Binge-eating disorder, anorexia nervosa, and constitutional thinness differ in their associations with anthropometric and psychiatric polygenic scores

Christopher Hübel*, Mohamed Abdulkadir*, Moritz Herle, Ruth J.F. Loos, Gerome Breen, Cynthia M. Bulik, Nadia Micali

[Hübel, Breen]Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK

[Hübel, Breen]UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health, South London and Maudsley Hospital, London, UK

[Hübel, Bulik]Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

[Micali, Herle]Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK

[Herle]Department of Biostatistics & Health Informatics, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK

[Loos]Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

[Abdulkadir, Micali]Department of Pediatrics Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

[Abdulkadir,Micali]Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

[Bulik]Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

[Bulik]Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

*contributed equally to this work

Correspondence to:
Dr. Micali, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, e-mail: nadia.micali@hcuge.ch

Keywords: Eating disorder; Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC); purging; constitutional thinness, fasting, thin ideal; body dissatisfaction, UK Biobank

Abstract (232/250, unstructured)

Genome-wide association studies have identified multiple genetic regions associated with anorexia nervosa—an eating disorder characterised by extremely low body fat, low fat-free mass, and related behaviours and cognitions, such as restrictive eating and body dissatisfaction. Relatively few or no genome-wide studies of other eating disorders, such as bulimia nervosa and binge-eating disorder, have been performed, despite their substantial heritability. We aimed to identify traits that are genetically associated with binge-type eating disorders. We calculated genome-wide polygenic scores for 302 trait and disease outcomes using PRSice v2.2 and their association with anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and binge-eating disorder in up to 640 cases and 17,050 controls from the UK Biobank. Significant associations were tested for replication in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (up to 217 cases and 3018 controls). In addition to eating disorders, we associated polygenic scores with constitutional thinness, and disordered-eating behaviours and cognitions across adolescence at ages 13, 14, 16, and/or 18 years. Individuals with binge-type eating disorders had higher polygenic scores for other psychiatric disorders, including depression, schizophrenia, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Body mass-increasing polygenic scores were associated with binge-eating behaviour. Anthropometric but not psychiatric polygenic scores were associated with constitutional thinness, suggesting that it is a separate entity from anorexia nervosa. Our findings motivate a deeper investigation of shared and unique genomic factors in bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder, constitutional thinness, and disordered-eating traits.

Introduction

Eating disorders are complex and heritable psychiatric conditions [1]. The most studied is anorexia nervosa, which is characterised by dangerously low body weight and extreme fear of gaining weight, while engaging in behaviours that induce negative energy balance, such as fasting or, in some cases, excessive exercise. [2]. Individuals with bulimia nervosa and binge-eating disorder show episodes of excessive overeating (i.e., binge eating) accompanied by a sense of loss of control, in which they consume unusually large amounts of food in a short period of time. Individuals with bulimia nervosa also engage in recurrent compensatory behaviors (e.g., self-induced vomiting, laxative, or diuretic abuse) to counteract the effects of binge eating [3]. In contrast to anorexia nervosa, individuals with constitutional thinness present with the absence of disordered-eating behaviours and cognitions[4], but have persistently low body mass indices (BMIs). It is currently unclear how anorexia nervosa differs from constitutional thinness on a genetic level [5].

Twin, family, and adoption studies over the past 30 years have shown that eating disorders are heritable [1]. The largest genome-wide association study (GWAS) to date identified eight genomic regions associated with anorexia nervosa and also found it is genetically correlated with obsessive-compulsive disorder, schizophrenia, anxiety, and major depressive disorder. This implies that anorexia nervosa shares genetic risk variants with these phenotypes. However, the study also found that anorexia nervosa has significant negative genetic correlations with anthropometric traits, including BMI, fat mass, and fat-free mass. This means that anorexia nervosa risk variants are enriched for variants that result in lower BMI, fat mass, and fat-free mass. [6–8]. The GWAS additionally highlighted a shared genetic basis between anorexia nervosa and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, fasting insulin, as well as insulin sensitivity, suggesting that anorexia nervosa may have a metabolic component. It is unclear, however, if binge-type eating disorders may also have a metabolic component.

Although twin and family studies demonstrate a positive genetic correlation (~0.46) between anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa, indicating considerable shared genetics [9, 10], GWASs of bulimia nervosa or binge-eating disorder with sufficient size have yet to be conducted to allow well powered investigations of their molecular genetic correlations with each other. Furthermore, it is not well understood how binge-

type eating disorders share genetics with other psychiatric, anthropometric, and metabolic traits.

The extremes of the population range of disordered-eating behaviours or cognitions are common component symptoms of eating disorders (e.g., extreme fasting, excessive exercise, or body dissatisfaction). The genetic underpinnings of these component symptoms and their genetic relationships with eating disorders, psychiatric, metabolic, and anthropometric traits have not yet been explored.

To better understand differences in the genetics of eating disorders and their component symptoms, we had three aims in this study: (1) To conduct polygenic score analyses to identify traits that are genetically associated with either of the three primary eating disorders: anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, or binge-eating disorder in a subsample of the UK Biobank [11] with attempted replication in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) cohort; (2) investigate the association of polygenic scores with disordered-eating behaviours and related cognitions at ages 13, 14, 16, and/or 18 years in ALSPAC. Finally (3) we hypothesize that constitutional thinness would show a different genetic architecture to that of eating disorders given its associations with selected polygenic scores.

Methods

Discovery sample of the UK Biobank

The UK Biobank (ukbiobank.ac.uk) is a unique epidemiological resource to improve prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of psychiatric and somatic illnesses. The UK Biobank recruited participants from the general population between 2006–2010. All participants were between 40 to 69 years old, were registered with a general practitioner through the United Kingdom's National Health Service, and lived within traveling distance of one of the assessment centers. The UK Biobank is approved by the North West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee. Genomewide array data for this study were available for 488,363 individuals. All participants gave written consent. We identified non-European participants by 4-means clustering on the first two principal components derived from the genotype data, and excluded related individuals (KING relatedness metric >0.088, equivalent to a relatedness value of 0.25; N = 7,765).

We identified 1,488 participants who either self-reported any of the three primary eating disorders in the mental health questionnaire [12] or had an

International Classification of Diseases, version 10 (ICD-10) [13] hospital diagnosis of F50.0 or F50.1 for anorexia nervosa, or F50.2 or F50.3 for bulimia nervosa. This resulted in 768 (4.7%) participants with anorexia nervosa, 423 (2.7%) with bulimia nervosa, and 561 (3.5%) with binge-eating disorder. If participants self-reported more than one diagnosis, they were assigned to all groups. We randomly sampled one set of controls in the ratio of 1 to 10, resulting in 15,500 controls by using the following exclusion criteria: Controls must have answered the mental health questionnaire, were not diagnosed with a psychiatric disorder (i.e., self-report or ICD-10 diagnosis), or taking any psychotropic medication. The final analysis included 17,050 (92% female) European participants (**Supplementary Table 1**) representing 3.4% of the genotyped UK Biobank participants (n = 502,682). This study was completed under the UK Biobank approved study application 27546.

Sample from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)

The ALSPAC is a population-based sample of pregnant women and their children based in the former county of Avon, UK [14–16]. Women expected to deliver from April 1, 1991, until December 31, 1992, were invited to participate. Children from 14,541 pregnancies were enrolled and 13,988 alive at 1 year. Additionally, 913 children were enrolled at age 7 years. All women gave written informed consent. Children at age 14 (wave 14, n = 10,581), 16 (wave 16, n = 9,702), and 18 years (wave 18, n = 9,505) that had not withdrawn consent were followed up. Parents answered questionnaires on 7,025 adolescents at wave 14 and on 5,656 at wave 16. With 6,140 (58%) responding at wave 14, 5,069 (52%) at wave 16, and 3,228 (34%) at wave 18 which was used to augment the validity of the probable eating disorder diagnoses [17]. Please note that the study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool (www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/). To reduce potential confounding through genetic relatedness in our analyses, we removed randomly one individual of each pair that is closely related ($\varphi > 0.2$) using PLINK v1.90 [18], excluding 75 individuals that were duplicates, monozygotic twins, first-degree relatives (i.e., parent-offspring and full siblings), or second-degree relatives (i.e., half-siblings, uncles, aunts, grandparents, and double cousins, **Supplementary Table 2**).

We derived probable eating disorder diagnoses as previously reported (**Supplementary Table 3**) [17], using a combination of adolescent self-report as well as information on the adolescents provided by their parents, a gold standard for childhood psychiatric disorders.

Ethical approval for the ALSPAC participants of this study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees: www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/research-ethics/. Consent for biological samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004) and informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time.

Polygenic risk scoring on eating disorder phenotypes in UK Biobank and replication in ALSPAC

We used PRSice [19], version 2.2.3. We clumped the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that were present both in the summary statistics of the trait and in the genotype data of the UK Biobank (i.e., overlapping SNPs) to obtain genetically independent SNPs. We retained the SNP with the smallest *p* value in each 250 kilobase window of all those in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 > 0.1$). We calculated 302 polygenic scores at their optimal *p* value threshold in each individual by scoring the number of effect alleles (weighted by the allele effect size) across the set of remaining SNPs (for a full list, see **Supplementary Table 4**). We calculated the polygenic score using the high-resolution scoring (i.e., incrementally across a large number of *p* value thresholds) method to identify the *p* value threshold at which the polygenic score is optimally associated with the outcome and explains the most variance (i.e., resulting in the highest adjusted R^2 for continuous outcomes and Nagelkerke's R^2 on the liability scale for binary outcomes). We evaluated the associations between polygenic score and eating disorder diagnosis using logistic regressions, adjusted for sex and the first six principal components that were calculated on the European subsample. To adjust for overfitting, we permuted case-control status at every *p* value threshold 10,000 times and, hence, calculated empirical *p* values. We converted the observed R^2 to the liability scale assuming following population prevalences of 3% for anorexia nervosa, 1.8% for bulimia nervosa, and 3% for binge-eating disorder [20, 21].

To correct for multiple testing (i.e., 302 polygenic score regression models), we calculated Q values using the false discovery rate approach [22, 23]. We did not stratify analyses by sex because of the low number of male eating disorder cases, but included sex as a covariate. We used the UK Biobank sample as our discovery cohort and the SNPs that were included in the strongest associated polygenic score were used to derive polygenic scores in the ALSPAC sample, in which we repeated the analysis also adjusting for sex, and the first six ancestry-informative principal components.

Polygenic risk scoring on disordered-eating behaviours and cognitions in ALSPAC

We used the polygenic scores that were significantly associated with eating disorders in the UK Biobank for a follow-up analysis in the independent ALSPAC sample. We investigated the association between these polygenic scores and disordered-eating behaviours or cognitions which are component symptoms of eating disorders. For these analyses, we used the optimal thresholding method described above. Below, we summarise the disordered-eating phenotypes that were assessed [17].

Disordered-eating behaviours

Excessive exercise. At age 14, 16, and 18 years, participants reported their exercise frequency per week during the previous year, if their exercising interfered with school work, if they exercised to lose or avoid gaining weight, and if they exercised even though they were sick or injured.

Fasting. At age 14, 16, and 18 years, participants reported the frequency of fasting (i.e., not eating for an entire day) to lose or avoid gaining weight during the past year.

Binge eating. At age 14, 16, and 18 years, participants reported the frequency of binge eating during the past year—defined as eating an unusually large amount of food, combined with a feeling of being out of control.

Purging. At age 14, 16, and 18 years, participants reported the frequency with which they used laxatives or self-induced vomiting, or other medicines to lose or avoid gaining weight during the past year.

Disordered-eating cognitions

Fear of weight gain. At age 14 and 16 years, participants reported if they were afraid of gaining weight or getting fat, if they avoided fattening foods or how they would feel if they were asked to put on 2 kilos for the sake of their health.

Thin ideal internalization. At age 14 years, adolescent girls reported how strongly they agreed with thin women being not attractive, women with long legs being more attractive, women with toned (lean) bodies being more attractive, tall women being more attractive, shapely women being more attractive or short (petite) women being more attractive. Adolescent boys reported how strongly they agreed with thin men being more good-looking, men who are in shape being better looking, men with toned (lean) bodies being more good-looking, men with large pronounced muscles being better looking, tall men being more good-looking, or bodybuilders, such as Arnold Schwarzenegger, being better looking [24].

Weight and shape concern At age 14 years, participants reported how happy they had been in the previous year with the way their body looked, how much their weight had made a difference to how they feel about themselves, and how much they had worried about gaining a little weight (e.g., 1 kg).

Body dissatisfaction At age 14 years, participants reported how satisfied they were at that moment with their body build/breast, stomach, waist, thighs, buttocks, hips, legs, face, hair [25].

Constitutional thinness in ALSPAC

We identified participants with long-term constitutional thinness (i.e., persistent thinness) analysing objectively measured BMI (kg/m^2) at 10, 12, 14, 15, and 18 years in the ALSPAC using latent growth analysis. Additionally, participants did neither endorse weight loss behaviours nor were affected by an eating disorder (for full details, see **Supplementary Info**)



Figure 1. Polygenic scores associated with eating disorders in the UK Biobank. Panel A shows psychiatric and behavioral, **Panel B** metabolic, and **Panel C** anthropometric polygenic scores that are associated with self-reported or hospital-diagnosed eating disorders in the UK Biobank sample (*n* = 17,050). Filled dots are statistically significant after adjustment for multiple testing through the false discovery discovery approach. Dots represent odds ratios (ORs) and error bars index 95% confidence intervals obtained via logistic regression and 10,000 permutations to obtain empirical *p* values.

Results

Polygenic scoring on eating disorder phenotypes in UK Biobank and ALSPAC

After correcting for multiple testing using the false discovery rate adjustment, 21 polygenic scores were significantly associated with the three primary eating disorders in the subsample of the UK Biobank (**Figure 1**).

Psychiatric disorders and neurological disease. Five polygenic scores reflecting polygenic liability for psychiatric disorders and one neurological disease showed significant associations with eating disorders (**Supplementary Table 4**). The schizophrenia polygenic score was positively associated with anorexia nervosa (odds ratio [OR] per standard deviation in polygenic score = 1.18, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.09, 1.70; Q = 0.03) and binge-eating disorder (OR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.13, 1.35; Q = 0.01). The major depressive disorder polygenic score was positively associated with anorexia nervosa (OR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.11, 1.29; Q = 0.01) and binge-eating disorder (OR = 1.25, 95% CI: 1.14, 1.36; Q = 0.01). Additionally, the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder polygenic score was positively associated with binge-eating disorder (OR = 1.28, 95% CI: 1.17, 1.39; Q = 0.01). The bipolar disorder polygenic score (OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.26; Q = 0.04), the anorexia nervosa polygenic score (OR = 1.32, 95% CI: 1.22, 1.42; Q = 0.01), and the migraine polygenic score (OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.26; Q = 0.04) were positively associated with anorexia nervosa.

Somatic traits. Several polygenic scores indexing polygenic load for anthropometric traits, including hip circumference (OR = 1.39, 95% CI: 1.27, 1.51; Q = 0.01), overweight (OR = 1.35, 95% CI: 1.24, 1.47; Q = 0.01), obesity class 1 (OR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.27; 1.51; Q = 0.01), class 2 (OR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.22; 1.45; Q = 0.01) and class 3 (OR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.11, 1.32; Q = 0.04), BMI (OR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.32; 1.56; Q = 0.01) and extreme BMI (OR = 1.32, 95% CI: 1.22, 1.44; Q = 0.01) were positively associated with binge-eating disorder. However, it is important to note that these polygenic scores were correlated with each other with r = .10 to r = .67 (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Additionally, the childhood obesity polygenic score (OR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.12, 1.33; Q = 0.04) was positively associated with binge-eating disorder, while the age at menarche polygenic score (OR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.86; Q = 0.01) was negatively associated with binge-eating disorder. Neither the childhood obesity nor the age at menarche polygenic score were associated with anorexia nervosa.

The overweight polygenic score (OR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.14, 1.38; Q = 0.01) and the waist circumference polygenic score (OR = 1.25, 95% CI: 1.13, 1.38; Q = 0.02) were positively associated with bulimia nervosa, whereas the red blood cell count polygenic score was negatively associated with bulimia nervosa (OR = 0.80, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.88; Q = 0.02).

Sex and age differences. The adulthood obesity polygenic score was more strongly associated with anorexia nervosa than the childhood obesity polygenic score. This difference in the log odds ratios was statistically significant (difference = -0.15, p = 0.004). We did not detect age differences in the associations of the obesity polygenic scores with bulimia nervosa or binge-eating disorder (**Supplementary Table 7**). We detected no sex differences in the associations of the body mass index polygenic score with anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, or binge-eating disorder.

Sensitivity analysis. We compared logistic regression results when assigning participants to all possible eating disorder diagnoses with assigning participants exclusively to one eating disorder diagnosis in the UK Biobank sample. The effect sizes of both analyses correlated with r = 0.98, indicating that the analyses were not sensitive to participant overlap (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

Independent replication. In ALSPAC (**Supplementary Table 8**), the overweight polygenic score (OR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.13, 1.69; $p_{\text{empirical}}$ = 0.05) and the obesity class 1 polygenic score (OR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.00; 1.50; $p_{\text{empirical}}$ = 0.001) were positively associated with binge-eating disorder.



Figure 2. Polygenic scores associated with purging, constitutional thinness, and binge eating in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Sample sizes per outcome were purging (*n* cases= 398, *n* controls = 2962), constitutional thinness (*n* cases = 201, *n* controls = 6391), and binge eating (*n* cases = 840, *n* controls = 2151). Polygenic scores were calculated using PRSice v2. The optimal *p* value threshold to generate the polygenic score was obtained by calculating polygenic scores across multiple thresholds and permuting case-control status at each threshold 10,000 times. The polygenic score explaining the largest trait variance was used in logistic regressions including the first six ancestry principal components and sex as covariates. Dots represent odds ratios (OR) and error bars 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Filled dots are those odds ratios that are significant after correction for multiple testing via calculation of false discovery rate-adjusted *Q* values.

Polygenic scores associated with disordered-eating behaviours and cognitions

In ALSPAC, the disordered-eating traits were intercorrelated with r = .45-.64 (**Supplementary Figure 4**), explaining some of the similarities in the results.

Anthropometric polygenic scores. Several polygenic scores of anthropometric measures were positively associated with disordered-eating behaviours and cognitions (**Figures 2, 3, and 4**). For instance, the overweight polygenic score was positively associated with excessive exercise (OR = 1.16, 95% CI: 1.06; 1.26; Q = 0.03), fasting (OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.08; 1.27; Q = 0.01), binge eating (OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.08; 1.26; Q = 0.01), weight and shape concerns (β = 0.13, SE = 0.03; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}), body dissatisfaction (β = 0.71, SE = 0.01; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}) and negatively associated with thin ideal internalisation (β = -0.13, SE = 0.04; Q = 0.03). The same pattern was observed with additional polygenic scores for anthropometric traits that are intercorrelated (r = .06-.64, **Supplementary Figure 5**), including waist circumference, hip circumference, several categories of obesity (for full results, see **Figures 2, 3, and 4 Panel C**, and **Supplementary Table 9**). Because of these properties we only report results on the overweight polygenic score in the following section. We reported on the associations between the body mass index polygenic score and disordered-eating traits in ALSPAC previously, including formal mediation analyses and investigation of age dependency [26].

Childhood obesity polygenic score. We investigated the relationship between genetic liability for childhood obesity and disordered-eating behaviours and cognitions. The childhood obesity polygenic score was positively associated with fear of weight gain (OR = 1.16, 95% CI: 1.07; 1.25; Q = 0.02), excessive exercise (OR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.13; 1.32; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}), fasting (OR = 1.16, 95% CI: 1.08; 1.26; Q = 0.01), binge eating (OR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.10; 1.29; Q = 0.002), weight shape concerns (β = 0.17, SE = 0.03; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}), and body dissatisfaction (β = 0.80, SE = 0.10; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}) in adolescence. (**Supplementary Table 10**).

Sex differences. Overall, we did not detect sex differences. However, only the female BMI polygenic score was positively associated with purging in adolescence (OR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.08; 1.34; Q = 0.03), but showed no differences in effect size when formally tested. No other anthropometric or psychiatric polygenic score showed an association with purging.



Figure 3. Polygenic scores associated with fasting, excessive exercise, and fear of weight gain in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Sample sizes per outcome were fasting (*n* cases = 777, *n* controls = 2678), excessive exercise (*n* cases = 628, *n* controls = 4596), and fear of gaining weight (*n* cases = 770, *n* controls = 2812). Polygenic scores were calculated using PRSice v2. The optimal *p* value threshold to generate the polygenic score was obtained by calculating polygenic scores across multiple thresholds and permuting case-control status at each threshold 10,000 times. The polygenic score explaining the largest trait variance was used in logistic regressions including the first six ancestry principal components and sex as covariates. Dots represent odds ratios (OR) and error bars 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Filled dots are those odds ratios that are significant after correction for multiple testing via calculation of false discovery rate-adjusted *Q* values.

Age at menarche polygenic score. The age at menarche polygenic score was negatively associated with excessive exercise (OR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.80; 0.94; Q = 0.02), fasting (OR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.80, 0.94; Q = 0.02), binge eating (OR = 0.83, 95% CI: 0.77; 0.90; Q = 0.002), weight and shape concerns (β = 0.15, SE = 0.03; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}), and body dissatisfaction (β = 0.54, SE = 0.11; Q = 1.1 x 10^{-3}), indicating that a genetic liability for a later age at menarche is associated with lower odds for disordered-eating cognitions or engaging in disordered-eating behaviour.

Psychiatric and neurological polygenic scores. The ADHD polygenic score was positively associated with fasting (OR = 1.18, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.29; Q = 0.008; **Figure 3**, **Panel B**, and **Supplementary Table 2, 3, and 4**) and the schizophrenia polygenic score was positively associated with binge eating (OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.07; 1.27; Q = 0.01). Both the major depressive disorder (β = 0.09, SE = 0.03; Q = 0.02) and the migraine polygenic scores (β = 0.09, SE = 0.03; Q = 0.03) were positively associated with weight and shape concern. Similarly, both the depression (β = 0.40, SE = 0.11; Q = 0.02) and the migraine polygenic score (β = 0.38, SE = 0.11; Q = 0.03) were associated with body dissatisfaction.

Polygenic scores associated with constitutional thinness

The overweight polygenic score was negatively associated with constitutional thinness (OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.55, 0.73; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}) similar to other anthropometric polygenic scores (**Figure 2, Panel C**) as well as the childhood obesity polygenic score (OR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.46; 0.62; Q = 6.1 x 10^{-4}). The childhood polygenic score was more strongly associated with constitutional thinness than the adulthood obesity polygenic score (p_{diff} = 1.0 x 10^{-4}), but we did not observe sex differences for the body mass index polygenic score. No polygenic scores for the psychiatric or neurological illnesses included in our analysis were associated with constitutional thinness.



Figure 4. Polygenic scores associated with thin ideal internalization, body dissatisfaction, and weight and shape concerns in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Sample sizes per outcome were thin ideal internalization (*n* = 4495, mean = 15.3, SD = 2.69), body dissatisfaction (*n* = 4624, mean = 21.85, SD = 7.75), weight and shape concerns (*n* = 4622, mean = 5.34, SD = 1.85). Polygenic scores were calculated using PRSice v2. The optimal *p* value threshold to generate the polygenic score was obtained by calculating polygenic scores across multiple thresholds and permuting case-control status at each threshold 10,000 times. The polygenic score explaining the largest trait variance was used in logistic regressions including the first six ancestry principal components and sex as covariates. Points represent odds ratios (OR) and error bars 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Filled dots are those odds ratios that are significant after correction for multiple testing via calculation of false discovery rate-adjusted *Q* values.

Discussion

Here, we find molecular genetic evidence that psychiatric disorders and anthropometric traits share genomics with binge-type eating disorders (see **Figure 1, Panel C**). Our UK Biobank analyses showed strong positive associations between bulimia nervosa/binge-eating disorder and anthropometric polygenic scores (e.g., overweight and waist circumference), suggesting that binge eating shares genomic variants with overweight and obesity. By contrast, in anorexia nervosa, the direction of these associations was reversed. Binge-eating disorder also showed an association with the childhood obesity polygenic score while anorexia nervosa did not, further highlighting the genomic differences between binge-type eating disorders and anorexia nervosa. The genetic relationship between binge eating and BMI had been studied previously [27] and is replicated by our analysis, both at the disorder level (i.e., individuals with binge-eating disorder) and symptom level (i.e. binge eating). It is notable that previous work suggests that genomic variants associated with BMI are predominantly expressed in brain tissue [28]. Our results also suggest that these genomic variants are relevant for eating disorders, but may act in opposite directions in binge-type eating disorders and anorexia nervosa.

Using the UK Biobank data, we also found that psychiatric polygenic scores (e.g., schizophrenia and major depressive disorder) were positively associated with both anorexia nervosa and binge-eating disorder (**Figure 1, Panel A**). The ADHD polygenic score was only significantly associated with binge-eating disorder, while the anorexia polygenic score was not associated with bulimia nervosa or binge-eating disorder.

Our findings are in agreement with previous studies that showed genetic correlations between binge eating and depression [29] as well as genetic correlations between anorexia nervosa and depression [7, 30], migraine [31], schizophrenia [6, 7], bipolar disorder [32] and anthropometric traits [6, 7]. In line with our findings, previous GWASs showed no genomic association between anorexia nervosa and ADHD, whereas an ADHD polygenic score was associated with binge eating in a Swedish twin sample [33, 34]. Moreover, a large evidence-base highlights phenotypic overlap between ADHD and binge eating [35–37]. As most previous genetic studies focused on anorexia nervosa, we present the first molecular genetic evidence that the underlying biology between binge-type eating disorders and anorexia nervosa differs and these differences can be captured at the genomic level.

Large GWASs have recently suggested the involvement of a metabolic component in anorexia nervosa through genetic correlations [6, 7]; however, at the current sample size, we did not observe associations between metabolic polygenic scores and the three primary eating disorders in the UK Biobank (**Figure 1, Panel B**).

Anthropometric polygenic scores, including an overweight polygenic score, were positively associated with disordered-eating behaviors (i.e., binge eating, fasting, excessive exercise) and cognitions (i.e., weight and shape concerns, body dissatisfaction, and fear of weight gain) present in the general population. This indicates that genomic propensity for greater body mass is associated with a negative perception of one's own body, which can further lead to compensatory behaviors. Previous longitudinal mediation analyses on this sample [26] and on US American adolescents have found that phenotypic BMI mediates the associations between a BMI polygenic score and weight loss behaviors and goals [38].

The association between polygenic liability for higher body mass and eating disorders, related behaviors, and cognitions is further complicated as it shows age dependence [8] and confounding through age at menarche. In both cohorts, we found that: 1) age at menarche and BMI polygenic scores were negatively correlated with each other and 2) the disordered-eating traits which were positively associated with the BMI polygenic score were negatively associated with the age at menarche polygenic score and vice versa. For example, body dissatisfaction showed a strong positive association with the BMI polygenic score but a strong negative association with the age at menarche polygenic score (**Figure 4**, **Panel B & C**). Phenotypic associations between early menarche and bulimia nervosa have previously been reported [39, 40], but do not always replicate [41] and related symptoms like body dissatisfaction show a BMI-mediated association with age at menarche [42, 43]. On a genetic level, binge eating correlated negatively with age at menarche in a twin study [44] and anorexia nervosa was not genetically correlated with age at menarche in our polygenic score analysis nor in previous GWASs [6, 7]. This suggests that the association between age at menarche and disordered eating may be driven by symptoms related to binge eating rather than restriction.

It is difficult to disentangle the relation among age at menarche, BMI, and disordered eating. Previous Mendelian randomization studies implicate a bidirectional relationship between age at menarche and BMI [45, 46], indicating shared causal

mechanisms. We observed a similar phenomenon in our analysis. To a certain extent the magnitude of the association between the age at menarche polygenic score and the disordered-eating traits seems to be determined by the magnitude of the association between the disordered-eating traits and BMI. In general, effects were in opposite directions (except for anorexia nervosa) and warrant investigation using mediation and conditional analyses.

Psychiatric polygenic scores were associated with disordered-eating behaviors and cognitions. In our symptom-level ALSPAC analysis, weight and shape concerns as well as body dissatisfaction were positively associated with the major depressive disorder polygenic score, suggesting that individuals who carry a genomic propensity for depressive symptoms may perceive their body more negatively. Furthermore, ADHD and BMI show a positive genetic correlation [8, 47] and in our analysis the ADHD polygenic score was associated with binge-eating disorder. At the symptom level, however, the association between the ADHD polygenic score and binge eating was not statistically significant after correction for multiple testing. Nevertheless, we found a significant association between the ADHD polygenic score and fasting. This suggests that the shared biology between ADHD and BMI may contribute to binge eating and subsequent compensatory fasting. These novel findings warrant further research; investigating how genomic variants associated with ADHD, commonly characterized by inattention and impulsivity, are also associated with binge eating and fasting and contribute to the high comorbidity between ADHD and obesity [35–37].

As hypothesized, constitutional thinness (characterized by persistent low BMI and no disordered-eating behaviors or cognitions) was significantly negatively associated with anthropometric polygenic scores but was not associated with any psychiatric polygenic scores. This clearly delineates constitutional thinness from anorexia nervosa, which in contrast is significantly associated with several psychiatric polygenic scores (i.e., schizophrenia, major depressive disorder, and bipolar disorder) in our UK Biobank analysis. Our findings confirm that constitutional thinness and anorexia nervosa are separate entities that may be characterized by differences in an underlying genomic liability for psychiatric illness.

Our findings must be interpreted in the light of the following limitations: The number of individuals with eating disorders in both samples was relatively low, some of the eating disorder diagnoses and symptoms were self-reported, and the samples only

included white British participants. Furthermore, we were unable to differentiate between binge-eating/purging and restricting anorexia nervosa as subtype information was not available. However, symptoms in the ALSPAC cohort were obtained both from parents and adolescents, representing the gold standard in child and adolescent psychiatry, strengthening the validity of the diagnoses. Furthermore, our analysis benefitted from the inclusion of individuals with constitutional thinness that we directly compared with individuals with anorexia nervosa. Studies often focus on high weight and obesity and neglect the lower end of the weight spectrum.

In the future, it will be important to combine measures of disordered-eating traits and binary eating diagnoses. Using this approach, researchers will be able to determine whether the primary eating disorders may lie on a liability continuum and if continuous measures may faithfully capture the underlying continuous "essence" of each disorder, including genomic, biochemical, and psychological traits. Our findings show for the first time that similarities exist in the genomic psychiatric liability for binge-type eating disorders and anorexia nervosa. However, we find clear dissimilarities between binge-type eating disorders and anorexia nervosa in the underlying biology of body mass regulation at the genomic level. These findings open up important avenues for translational research relevant to ED phenotypes and overlap between eating disorders.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. This study was completed as part of approved UK Biobank study applications 27546 to Prof Breen.

Funding

This study represents independent research part funded by the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the UK NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. High performance computing facilities were funded with capital equipment grants from the GSTT Charity (TR130505) and Maudsley Charity (980). This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council and the Medical Research Foundation (ref: MR/R004803/1). The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2 and 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf); This research was specifically funded by the NIHR (CS/01/2008/014), the NIH (MH087786-01). GWAS data was generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 23andMe. NM and CB acknowledge funding from the National Institute of Mental Health (R21 MH115397). CB acknowledges funding from the Swedish Research Council (VR Dnr: 538-2013-8864), the National Institute of Mental Health (R21MH115397; R01 MH109528; R01MH120170; R01MH119084). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The funders were not involved in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

Dr. Breen has received grant funding from and served as a consultant to Eli Lilly, has received honoraria from Illumina and has served on advisory boards for Otsuka. Dr. Bulik is a grant recipient from and has served on advisory boards for Shire and is a consultant for Idorsia. She receives royalties from Pearson. All other authors have indicated they have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author Contribution

CH, MA, MH analysed the data. CH, MA, MH drafted the manuscript. CMB, NM, RFL, and GB supervised the work. All authors substantially contributed to the conception and interpretation of the work, revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of this work.

References

1. Yilmaz Z, Hardaway JA, Bulik CM. Genetics and Epigenetics of Eating Disorders. Adv Genomics Genet. 2015;5:131–150.
2. Treasure J, Zipfel S, Micali N, Wade T, Stice E, Claudino A, et al. Anorexia nervosa. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2015;1:15074.
3. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
4. Slof R, Mazzeo S, Bulik CM. Characteristics of women with persistent thinness. Obes Res. 2003;11:971–977.
5. Riveros-McKay F, Mistry V, Bounds R, Hendricks A, Keogh JM, Thomas H, et al. Genetic architecture of human thinness compared to severe obesity. PLoS Genet. 2019;15:e1007603.
6. Duncan L, Yilmaz Z, Gaspar H, Walters R, Goldstein J, Anttila V, et al. Significant Locus and Metabolic Genetic Correlations Revealed in Genome-Wide Association Study of Anorexia Nervosa. Am J Psychiatry. 2017;174:850–858.
7. Watson HJ, Yilmaz Z, Thornton LM, Hübel C, Coleman JRI, Gaspar HA, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies eight risk loci and implicates metabo-psychiatric origins for anorexia nervosa. Nat Genet. 2019;51:1207–1214.
8. Hübel C, Gaspar HA, Coleman JRI, Hanscombe KB, Purves K, Prokopenko I, et al. Genetic correlations of psychiatric traits with body composition and glycemic traits are sex- and age-dependent. Nat Commun. 2019;10:5765.
9. Bulik CM, Thornton LM, Root TL, Pisetsky EM, Lichtenstein P, Pedersen NL. Understanding the relation between anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa in a Swedish national twin sample. Biol Psychiatry. 2010;67:71–77.
10. Yao S, Larsson H, Norring C, Birgegård A, Lichtenstein P, D'Onofrio BM, et al. Genetic and environmental contributions to diagnostic fluctuation in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. Psychol Med. 2019:1–8.
11. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. PLoS Med. 2015;12:e1001779.
12. Davis KAS, Coleman JRI, Adams M, Allen N, Breen G, Cullen B, et al. Mental health in UK Biobank - development, implementation and results from an online questionnaire completed by 157 366 participants: a reanalysis. BJPsych Open. 2020;6:e18.
13. World Health Organization. ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems: 10th revision. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
14. Golding J, Pembrey M, Jones R, ALSPAC Study Team. ALSPAC--the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. I. Study methodology. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2001;15:74–87.
15. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, et al. Cohort Profile: the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42:111–127.
16. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, et al. Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42:97–110.
17. Micali N, Solmi F, Horton NJ, Crosby RD, Eddy KT, Calzo JP, et al. Adolescent Eating Disorders Predict Psychiatric, High-Risk Behaviors and Weight Outcomes in Young Adulthood. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015;54:652–659.e1.
18. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience. 2015;4:7.
19. Choi SW, O'Reilly PF. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale data. Gigascience. 2019;8.
20. Micali N, Martini MG, Thomas JJ, Eddy KT, Kothari R, Russell E, et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of eating disorders amongst women in mid-life: a population-based study of

diagnoses and risk factors. BMC Med. 2017;15:12.
21. Smink FRE, Hoeken D, Oldehinkel AJ, Hoek HW. Prevalence and severity of DSM-5 eating disorders in a community cohort of adolescents. Int J Eat Disord. 2014;47:610–619.
22. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol. 1995;57:289–300.
23. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. Ann Stat. 2001;29:1165–1188.
24. Calzo JP, Austin SB, Micali N. Sexual orientation disparities in eating disorder symptoms among adolescent boys and girls in the UK. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2018;27:1483–1490.
25. Micali N, De Stavola B, Ploubidis G, Simonoff E, Treasure J, Field AE. Adolescent eating disorder behaviours and cognitions: gender-specific effects of child, maternal and family risk factors. Br J Psychiatry. 2015;207:320–327.
26. Abdulkadir M, Herle M, De Stavola B, Hübel C, Santos Ferreira DL, Loos RJF, et al. Polygenic score for body mass index is associated with disordered eating in a general population cohort. {under Review}.
27. Bulik CM, Sullivan PF, Kendler KS. Genetic and environmental contributions to obesity and binge eating. Int J Eat Disord. 2003;33:293–298.
28. Finucane HK, Reshef YA, Anttila V, Slowikowski K, Gusev A, Byrnes A, et al. Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. Nat Genet. 2018;50:621–629.
29. Munn-Chernoff MA, Grant JD, Agrawal A, Koren R, Glowinski AL, Bucholz KK, et al. Are there common familial influences for major depressive disorder and an overeating-binge eating dimension in both European American and African American female twins? Int J Eat Disord. 2015;48:375–382.
30. Wade TD, Bulik CM, Neale M, Kendler KS. Anorexia nervosa and major depression: shared genetic and environmental risk factors. Am J Psychiatry. 2000;157:469–471.
31. Mustelin L, Raevuori A, Kaprio J, Keski-Rahkonen A. Association between eating disorders and migraine may be explained by major depression. Int J Eat Disord. 2014;47:884–887.
32. Stahl EA, Breen G, Forstner AJ, McQuillin A, Ripke S, Trubetskoy V, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. Nat Genet. 2019;51:793–803.
33. Yao S, Kuja-Halkola R, Martin J, Lu Y, Lichtenstein P, Norring C, et al. Associations Between Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Various Eating Disorders: A Swedish Nationwide Population Study Using Multiple Genetically Informative Approaches. Biol Psychiatry. 2019;86:577–586.
34. Capusan AJ, Yao S, Kuja-Halkola R, Bulik CM, Thornton LM, Bendtsen P, et al. Genetic and environmental aspects in the association between attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and binge-eating behavior in adults: a twin study. Psychol Med. 2017;47:2866–2878.
35. Sonneville KR, Calzo JP, Horton NJ, Field AE, Crosby RD, Solmi F, et al. Childhood hyperactivity/inattention and eating disturbances predict binge eating in adolescence. Psychol Med. 2015;45:2511–2520.
36. Cortese S, Moreira-Maia CR, St Fleur D, Morcillo-Peñalver C, Rohde LA, Faraone SV. Association Between ADHD and Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Psychiatry. 2016;173:34–43.
37. Leventakou V, Micali N, Georgiou V, Sarri K, Koutra K, Koinaki S, et al. Is there an association between eating behaviour and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in preschool children? J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2016;57:676–684.
38. Nagata JM, Braudt DB, Domingue BW, Bibbins-Domingo K, Garber AK, Griffiths S, et al. Genetic risk, body mass index, and weight control behaviors: Unlocking the triad. Int J Eat Disord. 2019;52:825–833.
39. Fairburn CG, Cooper Z, Doll HA, Welch SL. Risk factors for anorexia nervosa: three integrated case-control comparisons. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999;56:468–476.

40. Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Bulik CM, Sullivan PF, Tambs K, Harris JR. Psychiatric and medical symptoms in binge eating in the absence of compensatory behaviors. Obes Res. 2004;12:1445–1454.
41. Algars M, Huang L, Von Holle AF, Peat CM, Thornton LM, Lichtenstein P, et al. Binge eating and menstrual dysfunction. J Psychosom Res. 2014;76:19–22.
42. Striegel-Moore RH, McMahon RP, Biro FM, Schreiber G, Crawford PB, Voorhees C. Exploring the relationship between timing of menarche and eating disorder symptoms in Black and White adolescent girls. Int J Eat Disord. 2001;30:421–433.
43. Cotrufo P, Cella S, Cremato F, Labella AG. Eating disorder attitude and abnormal eating behaviours in a sample of 11-13-year-old school children: the role of pubertal body transformation. Eat Weight Disord. 2007;12:154–160.
44. Baker JH, Thornton LM, Bulik CM, Kendler KS, Lichtenstein P. Shared genetic effects between age at menarche and disordered eating. J Adolesc Health. 2012;51:491–496.
45. Bell JA, Carslake D, Wade KH, Richmond RC, Langdon RJ, Vincent EE, et al. Influence of puberty timing on adiposity and cardiometabolic traits: A Mendelian randomisation study. PLoS Med. 2018;15:e1002641.
46. Gill D, Brewer CF, Del Greco M F, Sivakumaran P, Bowden J, Sheehan NA, et al. Age at menarche and adult body mass index: a Mendelian randomization study. Int J Obes . 2018;42:1574–1581.
47. Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, Mattheisen M, Als TD, Agerbo E, et al. Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nat Genet. 2019;51:63–75.