medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Highly tweeted endodontic articles: a network analysis and machine learning perspective

Jafar Kolahi

Independent Research Scientist, Associate Editor of Dental Hypotheses, Isfahan, Iran

Saber Khazaei

Department of Endodontics, Dental Research Center, School of Dentistry, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran,

Pedram Iranmanesh

Department of Endodontics, Dental Research Center, School of Dentistry, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran,

Correspondence author:

Jafar Kolahi

Email:kolahi_jafar@yahoo.com Twitter: @JafarKolahi

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Introduction: we aimed to analyze highly tweeted endodontic articles to find active journals, authors and hot topics. Moreover, we planned to examine correlation and relativeness between number of Tweeter mentions and various bibliometric factors such as citations.

Methods: In December 23, 2019 the Altmetric database was searched using the titles of 11 endodontic journals. Bibliometric data of top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions extracted from PubMed and analyzed using the VOSviewer. To find influential factors on Twitter mentions, the random forest model (a machine learning algorithm) was used.

Results: 3,918 Tweeter mentions (from 3,881 individual posts) related to endodontic articles from seven journals found, which were mostly from the U.S. @endogarrido with 560 mentions related to endodontic articles was the most active Twitter account, followed by @autismepi with 218 mentions and @Dddent2) with 159 mentions. 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions involved 177 articles. Systematic review and apical periodontitis were the most popular keywords. Petel S and Dummer MPH had the highest number of popular articles in Twittersphere. Number of Twitter mentions were not correlated with number of mendeley readers (r= 0.082) and citations (r= 0.007). Random forest model showed journal title, author's affiliation and funding source were not important factored regarding number of Twitter mentions.

Discussion: Journal of Endodontics received the most Tweeter mentions. This journal which related to the American Association of Endodontists , were quite active in Twittersphere via @savingyourteeth (4,748 Tweea ts and 3,224 Followers). Yet, The International Endodontic Journal which is the Official Journal of the European Society of Endodontology has lower level of activity via @EuSocEndo (474 Tweets and 239 Followers). This journal had first rank among all dental journals according to SCImago 2017 report (SJR: 2.146 and H Index:107) and could be more active in Twittersphere.

Keywords: Twitter, endodontics, altmetrics, citation, network analysis, machine learning

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Nowadays altmetrics is growing fast and act as a complement to traditional citation-bases metrics (Warren, Raison and Dasgupta, 2017)(Kolahi, 2015)(Konkiel, 2017)(Melero, 2015). One of the most important altmetric resource was Twitter which provides real-time mentions data. Twitter is a microblogging and social networking service based in San Francisco, California which was created in 2006. As of October 2019, Twitter had 330 million active users from all over the world (Statista, 2019). Todays, Twitter plays a significant role in the discovery of scholarly information and cross-disciplinary knowledge spreading (Mohammadi *et al.*, 2018). To show power of Twitter regarding dissemination of scientific articles "The spread of true and false news online" (published at Science in March 2018) would be a good example. This article acquired 9099 tweets from 8323 users, with an upper bound of 39,938,946 followers.

Twitter widely used by scientific community and as a result new terms developed such as Twitter science stares (You, 2014) and Kardashian index (measures over/under activity of researchers in Twittersphere) (Hall, 2014).

This social media successfully used by clinicians to communicate with peers and provide trusted heath information to educate patients (Pershad, P. Hangge, *et al.*, 2018)(Chretien, Azar and Kind, 2011). Good example would be @MayoClinic with 49.3K Tweets and 1.9M Followers (Widmer *et al.*, 2016).

Twitter was successfully used in scientific conferences as a peer-to-peer platform for scientific communication to present findings, exchange ideas, and develop networks. Twitter conferences could reduce high financial costs for contributors and high environmental costs in the form of carbon emissions (Avery-Gomm, Hammer and Humphries, 2016).

The number of Twitter mentions can prediction highly cited articles within the first 3 days of their publication (Eysenbach, 2011). Journals with their own Twitter account get 34 percent more citations and 46 percent more tweets than journals without a Twitter account (Ortega, 2017). Also, between general and internal medicine journals, the number of Twitter followers is significantly correlated with citations and impact factor (Cosco, 2015).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Nevertheless, recent surveys showed twitter was the most popular social media and altmetric resource among dental research community (Kolahi and Khazaei, 2016)(Kolahi, Iranmanesh and Khazaei, 2017)(Kolahi and Khazaei, 2018). Also twitter is the most popular social media in endodontology (J. Kolahi *et al.*, 2019).

Hence, we aimed to analyze highly tweeted endodontic articles to find active journals, authors and hot topics. Moreover, we planned to examine correlation and relativeness between number of Tweeter mentions and various bibliometric factors such as citations.

Methods

In December 23, 2019 the Altmetric database (Altmetric LLP, London, UK) was searched using the titles of 11 endodontic journals (Australian Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 1329-1947), Endodoncia (ISSN: 0071-0261), Endodontic Practice (ISSN:1465-9417), Endodontic Practice Today (ISSN:1753-2809), Endodontic Topics (ISSN: 1601-1538), Endodontology (ISSN:0970-7212), Evidence-Based Endodontics (ISSN: 2364-9526), International Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 0143-2885), Iranian Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 1735-7497), Journal of Endodontics (ISSN: 0099-2399), Saudi Endodontic Journal (ISSN: 1658-5984)). Bibliometric data of top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions extracted from PubMed and analyzed using the VOSviewer (http://www.vosviewer.com/, Leiden University Centre for Science and Technology Studies).

The Pearson coefficient was employed for the correlation analysis. To find influential factors on Twitter mentions, the random forest model (a machine learning algorithm) was used. Random forest is a method of regression that creates a set of decision trees that consists of a great number of separate trees which operate as a group as like as a forest. Data analysis was carried out using R 3.6.1 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

3,918 Tweeter mentions (from 3,881 individual posts) related to endodontic articles from seven journals found (Figure 1), which were mostly from the U.S (Table 1). "Acetaminophen Old Drug, New Issues." With 279 Tweeter mentions (from 47 users, with an upper bound of 186,968 followers) was the most popular endodontic article in Twittersphere (Table 2). @endogarrido (Roman Martinez, 1113 followers and 4676 Tweets) with 560 mentions related to endodontic articles was the most active Twitter account, followed by @autismepi (Ann Bauer, 2480 followers and 36100 Tweets) with 218 mentions and @Dddent2 (dddent.com, 8994 Followers and 62800 Tweets) with 159 mentions.

5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions involved 177 articles. Systematic review and apical periodontitis were the most popular keywords (Figure 2, upper). Petel S and Dummer MPH had the highest number of popular articles in Twittersphere (Figure 2, lower).

Number of Twitter mentions were not correlated with citations (source of citation was Dimensions database) and number of mendeley readers. A moderate uphill (positive) relationship found between Twitter mentions and Altmetric score (Figure 3).

Random forest model (a machine learning algorithm) showed journal title, author's affiliation and funding source were not important factored regarding number of Twitter mentions (Figure 4).

Discussion

Twitter added 5 million daily users recently (Kastrenakes, 2019). A total 2000 healthcare professionals were active on Twittersphere with at least one tweet daily (Pershad, P. T. Hangge, *et al.*, 2018)(Meskó, 2013). Endodontic community could use this free and powerful platform to create virtual communities with peers and disseminate scholarly information and research findings with members of public.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Highly tweeted science articles and dental articles have been analyzed recently (Vainio and Holmberg, 2017) (Jafar Kolahi *et al.*, 2019). Analysis of dental articles showed children, dental caries and periodontal diseases were hot topics among highly tweeted dental articles. British dental journal, journal of dental research and journal of American dental association had the highest number of Twitter mentions.

To our knowledge this is the first attempt to analysis status of endodontic journals and articles in Twittersphere.

Journal of Endodontics received the most Tweeter mentions. This journal which related to the American Association of Endodontists , were quite active in Twittersphere via @savingyourteeth (4,748 Tweea ts and 3,224 Followers). Yet, The International Endodontic Journal which is the Official Journal of the European Society of Endodontology has lower level of activity via @EuSocEndo (474 Tweets and 239 Followers). This journal had first rank among all dental journals according to SCImago 2017 report (SJR: 2.146 and H Index:107) and could be more active in Twittersphere. Saudi Endodontic Journal and Saudi Endodontic Society were also active in Twittersphere via @SaudiEndo (87 Tweets and 721 Followers) and @SaudiEndoS (225 Tweets and 2,417 Followers). Disappointingly, we could not find any other Twitter account related to endodontic journals. Endodontic journal editors must note journals with their own Twitter account get 34 percent more citations (Ortega, 2017). Twitter account of the British Dental Journal, @The_BDJ, with 23000 followers and 23100 tweets would be a good example.

However, we must acknowledge endeavors of an independent Mexican endodontist, Roman Martinez, which was actively tweets endodontic articles via @endogarrido (Roman Martinez, 1113 followers and 4676 Tweets). Other active account was @autismepi (Ann Bauer, 2480 followers and 36100 Tweets). She discussed relationship between Acetaminophen and kid's autism. As seen in table 2 the most popular endodontic article in Twittersphere was about Acetaminophen and this drug was a popular medication in endodontics. Consequently @autismepi tweets endodontic articles. @Dddent2 (dddent.com, 8994 Followers and 62800 Tweets) is a commercial account for sale of dental materials.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Like as other reports in field of dentistry (Kolahi and Soltani, 2018) (Kolahi, Dunning and Rossomando, 2019a)(Kolahi, Dunning and Rossomando, 2019b) newly emerging and ground-breaking concepts, e.g. genomic medicine, nanotechnology, artificial intelligence were not included among highly tweeted endodontic articles.

Number of twitter mentions was not correlated with citations among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions. Yet, citations strongly correlated with number of mendeley readers (r= 0.8). Same lower correlation reported in dental science (r= 0.5).

Limitations of this study must be noted. Number of tweets may be fluctuating over time. Tweets may be done by fake accounts and robots (Robinson-Garcia *et al.*, 2017). It is well-known that there are spam companies marketing tweets, Twitter follower and likes. Also censorship of Twitter in some countries with growing number of scientific articles must be noted.

Despite several advantages of Twitter, endodontic community must be alert about overuse of this social media. Kardashian index is a useful tool to measure over activity of researchers in Twittersphere) (Hall, 2014). Interestingly, we could not find any Kardashian scientist among endodontic community.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Altmetric LLP (London, U.K), particularly Mrs. Stacy Konkiel for her valued support and permitting us complete access to Altmetric data.

Conflict of interest

This study was not financially supported by any institution or commercial sources and the authors declare that they have no competing interests.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reference

Avery-Gomm, S., Hammer, S. and Humphries, G. (2016) 'The age of the Twitter conference.', *Science (New York, N.Y.)*. American Association for the Advancement of Science, 352(6292), pp. 1404–5. doi: 10.1126/science.352.6292.1404-b.

Chretien, K. C., Azar, J. and Kind, T. (2011) 'Physicians on Twitter', *JAMA*, 305(6), p. 566. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.68.

Cosco, T. D. (2015) 'Medical journals, impact and social media: an ecological study of the Twittersphere.', *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*, 187(18), pp. 1353–7. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.150976.

Eysenbach, G. (2011) 'Can tweets predict citations? Metrics of social impact based on Twitter and correlation with traditional metrics of scientific impact.', *Journal of medical Internet research*. JMIR Publications Inc., 13(4), p. e123. doi: 10.2196/jmir.2012.

Hall, N. (2014) 'The Kardashian index: a measure of discrepant social media profile for scientists', *Genome Biology*. BioMed Central, 15(7), p. 424. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0424-0.

Kastrenakes, J. (2019) *Twitter's growth looks way better now that it's hiding monthly usage numbers - The Verge*, *The Verge*. Available at: https://www.theverge.com/2019/7/26/8929933/twitter-q2-2019-earnings (Accessed: 27 December 2019).

Kolahi, J. (2015) 'Altmetrics: A new emerging issue for dental research scientists', *Dental Hypotheses*. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd., 6(1), pp. 1–2. doi: 10.4103/2155-8213.150855.

Kolahi, J. *et al.* (2019) 'Altmetric analysis of the contemporary scientific literature in Endodontology', *International Endodontic Journal*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111), p. iej.13226. doi: 10.1111/iej.13226.

Kolahi, Jafar *et al.* (2019) 'Analysis of highly tweeted dental journals and articles: a science mapping approach', *British Dental Journal*. Nature Publishing Group, 226(9), pp. 673–678. doi: 10.1038/s41415-019-0212-z.

Kolahi, J., Dunning, D. and Rossomando, E. (2019a) 'Dental Articles Receiving the Most Online Attention in 2018', *Dental Hypotheses*. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd., 10(2), pp. 25–8. doi: 10.4103/denthyp.denthyp_63_19.

Kolahi, J., Dunning, D. and Rossomando, E. (2019b) 'Scientific Landscape of Dental Literature in 2018', *Dental Hypotheses*. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd., 10(3), p. 55. doi: 10.4103/denthyp.denthyp_104_19.

Kolahi, J., Iranmanesh, P. and Khazaei, S. (2017) 'Altmetric analysis of 2015 dental literature: A cross sectional survey', *British Dental Journal*, 222(9). doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2017.408.

Kolahi, J. and Khazaei, S. (2016) 'Altmetric: Top 50 dental articles in 2014', *BDJ*, 220(11), pp. 569–574. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2016.411.

Kolahi, J. and Khazaei, S. (2018) 'Altmetric analysis of contemporary dental literature', *BDJ*. Nature Publishing Group, 225(1), pp. 68–72. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2018.521.

Kolahi, J. and Soltani, P. (2018) 'Scientific Landscape of Dental Literature in 2017', *Dental Hypotheses*. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd., 9(2), p. 29. doi: 10.4103/denthyp.denthyp_29_18.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Konkiel, S. (2017) 'What can altmetrics tell us about interest in dental clinical trials?', *Dental Hypotheses*. Medknow Publications and Media Pvt. Ltd., 8(2), p. 31. doi: 10.4103/denthyp.denthyp_12_17.

Melero, R. (2015) 'Altmetrics - a complement to conventional metrics.', *Biochemia medica*, 25(2), pp. 152–60. doi: 10.11613/BM.2015.016.

Meskó, B. (2013) *Social Media in Clinical Practice*. London: Springer London. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4471-4306-2.

Mohammadi, E. *et al.* (2018) 'Academic information on Twitter: A user survey', *PLOS ONE*. Edited by S. Lozano. Public Library of Science, 13(5), p. e0197265. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197265.

Ortega, J. L. (2017) 'The presence of academic journals on Twitter and its relationship with dissemination (tweets) and research impact (citations)', *Aslib Journal of Information Management*. Emerald Publishing Limited , 69(6), pp. 674–687. doi: 10.1108/AJIM-02-2017-0055.

Pershad, Y., Hangge, P. T., *et al.* (2018) 'Social Medicine: Twitter in Healthcare.', *Journal of clinical medicine*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI), 7(6). doi: 10.3390/jcm7060121.

Pershad, Y., Hangge, P., *et al.* (2018) 'Social Medicine: Twitter in Healthcare', *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 7(6), p. 121. doi: 10.3390/jcm7060121.

Robinson-Garcia, N. *et al.* (2017) 'The unbearable emptiness of tweeting—About journal articles', *PLOS ONE*. Edited by W. Glanzel. Public Library of Science, 12(8), p. e0183551. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183551.

Statista (2019) *Most popular social networks worldwide as of October 2019, ranked by number of active users*. Available at: https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/ (Accessed: 26 December 2019).

Vainio, J. and Holmberg, K. (2017) 'Highly tweeted science articles: who tweets them? An analysis of Twitter user profile descriptions', *Scientometrics*, 112(1), pp. 345–366. doi: 10.1007/s11192-017-2368-0.

Warren, H. R., Raison, N. and Dasgupta, P. (2017) 'The Rise of Altmetrics.', *JAMA*, 317(2), pp. 131–132. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.18346.

Widmer, R. J. *et al.* (2016) 'An Academic Healthcare Twitter Account: The Mayo Clinic Experience', *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 19(6), pp. 360–366. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2015.0607.

You, J. (2014) 'Scientific community. Who are the science stars of Twitter?', *Science (New York, N.Y.)*. American Association for the Advancement of Science, 345(6203), pp. 1440–1. doi: 10.1126/science.345.6203.1440.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. Number of Twitter mentions among endodontic journals

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Top 10 countries with the highest number of Tweets related to endodontic articles

COUNTRY	TOTAL TWEETS	UNIQUE TWEETERS
United States	939(24%)	151(13.5%)
Mexico	575(14.7%)	11(1%)
Saudi Arabia	375(9.6%)	181(16.2%)
United Kingdom	140(3.6%)	53(4.8%)
India	112(2.9%)	10(0.9%)
Canada	94(2.4%)	18(1.6%)
Norway	94(2.4%)	1(0.1%)
Spain	68(1.7%)	40(3.6%)
Chile	63(1.6%)	10(0.9%)
Greece	41(1%)	3(0.3%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Top 10 endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions

Twitter mentions	Title	Journal Title	Date	Altmetric Score	Mendeley readers	Citations
279	Acetaminophen Old Drug, New Issues.	Journal of Endodontics	2/27/2015	30	108	31
67	European Society of Endodontology position statement: the use of antibiotics in endodontics	International Endodontic Journal	6/14/2017	41	124	26
65	Glove Contamination during Endodontic Treatment Is One of the Sources of Nosocomial Endodontic Propionibacterium acnes Infections	Journal of Endodontics	7/6/2016	53	51	7
59	Comparison of Cyclic Fatigue Resistance of 5 Heat-treated Nickel-titanium Reciprocating Systems in Canals with Single and Double Curvatures	Journal of Endodontics	10/1/2019	50	11	0
30	Antibiotics in Endodontics: a review	International Endodontic Journal	1/16/2017	22	132	28
28	Outcome of Partial Pulpotomy in Cariously Exposed Posterior Permanent Teeth: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	Journal of Endodontics	11/1/2019	28	9	1
28	European Society of Endodontology position statement: Management of deep caries and the exposed pulp	International Endodontic Journal	6/10/2019	17	80	7
26	The Effect of Long-term Dressing with Calcium Hydroxide on the Fracture Susceptibility of Teeth	Journal of Endodontics	12/16/2017	22	41	4
24	Association between Pulp Stones and Kidney Stones: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	Journal of Endodontics	9/1/2019	18	8	1
22	A Comparative Study of ProTaper Universal and ProTaper Next Used by Undergraduate Students to Prepare Root Canals	Journal of Endodontics	8/1/2017	16	19	2

Source of citations was Dimensions database

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Hot topics (upper) and active authors (lower) among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions, created by means of author keyword co-occurrence and co-authorship network analysis.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3. Correlation between number of Tweeter mentions, Mendeley readers, citations and Altmetric score among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions. Histogram and density of each variable were also showed.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 4. Importance of different bibliometric factors and Altmetric score when Twitter mentions considered as target among top 5% endodontic articles with the highest Twitter mentions, created by means of random forest model (a machine learning algorithm) using conditional inference trees.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046755; this version posted April 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .