

Running Head: ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

1 **Title Page**

2 Alcohol Screening for Women in their Childbearing Years: What are Health Care Providers
3 doing in Canada?

4

5 **Authors:**

6 *Christina Cantin, MScN¹; Tejal Patel, MD, CCFP²; Courtney R. Green, PhD, MSc³; Kyla
7 Kaminsky, MA³; Nicole Roberts, MSc⁴; Jocelynn L. Cook, PhD, MBA³

8

9 ¹ Champlain Maternal Newborn Regional Program, 2305 St. Laurent Blvd, Suite 300A, Ottawa,
10 ON, K1G4J8

11 ² Department of Family Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

12 ³ Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, 2781, chemin Lancaster Road, Suite
13 200, Ottawa, ON K1B 1A7

14 ⁴ Better Outcomes Registry & Network, Ottawa, ON

15

16 *Corresponding author

17 2305 St. Laurent Blvd, Suite 300A

18 Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8

19 Phone 613-737-2660 ext 3246

20 Fax 613-738-3633

21 ccantin@cmnrrp.ca

22

23 **Word Count= 2,793**

24

25 **Acknowledgements**

26 This research was supported by a Public Health Agency of Canada contribution agreement.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

27 **Abstract**

28 Health care providers (HCPs) have an important role in screening for alcohol use across the
29 lifespan, particularly during the childbearing years, and providing brief intervention to yield
30 optimal outcomes and prevent the potential teratogenic effects of prenatal alcohol exposure.

31 **Objective**

32 The purpose of this study was to describe the current alcohol screening practices of Canadian
33 HCPs who care for pregnant women and women of childbearing age.

34 **Methods**

35 An online survey was administered in 2017 with the aim to identify current knowledge, attitudes,
36 practices and beliefs among Canadian HCPs on screening, brief intervention and referral to
37 treatment (SBIRT) for alcohol use for this population. The bilingual survey was disseminated by
38 4 national professional associations. A total of 634 interprofessional clinicians completed to the
39 survey. Descriptive analysis was completed for the respondent's profession and their practices
40 related to alcohol SBIRT. Cross-tabulation analyses explored the use of different screening
41 questionnaires.

42 **Results**

43 Most respondents reported asking about alcohol use; however, there was a low overall use of
44 screening questionnaires for both women of childbearing age and those who are pregnant. Low
45 screening rates may equate to missed opportunities for intervention. Low rates of brief
46 intervention and referral were noted even in circumstances where at-risk drinking was identified,
47 with only 16.4% of respondents intervening when pregnant women reported at-risk alcohol
48 consumption.

49 **Conclusion**

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

50 Continued efforts are needed to improve alcohol screening practices among women's HCPs
51 across Canada. Priority areas for training include: understanding validated alcohol screening
52 questionnaires; incorporating brief intervention into routine care; and developing local referral
53 pathways.

54

55 **Key Words**

56 Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders, prevention, pregnancy, women's health, counseling, alcohol
57 use disorder, screening

58

59

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

60 **List of Abbreviations**

61 BI- Brief Interventions

62 SOGC- Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada

63 ACOG- American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology

64 T-ACE – Tolerance, Annoy, Cut down, Eye-opener

65 TWEAK – Tolerance, Worry, Eye-opener, Amnesia, Kut down

66 CAGE – Cut down, Annoy, Guilt, Eye-opener

67 CRAFFT- Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family, Trouble

68 AUDIT-C – Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Alcohol Consumption Questionnaire

69 SMAST – Shortened Michigan Alcohol Screening Test

70 RCT- Randomized Controlled Trial

71 SBIR- Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral

72 SBIRT- Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment

73 FASD- Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

74 **Introduction**

75 Alcohol use during pregnancy continues to be a significant public health concern. Despite the
76 strong evidence correlating alcohol consumption in pregnancy with adverse fetal outcomes,
77 namely Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD), there is insufficient evidence to define a safe
78 threshold (Carson et al., 2017). Therefore, abstinence continues to be the recommendation for
79 women who are considering a pregnancy or who are pregnant.

80

81 Alcohol consumption is a common and socially acceptable behaviour in Canadian society.
82 According to the Public Health Agency of Canada's Canadian maternity experiences survey,
83 62.4% of women of childbearing age (≥ 18 years) reported consuming alcohol three months
84 before they were pregnant or before pregnancy recognition; 10.5% women reported consuming
85 alcohol during pregnancy (PHAC, 2009). The unplanned pregnancy rate among *all* Canadian
86 women is reportedly 40%, but as high as 70% in adolescents (Black et al., 2015; PHAC, 2009).
87 This translates to a high potential rate of prenatal alcohol exposure during critical embryonic and
88 fetal developmental stages. Therefore, health care providers (HCPs) are uniquely placed to
89 screen for alcohol use across the lifespan, particularly during the childbearing years, and provide
90 management strategies to mitigate harm.

91

92 Universal screening is one prevention measure that HCPs can easily incorporate into their
93 routine practice, enabling them to identify patients who are consuming alcohol. This would allow
94 HCPs to provide interventions and support in the preconception period (ACOG, 2011; WHO,
95 2014). Although some populations are at higher risk for substance use (Carson et al., 2017), the
96 following characteristics have been identified as the most likely to be overlooked when screening

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

97 for alcohol use: over 35 years of age; social drinker; highly educated; history of sexual and/or
98 emotional abuse; and high socioeconomic status (PHAC, 2002). Furthermore, a randomized
99 controlled trial (RCT) of 304 pregnant women and their partners identified the following factors
100 associated with increased prenatal alcohol use: more years of education; extent of previous
101 alcohol consumption (prior to pregnancy); and temptation to drink in social situations (Chang et
102 al., 2005). Universal screening by definition means that *all* women receive screening for
103 substance use regardless of their sociodemographic characteristics. Use of an appropriate and
104 validated screening questionnaire allows for a better understanding of problematic alcohol use
105 and can inform further referrals and treatment.

106

107 There is compelling evidence that screening for problematic alcohol use in pregnancy, followed
108 by brief intervention (BI) for those identified to be at-risk, can decrease alcohol consumption
109 during pregnancy (Carson et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2005; Chang, Wilkins-Haug, Berman, &
110 Goetz, 1999; Floyd, Weber, Denny, & O'Connor, 2009), in the postnatal period (Chang et al.,
111 2005), and during women's childbearing years (Wilton et al., 2013). A RCT of 205 women of
112 childbearing age receiving primary care and community-based care by physicians found a
113 significant treatment effect in reducing both 7 day alcohol use ($p < 0.005$) and binge drinking
114 episodes ($p < 0.005$) over a 48 month follow-up period (Manwell, Fleming, Mundt, Stauffacher, &
115 Barry, 2000). Women in the experimental group, who became pregnant during the follow-up
116 period, had the most dramatic decreases in alcohol use suggesting that BI is effective in
117 maintaining a reduction in alcohol use.

118

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

119 In North America, both the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) and
120 Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) recommend universal screening
121 for alcohol use in pregnancy (ACOG, 2011; Carson et al., 2017). Screening can take many
122 forms. Simply asking one or two questions to identify if the woman drinks alcohol, how often or
123 how much has been shown to effectively open a dialogue about alcohol use in pregnant women
124 (Burd et al., 2006). Alternatively, screening can be accomplished by the use of a structured and
125 validated questionnaire. A number of questionnaires (Table 1) have been described in the
126 literature for this purpose (Bush, Kivlahan, McDonell, Fihn, & Bradley, 1998; Knight et al.,
127 1999; Mayfield, McLeod, & Hall, 1974; Pokorny, Miller, & Kaplan, 1972; Russell & Bigler,
128 1979; Saunders, Aasland, Babor, de la Fuente, & Grant, 1993; Selzer, Vinokur, & van Rooijen,
129 1975; Sokol, Martier, & Ager, 1989). Among these, the T-ACE and TWEAK (Tolerance, Worry,
130 Eye-opener, Amnesia, Kut down) were designed and validated specifically for the obstetrical
131 population (Burns, Gray, & Smith, 2010; Sokol et al., 1989) while others, such as the AUDIT-C
132 (Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Alcohol Consumption Questionnaire) and CAGE
133 (Cut down, Annoy, Guilt, Eye-opener), have been studied in pregnant women though they were
134 originally developed for use in men. Currently, the evidence would suggest that the T-ACE,
135 TWEAK and AUDIT-C screening questionnaires have the most applicability and promise for use
136 in the pregnant population (Burns et al., 2010; Shogren, Harsell, & Heitkamp, 2017; Smith,
137 Savory, Couves, & Burns, 2014). Accordingly, ACOG recommends use of the T-ACE
138 questionnaire and discourages use of CAGE during pregnancy (ACOG, 2011), while the SOGC
139 recommends using CRAFFT (Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family, Trouble) in adolescent
140 pregnancies and either T-ACE or TWEAK with adult pregnant women (ACOG, 2011; Chudley
141 et al., 2005). Premji and Semenic suggest the T-ACE scale can identify alcohol use among

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

142 diverse groups of pregnant women, due to its high sensitivity and specificity (Premji & Semenic,
143 2009).

144
145 Despite the recommendation, uptake of formal screening questionnaires among physicians and
146 nurses is poor, with only 44% reporting consistent use (Davis, Carr, & La, 2008). Often cited
147 barriers to screening include the time required to conduct the questionnaire; the need for a
148 specific skill set and training to conduct sensitive and difficult conversations; and a perceived
149 lack of confidence or competence among HCPs (Diekman et al., 2000; Gassman, 2003; Poole,
150 Schmidt, Green, & Hemsing, 2016; Spandorfer, Israel, & Turner, 1999; Wangberg, 2015). The
151 purpose of this paper is to describe the current alcohol screening practices of Canadian HCPs
152 who care for pregnant women and women of childbearing age, to explore differences among
153 HCP groups, and to identify priority areas for training.

154

155 **Methods**

156 The SOGC conducted a national survey in 2017 that was based on the 2002 survey on the same
157 topic (PHAC, 2002). An interprofessional panel was tasked with revising the 2002 survey, based
158 on their expertise in the field and informed by a comprehensive literature review. Once the
159 survey (consisting of 116 questions) was finalized, it was translated and administered using
160 SurveyMonkey®. The survey was disseminated to Canadian HCPs in both English and French
161 between October and November 2017 through the following professional association
162 membership lists: SOGC, the College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC), the Canadian
163 Association of Midwives (CAM), and the Canadian Association of Perinatal and Women's
164 Health Nurses (CAPWHN). Two reminder emails were sent after the initial recruitment email.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

165
166 The study received ethics approval from the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics
167 Board (REB #20170407-01H). Descriptive analysis was conducted using Statistical Analysis
168 Software (SAS) (SAS, 2019) version 9.4 reporting respondent's profession and their practices
169 related to alcohol screening, provision of advice, brief intervention, harm reduction, and referral
170 to treatment. Additionally, cross-tabulations were completed by HCP group (i.e. Family
171 Physicians, Midwives, Obstetricians/Gynaecologists (Ob/Gyns), & Nurses) to explore any
172 differences in the types of screening questionnaires used for both pregnant and women of
173 childbearing age.

174

175 **Results**

176 A total of 634 HCPs completed the survey. The demographic characteristics of the respondents
177 are summarized in Table 2. Participants from all disciplines surveyed were represented, with
178 midwives making up a third (33.3%) of all respondents. Participants were mostly female (89.6%)
179 and practicing in Ontario (51.5%). There was almost equal participation of HCPs by years in
180 practice, with slightly higher rates for those in their first 5 years of practice (34.2%). One third of
181 all respondents identified some personal experience with problematic alcohol use. Familiarity
182 and use of the SOGC guidelines was modest.

183

184 The majority of HCPs stated that “no alcohol during pregnancy is recommended.” Interestingly,
185 more HCPs recommended this for pregnant women than for women of childbearing age (93.1%
186 vs 88.1%). Nearly all participants (94.9%) reported asking pregnant women about alcohol use,
187 while only 71.9% asked women of childbearing age (Table 3). More than one-third of

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

188 participants reported using an alcohol screening questionnaire (38% with pregnant women, and
189 42% with women of childbearing age). However, only 35% and 14% of the participants reported
190 that they “frequently used” a screening questionnaire for pregnant women and women of
191 childbearing age, respectively (Table 3). The majority of respondents felt that they had enough
192 time to use a structured alcohol screening questionnaire for pregnant women (76.9%) and women
193 of childbearing age (69.3%).

194

195 HCPs choice of alcohol screening questionnaires is summarized in Table 4. Overall, T-ACE and
196 CAGE were the most commonly used structured alcohol screening questionnaires (38% and 41%
197 respectively for pregnant women; and 25% and 79% respectively for women of childbearing
198 age). Variations in choice of screening questionnaires were revealed among different HCPs;
199 family physicians most often used CAGE whereas midwives most often used TWEAK; Ob/Gyns
200 were equally likely to choose T-ACE and CAGE for pregnant women, however, CAGE was
201 preferentially used for women of childbearing age.

202

203 In addition to understanding screening practices, it was important to determine how HCPs
204 responded to positive screens. All respondents reported low rates of brief intervention when at-
205 risk drinking was identified in pregnant women (16.4%), and women of childbearing age
206 (39.9%). Family physicians were the most likely to provide brief intervention for both groups of
207 women. The highest rates of referral to harm reduction and treatment programs were found for
208 women of childbearing age when at-risk drinking was identified (50.6%) compared to pregnant
209 women when at-risk drinking was identified (38.7%). Midwives and nurses reported the highest

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

210 referral rates for pregnant women, whereas family physicians reported the highest referrals rates
211 for women of childbearing age.

212

213 **Discussion**

214 This study explored the alcohol screening practices of Canadian women`s HCPs. Although most
215 respondents reported asking about alcohol use, overall a smaller percentage actually reported
216 using validated screening questionnaire. This is consistent with the findings from a descriptive
217 study by Davis and colleagues exploring alcohol risk assessment practices of Canadian primary
218 care professionals (Davis et al., 2008). The majority of respondents in their study reported that
219 they either “rarely or never” used a standardized screening questionnaire *or* that they used a
220 questionnaire that was less sensitive. Practices varied according to gender, length of time in
221 practice, and practice location (Davis et al., 2008). Poole and colleagues reported that screening,
222 BI, and referral to treatment (SBIRT) was not provided universally or systematically across
223 Canada (Poole et al., 2016). Low screening rates may translate into missed opportunities for
224 intervention, resulting in alcohol exposed-pregnancies and suboptimal maternal, neonatal, and
225 child outcomes.

226

227 A review of the qualitative data collected in this survey revealed that HCPs, who do not use
228 validated screening questionnaires, identified the following barriers to use: insufficient time; lack
229 of education and/or awareness of screening questionnaires; lack of access to screening
230 questionnaires; and the perception that screening was out of their scope of practice (data not
231 shown). This is consistent with other studies that reported lack of knowledge (Poole et al., 2016)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

232 and perceived lack of time and competence in BI (Wangberg, 2015) as barriers to integrating
233 effective screening into clinical practice.

234

235 A national survey of Swedish midwives (n=2106) revealed that although they had excellent or
236 good knowledge concerning the risks associated with drinking alcohol during pregnancy, they
237 lacked the skills to identify pregnant women with at-risk pre-pregnancy alcohol use. Resources
238 related to alcohol use during pregnancy for parents, and strategies to manage at-risk drinking
239 behaviours were identified as knowledge gaps (Holmqvist & Nilsen, 2010).

240

241 **Strategies to Increase Alcohol Screening Practices**

242 HCPs may benefit from education to improve their use of evidence-based screening
243 questionnaires. It is important for HCPs to understand the evidence underlying efficacy of
244 screening questionnaires and the low time investment, in most cases, needed to implement
245 screening practices in routine care.

246

247 Embedding a validated alcohol screening questionnaire into prenatal records may help improve
248 uptake by increasing awareness and ready access for busy HCPs (Premji & Semenic, 2009).
249 Ontario, the province with the greatest representation in this survey, released the newest version
250 of their prenatal record in 2017 and now includes the T-ACE questionnaire (PCMCH, 2017). It is
251 anticipated that alcohol screening practices will improve, as HCPs use this evidence-based
252 questionnaire as part of routine prenatal care provision. Additionally, utilizing standard drink
253 resources can assist patients to quantify their alcohol consumption and improve accurate
254 documentation (Butt 2011; Premji & Semenic, 2009).

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

255

256 When developing and delivering education programs on alcohol use in pregnancy, application-
257 type modules and/or activities are preferable to a solely didactic approach. In one study, HCPs in
258 the prenatal care setting screened 95% of pregnant women for alcohol use after receiving training
259 on the use of screening questionnaires and BI (Kennedy, Finkelstein, Hutchins, & Mahoney,
260 2004). Important components of the training were: integrating skill building sessions and
261 information delivered by physicians with expertise in this field; identifying community treatment
262 resources and referral pathways; reviewing a BI protocol and clinical decision tree/protocol, as
263 well as offering ongoing technical assistance and consultation (Kennedy et al., 2004). In another
264 study, a one day training session combined with continuous expert support was sufficient for a
265 group of Swedish midwives to implement systematic screening during regular prenatal care visits
266 (Goransson, Magnusson, & Heilig, 2006).

267

268 The use of technology could also be further explored for novel mechanisms for the
269 implementation or enhancement of SBIRT in clinical settings. This could be further explored as
270 a cost-effective alternative to traditional counselling approaches (Wilton et al., 2013).
271 Computerized alcohol screening and BI was implemented at a public health clinic to 290
272 pregnant women with positive outcomes (Nayak, Korcha, Kaskutas, & Avalos, 2014). Eighty-
273 seven percent of participants completed the online program and 91% completed the key
274 screening and BI modules. The program identified alcohol use in 21% of pregnant patients,
275 which was higher than the rates obtained after screening by clinic staff (13%, $p < 0.01$). The
276 majority of program participants (97%) reported that the program was easy to use, they learned

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

277 something new (88%), and would share what they learned with others (83%) (Nayak et al.,
278 2014).

279

280 **Future Directions**

281 Future efforts of Canadian HCP associations and societies should consider the gaps identified in
282 this study and explore opportunities to meet the priority needs. Standardized curricula regarding
283 substance use should be included in all undergraduate and postgraduate health professionals
284 programs, or offered as specialized courses for continuing professional education credit.

285

286 At the community level, more referrals to treatment programs are required, especially when at-
287 risk drinking is identified in pregnant women. It is unclear as to why, in the current study, there
288 were fewer referrals to harm reduction and treatment program when women were pregnant. This
289 could reflect a lack of knowledge about available treatment programs, or a lack of programs to
290 which HCPs can refer women. A gap in treatment services has been previously identified in
291 Canada (Poole et al., 2016), as well as, the United States (Diekman et al., 2000). Poole and
292 colleagues acknowledged that while pregnant women are often given priority access to substance
293 use treatment, there is a need for tailored, gender-responsive and pregnancy-focused substance
294 use treatment programs (Poole et al., 2016). Access is another consideration, especially for
295 women living in rural and remote communities. Furthermore, programs that support women's
296 recovery, while remaining with their babies and children, are needed.

297

298 Access to SBIRT in the preconception and interconception period has also been cited as a key
299 facilitator in reducing the risk of alcohol exposed pregnancies (Poole et al., 2016). Preconception

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

300 interventions have been documented to be effective in reducing at-risk drinking, increasing the
301 use of contraception, or both (Scholin, 2016).

302

303 **Limitations**

304 This study has a number of limitations. A high number of respondents were midwives and there
305 was over-representation from Ontario compared to other provinces and territories. While the
306 number of midwives providing care across the country is growing (PHAC, 2012), a significant
307 proportion of early prenatal care is provided by family physicians. Similarly, the low number of
308 responses of HCPs who care for women of childbearing age may be a reflection of the
309 underrepresentation of family physicians and Ob/Gyns who often see these women for other
310 medical reasons.

311

312 Further research should explore the predictors of HCPs' use of alcohol screening questionnaires,
313 in particular, years in practice, practice location, attitudes about screening, and attitudes about BI
314 to address any gaps in knowledge and novel approaches to education and training. These
315 findings would help to target future knowledge translation activities.

316

317 **Conclusion**

318 All HCPs caring for pregnant women or women of childbearing age have an essential role in
319 identifying and mitigating problematic alcohol use. There are a number of evidence-based
320 questionnaires that can be used to assess alcohol use. HCPs should strive to provide a safe space
321 to have open, non-judgemental conversations that explore the contributing factors to a woman's

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

- 322 alcohol use and provide BI as indicated. In doing so, comprehensive assessments can be
- 323 completed and individualized care can be provided.

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

324 **References**

- 325
- 326 American College of Obstetrics & Gynecology. (2011). Committee opinion no. 496: At-risk drinking and
327 alcohol dependence: obstetric and gynecologic implications. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 118(2 Pt
328 1), 383-388. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e31822c9906
- 329 Black, A. Y., Guilbert, E., Hassan, F., Chatziheofilou, I., Lowin, J., Jeddi, M., . . . Trussell, J. (2015). The
330 Cost of Unintended Pregnancies in Canada: Estimating Direct Cost, Role of Imperfect
331 Adherence, and the Potential Impact of Increased Use of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives.
332 *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada*, 37(12), 1086-1097. doi:10.1016/s1701-
333 2163(16)30074-3
- 334 Burd, L., Klug, M. G., Martsolf, J. T., Martsolf, C., Deal, E., & Kerbeshian, J. (2006). A staged screening
335 strategy for prenatal alcohol exposure and maternal risk stratification. *Journal of the Royal*
336 *Society for the Promotion of Health*, 126(2), 86-94. doi:10.1177/1466424006063184
- 337 Burns, E., Gray, R., & Smith, L. A. (2010). Brief screening questionnaires to identify problem drinking
338 during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Addiction*, 105(4), 601-614. doi:10.1111/j.1360-
339 0443.2009.02842.x
- 340 Bush, K., Kivlahan, D. R., McDonell, M. B., Fihn, S. D., & Bradley, K. A. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol
341 consumption questions (AUDIT-C): an effective brief screening test for problem drinking.
342 Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP). Alcohol Use Disorders Identification
343 Test. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 158(16), 1789-1795. doi:10.1001/archinte.158.16.1789
- 344 Butt, B., Glkisman, Paradis & Stockwell. (2011). *Alcohol and health in Canada: A summary of evidence*
345 *and guidelines for low risk drinking*. Retrieved from Ottawa, ON:
346 [http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/2011-Summary-of-Evidence-and-Guidelines-for-Low-](http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/2011-Summary-of-Evidence-and-Guidelines-for-Low-Risk%20Drinking-en.pdf)
347 [Risk%20Drinking-en.pdf](http://www.ccsa.ca/Resource%20Library/2011-Summary-of-Evidence-and-Guidelines-for-Low-Risk%20Drinking-en.pdf)
- 348 Carson, G., Cox, L. V., Crane, J., Croteau, P., Graves, L., Kluka, S., . . . Wood, R. (2017). No. 245-
349 Alcohol Use and Pregnancy Consensus Clinical Guidelines. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*
350 *Canada*, 39(9), e220-e254. doi:10.1016/j.jogc.2017.06.005
- 351 Chang, G., McNamara, T. K., Orav, E. J., Koby, D., Lavigne, A., Ludman, B., . . . Wilkins-Haug, L.
352 (2005). Brief intervention for prenatal alcohol use: a randomized trial. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*,
353 105(5 Pt 1), 991-998. doi:10.1097/01.AOG.0000157109.05453.84
- 354 Chang, G., Wilkins-Haug, L., Berman, S., & Goetz, M. A. (1999). Brief intervention for alcohol use in
355 pregnancy: a randomized trial. *Addiction*, 94(10), 1499-1508. doi:10.1046/j.1360-
356 0443.1999.941014996.x
- 357 Chudley, A. E., Conry, J., Cook, J. L., Looock, C., Rosales, T., LeBlanc, N., & Public Health Agency of
358 Canada's National Advisory Committee on Fetal Alcohol Spectrum, D. (2005). Fetal alcohol
359 spectrum disorder: Canadian guidelines for diagnosis. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*,
360 172(5 Suppl), S1-S21. doi:10.1503/cmaj.1040302
- 361 Davis, P. M., Carr, T. L., & La, C. B. (2008). Needs assessment and current practice of alcohol risk
362 assessment of pregnant women and women of childbearing age by primary health care
363 professionals. *Canadian Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 15(2), e214-222.
- 364 Diekman, S. T., Floyd, R. L., Decoufle, P., Schulkin, J., Ebrahim, S. H., & Sokol, R. J. (2000). A survey
365 of obstetrician-gynecologists on their patients' alcohol use during pregnancy. *Obstetrics &*
366 *Gynecology*, 95(5), 756-763. doi:10.1016/s0029-7844(99)00616-x
- 367 Floyd, R. L., Weber, M. K., Denny, C., & O'Connor, M. J. (2009). Prevention of fetal alcohol spectrum
368 disorders. *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 15(3), 193-199. doi:10.1002/ddr.75
- 369 Gassman, R. A. (2003). Medical specialization, profession, and mediating beliefs that predict stated
370 likelihood of alcohol screening and brief intervention: targeting educational interventions.
371 *Substance Abuse*, 24(3), 141-156. doi:10.1080/08897070309511544
- 372 Goransson, M., Magnusson, A., & Heilig, M. (2006). Identifying hazardous alcohol consumption during
373 pregnancy: implementing a research-based model in real life. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica*
374 *Scandinavica*, 85(6), 657-662. doi:10.1080/00016340600589677

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

- 375 Holmqvist, M., & Nilsen, P. (2010). Approaches to assessment of alcohol intake during pregnancy in
376 Swedish maternity care--a national-based investigation into midwives' alcohol-related education,
377 knowledge and practice. *Midwifery*, 26(4), 430-434. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2008.10.009
- 378 Kennedy, C., Finkelstein, N., Hutchins, E., & Mahoney, J. (2004). Improving screening for alcohol use
379 during pregnancy: the Massachusetts ASAP program. *Maternal Child Health Journal*, 8(3), 137-
380 147. doi:10.1023/b:maci.0000037647.78420.e3
- 381 Knight, J. R., Shrier, L. A., Bravender, T. D., Farrell, M., Vander Bilt, J., & Shaffer, H. J. (1999). A new
382 brief screen for adolescent substance abuse. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*,
383 153(6), 591-596. doi:10.1001/archpedi.153.6.591
- 384 Manwell, L. B., Fleming, M. F., Mundt, M. P., Stauffacher, E. A., & Barry, K. L. (2000). Treatment of
385 problem alcohol use in women of childbearing age: results of a brief intervention trial.
386 *Alcoholism Clinical and Experimental Research*, 24(10), 1517-1524.
- 387 Mayfield, D., McLeod, G., & Hall, P. (1974). The CAGE questionnaire: validation of a new alcoholism
388 screening instrument. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 131(10), 1121-1123.
389 doi:10.1176/ajp.131.10.1121
- 390 Nayak, M. B., Korcha, R. A., Kaskutas, L. A., & Avalos, L. A. (2014). Feasibility and acceptability of a
391 novel, computerized screening and brief intervention (SBI) for alcohol and sweetened beverage
392 use in pregnancy. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 14, 379. doi:10.1186/s12884-014-0379-x
- 393 Provincial Council for Maternal & Child Health. (2017). *Ontario Prenatal Record*. Retrieved from
394 [http://www.pcmch.on.ca/health-care-providers/maternity-care/pcmch-strategies-and-](http://www.pcmch.on.ca/health-care-providers/maternity-care/pcmch-strategies-and-initiatives/ontario-perinatal-record-2017/)
395 [initiatives/ontario-perinatal-record-2017/](http://www.pcmch.on.ca/health-care-providers/maternity-care/pcmch-strategies-and-initiatives/ontario-perinatal-record-2017/)
- 396 Public Health Agency of Canada. (2002). *Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder. Knowledge and attitudes of*
397 *health professionals about fetal alcohol syndrome: Results of a national survey*. Ottawa, ON.
- 398 Public Health Agency of Canada. (2009). *What mothers say: the Canadian maternity experiences survey*.
399 Ottawa, ON. Retrieved from [https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/phac-aspc/migration/phac-](https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/phac-aspc/migration/phac-aspc/rhs-ssg/pdf/survey-eng.pdf)
400 [aspc/rhs-ssg/pdf/survey-eng.pdf](https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/phac-aspc/migration/phac-aspc/rhs-ssg/pdf/survey-eng.pdf)
- 401 Public Health Agency of Canada. (2012). *Canadian hospitals maternity policies and practices survey*.
402 Ottawa, ON Retrieved from
403 [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cheryl_Levitt/publication/277955358_Hanvey_L_Kaczoro-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cheryl_Levitt/publication/277955358_Hanvey_L_Kaczorowski_J_Levitt_C_Bartholomew_S_Chalmers_B_Heaman_M_Public_Health_Agency_of_Canada_Canadian_Hospitals_Maternity_P)
404 [wski_J_Levitt_C_Bartholomew_S_Chalmers_B_Heaman_M_Public_Health_Agency_of_Canada](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cheryl_Levitt/publication/277955358_Hanvey_L_Kaczorowski_J_Levitt_C_Bartholomew_S_Chalmers_B_Heaman_M_Public_Health_Agency_of_Canada_Canadian_Hospitals_Maternity_P)
405 [Canadian_Hospitals_Maternity_P](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cheryl_Levitt/publication/277955358_Hanvey_L_Kaczorowski_J_Levitt_C_Bartholomew_S_Chalmers_B_Heaman_M_Public_Health_Agency_of_Canada_Canadian_Hospitals_Maternity_P)
- 406 Pokorny, A. D., Miller, B. A., & Kaplan, H. B. (1972). The brief MAST: a shortened version of the
407 Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 129(3), 342-345.
408 doi:10.1176/ajp.129.3.342
- 409 Poole, N., Schmidt, R. A., Green, C., & Hemsing, N. (2016). Prevention of Fetal Alcohol Spectrum
410 Disorder: Current Canadian Efforts and Analysis of Gaps. *Substance Abuse*, 10(Suppl 1), 1-11.
411 doi:10.4137/SART.S34545
- 412 Premji, S. S., & Semenic, S. (2009). Do Canadian prenatal record forms integrate evidence-based
413 guidelines for the diagnosis of a FASD? *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 100(4), 274-280.
- 414 Russell, M., & Bigler, L. (1979). Screening for alcohol-related problems in an outpatient obstetric-
415 gynecologic clinic. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 134(1), 4-12.
416 doi:10.1016/0002-9378(79)90787-7
- 417 Statistical Analysis Software. (2019). https://www.sas.com/en_ca/home.html
- 418 Saunders, J. B., Aasland, O. G., Babor, T. F., de la Fuente, J. R., & Grant, M. (1993). Development of the
419 Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early
420 Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption--II. *Addiction*, 88(6), 791-804.
421 doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.1993.tb02093.x
- 422 Scholin, L. (2016). *Prevention of harm caused by alcohol exposure in pregnancy: Rapid review and case*
423 *studies from Member States*. Retrieved from
424 [http://www.euro.who.int/en/publications/abstracts/prevention-of-harm-caused-by-alcohol-](http://www.euro.who.int/en/publications/abstracts/prevention-of-harm-caused-by-alcohol-exposure-in-pregnancy.-rapid-review-and-case-studies-from-member-states-2016)
425 [exposure-in-pregnancy.-rapid-review-and-case-studies-from-member-states-2016](http://www.euro.who.int/en/publications/abstracts/prevention-of-harm-caused-by-alcohol-exposure-in-pregnancy.-rapid-review-and-case-studies-from-member-states-2016)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

- 426 Selzer, M. L., Vinokur, A., & van Rooijen, L. (1975). A self-administered Short Michigan Alcoholism
427 Screening Test (SMAST). *Journal of Studies on Alcohol & Drugs*, 36(1), 117-126.
428 doi:10.15288/jsa.1975.36.117
- 429 Shogren, M. D., Harsell, C., & Heitkamp, T. (2017). Screening Women for At-Risk Alcohol Use: An
430 Introduction to Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) in Women's
431 Health. *Journal of Midwifery & Womens Health*, 62(6), 746-754. doi:10.1111/jmwh.12659
- 432 Smith, L., Savory, J., Couves, J., & Burns, E. (2014). Alcohol consumption during pregnancy: cross-
433 sectional survey. *Midwifery*, 30(12), 1173-1178. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2014.04.002
- 434 Sokol, R. J., Martier, S. S., & Ager, J. W. (1989). The T-ACE questions: practical prenatal detection of
435 risk-drinking. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 160(4), 863-868; discussion 868-
436 870. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(89)90302-5
- 437 Spandorfer, J. M., Israel, Y., & Turner, B. J. (1999). Primary care physicians' views on screening and
438 management of alcohol abuse: inconsistencies with national guidelines. *Journal of Family
439 Practice*, 48(11), 899-902.
- 440 Wangberg, S. C. (2015). Norwegian midwives' use of screening for and brief interventions on alcohol use
441 in pregnancy. *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare*, 6(3), 186-190. doi:10.1016/j.srhc.2015.03.001
- 442 World Health Organization. (2014) *Guidelines for the Identification and Management of Substance Use
443 and Substance Use Disorders in Pregnancy*. Geneva.
- 444 Wilton, G., Moberg, D. P., Van Stelle, K. R., Dold, L. L., Obmascher, K., & Goodrich, J. (2013). A
445 randomized trial comparing telephone versus in-person brief intervention to reduce the risk of an
446 alcohol-exposed pregnancy. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 45(5), 389-394.
447 doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2013.06.006
- 448
- 449

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

450 Tables

451 Table 1: Examples of questionnaires that can be used to screen for problematic alcohol use

Screening Questionnaire	Components	Strengths & Limitations
CRAFFT	<p>C: Have you ever ridden in a Car driven by someone (including yourself) that was high or had been using alcohol or drugs?</p> <p>R: Have you ever used alcohol or drugs to Relax, feel better about yourself, or fit in?</p> <p>A: Do you ever use alcohol or drugs while you are by yourself (Alone)?</p> <p>F: Do you ever Forget things you did while using alcohol or drugs?</p> <p>F: Do your Family or friends ever tell you that you should cut down on your drinking or drug use?</p> <p>T: Have you ever gotten into Trouble while you were using alcohol or drugs?</p>	<p>Developed for use in adolescent patients</p> <p>Can be clinician or self administered</p>
CAGE	<p>C: Have you ever felt you should cut down on your drinking?</p> <p>A: Have people annoyed you by criticizing your drinking?</p> <p>G: Have you ever felt bad or guilty about your drinking?</p> <p>E: eye-opener: Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?</p>	<p>Developed for use in Caucasian men</p> <p>Indirect questions</p> <p>Focuses on consequences of alcohol overuse</p> <p>Considered to be less accurate for use in women</p>
T-ACE	<p>T: Tolerance – How many drinks does it take to make you feel high? (>2 indicates tolerance)</p> <p>A: Have people annoyed you by criticizing your drinking?</p> <p>C: Have you ever felt you should cut down on your drinking?</p> <p>E: eye-opener: Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?</p>	<p>Modification of CAGE</p> <p>Indirect questions</p> <p>Developed and validated for use in pregnant women</p> <p>reliable in diverse ethnic/racial backgrounds</p>
TWEAK	<p>T: Tolerance – How many drinks can you hold? (>5 indicates tolerance) or How many drinks can you take before you begin to feel the effects (>2 indicates tolerance)</p> <p>W: have close friends or relatives worried or complained about your drinking in the past year?</p> <p>E: eye-opener: Do you sometimes take a drink in the morning when you first get up?</p> <p>A: amnesia: Has a friend or family member ever told you about things you said or did while you were drinking that you could not remember?</p> <p>K: kut down: Do you sometimes feel the need to cut down on your drinking?</p>	<p>Modification of CAGE</p> <p>Indirect questions</p> <p>Developed and validated for use in pregnant women</p> <p>Very sensitive in Caucasian women, less so for other ethnic groups</p>
AUDIT-C	<p>How often have you had a drink containing alcohol in the past year? Never, monthly or less, 2–4 times a month, 2 or 3 times a week, 4 times a week</p> <p>How many drinks did you have on a typical day when you were drinking in the past year? 1 or 2, 3 or 4, 5 or 6, 7–9, 10</p> <p>How often did you have six* or more drinks on one occasion during the past year? Never, < monthly, monthly, weekly, daily or almost daily</p>	<p>Shortened AUDIT questionnaire</p> <p>Direct questions</p> <p>Lack of cutoff point indicating harmful use</p>
SMAST	<p>In the past 12 months:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do you feel you are a normal drinker? 2. Do your spouse, parents or other close relative worry or complain about your drinking? 3. Do you ever feel guilty about your drinking? 4. Do friends or relatives think you are a normal drinker? 5. Are you able to stop drinking when you want to? 6. Have you ever attended a meeting of Alcoholics Anonymous? 7. Has your drinking ever caused problem between you, a spouse, parents or close relative? 8. Have you ever got into trouble at work because of drinking? 9. Have you ever neglected your obligations your family or your work for 2 or more days in a row because you were drinking? 10. Have you ever gone to anyone for help about your drinking? 11. Have you ever been in a hospital because of drinking? 12. Have you ever been arrested for drunk driving or driving after drinking? 13. Have you ever been arrested, however short a time, because of drinking? 	<p>Shortened version of the MAST</p> <p>Developed for use in men</p> <p>Focuses on lifetime use rather than recent or current use</p>

452

453

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

454 Table 2. Study Participant Description

Characteristic	n (%)
<u>Profession</u>	
Family physician	107 (18.3)
Midwife	195 (33.3)
Obstetrician/gynaecologist	166 (28.3)
Nurse (incl. NP)	78 (13.3)
Other	40 (6.8)
No response	48
<u>Gender</u>	
Male	61 (10.4)
Female	524 (89.6)
No response	49
<u>Age (years)</u>	
<25 years	8 (1.4)
25-34 years	166 (28.3)
35-44 years	195 (33.2)
45-54 years	131 (22.3)
55-64 years	73 (12.4)
>65 years	14 (2.4)
No response	47
<u>Years in practice</u>	
<5	199 (34.2)
6-10	122 (21.0)
11-20	133 (22.8)
>20	128 (22.0)
No response	52
<u>Practice location</u>	
Alberta	63 (10.8)
British Columbia	93 (15.9)
Manitoba	17 (2.9)
New Brunswick	9 (1.5)
Newfoundland & Labrador	6 (1.0)
Northwest Territories	1 (0.2)
Nova Scotia	19 (3.2)
Nunavut	5 (0.9)
Ontario	302 (51.5)
Prince Edward Island	2 (0.3)
Quebec	41 (7.0)
Saskatchewan	21 (3.6)
Yukon	1 (0.2)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Other No response	6 (1.0) 48
<u>Lived experience with problematic alcohol use (self or a loved one)</u> Yes No No response	214 (36.5) 372 (63.5) 48
Very/somewhat familiar with SOGC guidelines	335 (52.0)
Use SOGC guidelines	328 (57.5)

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Table 3. Use of alcohol screening questionnaire

Question	Pregnant Women		Childbearing Women	
	n	%	n	%
Do you ever use an alcohol screening questionnaire?				
Yes	216	38	151	42
No	355	62	209	58
On average, how often do you use an alcohol screening questionnaire?				
Frequently	76	35	21	14
Sometimes	60	28	70	47
Rarely	79	37	59	39
I have enough time to use a structured alcohol screening questionnaire				
Yes	166	77	104	69
No	50	23	46	31

ALCOHOL SCREENING FOR WOMEN IN THEIR CHILDBEARING YEARS: WHAT ARE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS DOING IN CANADA?

Table 4. Choice of alcohol screening questionnaire used by clinicians

Which structured alcohol screening do you most often use?*	All Professionals** n (%)	Family Physicians n (%)	Midwives n (%)	Obs/Gyns n (%)	Nurses n (%)
<u>Pregnant women***</u>					
T-ACE	82(38)	14(31)	24(35)	33(56)	9 (32)
TWEAK	56(26)	9(20)	34(50)	4(7)	7(25)
CAGE	88(41)	29(64)	4(6)	33(56)	11(39)
MAST	2(0.9)	1(2)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
AUDIT	5(2)	2(4)	1(1)	1 (2)	1 (4)
OTHER	15(7)	2(4)	8(12)	2 (3)	3(11)
<u>Women of childbearing age****</u>					
T-ACE	12 (8)	3 (5)	3 (50)	3 (6)	3 (20)
TWEAK	119 (79)	56 (90)	1 (17)	41 (77)	8 (53)
CAGE	2 (1)	2 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
MAST	7 (5)	2 (3)	1 (17)	2 (4)	2 (13)
AUDIT	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)
OTHER					

* participants were permitted to choose more than one answer

**the total professional variable includes other professionals. Therefore, this number will be greater than the sum of the family physicians, midwives, Ob/Gyns and Nurses combined.

*** The rates were calculated looking only at those who provide services to pregnant women and who use a screening questionnaire.

**** The rates were calculated looking only at those who provide services to childbearing women and who use a screening questionnaire.