The Kardashian index: a measure of discrepant social media profile for scientists. Genome Biol 2014;15(7):424.
8. Chretien Katherine C, Azar Justin, Kind Terry. Physicians on Twitter. JAMA 2011;305(6):566–8.
9. Pershad Yash, Hangge Patrick T, Albadawi Hassan, Oklu Rahmi. Social Medicine: Twitter in Healthcare. J Clin Med Res 2018;7(6). Doi: 10.3390/jcm7060121.
10. Avery-Gomm Stephanie, Hammer Sjúrður, Humphries Grant. The age of the Twitter conference. Science 2016;352(6292):1404–5.
11. Eysenbach Gunther. Can tweets predict citations? Metrics of social impact based on Twitter and correlation with traditional metrics of scientific impact. J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e123.
12. Ortega Jose Luis. The presence of academic journals on Twitter and its relationship with dissemination (tweets) and research impact (citations). Aslib Journal of Information Management 2017;69(6):674–87.
13. Cosco Theodore D. Medical journals, impact and social media: an ecological study of the Twittersphere. CMAJ 2015;187(18):1353–7.
14. Kolahi J, Khazaei S. Altmetric analysis of contemporary dental literature. Br Dent J 2018;225(1):68–72.
15. Kolahi J, Iranmanesh P, Khazaei S. Altmetric analysis of 2015 dental literature: a cross sectional survey. Br Dent J 2017;222(9):695–9.
16. Kolahi J, Khazaei S, Iranmanesh P, Khademi A, Nekoofar MH, Dummer PMH. Altmetric analysis of the contemporary scientific literature in Endodontology. Int Endod J 2020;53(3):308–16.
17. Kastrenakes Jacob. Twitter's growth looks way better now that it's hiding monthly usage numbers. Theverge. Available at: https://www.theverge.com/2019/7/26/8929933/twitter-q2-2019-earnings. Accessed August 7, 2020, 2019.
18. Vainio Julia, Holmberg Kim. Highly tweeted science articles: who tweets them? An analysis of Twitter user profile descriptions. Scientometrics 2017;112(1):345–66.
19. Kolahi Jafar, Khazaei Saber, Iranmanesh Pedram, Soltani Parisa. Analysis of highly tweeted dental journals and articles: a science mapping approach. Br Dent J 2019;226(9):673–8.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. Kolahi Jafar, Soltani Parisa. Scientific Landscape of Dental Literature in 2017. Dent Hypotheses 2018;9(2):29.
21. Kolahi Jafar, Dunning David G, Rossomando Edward F. Dental Articles Receiving the Most Online Attention in 2018. Dent Hypotheses 2019;10(2):25.
22. Kolahi Jafar, Dunning David G, Rossomando Edward F. Scientific Landscape of Dental Literature in 2018. Dent Hypotheses 2019;10(3):55.
23. Kolahi Jafar, Khazaei Saber, Dunning David G, Rossomando Edward F. Do Open Access Dental Articles Enjoy Higher Altmetric Attention Scores, Twitter, Facebook, News, Wikipedia, Blog mentions, Mendeley Readers and Citations? Dent Hypotheses 2020;11(1):1.
24. Kolahi Jafar, Dunning Davidg, Rossomando Edwardf. Correlation Between Number of Mendeley Readers and Citations in Dental Sciences. Dent Hypotheses 2019;10(4):83.
25. Robinson-Garcia Nicolas, Costas Rodrigo, Isett Kimberley, Melkers Julia, Hicks Diana. The unbearable emptiness of tweeting-About journal articles. PLoS One 2017;12(8):e0183551.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Top 10 countries with the highest number of Tweets related to endodontic articles

Country	Total number of tweets	Unique tweets
United States	939(24%)	151(13.5%)
Mexico	575(14.7%)	11(1%)
Saudi Arabia	375(9.6%)	181(16.2%)
United Kingdom	140(3.6%)	53(4.8%)
India	112(2.9%)	10(0.9%)
Canada	94(2.4%)	18(1.6%)
Norway	94(2.4%)	1(0.1%)
Spain	68(1.7%)	40(3.6%)
Chile	63(1.6%)	10(0.9%)
Greece	41(1%)	3(0.3%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Top 10 endodontic articles with the highest number of Tweets

Number of tweeds	Title of article	Title of journal	Year of publication	Score of Altmetric	Number of citations
279	Acetaminophen: old drug, new issues	Journal of Endodontics	2015	30	31
67	European Society of Endodontology position statement: the use of antibiotics in endodontics	International Endodontic Journal	2018	41	26
65	Contamination during Endodontic Treatment Is One of the Sources of Nosocomial Endodontic Propionibacterium acnes Infections	Journal of Endodontics	2016	53	7
59	Comparison of Cyclic Fatigue Resistance of 5 Heat-treated Nickel-titanium Reciprocating Systems in Canals with Single and Double Curvatures	Journal of Endodontics	2019	50	0
30	Antibiotics in Endodontics: a review	International Endodontic Journal	2017	22	28
28	Outcome of Partial Pulpotomy in Cariously Exposed Posterior Permanent Teeth: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	Journal of Endodontics	2019	28	1
28	European Society of Endodontology position statement: Management of deep caries and the exposed pulp	International Endodontic Journal	2019	17	7
26	The Effect of Long-term Dressing with Calcium Hydroxide on the Fracture Susceptibility of Teeth	Journal of Endodontics	2018	22	4
24	Association between Pulp Stones and Kidney Stones: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	Journal of Endodontics	2019	18	1
22	A Comparative Study of ProTaper Universal and ProTaper Next Used by Undergraduate Students to Prepare Root Canals	Journal of Endodontics	2017	16	2

Source of citations: Dimensions database

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. Number of Tweets among endodontic journals

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Resources of top 5% endodontic articles with the highest number of Tweets

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3. Hot topics among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest number of Tweets, created by means of author keyword co-occurrence network analysis. The lower part showed the top 10 author's keyword growth over years.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 4. Influential authors (upper part), institutions (lower part) among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest number of Tweets, created by means of co-authorship network analysis. The color scheme showed the year of publication.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 5. Influential resources among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Tweets numbers, created by means of co-citation network analysis.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted June 3, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 6. Heat Map showing the correlation between number of tweets numbers, Mendeley readers, citations and Altmetric score among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest number of tweets (n=177). Non-parametric Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOWESS) line examining the relationship between number of tweets and citations showed on the right side.