

# Applying time series analyses on continuous accelerometry data – a clinical example in older adults with and without cognitive impairment

Torsten Rackoll<sup>1,2,3 ¶\*</sup>, Konrad Neumann<sup>4,5 ¶</sup>, Sven Passmann<sup>1</sup>, Ulrike Grittner<sup>4,5</sup>, Nadine Külzow<sup>1,6</sup>, Julia Ladenbauer<sup>7</sup>, and Agnes Flöel<sup>2,7,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt – Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, NeuroCure Excellence Cluster (NCRC), Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt – Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Center for Stroke Research Berlin (CSB), Berlin, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt – Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, QUEST – Center for Transforming Biomedical Research, Berlin, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt – Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Institute of Biometry and Clinical Epidemiology, Berlin, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Kliniken Beelitz GmbH, Beelitz-Heilstätten, Germany

<sup>7</sup> Department of Neurology, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

<sup>8</sup> German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), partner site Rostock/Greifswald, Germany

¶ these authors contributed equally to the manuscript

\* Corresponding author

E-mail: [torsten.rackoll@charite.de](mailto:torsten.rackoll@charite.de) (TR)

## 26 **Abstract**

### 27 Introduction:

28 Current analysis approaches of accelerometry data use sum score measures which do not  
29 provide insight in activity patterns over 24 hours, and thus do not adequately depict circadian  
30 activity patterns. Here, we used a functional approach to analyze accelerometer data that  
31 models activity pattern and circadian rhythm. As a test case, we demonstrated its application  
32 in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and age-matched healthy older volunteers  
33 (HOV). Moreover, we assessed the impact of chronotype on distribution of activity data.

### 34 Methods:

35 Data of two studies were pooled for this analysis. Following baseline cognitive assessment  
36 participants were provided with accelerometers for seven consecutive days. A function on  
37 scalar regression (FoSR) approach was used to analyze 24 hours accelerometer data. In a  
38 second step, analyses were controlled for chronotype using the German version of the  
39 morningness-eveningness questionnaire (d-MEQ).

### 40 Results:

41 Information on 47 HOV (mean age 66 SD 6 years) and 13 patients with MCI (mean age 69,  
42 SD 8 years) were available for this analysis. MCI patients displayed slightly higher activity in  
43 the morning hours as compared to HOV (maximum relative activity at 7:35 am: 75.6%, 95%  
44 CI 2.6 to 200.4%,  $p = 0.031$ ). After controlling for d-MEQ, disturbed activity patterns were  
45 found in MCI of intermediate or evening chronotype, compared to HOV, i.e., MCI presented  
46 with higher activities in the morning hours (peak at 8:40 am: 357.6%, 95% CI 92.9 to 985.1,  $p$   
47  $< 0.001$ ) and early afternoon hours (peak at 1:40 pm: 401.8%, 95% CI 63.9 to 1436.4,  $p <$   
48 0.001).

49 Discussion:

50 Using a novel approach of FoSR, we found timeframes with higher activity levels in MCI  
51 patients compared to HOV which were not evident if sum scores of amount of activity were  
52 used. In addition, we found that previously described activity patterns as a function of  
53 chronotype were altered in MCI patients, possibly indicating that changes in circadian  
54 rhythmicity in neurodegenerative disease are detectable using easy-to-administer  
55 accelerometry.

56 Keywords:

57 Physical activity, functional data analysis, mild cognitive impairment, accelerometers,  
58 circadian activity patterns, time series analysis

59

60 Clinical Trials:

61 Effects of Brain Stimulation During Nocturnal Sleep on Memory Consolidation in Patients

62 With Mild Cognitive Impairments,

63 [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01782391?term=NCT01782391&rank=1,](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01782391?term=NCT01782391&rank=1)

64 ClinicalTrial.gov identifier: NCT01782391

65 Effects of Brain Stimulation During a Daytime Nap on Memory Consolidation in Patients

66 With Mild Cognitive Impairment,

67 [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01782365?term=NCT01782365&rank=1,](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01782365?term=NCT01782365&rank=1)

68 ClinicalTrial.gov identifier: NCT01782365

## 69 **Introduction**

70 Activity assessment in natural environment is a widely used approach to determine habitual  
71 differences between cohorts (1) and has been used in different disease populations (2,3) or as  
72 a predictor for overall mortality (4). Devices to detect rest-activity patterns of body  
73 movements include small inertial measurement units (IMUs). These IMUs assess electrical  
74 current caused, for example, by acceleration, which is a proxy measure of a body's movement  
75 in space. These so-called accelerometers or actigraphs capture bodily movement with a high  
76 precision in time. Still, common accelerometer assessments report aggregated data on number  
77 of steps per day or on amount of time spent in high, low or no activity as well as number of  
78 bouts without activity (5). The problem of aggregated data is that it reduces acquired  
79 information, thereby losing the high dimensionality of continuous 24 hours recordings. Of  
80 note, a more holistic view on activity distributions in daily rhythms has been postulated as an  
81 emerging topic lately (6). Thus, more detailed assessments of biological rhythms are needed  
82 (7).

83 Measures of circadian organization of activity patterns also have severe drawbacks: They are  
84 mostly limited to the amplitude, mesor and acrophase (time of the peak within the fitted 24 h  
85 rhythm) of activity which highlights the extremes of daily activity but have a poor resolution  
86 in time (8). Changes in circadian activity responses might be subtle so more precise tools are  
87 needed to analyze biological rhythms data. Researchers from Johns-Hopkins University (9,10)  
88 and Columbia University (11) introduced the concept of functional data analysis (FDA) to  
89 accelerometry data. In a first methodological study Goldsmith et al (11) demonstrated that  
90 while standard procedures showed inconclusive results, FDA was able to demonstrate  
91 differences in distribution of activity pattern over time. This approach thus might be able to  
92 detect subtle changes in circadian organization of activity patterns in a variety of disease  
93 states, including incipient neurodegenerative disease.

94 The pathology of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) serves here as a promising clinical example as  
95 AD starts years or even decades before first clinical symptoms become apparent (12). Thus,  
96 early diagnosis is mandatory for early treatments in order to delay the progression of  
97 cognitive decline. Several markers, including disrupted sleep behavior have been described  
98 before as a potential screening target (13). Likewise a disruption of circadian rhythm has been  
99 proposed (14). Daily rest-activity patterns are hypothesized to be controlled by circadian  
100 functions (15,16) which might thus be well-suited to detect early changes in AD pathology.  
101 Moreover, such assessments with small IMUs would constitute non-invasive and low-cost  
102 approaches that may allow for screening of large cohorts. In addition, such tools could be  
103 employed for repeated follow-up in long-term preventive or therapeutic interventions like  
104 lifestyle changes or dietary supplementation.

105 Here, as a clinical example we applied a functional data analysis algorithm on healthy older  
106 volunteers (HOV) and patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in a previously  
107 acquired data set to describe the circadian rhythm in both groups, and to test if their activity  
108 patterns differed throughout a 24 hours cycle. We hypothesized that the distribution of  
109 activity would differ with regard to timing and magnitude between HOV and MCI. In a  
110 second step, based on previous reports showing that circadian activity is highly influenced by  
111 chronotypes (17), we asked if and how chronotypes (morningness vs. eveningness-types)  
112 would modify activity patterns between HOV and MCI.

113

## 114 **Methods**

115 Data reported here were taken from baseline measurements of two intervention studies that  
116 assessed the effects of oscillatory tDCS during sleep (daytime nap vs night sleep) on cognitive  
117 performance (Effects of Brain Stimulation During a Daytime Nap on Memory Consolidation

118 (study 1) & Effects of Brain Stimulation During Nocturnal Sleep on Memory Consolidation  
119 (study 2) in young and older healthy subjects and subjects with mild cognitive impairment  
120 (MCI)). These studies are described in detail elsewhere (18,19) and included comprehensive  
121 assessment of subjective (using sleep diary and sleep questionnaires) and objective sleep-  
122 wake behavior among others, as well as neuropsychological testing and structural imaging of  
123 the brain using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Objective sleep assessment included  
124 seven days of accelerometry. In the current analysis we used all available baseline data of  
125 patients with MCI and age-matched HOV.

126

## 127 **Study approval**

128 The studies were approved by the institutional review board of the Charité  
129 Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany (EA1\_295\_12 & EA1\_028\_12), and were conducted in  
130 accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (Version 2008). All participants gave written  
131 informed consent prior to participation, and received a small reimbursement for their time.

132

## 133 **Participants**

134 HOV (50 – 90 years) were recruited via advertisements in the local database of the Charité  
135 Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany. MCI patients (50-90 years) were referred to the study  
136 from the memory clinic of the Charité University Hospital. The original studies included also  
137 young healthy participants; for the current analyses we focused on HOV and MCI, given our  
138 aim to compare circadian organization of activity in older adults. Participants underwent a  
139 structured telephone interview to exclude the presence of manifest sleep disturbances,  
140 contraindications for MRI, and non-native German speakers. Out of 242 potential participants  
141 that had entered the study, 66 HOV (mean age 66, SD 6) and 31 MCI (mean age 70, SD 8)

142 were then invited to the laboratory to determine study eligibility which included a clinical  
143 interview, neurological examination, structural MRI, and standardized cognitive testing.  
144 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for both groups are detailed in the supplementary. In short  
145 HOV participants had to show no signs of dementia, and no present episode of depression  
146 (monitored with the Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI (20) )) while MCI patients had to  
147 fulfill core clinical criteria for the diagnosis of MCI outlined by Petersen and others (21).  
148 Further, clinical assessment and structural MRI revealed no systemic or brain diseases  
149 accounting for declined cognition. Patients diagnosed with amnesic or amnesic plus MCI  
150 were included.

151

## 152 **Neuropsychological Assessment**

153 Both groups were assessed using a neuropsychological test battery addressing various  
154 cognitive functions to ensure that HOV performed within age and gender matched normal  
155 range. A detailed description of all domains and tests can be found in the supplementary  
156 material (Table S2).

157 In addition we determined the affective state using the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule  
158 (PANAS (22)), anxiety state using the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-G X1 (23)), self-  
159 reported well-being using the World Health Organization Quality of Life-questionnaire  
160 (WHOQoL (24)), and the ability to cope with stressful events with a 120-items questionnaire  
161 (Stressverarbeitungsfragebogen, SVF (25)) which measured the individual tendency of using  
162 specific strategies of stress coping in general (trait). The SVF differentiates 10 strategies  
163 aiming on reduction of stress summarized to the following three positive strategies: Positive 1  
164 (Pos 1; including cognitive strategies like reevaluation), Positive 2 (Pos 2, involving  
165 distraction-like strategies) and Positive 3 (Pos 3, focusing on control of stress and stress  
166 reactions). Moreover, the SVF cover seven strategies which were merged to “negative

167 strategies” (Neg) and are assumed to cause an increase in stress (e.g., rumination,  
168 resignation). Lastly, questionnaires were administered to assess subjective sleep habits with  
169 the German version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI (26)), daytime sleepiness  
170 with the German version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS (27)), and individual  
171 chronotypes with the German version of Morningness–Eveningness-Questionnaire (d-MEQ  
172 (28)).

173

## 174 **Accelerometry**

175 The ActiGraph GT3X+ (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA) was used in this study. It is able to  
176 assess acceleration in the vertical, antero-posterior and medio-lateral axes. It has shown high  
177 inter-instrument reliability (Intraclass correlation 0.97 (29)) and intra-instrument reliability  
178 within frequencies that are common in human activities, and is described as a reasonable tool  
179 for longitudinally measuring sleep (30). During the week following baseline cognitive  
180 assessment each participant wore a GT3X+ on the hip (figure S2) for continuous seven-day  
181 recordings to fully capture daily physical activity. Subjects were asked to wear the device at  
182 all times and just to remove it for any activity involving water (showering, swimming, etc).  
183 The devices were pre-programmed with default settings (30 Hz in three axis, with a fixed start  
184 and stop time). Data were downloaded in 60 sec epochs. Accelerometry data download and  
185 sum score descriptives were performed using ActiLife Software 6.8.2 (ActiGraph, Pensacola,  
186 FL, USA).

187 After download, data were first checked for its actual wear time. The default algorithm  
188 according to Choi (31) was used to identify non-wear time. Days of wear time of < 60 % were  
189 excluded from further analysis. Raw values of accelerometry assessment are changes in  
190 current which are converted to activity counts (32). Activity counts are an abstract value,  
191 usually used in literature. Even though they constitute an abstract value derived from

192 company owned algorithms, higher values represent more intense activity compared to lower  
193 values. Different cut-offs to classify activity are available. We picked 0 – 99 activity counts  
194 per minute as sedentary activity, 100 – 2019 as light activity, 2020 – 5998 as moderate and  
195 everything above 5999 as vigorous activity (33) as these cut-points derived from a large  
196 NHANES cohort of 6329 participants and thus were assumed to correctly reflect levels of  
197 activity.

198

## 199 **Statistical Analyses**

200 We applied a Function-on-Scalar-Regression (FoSR) approach that is in line with recent  
201 publications by Goldsmith et al (11) and the group of Biostatistics from Johns Hopkins  
202 University (9,10,34). Function on scalar in this study means that activity counts as main  
203 outcome are functions of time (response), while covariates, here MCI patients/HOV etc. are  
204 scalars. However, for our analysis we made two important modifications:

- 205 – The set of basis functions used in the regression model are discrete wavelet functions  
206 instead of cubic splines (7).
- 207 – In addition to the fixed effects, the model equation contains a random intercept to  
208 account for clustering of data within individuals.

209 Pre-processing steps for FoSR as well as all statistical analyses were performed using  
210 statistical software R (Version 3.2.1) and the R package ‘wavelets’ (version 0.3-0) (35).

211 For FDA activity count data needed to be aggregated to at least 5 min epochs according to  
212 Xiao et al (10) resulting in 288 count data sets per participant per day (24h=1440  
213 minutes=288\*5 minutes). Furthermore, we log-transformed activity counts as distribution of  
214 count data were skewed. For high resolution accelerometer data robustness of data is a  
215 problem as changes between zero activity and high activity peaks might be present within

216 small time periods. Furthermore, rapid changes in activity might not reflect circadian rhythm  
217 activity patterns. Therefore, a smoothing algorithm is needed to distinguish between signal  
218 (circadian activity pattern) and noise (changes between zero activity and high activity peaks  
219 within small time periods). Cubic splines or wavelet transformation are both suggested for  
220 smoothing of this type of data. Discrete wavelet functions and cubic splines have both a  
221 localized support, meaning that each wavelet function / each piece of the cubic spline model  
222 is able to fit the activity data well in a particular time range and reduces noise in this time  
223 range. In contrast to cubic splines, wavelets are periodic basis functions (with a period of 24  
224 hours) and are thus better suited for modelling circadian patterns. Here discrete wavelet  
225 transformation was used for smoothing activity counts.

226

### 227 **Discrete Wavelet Analysis**

228 The discrete wavelet transformation is a well-established method in the analysis of time series  
229 (c.f. (36) and (37) pp. 174-179). Different series of wavelets are in use for the analysis of  
230 time-series (Coiflets, Least Asymmetric, Best Localized, Daubechies wavelets, all implemented  
231 in the R package ‘wavelets’). The full discrete wavelet transformation is a regular linear  
232 (matrix) transformation from a finite dimensional real vector space into a space of the same  
233 dimension. Here, we used incomplete wavelet transformation with a non-trivial kernel that  
234 maps the time series from a high dimensional space (dim=288) into a real space with far less  
235 dimensions (dim=18, 18 basis functions). The purpose of this approach is twofold:

- 236 – Smoothing the activity data (removing noise).
- 237 – Defining a set of basis functions which can be used in the function-on-scalar  
238 regression analysis for testing group/subgroup specific activity patterns.

239 The incomplete discrete wavelet transformation behaves like a low-pass filter, meaning that  
240 rapid changes between non-activity and high activity will be smoothed out. For our analysis,

241 we chose Daubechies wavelets of length 10 (d10, which corresponds to a time range of 50  
242 minutes for the first level wavelet functions), meaning that an activity pattern of 50 minutes is  
243 modelled by one function.

244 The degree of smoothing depends on the number of basis functions used, which results from  
245 the length of the functions. Shorter wavelet filters tend to give poor results in smoothing the  
246 data (not enough smoothing, too much noise) whereas wavelets with long filter length are not  
247 well localized (too much smoothing, no sufficient model fit with regard to pattern relevant  
248 changes in activity). The use of 18 basis functions seemed to be a good compromise between  
249 good smoothing results and preserving a sufficiently high resolution for detecting activity  
250 patterns within circadian rhythm. The incomplete wavelet transform in concise matrix  
251 notation is

$$x_{sm} = Y_{lp} Y_{lp}^t x$$

252  $x$  denotes the vector containing the raw data whereas  $x_{sm}$  is the vector of smoothed data (the  
253 subscript  $sm$  stands for “smoothed”). The columns of the matrix  $Y_{lp}$  are the 18 basis function  
254 of the incomplete wavelet transform. Since each basis function is a vector of length 288, the  
255 matrix  $Y_{lp}$  has 288 rows and 18 columns. Finally, the subscript  $lp$  refers to the low pass  
256 property of the incomplete wavelet transform.

257

### 258 **Function-on-Scalar-Regression model**

259 The presentation of the technical details of Function-on-Scalar-Regression closely follows the  
260 presentation in the appendix of (36). The model equation of FoSR is largely analogous to the  
261 model equation of a linear mixed effects model. We included a random intercept in the model  
262 equation since some participants contributed several correlated 24 hours activity records to  
263 the study.

264 Let  $x_{ij}$  be the vector of a log(1+count) transformed 24 hour activity record for day  $j = 1, \dots, j_i$   
265 of participant  $i=1, \dots, N$  aggregated in 5 minutes time blocks. Since each volunteer wore the  
266 actigraph device between one and eight days,  $j_i$  may range from 1 to 8. Hence, the model  
267 equation is

$$x_{ij}(t) = b_i + \beta_0(t) + \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k(t) \xi_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ij}(t); \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad j = 1, \dots, j_i; \quad t = 0, \dots, 287. \quad [1]$$

268 In equation [1]  $\xi_{ik}$  denotes the value of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  covariate of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  participant and  $\varepsilon_{ij}(t)$  are the  
269 normally distributed residual terms with expectation zero and common variance  $\sigma^2$ . The  
270 random intercept terms  $b_i$  for the participants are normally distributed with expectation zero  
271 and variance  $\tau^2$ . We expanded each (row) coefficient vectors  $\beta_k(t)$  using the wavelet basis  
272 functions that are the columns of  $Y_{lp}$ :

$$\beta_k = \gamma_k Y_{lp}^t \quad k = 0, \dots, p \quad [2]$$

273 Omitting the index  $t = 0, \dots, 287$ , the vector  $\beta_k$  has length 288 and  $\gamma_k$  is a row vector of  
274 length 18 (number of wavelet basis functions). Details of the estimation of model parameters  
275 are presented in the appendix.

276 The FoSR model provides for each covariate (e.g. a group indicator variable) absolute and  
277 relative differences of activity counts with 95% confidence intervals and p-value for each five  
278 minutes époque. We report periods where the covariate (e.g. an indicator variable defining  
279 group differences) is significant in all five minutes époques and report only the largest relative  
280 difference in percent with two-sided 95% CI and p-value. All analyses were controlled only  
281 for age in order not to overfit the model.

## 282                    **Addressing the problem of multiple testing**

283 All p-values were Bonferroni adjusted by a factor of 18. The Bonferroni factor was chosen  
284 equal to the number of basis functions used in the FoSR model. Accordingly, the confidence  
285 level of the confidence bands was risen from  $1-\alpha$  to  $1-\alpha/18$ .

286

## 287                    **Secondary analysis**

288 For our secondary analysis we focused on the impact of chronotypes on the circadian  
289 organization of activity within both groups. We therefore stratified the analysis by chronotype  
290 groups: morning (moderate and definite morning types) and intermediate/evening chronotypes  
291 (intermediate, moderate, definite evening types) according to d-MEQ. We compared activity  
292 patterns of MCI and HOV within each strata.

293

## 294                    **Results**

295 97 HOV and MCI patients were available for inclusion (patient flow is displayed in Figure S1  
296 of the appendix). Of these 97 participants, 37 had to be excluded either due to missing  
297 actigraph data, abnormal MRI findings that suggested a differential diagnosis (e. g., stroke),  
298 or due to insufficient wear time of the actigraph (19 HOV, 18 MCI patients). An additional  
299 four MCI patients had to be excluded due to incoherent zero values distributed over a 24  
300 hours cycle. Thus 47 HOV (mean age 66, SD 6) and 13 MCIs (mean age 69, SD 8) remained  
301 for final analysis with 228 valid accelerometer days in HOV and 60 days in MCI respectively.  
302 We compared basic characteristics of included and excluded subjects but could not identify  
303 major differences.

304

305 **Baseline characteristics**

306 Information on baseline characteristics including sociodemographic characteristics and  
 307 cognition can be found in Table 1. Overall, MCI patients differed from HOV with regard to  
 308 age, (mean 69 vs. 65 years, SMD = 0.51), depressive symptoms (median 7 vs. 3 in BDI,  
 309 SMD=0.7), cognitive functioning (mean MMSE 28 vs. 29, SMD=1.07) and in positive and  
 310 negative stress coping strategies (lower values in MCI with SMD from 0.99 to 1.39). The d-  
 311 MEQ displayed higher rates of morning types in MCI compared to OLD (moderate morning  
 312 type: n=17 (37.0%) vs. n=6 (46.2%); definite morning type: n=6 (13.0%) vs. n=3 (23.1),  
 313 SMD=0.53) while subjective sleep ratings did not show substantial differences (median 4 vs.  
 314 3 in PSQI, SMD=0.24).

315 Table 1: Baseline characteristics and aggregated descriptive accelerometer data for HOV and  
 316 MCI

	<b>HOV</b> (n = 47)	<b>MCIs</b> (n = 13)	<b>SMD</b> <b>standardized</b> <b>mean difference</b>
Female sex, no (%)	25 (53.2)	8 (61.5)	0.17
Age in years, mean (SD)	65 (6)	69 (8)	0.51
Education in years, mean (SD)	16 (3)	15 (3)	0.30
MMSE, mean (SD) §	29 (1)	28 (2)*	1.07
Becks Depression Inventory, median (IQR)	3 (1 – 4)	7 (4 - 11)*	0.70
STAIG-X1, mean (SD)	33 (10)	37 (9)	0.40
WHOQoL overall score, mean (SD)	100 (14)	93 (19)	0.47
PANAS positive trait, median (IQR)	3 (3 – 4)	3 (3 – 4)	0.50
PANAS negative trait, median (IQR)	1 (1 – 1)	1 (1 – 1)	0.42
SVF positive strategy 1, mean (SD) #	12 (3)	8 (6)*	0.99
SVF positive strategy 2, mean (SD) #	12 (3)	7 (5)*	1.23
SVF positive strategy 3, mean (SD) #	17 (4)	10 (7)*	1.18

SVF negative strategy, mean (SD) #	9 (3)	4 (4)*	1.39
PSQI sum score, median (IQR) ¶	4 (3 – 5)	3 (3 – 5)	0.24
ESS, mean (SD) †	7 (4)	6 (3)	0.16
d-MEQ, no (%)			0.53
definite evening type	1 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	
moderate evening type	2 (4.3)	1 (7.7)	
intermediate type	20 (43.5)	3 (23.1)	
moderate morning type	17 (37.0)	6 (46.2)	
definite morning type	6 (13.0)	3 (23.1)	
<b>Sleep scoring</b>	<b>HOV</b>	<b>MCI</b>	<b>SMD</b>
	(n = 47)	(n = 13)	<b>standardized mean difference</b>
Time in bed, mean (SD)	00:19 (58)	00:00 (49)	0.00
Time out of bed, mean (SD)	08:40 (69)	08:20 (25)	0.20
Total time in bed (min), mean (SD)	496 (62)	495 (84)	0.01
Total sleep time (min), mean (SD)	483 (63)	479 (79)	0.06
Wake After Sleep Onset (%), mean (SD)	2.4 (1.5)	2.9 (1.6)	0.42
Arousals (no.), mean (SD)	4.1 (2.1)	4.4 (2.2)	0.12
Average Awakening (min), mean (SD)	3.1 (0.9)	3.5 (1.0)	0.40
Sleep latency (min), mean (SD)	1.7 (1.0)	1.6 (1.0)	0.07
<b>Physical activity</b>	<b>HOV</b>	<b>MCI</b>	<b>SMD</b>
	(n = 47)	(n = 13)	<b>standardized mean difference</b>
Energy Expenditure (kcal/day), mean (SD)	417 (175)	368 (303)	0.20
Time in sedentary activity (%), mean (SD)	74.1 (7.6)	72.8 (8.0)	0.17
Time in light activity (%), mean (SD)	22.8 (6.4)	24.5 (5.5)	0.30
Time in moderate activity (%), mean (SD)	2.5 (1.3)	2.7 (3.5)	0.09
Time in vigorous activity (%), mean (SD)	0.1 (0.3)	0.0 (0.0)	0.49
Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (%), mean (SD)	2.6 (1.6)	2.6 (3.5)	0.04

317 § MMSE denotes mini mental state examination and is a score ranging from 0 to 30 screening for overall cognitive  
318 functioning in which higher values describe better cognitive functioning.

319 | 4 missing at random (3 in HOV, 1 in MCI)

320 # 14 missing at random (12 in HOV, 2 in MCI)

321 ¶ 2 missing at random in HOV

322 † 1 missing at random in HOV

323 □ 3 missing at random (2 in HOV, 1 in MCI)

324 \* groups differ significantly (unadjusted p-value < 0.05). Two-tailed t-test or Wilcoxon signed rank test were used for metric  
325 data and Chi squared statistics for categorical data.

326

327 Participants spent most of their day in a sedentary lifestyle or in light activity with only small  
328 amounts of time in higher activity levels (Table 1), lacking substantial differences between  
329 groups (SMD for all measures <0.5). Aggregated analyses of sleep assessed via accelerometry  
330 exhibited comparable characteristics between the two groups (most SMD 0.2 or lower) only  
331 for wake after sleep onset and average awakening MCI had somewhat higher values  
332 (SMD=0.42/0.40).

333

### 334 **Function-on-Scalar-Regression**

335 We compared the distribution of activity in MCI patients with HOV over the averaged 24  
336 hours day-night cycle using FoSR. Variability of activity levels was higher in MCI patients  
337 compared to HOV. Fig 1a displays the smoothed average absolute activity over the course of  
338 a 24 hours cycle. MCI showed higher activity levels throughout the waking hours with a  
339 slight decrease below levels of HOV in the evening hours. For direct comparison between  
340 groups we analyzed relative activity between MCI and HOV adjusted for age (Fig 1b). We  
341 observed higher relative activity in MCI patients compared to HOV in the morning hours

342 between 7:25 and 8:00 am peaking at 7:35 am (75.6%, 95% CI 2.6 to 200.4%,  $p = 0.031$ ). In  
343 addition, during the day between 2:35 and 5:05 pm slightly higher level of activity with a  
344 peak at 4:10 pm (48.5%, 95% CI -11.7 to 149.6%,  $p = 0.412$ ) was detected in MCI patients  
345 compared to HOV. In the evening hours between 8:35 and 10:05 pm MCI patients showed a  
346 slightly relative lower activity with its lowest value at 9:30 pm compared to HOV (-34.1%,  
347 95% CI -60.8 to 10.7,  $p = 0.294$ ). Over the course of the sleeping hours (mean time to bed and  
348 time out of bed hours in each group are displayed in Table 1) activity patterns of MCI patients  
349 and HOV were comparable. In sum MCI patients were more active in the morning hours and  
350 activity in MCI had higher variance compared to HOV.

351

## 352 **Chronotypes**

353 According to the d-MEQ, the majority of participants in the HOV group were intermediate  
354 types (44%) while in MCI patients the majority was of moderate morning type (46%) (Table  
355 1).

356 The HOV group showed the expected pattern of activity with regard to questionnaire-based  
357 chronotypes. Compared to moderate and definite morning types combined, the group of  
358 intermediate, moderate evening and definite evening types combined displayed higher activity  
359 levels in the evening hours between 8:25 pm and 0:20 am with a peak at 11:20 pm (144.4%,  
360 95% CI 48.2 to 303.0,  $p < 0.001$ ) and lower activity in the morning hours between 6:20 and  
361 9:30 am with the lowest activity at 7:50 am (-60.1%, 95% CI -75.2 to -35.8,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Fig  
362 2a).

363 In the MCI group definite evening chronotypes were not present. We opposed moderate and  
364 definite morning types to clustered intermediate, and moderate evening types as done before  
365 (38). Over the course of the day only early afternoon hours between 1:05 and 2:25 pm

366 displayed differences with higher levels of activity in evening and intermediate types peaking  
367 at 1:40 pm (401.8%, 95% CI 63.9 to 1436.4,  $p < 0.001$ ) compared to morning types (Fig 2b).

368 Under the assumption that activity patterns are linked to chronotypes, we would expect no  
369 intergroup differences (between MCI and HOV) within specific chronotypes. However, since  
370 circadian rhythm might be disrupted in MCI participants, we hypothesized to find group  
371 differences within chronotype strata. Subsequently we evaluated intergroup comparison  
372 between MCI and HOV for morning chronotypes as well as for evening and intermediate  
373 chronotypes separately. While activity patterns in morning types were comparable between  
374 groups (Fig 2c) combined groups of intermediate and evening types showed disrupted activity  
375 patterns in the morning hours between 7:45 and 10:00 am with a higher peak at 8:40 am  
376 (357.6%, 95% CI 92.9 to 985.1,  $p < 0.001$ ) in MCI vs. HOV and early afternoon hours  
377 between 1:00 and 2:25 pm with a peak at 2 pm (345.2%, 95% CI 87.7 to 955.8,  $p < 0.001$ )  
378 with higher activity levels in the MCI group (Fig 2d).

379 To conclude we identified disrupted activity patterns in MCI compared to HOV in the  
380 combined group of intermediate and evening chronotypes that were not discernable before  
381 this stratified analysis by chronotype.

382

## 383 **Discussion**

384 In this study our goal was to apply the previously published Function-on-Scalar-Regression  
385 (FoSR) algorithm for the analysis of accelerometry data to a clinical population to provide an  
386 example for the algorithm's potential in observational or intervention studies. We analyzed  
387 data from two pooled, previously published trials with the commonly applied approach in  
388 accelerometry, i.e., accelerometer derived sum scores (5,39). Here, we did not find substantial  
389 differences in proportions of time spent in specific activity levels or in total volume of

390 activity. Next, we administered FoSR to investigate the circadian organization of activity  
391 patterns in patients with MCI and HOV using time series analysis and found higher relative  
392 activity in the morning and early afternoon hours and lower activity levels in the evening  
393 hours in MCI patients compared to HOV. While the net difference in amount of activity is  
394 likely to be negligible, our approach allowed us to demonstrate different time-based  
395 distributions of activity between the two populations.

396 Addressing circadian organization of activity, several authors have used five or ten hours  
397 spent in lowest or highest activity to assess phase shifts in activity in patients with MCI or  
398 dementia (16,40,41). Overall, findings from these studies have not been unequivocal, which  
399 indicates that especially in the early stages of cognitive impairment changes are subtle.  
400 Musiek et al. (16) described in their study differential activity patterns distributed throughout  
401 the day with lower amplitude between lowest and highest amount of activity and an increased  
402 variability over the course of 24 hours in preclinical AD patients. The authors compared  
403 different methods to analyze accelerometer data but did not apply time sensitive functional  
404 analysis. In contrast, time sensitive functional analysis was central in our study to identify  
405 time zones during which MCI patients were more or less active compared to HOV.

406 In a second step we controlled our regression model for circadian predisposition. We found  
407 that MCI patients with either intermediate or evening chronotype showed higher amounts of  
408 activity in the early afternoon hours compared to morning types. Moreover, we demonstrated  
409 that distribution of activity during a 24-hours cycle was different in intermediate and evening  
410 types from activity patterns found in HOV in the present study, or previous reports (38). To  
411 the best of our knowledge altered activity patterns in patients with MCI mediated by  
412 chronotype have not been described before. Individuals with the eveningness type have been  
413 reported to show higher risk of depressive symptoms (42) or insomnia (43), both independent  
414 risk factors for cognitive decline. Moreover, a disruption of circadian activity synchrony has

415 been demonstrated in mice models of AD (44,45) and been described in human AD cohorts  
416 (46,47). Still contribution of diurnal predisposition on health outcomes is a relatively  
417 understudied field especially in AD. Even though our study cannot determine if the  
418 differences found between HOV and patients with MCI would lead to earlier detection of  
419 MCI in screening of individuals in the community, but this issue can now be addressed in  
420 future large-scale studies.

421

## 422 **Limitations**

423 Several limitations should be considered when interpreting our findings. First, groups of HOV  
424 and MCI patients differed slightly with regard to age, which might introduce a bias due to  
425 altered sleep behavior in the process of aging. However, we believe that these differences did  
426 not substantially impact our main results, given that the analysis was controlled for age.  
427 Second, we did not adjust for sex in our regression model to avoid overfitting in our small  
428 sample. Future studies with larger cohorts should adjust for sex differences to address the  
429 issue of potential sex differences. Third, the sample of our MCI group was small. However,  
430 we used our data as a test case to demonstrate an analysis approach with potential in a wide  
431 range of future applications. The validity of this approach has to be confirmed or refuted in  
432 future larger trials. Fourth, we cannot determine from the present data if disturbed activity  
433 patterns in MCI patients with the eveningness chronotypes are causally related to the  
434 cognitive impairment. Also, morningness types did not show altered activity patterns.  
435 However, our results may now be used as a starting point to generate new hypotheses, giving  
436 the robustness of the results.

437

## 438 **Future research**

439 First, to better differentiate changes in activity patterns due to chronotypes replication in large  
440 longitudinal studies are needed to corroborate our results and confirm the FoSR algorithm as a  
441 clinical measure. Also assessment in different pathological and healthy conditions are  
442 warranted to extract specific alterations in activity patterns for a certain pathology like AD.  
443 Second, results derived from accelerometers with FoSR approach should be compared to  
444 wrist-worn accelerometers, to facilitate studies of larger cohorts with commercially available  
445 activity monitors. Third, to inform inferences on the underlying mechanisms, biological  
446 markers such as melatonin and cortisol secretion or body temperature should be determined to  
447 more accurately define circadian rhythms. Finally, it should be assessed if parameters  
448 described here are sensitive to changes over time in response to therapies.

449

## 450 **Conclusion**

451 Using a novel approach of FoSR, we were able to demonstrate improved precision in analysis  
452 of time based high dimensional activity data. We found that MCI patients exhibited increased  
453 relative activity in the morning hours compared to HOV. In addition, we found that  
454 previously described activity patterns as a function of chronotype were altered in MCI  
455 patients of the eveningness chronotype. The approach of FoSR to analyze activity patterns  
456 may constitute an important screening tool for incipient neurodegeneration in the population  
457 at large, particularly if accelerometers of commercial smart watches are found to provide with  
458 valid measures for FoSR.

459

460           **Acknowledgements**

461   This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FI 379-10/1;  
462   FI 379-11/1, and DFG-Exc 257). We thank Lena Reich for their help with data acquisition.

463   Special thanks to Patrizia Müller and Madlee Einsiedler for data preparation and actigraphy  
464   sleep ratings. Additionally, we want to thank Luo Xiao, Jeff Goldsmith and Ciprian  
465   Crainiceanu for provision of their R-scripts and helpful comments on the implementation of  
466   functional data analysis in accelerometry data. Furthermore, we would like to thank all  
467   subjects for their participation.

468

469           **Author contributions:**

470   Design of the original trials and securing of funding: AF, NK

471   Design of the analysis: TR, KN, UG

472   Data collection: SP, JL

473   Data analysis: KN, TR

474   Statistical analysis: KN, TR

475   Paper writing: TR, KN, SP

476   All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

477   Conflict of interest: None declared.

478   Data sharing: Data and analysis scripts are available upon request at  
479   <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3718578>

480 **List of Abbreviations**

481 BDI: Becks Depression Inventory

482 CI: Confidence interval

483 d-MEQ: Morningness-Eveningness-Questionnaire (german version)

484 ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale

485 FDA: Functional data analysis

486 FoSR: Function-on-scalar-regression

487 MCI: Mild cognitive impairment

488 MMSE: Mini-Mental-State-Examination

489 MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

490 HOV: Healthy older volunteers

491 PANAS: Positive and Negative Affect Schedule

492 PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

493 SMD: Standardized mean difference

494 STAIG-X1: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (german version)

495 SVF: Stress-Verarbeitungs Fragebogen (translated: stress coping questionnaire)

496 tDCS: transcranial direct current stimulation

497 WHOQoL: World Health Organization Quality of Life

## 498 **References**

- 499 1. Perry B, Herrington W, Goldsack JC, Grandinetti CA, Vasisht KP, Landray MJ, et al.  
500 Use of Mobile Devices to Measure Outcomes in Clinical Research, 2010–2016: A  
501 Systematic Literature Review. *Digit Biomarkers*. 2018;2(1):11–30.
- 502 2. García-Hermoso A, Notario-Pacheco B, Recio-Rodríguez JI, Martínez-Vizcaíno V,  
503 Rodrigo de Pablo E, Magdalena Belio JF, et al. Sedentary behaviour patterns and  
504 arterial stiffness in a Spanish adult population - The EVIDENT trial. *Atherosclerosis*.  
505 2015;243(2):516–22.
- 506 3. Hamer M, Coombs N, Stamatakis E. Associations between objectively assessed and  
507 self-reported sedentary time with mental health in adults: An analysis of data from the  
508 health survey for England. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(3):1–7.
- 509 4. Diaz KM, Howard VJ, Hutto B, Colabianchi N, Vena JE, Safford MM, et al. Patterns  
510 of sedentary behavior and mortality in U.S. middle-aged and older adults a national  
511 cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;167(7):465–75.
- 512 5. Quante M, Kaplan ER, Rueschman M, Cailler M, Buxton OM, Redline S. Practical  
513 considerations in using accelerometers to assess physical activity, sedentary behavior,  
514 and sleep. *Sleep Heal*. 2015;1(4):275–84.
- 515 6. Rosenberger ME, Fulton JE, Buman MP, Troiano RP, Grandner MA, Buchner DM, et  
516 al. The 24-Hour Activity Cycle: A New Paradigm for Physical Activity. Vol. 51,  
517 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2019. 454–464 p.
- 518 7. Leise TL. Analysis of Nonstationary Time Series for Biological Rhythms Research. *J*  
519 *Biol Rhythms*. 2017;32(3):187–94.
- 520 8. Refinetti R, Cornélissen G, Halberg F. Procedures for numerical analysis of circadian

- 521 rhythms. Vol. 38, Biological Rhythm Research. 2007. 275–325 p.
- 522 9. Bai J, Di C, Xiao L, Evenson KR, LaCroix AZ, Crainiceanu CM, et al. An activity  
523 index for raw accelerometry data and its comparison with other activity metrics. PLoS  
524 One. 2016;11(8):1–14.
- 525 10. Xiao L, Huang L, Schrack JA, Ferrucci L, Zipunnikov V, Crainiceanu CM.  
526 Quantifying the lifetime circadian rhythm of physical activity: A covariate-dependent  
527 functional approach. Biostatistics. 2015;16(2):352–67.
- 528 11. Goldsmith J, Liu X, Jacobson JS, Rundle A. New Insights into Activity Patterns in  
529 Children, Found Using Functional Data Analyses. Med Sci Sports Exerc.  
530 2016;48(9):1723–9.
- 531 12. Reisa A. Sperling, Paul S. Aisen, Laurel A. Beckett, David A. Bennet SC. Toward  
532 defining the preclinical stages of alzheimer’s disease: Recommendations from the  
533 national institute on aging. Alzheimer’s Dement. 2011;7(3):280–92.
- 534 13. Mander BA, Winer J, Jagust WJ, Walker MP. Sleep: A Novel Mechanistic Pathway,  
535 Biomarker, and Treatment Target in the Pathology of Alzheimer’s Disease? Trends  
536 Neurosci [Internet]. 2016;xx:1–15. Available from:  
537 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2016.05.002>
- 538 14. Leng Y, Musiek ES, Hu K, Cappuccio FP, Yaffe K. Association between circadian  
539 rhythms and neurodegenerative diseases. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18(3):307–18.
- 540 15. Lim ASP, Yu L, Costa MD, Leurgans SE, Buchman AS, Bennett DA, et al. Increased  
541 fragmentation of rest-activity patterns is associated with a characteristic pattern of  
542 cognitive impairment in older individuals. Sleep. 2012;35(5):633-40B.
- 543 16. Musiek ES, Bhimasani M, Zangrilli MA, Morris JC, Holtzman DM, Ju Y-ES.

- 544 Circadian Rest-Activity Pattern Changes in Aging and Preclinical Alzheimer Disease.  
545 JAMA Neurol. 2018 May;75(5):582–90.
- 546 17. Gaggioni G, Maquet P, Schmidt C, Dijk DJ, Vandewalle G. Neuroimaging, cognition,  
547 light and circadian rhythms. Vol. 8, Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience. 2014. p. 1–12.
- 548 18. Ladenbauer J, Külzow N, Passmann S, Antonenko D, Grittner U, Tamm S, et al. Brain  
549 stimulation during an afternoon nap boosts slow oscillatory activity and memory  
550 consolidation in older adults. Neuroimage. 2016 Nov 15;142:311–23.
- 551 19. Paßmann S, Külzow N, Ladenbauer J, Antonenko D, Grittner U, Tamm S, et al.  
552 Boosting Slow Oscillatory Activity Using tDCS during Early Nocturnal Slow Wave  
553 Sleep Does Not Improve Memory Consolidation in Healthy Older Adults. Brain  
554 Stimul. 2016;accepted.
- 555 20. Kühner C, Bürger C, Keller F, Hautzinger M. Reliabilität und Validität des revidierten  
556 Beck-Depressionsinventars (BDI-II). Nervenarzt. 2007 Jun 7;78(6):651–6.
- 557 21. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a clinical entity and treatment target. Arch  
558 Neurol. 2004;62(7):1160–3; discussion 1167.
- 559 22. Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of  
560 positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1988;54(6):1063–  
561 70.
- 562 23. Spielberger C, Gorsuch R, Lushene R. Manual for State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Palo  
563 Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologist Press; 1970.
- 564 24. Angermeyer MC, Reinhold K, Matschinger H. WHOQOL-100 und WHOQOL-BREF:  
565 Handbuch für die deutschsprachige Version der WHO Instrumente zur Erfassung von  
566 Lebensqualität. Göttingen: Hogrefe;

- 567 25. Erdmann G, Janke W. Stress, Stressverarbeitung und ihre Erfassung durch ein  
568 mehrdimensionales Testsystem. 4th ed. Göttingen (Germany): Hogrefe; 2008.
- 569 26. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep  
570 quality index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res.*  
571 1989 Apr;28(2):193–213.
- 572 27. Johns M. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness  
573 scale. *Sleep.* 1991;14(6):540–5.
- 574 28. Griefahn B, Künemund P, Bröde P, Mehnert P. Zur Validität der deutschen  
575 Übersetzung des Morningness-Eveningness-Questionnaires von Horne und Östberg.  
576 *Somnologie.* 2001;5:71–80.
- 577 29. Jarret H, Fitzgerald L, Routen AC. Inter-instrument reliability of the Actigraph GT3X+  
578 Ambulatory Activity Monitor during free-living conditions in adults. *J Phys Act*  
579 *Health.* 2015;12(3):382–7.
- 580 30. Marino M, Li Y, Rueschman MN, Winkelman JW, Ellenbogen JM, Solet JM, et al.  
581 Measuring Sleep: Accuracy, Sensitivity, and Specificity of Wrist Actigraphy Compared  
582 to Polysomnography. *Sleep.* 2013;36(11):1747–55.
- 583 31. Choi L, Liu Z, Matthews, Charles E, Buchowski MS. Validation of Accelerometer  
584 Wear and Nonwear Time Classification Algorithm. *Med Sci Sport Exerc.*  
585 2011;43(2):357–64.
- 586 32. Fulk GD, Sazonov ES. Using Sensors to Measure Activity in People with Stroke. *Top*  
587 *Stroke Rehabil.* 2011;18(6):746–57.
- 588 33. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, Mcdowell M. Physical  
589 Activity in the United States measured by Accelerometer. *Med Sci Sport Exerc.*

- 590 2008;40(1):181–8.
- 591 34. Crainiceanu CM, Staicu AM, Ray S, Punjabi N. Bootstrap-based inference on the  
592 difference in the means of two correlated functional processes. *Stat Med*.  
593 2012;31(26):3223–40.
- 594 35. Aldrich E. wavelets: Functions for Computing Wavelet Filters, Wavelet Transforms  
595 and Multiresolution Analyses. 2019; Available from: [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/package=wavelets)  
596 [project.org/package=wavelets](https://cran.r-project.org/package=wavelets)
- 597 36. Percival DB, Walden AT. *Wavelet Methods for Time Series Analysis* [Internet].  
598 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. Available from:  
599 <http://ebooks.cambridge.org/ref/id/CBO9780511841040>
- 600 37. Hastie T, Tibshirani R, Friedman JH (Jerome H. The elements of statistical learning):  
601 data mining, inference, and prediction. Second Edition. Springer Series in Statistics;  
602 2009. 745 p.
- 603 38. Quante M, Mariani S, Weng J, Marinac CR, Kaplan ER, Rueschman M, et al.  
604 Zeitgebers and their association with rest-activity patterns. *Chronobiol Int*.  
605 2019;36(2):203–13.
- 606 39. Matthews CE, Hagströmer M, M PD, Bowles HR. Best Practices for Using Physical  
607 Activity Monitors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2013;44(18):1–17.
- 608 40. Ortiz-Tudela E, Martinez-Nicolas A, Díaz-Mardomingo C, García-Herranz S, Pereda-  
609 Pérez I, Valencia A, et al. The Characterization of Biological Rhythms in Mild  
610 Cognitive Impairment. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:1–7.
- 611 41. Cochrane A, Robertson IH, Coogan AN. Association between circadian rhythms, sleep  
612 and cognitive impairment in healthy older adults: An actigraphic study. *J Neural*

- 613 Transm. 2012 Oct 10;119(10):1233–9.
- 614 42. Coleman MY, Cain SW. Eveningness is associated with greater subjective cognitive  
615 impairment in individuals with self-reported symptoms of unipolar depression. *J Affect*  
616 *Disord.* 2019 Sep 28;256:404–15.
- 617 43. Chan JWY, Lam SP, Li SX, Yu MWM, Chan NY, Zhang J, et al. Eveningness and  
618 Insomnia: Independent Risk Factors of Nonremission in Major Depressive Disorder.  
619 *Sleep.* 2014 May 1;37(5):911–7.
- 620 44. Stevanovic K, Yunus A, Joly-Amado A, Gordon M, Morgan D, Gulick D, et al.  
621 Disruption of normal circadian clock function in a mouse model of tauopathy. *Exp*  
622 *Neurol.* 2017;294:58–67.
- 623 45. Oyegbami O, Collins HM, Pardon M-C, Ebling FJP, Heery DM, Moran PM. Abnormal  
624 Clock Gene Expression and Locomotor Activity Rhythms in Two Month-Old Female  
625 APPSwe/PS1dE9 Mice. *Curr Alzheimer Res.* 2017;14(8):850–60.
- 626 46. Lu Z, Harris TB, Shiroma EJ, Leung J, Kwok T. Patterns of Physical Activity and  
627 Sedentary Behavior for Older Adults with Alzheimer’s Disease, Mild Cognitive  
628 Impairment, and Cognitively Normal in Hong Kong. *J Alzheimer’s Dis.*  
629 2018;66(4):1453–62.
- 630 47. Weissová K, Bartoš A, Sládek M, Nováková M, Sumová A. Moderate Changes in the  
631 Circadian System of Alzheimer’s Disease Patients Detected in Their Home  
632 Environment. Cermakian N, editor. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(1):e0146200.
- 633

634 Figure 1:

635 Comparison of absolute and relative activity distribution between MCI and HOV

636

637 Distribution of a) mean absolute activity between MCI and HOV over time and b) mean relative activity between MCI and

638 HOV over time with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) adjusted for age.

639 Figure 2:

640 Comparison of activity distribution for different chronotypes

641

642 Distribution of mean relative activity over time clustered for the different chronotypes (assessed with the D-MEQ) a) definite

643 evening, moderate evening, and intermediate type vs moderate morning, and definite morning types in MCI, b) definite

644 evening, moderate evening, and intermediate type vs moderate morning, and definite morning types in HOV, c) mean relative

645 activity over time between MCI and HOV for clustered intermediate and evening types, and d) mean relative activity over

646 time between MCI and HOV for morning types. Data are shown with 95% confidence intervals. All analyses are adjusted for

647 age.

648

649

650 **Supporting information**

651 **S1 Table. Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

652 **S2 Table. Neuropsychological test battery**


653 **S1 Appendix. Sleep and activity assessment**

654 **S2 Appendix. Statistical appendix**


655 **S1 Figure. Flow Chart**

656 **S2 Figure. Positioning of actigraph device**

a)

**Absolute activity of MCI vs HOV**


b)

**Relative Activity of MCI vs. HOV**

a)


### Relative activity in HOV

evening–intermediate vs morning chronotypes



c)


### Relative activity of MCI vs HOV (morning chronotypes)



b)

### Relative activity in MCI

evening–intermediate vs morning chronotypes



d)

### Relative activity of MCI vs HOV (evening and intermediate chronotype)

