

1 **Health Worker Practices, Facilitators and Barriers towards the Intensified Case-Finding**  
2 **Tuberculosis Screening Tool in Uganda.**

3  
4 Michael Kakinda<sup>1\*</sup>¶, Joseph K. B Matovu<sup>2¶</sup>, Ekwaro A. Obuku<sup>3, 4, 5¶</sup>

6  
7 **Affiliations**

8 1Ministry of Health, P.O. Box 7061, Kampala, Uganda

9 2Makerere University College of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, P.O. Box 7072,  
10 Kampala, Uganda

11 3Clinical, Operational and Health Services Research, Joint Clinical Research Centre, P.O.  
12 Box 10005, Kampala, Uganda

13 4Makerere University College of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, P.O. Box 7072,  
14 Kampala, Uganda

15 <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical  
16 Medicine, WC1E 7HT, London, UK

17

18

19 **Emails:**

20 MK: [kaksmich@yahoo.com](mailto:kaksmich@yahoo.com)

21 JKBM: [jmatovu@musph.ac.ug](mailto:jmatovu@musph.ac.ug)

22 EAO: [ekwaro@gmail.com](mailto:ekwaro@gmail.com)

23

24 **Address for correspondence**

25 \*Michael Kakinda

26 Ministry of Health

27 Plot 6 Lumumba Avenue

28 P.O. Box 7072 Kampala

29 Email: [kaksmich@yahoo.com](mailto:kaksmich@yahoo.com)

30 ¶These authors contributed equally to this work

31

32 **Abstract**

33 **Introduction**

34 Although the Tuberculosis (TB) Intensified Case Finding (ICF) tool was introduced in 2011,  
35 only 15.7% of the estimated 35 million people living with HIV were screened for TB in 2013.  
36 We explored the facilitators, barriers and health care workers' practices regarding use of the ICF  
37 tool in TB screening.

38  
39 **Methods**

40 We conducted a qualitative study in Jinja, eastern Uganda. We purposively sampled eight (4  
41 private and 4 Government) health facilities (HFs) with the guidance of the District TB and  
42 Leprosy Supervisor (DTLS). At each health facility, three health care workers (in-charge TB  
43 clinic, a TB focal person & one laboratory technician (total: 24 participants in 8 HFs) were  
44 interviewed using a key informant interview guide. Data were collected on how TB was screened  
45 and diagnosed in general and when using the ICF tool in particular. Data were audio-recorded,  
46 transcribed in verbatim, coded and analyzed using a thematic framework.

47  
48 **Results**

49 The ICF tool was available in all the 8 HFs; however, only half (12/24) the health workers  
50 interviewed at these facilities had ever used it for screening TB. The facilitators to ICF use were  
51 all levels of health cadres could use it, with simple, close-ended questions and clear, simple  
52 instructions. However, several barriers were identified as hindering the use of the ICF tool. The  
53 barriers to the use of the ICF tool are segmented according to the Health System building blocks,  
54 Leadership and Governance Barriers (concurrent use of other tools, lack of detailed training),  
55 Health Workforce Barriers (Lack of awareness of about the tool, perceived increased workload)  
56 and Health Information Management System Barriers (Stock-outs of the ICF tools).

57  
58 **Discussion**

59 The ICF tool was found to be simple and easy to use; however, its use remained low due to a  
60 variety of perceived barriers by health workers. There is a need to increase the health care  
61 workers' awareness about the ICF tool to improve its utilization in TB screening.

62

## 63 **Background**

64 The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends regular screening for TB using the  
65 Intensified Case Finding Tool (ICF) especially among persons co-infected with HIV [1, 2].  
66 Intensified TB case finding and prompt treatment aims to interrupt disease transmission and  
67 reduce TB associated morbidity and mortality [3]. ICF is the central intervention of the 3I's  
68 strategy (Intensified Case Finding, Isoniazid Prevention Therapy and Infection Control), because  
69 it aims to identify patients as either having active TB (and in need of treatment) or being free of  
70 TB disease (and warranting preventive therapy) [4]. Also, Intensified Case Finding aides TB  
71 Infection control measures [5] as people who are likely to have TB can be separated from other  
72 patients.

73  
74 While the use of the ICF tool improves TB screening [6], in 2013, only 5.5 million (15.7%) of  
75 the estimated 35 million people living with HIV [7] were screened for TB [8]. This suggests that  
76 the use of the ICF tool has not yet reached the optimal level and many TB suspected cases  
77 continue to interface with the health system in most developing countries but miss being detected  
78 and put on appropriate treatment. This is the first study of its kind, which tries to explain why the  
79 ICF tool is not being used as well as how it is being used. We explored the health workers'  
80 practices regarding the use of the ICF tool in TB screening among health care workers in  
81 Uganda. We aimed to document the health system barriers and facilitators for ICF use in  
82 screening TB.

83

## 84 **Methods and Materials**

85

### 86 **Study Setting**

87 This study was carried out in Jinja Municipality, which is located in the eastern part of Uganda  
88 on the shores of Lake Victoria, 85 kilometres from Kampala, the Capital City of Uganda. Jinja  
89 District has a population of 468,256 people as of 2014 [9]. The district has 41 Government  
90 dispensaries (Health Centre IIs), 13 Health Centre III's at the county level, 4 Health  
91 Centre IV's at the sub-district level and one regional referral hospital. Also, there are 9 private  
92 or non-governmental organization dispensaries, 26 clinics, 3 Health Centers and 1 private  
93 hospital [10].

94 Among the government facilities, 3 offered general health services, one was a specialized  
95 hospital and a referral for the region with a special TB Clinic day every month. Two of the four  
96 114 non-governmental health facilities were specialized clinics providing comprehensive HIV  
97 and TB care and diagnosis. The other 2 provided general health services.

98

99 Study Design, sampling and respondents

100 This was a qualitative case study using key informant interviews, participant observation and  
101 document analysis. We purposively selected eight health facilities (state and non-state) and  
102 12 health workers (clinical, laboratory and management) in Jinja Municipality to achieve  
103 maximum variation. The health facilities were selected with guidance from the District TB and  
104 Leprosy Supervisor (DTLS). The respondents comprised three (3) health care workers  
105 (in-charge TB clinic, a TB focal person & one-laboratory personnel) from each of the eight  
106 health facility for a total of 24 health care workers.

107

108 Data Collection Methods and Procedures

109 We collected data between March and July 2017. We conducted key informant interviews with  
110 health workers using a key informant interview guide. The guide was pre-tested among health  
111 workers of an HIV/AIDS clinic in Jinja municipality and adjustments were made. MK conducted  
112 the interviews in English in private consultation rooms. Participants described how they screened  
113 and diagnosed TB, including how they used the ICF tool. We probed for their perceptions of  
114 potential barriers or facilitators for using the ICF tool as well as health care workers' practices  
115 regarding the use of the ICF tool for Tuberculosis screening. The interviews were audio-recorded  
116 with permission from the participants.

117

118 Data Analysis

119 We transcribed the audio-recorded data from key informant interviews verbatim. We analyzed  
120 on a rolling basis for the validation of our findings. In subsequent analyses, we identified and  
121 coded data based on a priori themes including facilitators, barriers and health workers' practices  
122 regarding the use of the ICF tool. MK and EAO read the transcripts to get an overview and  
123 subsequently identified units of analysis. MK and EAO agreed on the list of codes and then MK

124 coded the material. All authors participated in condensing and summarizing the content of each  
125 code to make generalized descriptions concerning the use of the ICF tool.

126

## 127 Ethics Approval

128 The Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the Faculty Review Board cleared the study for Health  
129 Sciences at Uganda Christian University. Informed consent was obtained from the participants in  
130 writing.

131

## 132 **Results**

133

### 134 Characteristics of respondents

135 The study participants were 24 health care workers. Their average age was 38 years (26-52),  
136 most of them were female (67%) while the rest were male. Most of the participants had diplomas  
137 in medicine (n=8), nursing (n=2) or medical laboratory technology (n=6). Only 2 of the  
138 participants had bachelor's degrees (public health and medical laboratory technology) while the  
139 rest had certificates. All the participants had been practicing for a median of 5 years (IQR 3-13  
140 years). ICF tools were available at all 8 participating health facilities. Of the 24 health workers  
141 interviewed, only 12 (50%) had ever used an ICF tool to conduct TB screening; 4 (17%) had  
142 ever seen it but never used it, while 8 (33%) had never seen it.

143

### 144 Facilitators of the ICF Tool

145

146 Of those that had ever used the ICF tool, the main facilitator was the ease of its use because of  
147 clear instructions and simple language. Participants reported that the ICF tool had simple, closed-  
148 ended questions and was very brief thus requiring little time and effort to administer.

149

150 *"...The questions are easy to comprehend. You just tick. There are also a few fields to fill so it*  
151 *doesn't take lots of time..."* Clinical Officer

152

153 *"...The questions of The ICF tool are straight forward so it can be used by anyone even a lay-*  
154 *person, it is also easy to use since it is a matter of just ticking..."* Enrolled Nurse

155

## 156 Barriers to the use of the ICF Tool

157 The barriers to the use of the ICF tool are segmented according to the Health System building  
158 blocks, Leadership and Governance Barriers (concurrent use of other tools, lack of detailed  
159 training), Health Workforce Barriers (Lack of awareness of about the tool, perceived increased  
160 workload) and Health Information Management System Barriers (Stock-outs of the  
161 ICF tools).

162

## 163 Leadership and Governance Barriers

164 Those that had never used the tool cited some challenges including the fact that there were other  
165 competing tools to complete, including tools used in other intervention such as prevention of  
166 mother to child transmission (PMTCT), early infant diagnosis of HIV (EID) and the integrated  
167 management of childhood illnesses (IMCI). Besides, recording individual patient data in the  
168 various registers was a challenge.

169

170 *“... There are many tools for all the various programs say PMTCT, IMCI, EID, and TB/Leprosy*  
171 *mention it so recording in a number of these tools is a challenge...”* Clinical Officer

172

173 Because the tool was assumed to be easy to use, the National TB and Leprosy Program and  
174 its partners decided that no detailed training was required and that all that was needed was an  
175 orientation during support supervision. This lack of knowledge now presents as a barrier to the  
176 use of the ICF tool. This finding suggests that even when the ICF tool orientation was provided,  
177 the message did not trickle down through to the health workers from the facility managers.

178

## 179 Health Workforce Barriers

180 Lack of awareness about the tool was a major barrier; some health workers had never seen the  
181 ICF tool while others had only seen a sample during a TB/HIV collaborative workshop. It was  
182 due to these gaps that some health workers who did not understand the tool just ignored it. While  
183 the health workers at Out –Patient Department (OPD) and HIV clinics were knowledgeable  
184 about the tool and/or its purpose, most of the health workers in the Laboratory was often  
185 clueless.

186 “...*I don’t know it, but it is in the office of the in-charge, they used to send some people with the*  
187 *ICF tool but now they use their books for recording purposes...*”

188 Laboratory Technician.

189

190 “... *I think I do not know that tool I think you better brief me. The one for coughing for how*  
191 *long, night sweats, and the like, it is used on OPD but not in the Laboratory. Since we handle*  
192 *samples*” ...Laboratory Technologist

193

194 Due to increased workload, the filling of the ICF tool was seen as an additional burden, as  
195 emphasized by the following quotation:

196

197 “...*The other barrier is some health workers are lazy to use them (ICF Tool) because of the*  
198 *workload*” ... Enrolled Nurse

199

200 Health Information Management System Barriers

201

202 The other barrier was that the ICF tool was said to be available in some facilities and not in  
203 others. It was reported to be available in facilities that did not use the tool. Even then, the  
204 facilities that reported using the tool also reported frequent stock-outs a few weeks after the  
205 supply of the ICF tool.

206

207 “...*Currently, we don’t have them but we used to have them. It was at every clinical room on the*  
208 *table. That was like 3 months ago and we were using them. It was here for a short time...*”

209 Clinical Officer

210

211 Health care worker practices regarding the use of the ICF tool in the diagnosis and screening for  
212 TB

213

214 In four of the eight facilities, health workers reported using the ICF tool for symptomatic TB  
215 screening occasionally; irrespective of ownership status. Here, the ICF tool was often used at  
216 entry points especially in the Out-Patient Department (OPD), the HIV AIDS clinics and the

217 Maternal Child Health Clinics. This was rarely the case in admission units. Hardly was the  
218 ICF tool employed in Health Centers levels III and IV.

219  
220 Regarding how the tool was used, health workers reported that they mostly asked about a cough,  
221 which had lasted for a long period. Symptomatic patients were sent to the laboratory for sputum  
222 examination by microscopy. Priority was given to patients who had taken various antibiotics  
223 with no improvement.

224  
225 “... *We use it when the patient has a cough for a long period. We administer it in the Out-*  
226 *Patient Department (OPD), we give it to people we suspect to have TB. Ideally, we should use it*  
227 *on patients who are on HAART (antiretroviral therapy) who have had a cough for over 2*  
228 *weeks... ” Clinical Officer*

229  
230 “... *TB is screened initially by using health education; the patient is asked about key signs and*  
231 *symptoms of Tuberculosis with the help of the ICF tool like cough for > 2 weeks if their sputum*  
232 *is blood-stained if they have noticed some weight loss. These clients will be isolated and taken to*  
233 *the TB waiting area. The other methods used for screening are requested by the clinician like the*  
234 *chest radiographs and sputum analysis using ZN analysis in the laboratory... ” Clinical Officer.*

235  
236 It is important to note that some health workers mentioned a cough lasting over 3 weeks, which  
237 is contrary to the guidelines from the National TB and Leprosy Program (NTLP). 276  
238 NTLP recommends 2 instead of 3 weeks. The other symptoms mentioned were evening fevers,  
239 night sweats, weight loss and loss of appetite.

240  
241 “.... *we normally diagnose TB after 3 weeks of a patient coughing, we tell the patients that they*  
242 *could have TB, then we find out if the patient has any of these symptoms; loss of appetite,*  
243 *evening fevers and sweating in the night. We tell the patient to go for a laboratory test, sputum*  
244 *analysis” Enrolled Nurse.*

245



246 “...when they (patients) come to OPD, screening for tuberculosis is done. TB suspects are those  
247 who have had a prolonged cough longer than 3 weeks, unexplained fevers, night sweats and  
248 weight loss...” Clinical Officer

249

250

## 251 **Discussion**

252 Our study of the facilitators, barriers and health workers’ practices regarding the use of the  
253 ICF tool among health care workers in Jinja Municipality in Eastern Uganda shows that the  
254 ICF tool is easy to use. However, barriers such as other competing tools, availability and lack of  
255 awareness and knowledge about the ICF tool affected its optimal use.

256

257 The ICF tool was found to be easy to use mainly due to its brevity and simplicity with clear  
258 instructions. The National and Leprosy Programs should capitalize on these advantages in its  
259 design to increase the uptake of the ICF tool.

260

261 However, because the ICF tool is presumed to be easy to use, comprehensive training has been  
262 overlooked and emphasis placed on support supervision. As a result, most of the health workers  
263 do not seem to understand the importance of using the ICF tool in TB screening.

264

265 The laboratory personnel were found to have slightly limited knowledge as regards to ICF. We  
266 recommend across-the-board training that should target everybody likely to encounter a TB  
267 patient. Despite the Laboratory staff usually only running samples, they also come in contact  
268 with patients. They could screen those referred for other tests but may have presumptive signs  
269 and symptoms for TB. Especially when they have been referred to the laboratory serially.

270

271 Health care workers raised various reasons that hindered the use of the ICF tool; these included  
272 other competing tools, the tools getting lost after screening since they are stand-alone and stock-  
273 outs of the tool were frequently mentioned. All the above barriers can be overcome by  
274 embedding the ICF tool into the patient clinical encounter forms.

275

276 This is the first study of its kind to assess the use of ICF tool in Uganda; the data presented will  
277 have national-level significance and application. The increased use of the ICF tool is expected to  
278 have a marked rise in the Case Notification Rate (CNR) of TB patients [5,12,13] with patients  
279 diagnosed earlier thereby reducing their mortality as well as eventually decreasing the  
280 transmission of disease in the community [5,13,14]. However, despite this studies several  
281 strengths, it had some limitations, this study had a relatively small sample size (N=24) and  
282 saturation may not have been reached.

283

284

285

## 286 **Conclusion**

287 The ICF tool was considered to be easy to use by health workers and this facilitated their use.  
288 However, a variety of barriers continue to hamper its optimal use including other competing  
289 tools, availability, lack of awareness and knowledge about the ICF tool. This explains the low  
290 uptake of the ICF tool. To increase its utilization, there is a need to capitalize on the facilitators  
291 of the tool while getting ways of mitigating the barriers. There is also a need to improve  
292 awareness among health workers about the ICF tool, its importance and when and where it  
293 should be used.

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

## 302 **Acknowledgement**

303 This work is a product of a thesis by KM who completed his Masters of Public Health at  
304 Uganda Christian University, Mukono, Uganda. EAO was the graduate research supervisor for  
305 KM and is a doctorate research fellow at Makerere University College of Health Sciences,

306 Kampala, Uganda as well as tutor at the University of London, UK. EAO received support to  
307 complete this work from the Uganda-Case Western Reserve University Research Collaboration  
308 via the Fogarty International Clinical Research Scholars and Fellows Program at Vanderbilt  
309 University and the American Relief and Recovery Act, Fogarty International Centre, National  
310 Institutes of Health, USA based at the JCRC Uganda (R24 TW007988).

311

### 312 **Author Contributions**

313 The following authors participated in developing the idea into a concept (KM, EAO), data  
314 collection and analysis (KM, EAO), drafting the manuscript (KM), reviewing, critically  
315 appraising and approving the final version of the manuscript (KM, EAO, JM).

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

### 332 **References**

- 333 1. World Health Organization (WHO) (2004). Interim policy on collaborative TB/HIV  
334 activities. Geneva, Switzerland.
- 335 2. Ministry of Health (2010) Manual of the National Tuberculosis and Leprosy Program.  
336 2nd Edition ed. Kampala, Uganda

- 337 3. World Health Organization (WHO) (2008) WHO Three I's Meeting. Geneva,  
338 Switzerland.
- 339 4. Kranzer K, Houben RM, Glynn JR, Bekker LG, Wood R, et al. (2010) Yield of HIV-  
340 associated tuberculosis during intensified case finding in resource limited settings: a  
341 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*; 10: 93-102.
- 342 5. Den Boon S, Verver S, Lombard C, Bateman E, Irusen E, Enarson D, Borgdorf M,  
343 Beyers N (2008) Comparison of symptoms and treatment outcomes between actively and  
344 passively detected tuberculosis cases: the additional value of active case finding.  
345 *Epidemiol Infect*; 136:1342-1349.
- 346 6. Corbett EL, Zezai A, Cheung YB, Bandason T, Dauya E, et al. (2010) Provider initiated  
347 symptom screening for tuberculosis in Zimbabwe: diagnostic value and the effect of HIV  
348 status. *Bull World Health Organ*; 88: 13–21
- 349 7. Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) (2014) The Gap Report. Geneva,  
350 Switzerland.
- 351 8. World Health Organization (WHO) (2014) Global tuberculosis control report: World  
352 Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland.
- 353 9. Uganda Bureau of statistics (UBOS) (2014) National Population and Housing Census  
354 2014. Kampala, Uganda.
- 355 10. Nantamu DP (2011) Factors Associated with Male Involvement in Maternal Care  
356 Services in Jinja District, Uganda. MPH Thesis, Makerere University School of Public  
357 Health, Kampala, Uganda.
- 358 11. Murray CJ, Salomon JA (1998) Modelling the impact of global tuberculosis control  
359 strategies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*; 95:13881–13886. [PubMed: 9811895]
- 360 12. Currie CS, Williams BG, Cheng RC, Dye C (2003) Tuberculosis epidemic driven by  
361 HIV: is prevention better than cure? *AIDS*; 17:2501–2508 [PubMed: 14600522]
- 362 13. Golub JE, Mohan CI, Comstock GW, Chaisson RE (2005) Active case finding of  
363 tuberculosis: historical perspective and future prospects. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*;  
364 9(11):1183–1203.
- 365 14. Eang MT, Satha P, Yadav RP, Morishita I et al. (2012) Early detection of tuberculosis  
366 through community-based active case finding in Cambodia. *BMC Public Health*; 12:469.