Risk Factors Associated with Clinical Outcomes in 323 COVID-19 Patients in Wuhan, China

Ling Hu[1*], M.D., Ph.D., Shaoqiu Chen[2,3*], Ph.D., Yuanyuan Fu[2*], Ph.D., Zitong Gao[2,3*], Ph.D., Hui Long[1*], M.D., Hong-wei Ren[1*], M.D., Yi Zuo[1,2*], M.D., Huan Li[1], M.D., Jie Wang[4], M.D., Ph.D., Qing-bang Xu[4], M.D., Ph.D., Wen-xiong Yu[1], M.D., Jia Liu[1], M.D., Chen Shao[1], M.D., Jun-jie Hao[1], M.D., Chuan-zhen Wang[1], M.D., Yao Ma[1], M.D., Zhanwei Wang[5], Ph.D., Richard Yanagihara[6], M.D., M.P.H., Jian-ming Wang[1], M.D., Ph.D., Youping Deng[2], Ph.D.

1. Tianyou Hospital, Affiliated to Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China

2. Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

3. Molecular Biosciences and Bioengineering Program, College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

4. Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology，Wuhan, Hubei, China

5. Cancer Epidemiology Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

6. Department of Pediatrics, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

*Drs. Hu, Chen, Fu, Gao, Long, Ren and Zuo contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to:

Dr. Youping Deng, Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 651 Ilalo Street, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA; Tel: +1-808-692-1664; Email: dengy@hawaii.edu

or

Dr. Jian-ming Wang, Tianyou Hospital, Affiliated to Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430065, China, Email: dzk613@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background

With evidence of sustained transmission in more than 190 countries, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been declared a global pandemic. As such, data are urgently needed about risk factors associated with clinical outcomes.

Methods

A retrospective chart review of 323 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan was conducted. Patients were classified into three disease severity groups (non-severe, severe, and critical), based on their initial clinical presentation. Clinical outcomes were designated as favorable and unfavorable, based on disease progression and response to treatments. Logistic regression models were performed to identify factors associated with clinical outcomes, and log-rank test was conducted for the association with clinical progression.

Results

Current standard treatments did not show significant improvement on patient outcomes in the study. By univariate logistic regression model, 27 risk factors were significantly associated with clinical outcomes. Further, multivariate regression indicated that age over 65 years, smoking, critical disease status, diabetes, high hypersensitive troponin I (>0.04 pg/mL), leukocytosis (>10 x 10^9/L) and neutrophilia (>75 x 10^9/L) predicted unfavorable clinical outcomes. By contrast, the use of hypnotics was significantly associated with favorable outcomes. Survival analysis also confirmed that patients receiving hypnotics had significantly better survival.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first indication that hypnotics could be an effective ancillary treatment for COVID-19. We also found that novel risk factors, such as higher hypersensitive

troponin I, predicted poor clinical outcomes. Overall, our study provides useful data to guide

early clinical decision making to reduce mortality and improve clinical outcomes of COVID-19.

(Funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province ZRMS2019000029 and the Top

Youth Talent Program in Hubei Province.)

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a potentially lethal respiratory illness caused by a newly identified coronavirus, named SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which was first recognized in December 2019 in Wuhan, in Hubei Province, China.[1,2] The disease has spread rapidly to more than 190 countries, and as of March 24, 2020, 422,915 confirmed cases and 18,915 deaths have been officially reported worldwide.[3-7] With sustained transmission on six continents, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recently declared COVID-19 as a global pandemic.

Most previous studies of COVID-19 have focused primarily on epidemiological and clinical characteristics.[8-12] Wang and co-workers compared the clinical features of 138 hospitalized patients with non-severe and severe COVID-19.[10] Guan and colleagues updated the clinical characteristic and disease severity in 1,099 laboratory-confirmed cases throughout China.[12] Only a few studies have investigated risk factors and clinical outcomes.[13,14] So, it is urgent to identify potential novel risk factors and treatments associated with patient-centered outcomes of COVID-19.

In this study, we analyzed the clinical course of 323 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 from January 8 to March 10, 2020, in Wuhan, to identify risk factors associated with clinical outcomes for improving management guidelines.

METHODS

Study design

The institutional ethics board of Tianyou Hospital, an affiliate of the Wuhan University of Science and Technology, approved the conduct of this retrospective review. Oral consent was

obtained from patients and written informed consent was waived. Tianyou Hospital is one of several designated hospitals for the treatment of COVID-19 in Wuhan.

Case definition

Electronic medical records (EMR) from inpatients with COVID-19 at Tianyou Hospital were studied. Diagnosis complied with the WHO interim guidance[15] and the guidelines of COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment trial 5th edition, by the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China.[16]

The COVID-19 diagnosis was based on (1) the exclusion of other known infectious and non-infectious causes of pneumonia; (2) exposure history in Wuhan in the most recent 14 days or contact history with a confirmed patient or disease cluster; and (3) clinical presentation of fever, respiratory symptoms, characteristic chest computer tomography (CT) image and/or leukopenia and lymphopenia.

Data sources

A total of 323 patients were enrolled from January 8 to February 20, 2020. Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) was performed on throat swab specimens of all patients. Clinical signs, disease onset, laboratory tests (including rRT-PCR and CT), treatments, co-morbidities, complications, and outcome data were collected from EMR. All raw data were initially assessed by trained physicians. Based on the clinical presentation at the time of admission, patients were categorized into one of three groups: non-severe, severe and critical. Clinical outcomes (favorable or unfavorable) were based on an average observation period of 28 days, with March 10, 2020 as the final follow-up date.

Clinical classification

The disease severity groups included the following: non-severe (patients showed fever, respiratory symptoms and CT presentation of pneumonia; severe (patients showed respiratory distress with RR≥30 breaths/min, oxygen saturation less than 93%, arterial partial pressure of oxygen/oxygen concentration less than 300 mmHg); critical (patients showed respiratory failure requiring ventilatory support, as well as shock and organ dysfunction requiring intensive care).

Clinical outcomes

Disease improvement or favorable clinical outcome included full recovery and discharge, progression from critical/severe to non-severe disease status, PCR positive to negative, and/or maintenance of non-severe status. Disease progression or unfavorable clinical outcome included death, progression from non-severe to severe/critical disease status or severe to critical status, and/or maintenance of severe or critical status.

Statistics Analysis

Chi-square test or Fisher exact test was used for categorical variables measurements. For continuous variables, student T-test or Mann-Whitney test was used. Multiple imputation was conducted to handle missing data.[17] Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using univariate and multivariate logistic regression models. For survival analysis, the survival time was defined as the interval from the date of admission to the date of death or discharge. The association of risk factors with clinical outcome was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test. All analyses were implemented with R software (version 3.6.2)

or Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software (version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All *P*

values were two-sided, and those < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics

Of the 323 patients with COVID-19, 186 (57.6%) were rRT-PCR positive and 137 (42.4%)

patients were rRT-PCR negative but had typical chest CT image, respiratory symptoms and

compatible blood test results at the time of admission. At the end of the study, 252 patients had

recovered and were discharged, 35 patients had died (overall case fatality rate, 10.8%), and 36

patients were still hospitalized.

Based on their initial clinical presentation, the 323 patients were classified into the non-

severe (151), severe (146) and critical (26) disease groups (Table 1). There was no gender

difference between the three groups. The median age of patients was 61 years (range, 23–91).

Patients over 65 years were overrepresented within the severe (43.2%, 63/146) and critical

(57.7%, 15/26) disease groups.

On admission, fever (83.9%, 271/323) and cough (50.8%, 164/323) were the most common

symptoms, while dyspnea (4.3%, 14/323), chest distress (0.9%, 3/323) and headache (0.9%,

3/323) were uncommon.

Clinical outcomes

The average observation period for the 323 patients was 28 days (range, 20–47 days). Favorable

outcomes were recorded in 260 patients and unfavorable outcomes in 63 patients. Among the

three disease severity groups, 86.8% (131/151) and 84.9% (124/146) of patients in the non-

severe and severe groups, respectively, had favorable outcomes. By contrast, 80.8% (21/26) of patients in the critical group had unfavorable outcomes (Figure 1A). Patients older than 65 years showed more unfavorable than favorable outcomes. Patients with diabetes and body mass index (BMI) of ≥30 were more likely to have unfavorable outcomes (Table 1).

Zopiclone, a cyclopyrrolone-class drug for insomnia, was administered at a dose of 1 mg per day to 82 patients (25.4%) for the duration of their hospitalization. Overall, favorable outcomes were recorded in 77 of these patients (Table 1). In comparing hypnotics and non-hypnotics use in patients within the three disease groups, favorable clinical outcomes were more prevalent among patients on hypnotics (94.7% vs. 88.5% for non-severe, 95% vs. 74.6% for severe, and 66.7% vs. 13.0% for critical) ($p<0.05$) (Figure 1B). And favorable clinical outcomes were associated with the administration of hypnotics among rRT-PCR-positive and rRT-PCR-negative patients in each disease severity group (Figures 1C and 1D).

CT and laboratory abnormalities

The radiologic and laboratory test results are summarized in Table 2 (a complete version is available as Supplementary Table S1). CT abnormalities were found in 314 patients. Ground-glass opacity (GGO) findings were bilateral in 55.0% (83/151), 52.1% (76/146) and 26.9% (7/26) of patients in the non-severe, severe and critical disease groups, respectively. Multiple bilateral pulmonary consolidations and intralocular interstitial thickening were observed more frequently among patients with unfavorable outcomes (11.1%, 7/63) than those with favorable outcomes (0.8%, 2/260). Representative CT images related to clinical outcomes are shown in Figure S1.

Laboratory findings between patients with favorable and unfavorable outcomes showed differences in leukocyte and neutrophil counts and C-reactive protein, as well as lactate dehydrogenase, creatinine, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, blood urea nitrogen, glucose, and serum amyloid A, which were all higher among patients with unfavorable outcomes. Lymphopenia also occurred among 83.6% of patients with unfavorable outcomes, while D-dimer showed no significant differences.

At the time of admission, the initial rRT-PCR was positive in 186 cases and negative in 137. rRT-PCR was positive more often among patients in the critical (84.6%) and severe (65.1%) disease groups, than in the non-severe group (45.7%). Patients whose rRT-PCR were initially negative also had better clinical outcomes, with only 9.5% (13/137) having unfavorable outcomes.

Treatments and complications

Results related to treatment and complications are shown in Table 3. Oseltamivir (69.7%, 225/323), ganciclovir (71.2%, 230/323), and arbidol (208/323, 64.4%) were the three most frequently used antiviral medications. And one or more courses of moxifloxacin, a broad-spectrum antibiotic, was administered to 94.1% (304/323) of patients. Also, 60.7% (196/323) of patients were given corticosteroid and glucocorticoid, and 95.7% (309/323) received alternative therapy or traditional Chinese medicine. Kaletra® (lopinavir/ritonavir), an antiretroviral drug for human immunodeficiency virus infection, was more administered to patients in the critical disease group (46.2%) and in those with unfavorable than favorable outcomes (23.8% vs 5.0%). Interferon-α was also given more often to patients with unfavorable than favorable outcomes (9.5% vs. 6.2%). Other medications showed no significant differences in clinical outcomes.

Oxygen therapy via invasive ventilation and non-invasive ventilation was also given more often to patients with unfavorable clinical outcomes. In comparing the outcome of each treatment within the non-severe or severe or critical disease groups, there was no clear improvement (Figure S2).

Of the 63 patients with unfavorable outcomes, complications, such as arrhythmia (74.6% vs. 19.6%), acute lung injury (69.8% vs. 21.5%), shock (55.6% vs. 3.1%), acute cardiac injury (33.3% vs. 1.2%), and acute respiratory distress syndrome (20.6% vs. 0%), were significantly more common in patients with favorable outcomes (Table 3).

Risk factors associated with clinical outcomes and survival analysis

A total of 27 categorical variables were identified in univariate logistic regression analysis, namely: age, smoking, BMI, hypnotics, dyspnea, diabetes, malignancy, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases, serum amyloid A, procalcitonin, hypersensitive troponin I, creatine kinase CMB, lactate dehydrogenase, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, glucose, leukocyte count, neutrophil count, platelet count, rRT-PCR at diagnosis, clinical status at admission, bilateral GGO, crazy paving sign, diffuse patchy ground glass and air bronchogram, and multiple bilateral pulmonary consolidation and intralobular interstitial thickening (Table S2). Eight variables were demonstrated as independent risk factors based on the multivariate logistic regression model. The results indicated that age (patients over 65 years) , smoking , critical disease designation , diabetes, abnormally higher hypersensitive troponin I (>0.04 pg/mL) , leukocyte count (>10x10^9/L) and neutrophil count (>75 x10^9/L) were significantly associated with unfavorable clinical outcome, and hypnotics showed significant beneficial effects on clinical outcomes ($p<0.001$) (Figure 2A).

Patients in the non-severe group showed significantly better survival compared with those in the severe and critical groups (Figure 2B). Patients given hypnotics showed significant favorable survival compared with the non-hypnotics (Figure 2C), and patients with positive rRT-PCR results showed significantly poorer survival compared with those with negative rRT-PCR (Figure 2D).

DISCUSSION

In contrast to a previous study,[12] most patients with COVID-19 in the severe group of our series showed favorable clinical outcomes, at approximately the same frequency as in non-severe cases (84.9% vs 86.8%), and survival analysis demonstrated consistently higher survival rates in non-severe and severe cases than in critical cases. Although previous studies failed to show that smoking was a risk factor for COVID-19,[9,14] multivariate analysis in our study demonstrated that smoking was an independent risk factor for unfavorable outcome. Otherwise, we confirmed findings from other studies[10,12,13] that age over 65 years and leukocytosis with left shift were associated with poorer clinical outcome.

Zopiclone, a commonly prescribed nonbenzodiazepine soporific, was significantly associated with improved clinical outcome. Patients taking hypnotics showed better outcome at the same disease stage than those not taking hypnotics. For patients in the more severe disease groups, the improvement effect was even more pronounced. Also, in analyzing the effect of hypnotics on rRT-PCR-positive and rRT-PCR-negative patients separately, we found hypnotics had more striking effect on the former. Moreover, hypnotics were identified as an independent factor in the risk model that contributed to better clinical outcomes. Also, patients administered hypnotics had a better survival rate.

Patients usually showed strong anxiety, sleep deficiency and oxygen insufficiency during disease progression, which will lead to the metabolic dysregulation[18,19] and immune system abnormalities[20]. Better sleep quality and stress reduction could be one partial reason for prescribing hypnotics to COVID-19 patients. Dimitrov et al indicated that sleep can exert some immune-supportive effects and potentially enhance an effective T cell response, and it has high relevant with some specific sleep disorders or impaired sleep, such as depression and chronic stress.[21]

In addition, the superior efficacy of zopiclone may be due to enhanced gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) signaling. That is, zopiclone can interact with $GABA_A$, and $GABA_A$ receptor can magnify responses to GABA.[22] GABA signaling promotes autophagy activation, which improves phagosome maturation and promotes host protection against infections.[23] To our knowledge, the beneficial effect of hypnotics on clinical outcome has not been reported previously in the management of COVID-19 patients.

Up till now, several description studies have mentioned the non-effective function of current medication treatment no matter which stages or outcomes was[10,12,24], and it was accord with our study analysis. By specifically comparing the standard treatment effect at the same disease status for each treatment (Figure S2), we didn't see any standard therapy could improve clinical outcome in the study. However, since only about 25% of patients in our study took hypnotics, we assume that self-healing could be the major reason for the high recovery rate of patients in the non-severe and severe disease groups. That is, COVID-19 is most likely a self-limited disease in the majority of patients.

Both rRT-PCR-confirmed COVID-19 patients and clinically diagnosed patients who were rRT-PCR negative were included in this study. Due to the burgeoning epidemic and high

exposure situation in Wuhan, the guidelines for COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment indicated

that residents of Wuhan with clinical presentations suggestive of COVID-19 (including

respiratory symptoms, CT scan results and laboratory tests excluding other infectious causes of

pneumonia) could be admitted to hospital irrespective of the rRT-PCR result. Actually, all of the

rRT-PCR-negative patients had CT image features compatible with COVID-19. Moreover, the

high false-negativity of rRT-PCR (about 20-40%)[25] presents a significant burden on health care

providers to use their clinical judgment. And chest CT has a higher sensitivity for diagnosis of

COVID-19 than rRT-PCR.[25]

Several underlying reasons including uneven quality from different detection kits,

improper collection of throat swab specimens, and low concentration of virus in samples[26] can

lead to the possible results deviation. Therefore, including the rRT-PCR-negative patients was an

important measure to control and prevent the spread of COVID-19 in Wuhan. We found that

patients in the severe or critical disease groups were more likely to be rRT-PCR positive.

Survival analysis also corroborated that rRT-PCR-positive patients showed poorer clinical

outcomes.

Since all patients in our study were tested by the same experienced team using the same

rRT-PCR protocol, we believe the major reason for the negative rRT-PCR results in our patient

series was due to the low concentration of virus in the throat, which may indicate that patients

with negative rRT-PCR test might be less likely to infect other people. We found that only a

small portion of patients (less than 10%) with negative rRT-PCR had unfavorable outcomes. In

performing follow-up rRT-PCR on patients in the severe disease group with abnormal CT, we

found 23 cases whose first test was negative and later tests were positive. We believe the

inclusion of rRT-PCR-negative patients with clinically compatible presentation of COVID-19 will help guide clinicians in the care of such patients.

Higher BMI (≥30), hyperglycemia and diabetes, and cardiovascular disease were distinct risk factors for unfavorable clinical outcomes. Angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2), which serves as a cell-entry receptor for SARS CoV-2,[27] plays a protective role for both diabetes and cardiovascular diseases.[28,29] Kuba and colleagues demonstrated that SARS CoV downregulates ACE2 protein,[30] which could explain why COVID-19 patients with diabetes and cardiovascular disease have worse clinical outcomes.

We found that abnormally high hypersensitive troponin I was an independent predictor for poor clinical outcome. Increased troponin can enhance coagulation activation.[31] In patients with COVID-19, immune damage to the hematopoietic system, ischemic hypoxia-reperfusion injury, and drugs can cause coagulation disorders.[8,31-34] We speculate that increased troponin will induce dysfunction of coagulation and thrombus formation with possible pulmonary embolism, which would further aggravate the patient's condition.

There were some limitations in our study. Incomplete laboratory test results in some patient records may have caused deviations in statistical analysis. Except for hypnotics, we found that all treatments were ineffective and many treatments showed unwanted side effects, including liver injury.[35-37] Although we did not conduct separate analysis for rRT-PCR-positive and rRT-PCR-negative patients, our multivariate analysis identified eight independent risk factors, which were independent of the rRT-PCR result.

Although the vast majority of patients recovered, approximately 20% of our hospitalized patient cohort had unfavorable clinical outcomes. To what extent chronic respiratory insufficiency or other organ system sequelae occur in COVID-19 patients will require careful

and prolonged follow-up studies. So far, it seems there is no effective standard treatment.
However, we have found that using hypnotics could significantly improve clinical outcome of
COVID-19. We also found that some novel risk factors that could predict patient outcome,
which can help in early decision making for improving treatment outcomes of COVID-19
patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all of the staff members of the Tianyou Hospital, affiliated to Wuhan University of
Science and Technology (Li Ming Liu, Jing Zhang, Qiong Luo, Guilian Shang, Ting Li, Qiushi
Zhang, Shuan Liu) for their efforts in collecting the information used in this study.

REFERENCES

1.   Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020;382:727-33.

2.   Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2020. Jan 29. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316. [Epub ahead of print].

3.   Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. at https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/.)

4.   Chan JF-W, Yuan S, Kok K-H, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. The Lancet 2020;395:514-23.

5.   Phan LT, Nguyen TV, Luong QC, et al. Importation and Human-to-Human Transmission of a Novel Coronavirus in Vietnam. N Engl J Med 2020;382:872-4.

6.   Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, et al. Transmission of 2019-nCoV Infection from an Asymptomatic Contact in Germany. N Engl J Med 2020;382:970-1.

7.   Ng OT, Marimuthu K, Chia PY, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection among Travelers Returning from Wuhan, China. N Engl J Med 2020 Mar 12. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2003100. [Epub ahead of print]

8.   Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet 2020;395:497-506.

9.   Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. The Lancet 2020;395:507-13.

10.   Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020 Feb 7. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585. [Epub ahead of print].

11.   Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA 2020 Feb 24. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.2648. [Epub ahead of print].

12.   Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020 Feb 28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032. [Epub ahead of print].

13.   Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 2020 Feb 24. pii: S2213-2600(20)30079-5. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5. [Epub ahead of print]

14.   Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. The Lancet 2020 Mar 11: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3.

15.   Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when Novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: Interim Guidance. at https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/clinical-management-of-novel-cov.pdf.)

16.   Guideline of COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment trial edition 5th. at http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/2020-02/09/5476407/files/765d1e65b7d1443081053c29ad37fb07.pdf.)

17.   Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ 2009;338:b2393.

18.   Sharma S, Kavuru M. Sleep and metabolism: an overview. Int J Endocrinol 2010;2010 : 270832.

19.   Mirtschink P, Krek W. Hypoxia-driven glycolytic and fructolytic metabolic programs: Pivotal to hypertrophic heart disease. Biochim Biophys Acta 2016;1863:1822-8.

20.   Gamaldo CE, Shaikh AK, McArthur JC. The sleep-immunity relationship. Neurol Clin 2012;30:1313-43.

21.   Dimitrov S, Lange T, Gouttefangeas C, et al. Galphas-coupled receptor signaling and sleep regulate integrin activation of human antigen-specific T cells. J Exp Med 2019;216:517-26.

22.   Doble A, Canton T, Malgouris C, et al. The mechanism of action of zopiclone. Eur Psychiatry 1995;10 Suppl 3:117s-28s.

23.   Kim JK, Kim YS, Lee HM, et al. GABAergic signaling linked to autophagy enhances host protection against intracellular bacterial infections. Nat Commun 2018;9:4184.

24.   Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020 March 18. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001282. [Epub ahead of print].

25.   Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, et al. Correlation of Chest CT and RT-PCR Testing in Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A Report of 1014 Cases. Radiology 2020:200642.

26.   Zhang R, Li J. The way to reduce the"false negative results"of 2019 novel coronavirus nucleic acid detection. National Medical journal of China 2020;100.

27.   Cao Y, Li L, Feng Z, et al. Comparative genetic analysis of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) receptor ACE2 in different populations. Cell Discov 2020;6:11.

28.   Batlle D, Jose Soler M, Ye M. ACE2 and diabetes: ACE of ACEs? Diabetes 2010;59:2994-6.

29.   Patel VB, Parajuli N, Oudit GY. Role of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in diabetic cardiovascular complications. Clin Sci (Lond) 2014;126:471-82.

30.   Kuba K, Imai Y, Rao S, et al. A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. Nat Med 2005;11:875-9.

31.   Terres W, Kümmel P, Sudrow A, Reuterb H, Meinertz T, Hamm CW. Enhanced coagulation activation in troponin T–positive unstable angina pectoris. American Heart Journal 1998;135:281-6.

32.   Witkowski M, Landmesser U, Rauch U. Tissue factor as a link between inflammation and coagulation. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2016;26:297-303.

33.   Lee DU, Je SH, Yoo SJ, et al. Hematological adverse effects and pharmacokinetics of ribavirin in pigs following intramuscular administration. J Vet Pharmacol Ther 2017;40:561-8.

34.   Oliver WC. Anticoagulation and coagulation management for ECMO. Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2009;13:154-75.

35.   Zhang C, Shi L, Wang F-S. Liver injury in COVID-19: management and challenges. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2020 Mar 4. pii: S2468-1253(20)30057-1. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30057-1. [Epub ahead of print].

36.   Fang S, Qi L, Zhou N, Li C. Case report on alimentary tract hemorrhage and liver injury after therapy with oseltamivir: A case report. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e12497.

37.   Terelius Y, Figler RA, Marukian S, et al. Transcriptional profiling suggests that Nevirapine and Ritonavir cause drug induced liver injury through distinct mechanisms in primary human hepatocytes. Chem Biol Interact 2016;255:31-44.

Table 1. Demographics and Clinical Characteristics in disease status and clinical outcome*

Characteristic	All Patients	Disease severity group Non-severe	Severe	Critical	p Value	Clinical outcome Unfavorable	Favorable	p Value
	323	151	146	26		63	260	
Median Age(years) (range)	61(23-91)	56(23-89)	64(23-87)	70(44-91)	<0.001	70(38-91)	58(23-89)	0.736
Age group(years)					<0.001			<0.001
20-40	34/323(10.5)	21/151(13.9)	13/146(8.9)	0/26(0)	0.062	1/63(1.6)	33/260(12.7)	0.006
41-65	178/323(55.1)	97/151(64.2)	70/146(47.9)	11/26(42.3)	0.007	25/63(39.7)	153/260(58.8)	0.009
≥65	111/323(34.4)	33/151(21.9)	63/146(43.2)	15/26(57.7)	<0.001	37/63(58.7)	74/260(28.5)	<0.001
Sex					0.840			0.247
Male	166/323(51.4)	75/151(49.7)	77/146(52.7)	14/26(53.8)	0.840	37/63(58.7)	129/260(49.6)	
Female	157/323(48.6)	76/151(50.3)	69/146(47.3)	12/26(46.2)	0.840	26/63(41.3)	131/260(50.4)	
Occupation								
Employee	98/323(30.3)	63/151(41.7)	34/146(23.3)	1/26(3.8)	<0.001	8/63(12.7)	90/260(34.6)	0.001
Self-Employed	12/323(3.7)	5/151(3.3)	7/146(4.8)	0/26(0)	0.666	0/63(0)	12/260(4.6)	0.133
Retired	144/323(44.6)	61/151(40.4)	59/146(40.4)	24/26(92.3)	<0.001	44/63(69.8)	100/260(38.5)	<0.001
Unemployed	69/323(21.4)	22/151(14.6)	46/146(31.5)	1/26(3.8)	<0.001	11/63(17.5)	58/260(22.3)	0.502
Medical Staff	9/323(2.8)	7/151(4.6)	2/146(1.4)	0/26(0)	0.192	1/63(1.6)	8/260(3.1)	1.000
BMI					0.750			0.017
<25	229/323(70.9)	103/130(79.2)	106/139(76.3)	20/25(80)	0.850	44/56(78.6)	185/238(77.7)	1.000
25-30	52/323(16.1)	22/130(16.9)	27/139(19.4)	3/25(12)	0.718	6/56(10.7)	46/238(19.3)	0.185
≥30	13/323(4)	5/130(3.8)	6/139(4.3)	2/25(8)	0.522	6/56(10.7)	7/238(2.9)	0.029
Onset time–Median(range)	9(1-60)	8.5(1-30)	10(1-60)	8.5(2-20)	0.093	9(1-28)	9(1-60)	0.021
Hypnotics					<0.001			<0.001
Yes	82/323(25.4)	19/132(14.4)	60/127(47.2)	3/26(11.5)	<0.001	5/55(9.1)	77/230(33.5)	
No	203/323(62.8)	113/132(85.6)	67/127(52.8)	23/26(88.5)	<0.001	50/55(90.9)	153/230(66.5)	

Temperature (℃)

≤37.00	133/323(41.1)	63/150(42)	65/146(44.5)	5/26(19.2)	0.049	26/63(41.3)	107/259(41.3)	1.000
37.01-38.00	105/323(32.5)	43/150(28.7)	54/146(36.9)	8/26(30.7)	0.305	16/63(25.4)	89/259(34.4)	0.226
38.01-39.00	67/323(20.7)	34/150(22.7)	24/146(16.4)	9/26(34.6)	0.081	15/63(23.8)	52/259(20.1)	0.630
≥39.01	17/323(5.3)	10/150(6.7)	3/146(2.1)	4/26(15.4)	0.011	6/63(9.5)	11/259(4.2)	0.172
Smoking history					0.123			0.045
Yes	38/323(11.8)	12/151(7.9)	22/146(15.1)	4/26(15.4)	0.123	12/63(19)	26/260(10)	
No	285/323(88.2)	139/151(92.1)	124/146(84.9)	22/26(84.6)	0.123	51/63(81)	234/260(90)	
Drinking					0.078			0.816
Yes	36/323(11.1)	18/151(11.9)	12/146(8.2)	6/26(23.1)	0.078	6/63(9.5)	30/260(11.5)	
No	287/323(88.9)	133/151(88.1)	134/146(91.8)	20/26(76.9)	0.078	57/63(90.5)	230/260(88.5)	
Signs and symptoms								
Fever	271/323(83.9)	130/151(86.1)	121/146(82.9)	20/26(76.9)	0.452	51/63(81)	220/260(84.6)	0.604
Cough	164/323(50.8)	74/151(49)	77/146(52.7)	13/26(50)	0.810	34/63(54)	130/260(50)	0.671
Fever and Cough	244/323(75.5)	100/151(66.2)	127/146(87)	17/26(65.4)	<0.001	46/63(73)	198/260(76.2)	0.721
Chest distress	3/323(0.9)	0/151(0)	2/146(1.4)	1/26(3.8)	0.067	2/63(3.2)	1/260(0.4)	0.098
Nausea and vomiting	1/323(0.3)	0/151(0)	0/146(0)	1/26(3.8)	0.080	1/63(1.6)	0/260(0)	0.195
Dyspnea	14/323(4.3)	6/151(4)	6/146(4.1)	2/26(7.7)	0.537	6/63(9.5)	8/260(3.1)	0.056
Shivering	1/323(0.3)	1/151(0.7)	0/146(0)	0/26(0)	1.000	0/63(0)	1/260(0.4)	1.000
Headache	3/323(0.9)	3/151(2)	0/146(0)	0/26(0)	0.313	0/63(0)	3/260(1.2)	1.000
Chronic medical illness/coexisting conditions								
Cirrhosis	3/323(0.9)	3/151(2)	0/146(0)	0/26(0)	0.313	0/63(0)	3/260(1.2)	1.000
Hypertension	105/323(32.5)	39/151(25.8)	56/146(38.4)	10/26(38.5)	0.056	27/63(42.9)	78/260(30)	0.071
Diabetes	47/323(14.6)	14/151(9.3)	22/146(15.1)	11/26(42.3)	<0.001	19/63(30.2)	28/260(10.8)	<0.001
Malignancy	5/323(1.5)	0/151(0)	4/146(2.7)	1/26(3.8)	0.033	3/63(4.8)	2/260(0.8)	0.053
Cerebrovascular disease	7/323(2.2)	4/151(2.6)	3/146(2.1)	0/26(0)	1.000	2/63(3.2)	5/260(1.9)	0.626
COPD†	6/323(1.9)	0/151(0)	5/146(3.4)	1/26(3.8)	0.033	2/63(3.2)	4/260(1.5)	0.332

Chronic kidney disease	7/323(2.2)	4/151(2.6)	3/146(2.1)	0/26(0)	1.000	0/63(0)	7/260(2.7)	0.353
Chronic liver disease	5/323(1.5)	3/151(2)	2/146(1.4)	0/26(0)	1.000	0/63(0)	5/260(1.9)	0.587
Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases	41/323(12.7)	8/151(5.3)	22/146(15.1)	11/26(42.3)	<0.001	13/63(20.6)	28/260(10.8)	0.057
Digestive system disease	22/323(6.8)	8/151(5.3)	10/146(6.8)	4/26(15.4)	0.158	7/63(11.1)	15/260(5.8)	0.218
Endocrine system disease	15/323(4.6)	4/151(2.6)	10/146(6.8)	1/26(3.8)	0.219	4/63(6.3)	11/260(4.2)	0.504
Nervous system disease	10/323(3.1)	5/151(3.3)	3/146(2.1)	2/26(7.7)	0.258	4/63(6.3)	6/260(2.3)	0.109
Respiratory system disease	29/323(9)	8/151(5.3)	15/146(10.3)	6/26(23.1)	0.010	9/63(14.3)	20/260(7.7)	0.162

*The clinical outcome was categorized into unfavorable and favorable. Unfavorable; patients died, or the condition was getting worse. Favorable; patients discharged, or condition improved.

†COPD; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Table 2. Radiographic and laboratory findings of 323 patients with COVID-19 (Part of Table S2)

Radiologic and laboratory findings	All Patients	Disease severity group			p Value	Clinical outcome		p Value
		Non-severe	Severe	Critical		Unfavorable	Favorable	
	323	151	146	26		63	260	
Radiologic findings								
Abnormalities on chest CT								
Bilateral GGO	166/323(51.4)	83/151(55)	76/146(52.1)	7/26(26.9)	0.03	24/63(38.1)	142/260(54.6)	0.027
Crazy paving sign	27/323(8.4)	7/151(4.6)	15/146(10.3)	5/26(19.2)	0.02	10/63(15.9)	17/260(6.5)	0.032
Diffuse patchy ground glass and air bronchogram	25/323(7.7)	11/151(7.3)	11/146(7.5)	3/26(11.5)	0.693	9/63(14.3)	16/260(6.2)	0.04
Bilateral pulmonary multiple consideration and intralobular interstitial thickening	9/323(2.8)	2/151(1.3)	4/146(2.7)	3/26(11.5)	0.02	7/63(11.1)	2/260(0.8)	<0.001
White blood cell count, > 10 ×10^9/L	23/323(7.1)	5/140(3.6)	13/141(9.2)	5/24(20.8)	0.009	16/61(26.2)	7/244(2.9)	<0.001
Neutrophil count, > 75 ×10^9/L	100/323(31)	39/140(27.9)	40/141(28.4)	21/24(87.5)	0	43/61(70.5)	57/244(23.4)	<0.001
Lymphocyte count, <20 ×10^9/L	181/323(56)	72/140(51.4)	87/141(61.7)	22/24(91.7)	0	51/61(83.6)	130/244(53.3)	<0.001
Platelet count, <100 ×10^9/L	16/323(5)	4/138(2.9)	9/141(6.4)	3/24(12.5)	0.095	7/61(11.5)	9/242(3.7)	0.036
C-reactive protein mg/liter								0.001
<3	30/323(9.3)	13/141(9.2)	17/139(12.2)	0/26(0)	0.143	0/60(0)	30/246(12.2)	
>3	276/323(85.4)	128/141(90.8)	122/139(87.8)	26/26(100)	0.143	60/60(100)	216/246(87.8)	
Serum amyloid A > 10 mg/liter	35/323(10.8)	14/136(10.3)	21/133(15.8)	0/23(0)	0.06	1/55(1.8)	34/237(14.3)	0.006
Hypersensitive troponin I, > 0.04 pg/mL	68/323(21.1)	21/100(21)	41/123(33.3)	6/21(28.6)	0.144	19/49(38.7)	49/195(25.1)	0.084
Prothrombin time, >14 s	39/323(12.1)	9/124(7.3)	24/137(17.5)	6/26(23.1)	0.018	13/56(23.2)	26/231(11.3)	0.034
Creatine kinase–CMB, U/L †								0.007
<5	49/323(15.2)	14/41(34.1)	33/47(70.2)	2/11(18.2)	<0.001	5/22(22.7)	44/77(57.1)	
>5	50/323(15.5)	27/41(65.9)	14/47(29.8)	9/11(81.8)	<0.001	17/22(77.3)	33/77(42.9)	
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L †								
<120	46/323(14.2)	14/38(36.8)	30/42(71.4)	2/7(28.6)	0.002	4/15(26.7)	42/72(58.3)	0.044
>250	22/323(6.8)	12/38(31.6)	6/42(14.3)	4/7(57.1)	0.028	9/15(60)	13/72(18.1)	0.002
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L								

<7	44/323(13.6)	14/145(9.7)	30/143(21)	0/25(0)	0.002	3/62(4.8)	41/251(16.3)	0.023
>40	58/323(18)	26/145(17.9)	24/143(16.8)	8/25(32)	0.189	19/62(30.6)	39/251(15.5)	0.01
Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L								
<13	49/323(15.2)	14/145(9.7)	33/144(22.9)	2/25(8)	0.004	4/62(6.5)	45/252(17.9)	0.03
>35	89/323(27.6)	33/145(22.8)	40/144(27.8)	16/25(64)	<0.001	32/62(51.6)	57/252(22.6)	<0.001
Blood urea nitrogen, >8 mmol/L	72/323(22.3)	18/145(12.4)	46/142(32.4)	8/25(32)	<0.001	22/61(36.1)	50/251(19.9)	0.012
Creatinine, μmol/L								
<88	269/323(83.3)	128/145(88.3)	120/144(83.3)	21/25(84)	0.452	46/62(74.2)	223/252(88.5)	0.007
>144	7/323(2.2)	2/145(1.4)	4/144(2.8)	1/25(4)	0.321	4/62(6.5)	3/252(1.2)	0.03
Glucose, mmol/L								
<3.9	43/323(13.3)	14/137(10.2)	29/143(20.3)	0/21(0)	0.007	2/59(3.4)	41/242(16.9)	0.006
>6.1	108/323(33.4)	38/137(27.7)	52/143(36.4)	18/21(85.7)	<0.001	38/59(64.4)	70/242(28.9)	<0.001
RT-PCR								<0.001
Positive	186/323(57.6)	69/151(45.7)	95/146(65.1)	22/26(84.6)	<0.001	50/63(79.4)	136/260(52.3)	
Negative	137/323(42.4)	82/151(54.3)	51/146(34.9)	4/26(15.4)	<0.001	13/63(20.6)	124/260(47.7)	

†Data were missing for creatine kinase in 226(69.5%) for lactate dehydrogenase in 238(73.2%)

Table 3. Treatments, Complications, and Clinical Outcome

		Disease severity group			p Value	Clinical outcome		p Value
	All Patients	Non-severe	Severe	Critical		Unfavorable	Favorable	
	323	151	146	26		63	260	
Treatment								
Antiviral therapy								
Oseltamivir	225/323(69.7)	109/151(72.2)	97/146(66.4)	19/26(73.1)	0.518	47/63(74.6)	178/260(68.5)	0.425
Ganciclovir	230/323(71.2)	113/151(74.8)	99/146(67.8)	18/26(69.2)	0.398	42/63(66.7)	188/260(72.3)	0.464
Arbidol	208/323(64.4)	99/151(65.6)	95/146(65.1)	14/26(53.8)	0.501	45/63(71.4)	163/260(62.7)	0.249
Kaletra	28/323(8.7)	5/151(3.3)	11/146(7.5)	12/26(46.2)	<0.001	15/63(23.8)	13/260(5)	<0.001
Interferon-α	22/323(6.8)	8/151(5.3)	8/146(5.5)	6/26(23.1)	0.003	6/63(9.5)	16/260(6.2)	0.500
Antibiotic therapy								
Antibiotics	304/323(94.1)	143/151(94.7)	137/146(93.8)	24/26(92.3)	0.764	62/63(98.4)	242/260(93.1)	0.139
Use of corticosteroid/glucocorticoid therapy								
Corticosteroid/glucocorticoid	196/323(60.7)	87/151(57.6)	86/146(58.9)	23/26(88.5)	0.007	54/63(85.7)	142/260(54.6)	<0.001
Continuous renal replacement therapy	72/323(22.3)	26/151(17.2)	42/146(28.8)	4/26(15.4)	0.048	10/63(15.9)	62/260(23.8)	0.232
Alternative Therapy	309/323(95.7)	139/151(92.1)	145/146(99.3)	25/26(96.2)	0.005	62/63(98.4)	247/260(95)	0.319
Oxygen support								
Non-invasive ventilation	105/323(32.5)	29/151(19.2)	60/146(41.1)	16/26(61.5)	<0.001	35/63(55.6)	70/260(26.9)	<0.001
Invasive ventilation	34/323(10.5)	2/151(1.3)	13/146(8.9)	19/26(73.1)	<0.001	33/63(52.4)	1/260(0.4)	<0.001
Complication								
Shock	43/323(13.3)	4/151(2.6)	23/146(15.8)	16/26(61.5)	<0.001	35/63(55.6)	8/260(3.1)	<0.001
Acute cardiac injury	24/323(7.4)	2/151(1.3)	9/146(6.2)	13/26(50)	<0.001	21/63(33.3)	3/260(1.2)	<0.001
Arrhythmia	98/323(30.3)	18/151(11.9)	55/146(37.7)	25/26(96.2)	<0.001	47/63(74.6)	51/260(19.6)	<0.001
ARDS*	13/323(4)	1/151(0.7)	4/146(2.7)	8/26(30.8)	<0.001	13/63(20.6)	0/260(0)	<0.001
AKI*	17/323(5.3)	2/151(1.3)	5/146(3.4)	10/26(38.5)	<0.001	14/63(22.2)	3/260(1.2)	<0.001
Acute respiratory injury	100/323(31)	13/151(8.6)	65/146(44.5)	22/26(84.6)	<0.001	44/63(69.8)	56/260(21.5)	<0.001

Septic shock	19/323(5.9)	0/151(0)	2/146(1.4)	17/26(65.4)	<0.001	19/63(30.2)	0/260(0)	<0.001
Secondary infection	9/323(2.8)	1/151(0.7)	4/146(2.7)	4/26(15.4)	0.002	9/63(14.3)	0/260(0)	<0.001

*ARDS, Acute respiratory distress syndrome; AKI, Acute kidney injury

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

	OR(95%CI)	*P*
Neutrophil count >75 x 10⁹/L	5.929 (2.299-15.290)	<0.001
White blood cell count >10 x 10⁹/L	10.853 (3.040-38.748)	<0.001
Hypersensitive troponin I >0.04 pg/mL	4.388 (1.261-15.271)	0.02
Diabetes	3.109 (1.155-8.373)	0.025
Diagnosis at critical status	7.390 (2.056-26.569)	0.002
Hypnotics	0.082 (0.025-0.274)	<0.001
Smoking	3.464 (1.18-10.166)	0.001
Age >=65	3.546 (1.626-7.733)	<0.001

Figure legends:

Figure 1. Clinical outcomes for the three disease severity groups.
(A) Overall clinical outcomes of patients in the non-severe, severe, and critical disease groups. The percentages are calculated by the number of each outcome group (Unfavorable or Favorable) divided by the total number of patients in each group. (B) Clinical outcomes of patients in the non-severe, severe, and critical disease groups who were either administered hypnotics or not. (C) Clinical outcomes of RT-PCR-positive patients in the non-severe, severe, and critical disease groups who were either administered hypnotics or not. D) Clinical outcomes of RT-PCR-negative patients in the non-severe, severe, and critical disease groups who were either administered hypnotics or not. The percentages are calculated by the number of each outcome group (Unfavorable or Favorable) divided by the total number at each diagnosis status with either using (Yes) or not using (No) hypnotics.

Figure 2. Multivariate regression and Kaplan-Meier curves of survival analysis.
(A) Factors showing significantly independent association with clinical outcome. Odds ratio, 95%CI, and *P* values are derived from logistic regression modelling. (B) Kaplan-Meier curve demonstrating survival of COVID-19 patients by disease severity group: non-severe, severe, and critical. (C) Kaplan-Meier curve demonstrating survival of COVID-19 patients by the usage of hypnotics. (D) Kaplan-Meier curve demonstrating survival of COVID-19 patients by RT-PCR results. *P* values for survival analysis are derived by the log-rank test.

Supplementary Figure S1

Figure S1. Representative dynamic changes in chest computer tomography (CT) scans from two patients with COVID-19. A1–A3, CT images of a 70-year-old male patient, who progressed from the non-severe to critical disease group, and died. A1 (day 1), A2 (day 4), A3 (day 9): below the pleura are scattered shadows of frosted glass, large sheet diffuse paving stones in both lungs, and extensive consolidation of both lungs with thickening of interlobular stroma repetitively. B1–B3, CT images of a 44-year-old female patient, who progressed from the critical to non-severe disease group. B1 (day 3) exhibiting extensive consolidation of both lungs; B2 (day 9) exhibiting frosted hyaline change and paving stone signs. B3 (day 20) showing significant resolution of ground-glass opacities and subpleural cord changes.

Supplementary Figure S2

Figure S2: The association of different treatments with clinical outcome in three diagnostic status.
The association of clinical outcome with three diagnosis status: Non-severe, Severe, and Critical under the treatment A) Kaletra, B) Interferon, C) Invasive ventilation, D) Non-invasive ventilation. The percentages are calculated by the number of each outcome group (Unfavorable or Favorable) divided by the total number at each diagnosis status with (Yes) using or not (No) using a treatment.

Supplementary Table S1

Radiologic and laboratory findings	All Patients	Disease severity group			p Value	Clinical outcome		p Value
		Non-severe	Severe	Critical		Unfavorable	Favorable	
	323	151	146	26		63	260	
Radiologic findings								
Abnormalities on chest CT								
No GGO	9/323(2.8)	4/151(2.6)	4/146(2.7)	1/26(3.8)	0.768	0/63(0)	9/260(3.5)	0.214
Local GGO	17/323(5.3)	11/151(7.3)	6/146(4.1)	0/26(0)	0.317	1/63(1.6)	16/260(6.2)	0.211
Bilateral GGO	166/323(51.4)	83/151(55)	76/146(52.1)	7/26(26.9)	0.030	24/63(38.1)	142/260(54.6)	0.027
Combination of patchy ground glass opacity and pulmonary consolidation	61/323(18.9)	28/151(18.5)	29/146(19.9)	4/26(15.4)	0.930	8/63(12.7)	53/260(20.4)	0.223
Crazy paving sign	27/323(8.4)	7/151(4.6)	15/146(10.3)	5/26(19.2)	0.020	10/63(15.9)	17/260(6.5)	0.032
Diffuse patchy ground glass and air bronchogram	25/323(7.7)	11/151(7.3)	11/146(7.5)	3/26(11.5)	0.693	9/63(14.3)	16/260(6.2)	0.040
Bilateral pulmonary multiple consideration and intralobular interstitial thickening	9/323(2.8)	2/151(1.3)	4/146(2.7)	3/26(11.5)	0.020	7/63(11.1)	2/260(0.8)	<0.001
Laboratory findings								
PH*					0.036			0.478
<7.35	1/323(0.3)	0/17(0)	0/33(0)	1/11(9.1)	0.180	1/19(5.3)	0/42(0)	0.311

7.35-7.45	20/323(6.2)	9/17(52.9)	7/33(21.2)	4/11(36.4)	0.071	6/19(31.6)	14/42(33.3)	1.000
>7.45	40/323(12.4)	8/17(47.1)	26/33(78.8)	6/11(54.5)	0.062	12/19(63.2)	28/42(66.7)	1.000
Lactate, mmol/L *					0.290			0.533
<0.5	1/323(0.3)	0/15(0)	1/33(3)	0/11(0)	1.000	0/18(0)	1/41(2.4)	1.000
0.5-1.6	44/323(13.6)	13/15(86.7)	25/33(75.8)	6/11(54.5)	0.176	12/18(66.7)	32/41(78)	0.549
>1.6	14/323(4.3)	2/15(13.3)	7/33(21.2)	5/11(45.5)	0.169	6/18(33.3)	8/41(19.5)	0.414
PaO2, mmHg *					0.943			0.593
<80	36/323(11.1)	11/17(64.7)	19/33(57.6)	6/11(54.5)	0.882	11/19(57.9)	25/42(59.5)	1.000
80-100	12/323(3.7)	3/17(17.6)	6/33(18.2)	3/11(27.3)	0.825	5/19(26.3)	7/42(16.7)	0.489
>100	13/323(4)	3/17(17.6)	8/33(24.2)	2/11(18.2)	0.915	3/19(15.8)	10/42(23.8)	0.737
PaCO2, mmHg *					0.006			1.000
<35	36/323(11.1)	10/17(58.8)	24/33(72.7)	2/11(18.2)	0.006	11/19(57.9)	25/42(59.5)	1.000
35-45	25/323(7.7)	7/17(41.2)	9/33(27.3)	9/11(81.8)	0.006	8/19(42.1)	17/42(40.5)	1.000
PaO2/Fio2*					0.301			0.422
<400	48/323(14.9)	11/16(68.8)	28/32(87.5)	9/11(81.8)	0.292	16/18(88.9)	32/41(78)	0.476
400-500	6/323(1.9)	2/16(12.5)	2/32(6.2)	2/11(18.2)	0.522	2/18(11.1)	4/41(9.8)	1.000
>500	5/323(1.5)	3/16(18.8)	2/32(6.2)	0/11(0)	0.295	0/18(0)	5/41(12.2)	0.310
White blood cell count, ×10⁹/L					0.041			<0.001
<4	90/323(27.9)	46/140(32.9)	39/141(27.7)	5/24(20.8)	0.444	14/61(23)	76/244(31.1)	0.272
4-10	192/323(59.4)	89/140(63.6)	89/141(63.1)	14/24(58.3)	0.885	31/61(50.8)	161/244(66)	0.041
>10	23/323(7.1)	5/140(3.6)	13/141(9.2)	5/24(20.8)	0.009	16/61(26.2)	7/244(2.9)	<0.001

Neutrophil count, ×10^9/L					0.000			<0.001
<40	70/323(21.7)	28/140(20)	42/141(29.8)	0/24(0)	0.001	8/61(13.1)	62/244(25.4)	0.061
40-75	135/323(41.8)	73/140(52.1)	59/141(41.8)	3/24(12.5)	0.001	10/61(16.4)	125/244(51.2)	<0.001
>75	100/323(31)	39/140(27.9)	40/141(28.4)	21/24(87.5)	<0.001	43/61(70.5)	57/244(23.4)	<0.001
Lymphocyte count, ×10^9/L					0.002			<0.001
<20	181/323(56)	72/140(51.4)	87/141(61.7)	22/24(91.7)	0.000	51/61(83.6)	130/244(53.3)	<0.001
20-50	120/323(37.2)	66/140(47.1)	52/141(36.9)	2/24(8.3)	0.001	10/61(16.4)	110/244(45.1)	<0.001
>50	4/323(1.2)	2/140(1.4)	2/141(1.4)	0/24(0)	1.000	0/61(0)	4/244(1.6)	0.587
Monocyte count, ×10^9/L					0.305			0.127
<3	103/323(31.9)	44/138(31.9)	54/141(38.3)	5/24(20.8)	0.195	27/61(44.3)	76/242(31.4)	0.081
3-10	159/323(49.2)	72/138(52.2)	70/141(49.6)	17/24(70.8)	0.157	29/61(47.5)	130/242(53.7)	0.471
>10	41/323(12.7)	22/138(15.9)	17/141(12.1)	2/24(8.3)	0.576	5/61(8.2)	36/242(14.9)	0.212
Platelet count, ×10^9/L					0.154			0.014
<100	16/323(5)	4/138(2.9)	9/141(6.4)	3/24(12.5)	0.095	7/61(11.5)	9/242(3.7)	0.036
100-300	232/323(71.8)	112/138(81.2)	102/141(72.3)	18/24(75)	0.217	48/61(78.7)	184/242(76)	0.788
>300	55/323(17)	22/138(15.9)	30/141(21.3)	3/24(12.5)	0.433	6/61(9.8)	49/242(20.2)	0.089
C-reactive protein level mg/liter					0.143			0.001
<3	30/323(9.3)	13/141(9.2)	17/139(12.2)	0/26(0)	0.143	0/60(0)	30/246(12.2)	
>3	276/323(85.4)	128/141(90.8)	122/139(87.8)	26/26(100)	0.143	60/60(100)	216/246(87.8)	
SAA mg/liter					0.061			0.006
<10	35/323(10.8)	14/136(10.3)	21/133(15.8)	0/23(0)	0.060	1/55(1.8)	34/237(14.3)	

>10	256/323(79.3)	121/136(89)	112/133(84.2)	23/23(100)	0.071	54/55(98.2)	202/237(85.2)	
Prothrombin time, s					0.713			0.044
<9	18/323(5.6)	6/124(4.8)	10/137(7.3)	2/26(7.7)	0.663	1/56(1.8)	17/231(7.4)	0.214
>14	39/323(12.1)	9/124(7.3)	24/137(17.5)	6/26(23.1)	0.018	13/56(23.2)	26/231(11.3)	0.034
Activated partial thromboplastin time, s	30.7(0-2406)	33(0.014-57)	34.3(0-59.3)	26.9(1-2406)	0.001	34.2(1.193-57)	30.4(0-2406)	0.098
D-dimer mg/liter					0.002			0.137
<0.5	160/323(49.5)	83/120(69.2)	68/138(49.3)	9/21(42.9)	0.002	24/52(46.2)	136/228(59.6)	
>0.5	119/323(36.8)	37/120(30.8)	70/138(50.7)	12/21(57.1)	0.003	27/52(51.9)	92/228(40.4)	
Hypersensitive troponin I, pg/mL					0.124			0.084
<0.04	176/323(54.5)	79/100(79)	82/123(66.7)	15/21(71.4)	0.086	30/49(61.2)	146/195(74.9)	
>0.04	68/323(21.1)	21/100(21)	41/123(33.3)	6/21(28.6)	0.144	19/49(38.7)	49/195(25.1)	
Creatine kinase–CMB, U/L †					<0.001			0.007
<5	49/323(15.2)	14/41(34.1)	33/47(70.2)	2/11(18.2)	<0.001	5/22(22.7)	44/77(57.1)	
>5	50/323(15.5)	27/41(65.9)	14/47(29.8)	9/11(81.8)	<0.001	17/22(77.3)	33/77(42.9)	
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L †					0.007			0.004
<120	46/323(14.2)	14/38(36.8)	30/42(71.4)	2/7(28.6)	0.002	4/15(26.7)	42/72(58.3)	0.044
120 - 250	19/323(5.9)	12/38(31.6)	6/42(14.3)	1/7(14.3)	0.159	2/15(13.3)	17/72(23.6)	0.506
>250	22/323(6.8)	12/38(31.6)	6/42(14.3)	4/7(57.1)	0.028	9/15(60)	13/72(18.1)	0.002
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L					0.005			0.004
<7	44/323(13.6)	14/145(9.7)	30/143(21)	0/25(0)	0.002	3/62(4.8)	41/251(16.3)	0.023
7-40	211/323(65.3)	105/145(72.4)	89/143(62.2)	17/25(68)	0.183	40/62(64.5)	171/251(68.1)	0.695

	Total				p			p
>40	58/323(18)	26/145(17.9)	24/143(16.8)	8/25(32)	0.189	19/62(30.6)	39/251(15.5)	0.010
Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L					<0.001			<0.001
<13	49/323(15.2)	14/145(9.7)	33/144(22.9)	2/25(8)	0.004	4/62(6.5)	45/252(17.9)	0.030
13-35	176/323(54.5)	98/145(67.6)	71/144(49.3)	7/25(28)	<0.001	26/62(41.9)	150/252(59.5)	0.018
>35	89/323(27.6)	33/145(22.8)	40/144(27.8)	16/25(64)	<0.001	32/62(51.6)	57/252(22.6)	<0.001
Total bilirubin, mmol/L					0.076			0.152
<5	21/323(6.5)	10/145(6.9)	11/144(7.6)	0/25(0)	0.511	1/62(1.6)	20/252(7.9)	0.090
5-22	248/323(76.8)	121/145(83.4)	109/144(75.7)	18/25(72)	0.181	50/62(80.6)	198/252(78.6)	0.853
>22	45/323(13.9)	14/145(9.7)	24/144(16.7)	7/25(28)	0.030	11/62(17.7)	34/252(13.5)	0.514
Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L					0.001			0.018
<3	51/323(15.8)	26/145(17.9)	21/142(14.8)	4/25(16)	0.776	6/61(9.8)	45/251(17.9)	0.180
3-8	189/323(58.5)	101/145(69.7)	75/142(52.8)	13/25(52)	0.009	33/61(54.1)	156/251(62.2)	0.313
>8	72/323(22.3)	18/145(12.4)	46/142(32.4)	8/25(32)	<0.001	22/61(36.1)	50/251(19.9)	0.012
Creatinine, µmol/L					0.587			0.007
<88	269/323(83.3)	128/145(88.3)	120/144(83.3)	21/25(84)	0.452	46/62(74.2)	223/252(88.5)	0.007
88-144	38/323(11.8)	15/145(10.3)	20/144(13.9)	3/25(12)	0.623	12/62(19.4)	26/252(10.3)	0.082
>144	7/323(2.2)	2/145(1.4)	4/144(2.8)	1/25(4)	0.321	4/62(6.5)	3/252(1.2)	0.030
Procalcitonin, ng/mL					0.018			0.624
<0.1	198/323(61.3)	98/117(83.8)	88/123(71.5)	12/20(60)	0.018	37/51(72.5)	161/209(77)	
>0.1	62/323(19.2)	19/117(16.2)	35/123(28.5)	8/20(40)	0.018	14/51(27.5)	48/209(23)	
Glucose, mmol/L					<0.001			<0.001

<3.9	43/323(13.3)	14/137(10.2)	29/143(20.3)	0/21(0)	0.007	2/59(3.4)	41/242(16.9)	0.006
3.9-6.1	150/323(46.4)	85/137(62)	62/143(43.4)	3/21(14.3)	<0.001	19/59(32.2)	131/242(54.1)	0.004
>6.1	108/323(33.4)	38/137(27.7)	52/143(36.4)	18/21(85.7)	<0.001	38/59(64.4)	70/242(28.9)	<0.001
Potassium, mmol/L					0.022			0.581
<3.5	73/323(22.6)	27/143(18.9)	42/137(30.7)	4/21(19)	0.063	15/58(25.9)	58/243(23.9)	0.882
3.5-5.5	225/323(69.7)	116/143(81.1)	93/137(67.9)	16/21(76.2)	0.037	42/58(72.4)	183/243(75.3)	0.774
>5.5	3/323(0.9)	0/143(0)	2/137(1.5)	1/21(4.8)	0.057	1/58(1.7)	2/243(0.8)	0.475
RT-PCR					<0.001			<0.001
Positive	186/323(57.6)	69/151(45.7)	95/146(65.1)	22/26(84.6)	<0.001	50/63(79.4)	136/260(52.3)	
Negative	137/323(42.4)	82/151(54.3)	51/146(34.9)	4/26(15.4)	<0.001	13/63(20.6)	124/260(47.7)	

*Data were missing for PH in 262(81.1%), for lactate in 266(81.8%), for PaO2 in 262(81.1%), for PaCO2 in 265(82.5%), for PaO2:FiO2 in 264(81.7%)

†Data were missing for creatine kinase in 224(69.3%) for lactate dehydrogenase in 236(73.1%)

Supplementary Table S2

Supplementary Table S2, Univariate analysis associated with clinical outcome of patients with COVID-19				

Variables		Univariate Analysis		
		Odds Ratio	95%CI	p
Age	≥65	15.274	2.016 115.741	0.001
Smoking	Yes	2.118	1.002 4.474	0.049

BMI	≥30	3.604	1.154	11.256	0.009
Hypnotics	Yes	0.199	0.076	0.519	<0.001
Dyspnea	Yes	3.316	1.107	9.93	0.032
Diabetes	Yes	3.578	1.839	6.963	<0.001
Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases	Yes	2.154	1.043	4.449	0.038
Malignancy	Yes	6.45	1.054	39.456	0.044
Serum amyloid A, mg/liter	>10	9.356	1.253	69.852	0.029
Procalcitonin, ng/mL	≥0.1	0.252	0.074	0.852	0.027
Hypersensitive troponin I, pg/mL	>0.04	3.562	1.028	12.338	0.045
Creatine kinase CMB, U/L	≥5	4.43	1.481	13.247	0.008
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L	>250	5.885	1.082	32.013	0.003
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L					
	<7	0.313	0.092	1.061	0.014
	>40	2.083	1.09	3.979	0.002
Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L					
	<13	0.525	0.174	1.584	0.024
	>35	3.239	1.776	5.906	<0.001
Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L	>8	2.08	1.112	3.892	0.006
Creatinine, μmol/L	<88	0.447	0.21	0.95	0.003
Glucose, mmol/L					
	<3.9	0.336	0.075	1.505	0.018

	>6.1	3.743	2.008	6.975	<0.001
White blood cell count, ×10^9/L	>10	11.871	4.51	31.245	<0.001
Neutrophil count, ×10^9/L	>75	9.43	4.427	20.084	<0.001
Platelet count, ×10^9/L	≥150	2.981	1.056	8.415	0.008
PCR at Diagnosis	positive	2.862	1.472	5.565	0.00194
Disease severity on admission					
	Severe	1.162	0.605	2.234	<0.001
	Critical	27.51	9.315	81.241	<0.001
Bilateral GGO	Yes	0.511	0.291	0.899	0.020
Crazy paving sign	Yes	2.697	1.169	6.22	0.020
Diffuse patchy ground glass and air bronchogram	Yes	2.542	1.067	6.056	0.035
Multiple Bilateral pulmonary multiple consideration and intralobular interstitial thickening	Yes	16.112	3.261	79.602	<0.001