medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Knowledge and Perceptions: A Survey on Healthcare workers

Akshaya Srikanth Bhagavathula[1,5], Wafa Ali Aldhaleei[2], Jamal Rahmani[3], Mohammadjaved Ashrafi Mahabadi[4], Deepak Kumar Bandari[5,6]*

[1,5]Ph.D student, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, UAE

[2]Gastroenterology Fellow, Department of Gastroenterology, Sheikh Shakbout Medical City, Abu Dhabi, UAE

[3]Ph.D student, Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition and Food Technology, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Student Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

[4]Medical student, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

[5]Department of Social and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy in Hradec Králové, Charles University, Prague

[6]Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Vagdeevi College of Pharmacy, Warangal, Telangana, India

Email authors:

Akshaya Bhagavathula: akshaypharmd@gmail.com

Wafa Ali Aldhaleei: wafa.ali.aldhaleei@gmail.com

Jamal Rahmani: jrahmani@sbmu.ac.ir

Mohmmadjaved Mahabadi: mjamahabadi@gmail.com

Deepak Bandari: laxmideepak.pharma@gmail.com

Corresponding author

Dr. Akshaya Srikanth Bhagavathula, PharmD, Ph.D. student,

Institute of Public Health,

College of Medicine and Health Sciences,

UAE University, Al Ain, UAE

Email: akshaypharmd@gmail.com

Phone: +971-543226187

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: During the first week of March, the surge of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases has reached over 100 countries with more than 100,000 cases. Healthcare authorities already initiated awareness and preparedness activities beyond the borders. A poor understanding of the disease among healthcare workers (HCWs) may implicate in delayed treatment and the rapid spread of infection. The study aimed to investigate the knowledge and perceptions of HCWs about COVID-19.

Methods: A cross-sectional, web-based study was conducted among HCWs about COVID-19 during the first week of March 2020. A 23-item survey instrument was developed and distributed randomly to HCWs using social media; it required 5 minutes to complete. Chi-square test was used to investigate the level of association among variables at the significance level of $p<0.05$.

Results: Of 529 participated, a total of 453 HCWs complete the survey (response rate: 85.6%); 51.6% are males, aged 25-34 years (32.1%), and most of them are doctors (30.2%) and medical students (29.6%). Regarding COVID-19, most of them used social media to obtain the information (61%), a significant proportion of HCWs had poor knowledge of its transmission (61%), and symptoms onset (63.6%) and showed a positive perception of COVID-19 prevention and control. Factors such as age and profession are associated with inadequate knowledge and poor perception of COVID-19.

Conclusion: As the global threat of COVID-19 continues to emerge, it is critical to improving knowledge and perceptions among HCWs. Educational interventions are urgently needed to reach HCWs beyond the borders, and further studies are warranted.

Keywords: *Coronavirus, outbreak, COVID-19, knowledge, perceptions, healthcare, questionnaire.*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoV) infections are emerging respiratory viruses and known to cause illness ranging from the common cold to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) [1]. CoV is zoonotic pathogens that can be transmitted via animal-to-human and human-to-human [2]. Multiple epidemic outbreaks occurred during 2002 (SARS) with ~800 deaths and 2012 (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome: MERS-CoV) with 860 deaths [2,3]. Approximately eight years after the MERS-CoV epidemic, the current outbreak of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) in Wuhan City, Hubei Province of China, has emerged as a global outbreak and significant public health issue [4]. On 30 January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 as a public health emergency of international concern (PHEIC) [5]. Astonishingly, in the first week of March, a devastating number of new cases have been reported globally, emerging as a pandemic. As of 9 March 2020, more than 110,000 confirmed cases across 105 countries and more than 3800 deaths have been reported [6].

The COVID-19 is spread by human-to-human through droplets, feco-oral, and direct contact, with an incubation period of 2-14 days [6]. So far, no antiviral treatment or vaccine has been recommended explicitly for COVID-19. Therefore, applying the preventive measure to control COVID-19 infection is the utmost critical intervention. Healthcare workers (HCWs) are the primary section in contact with patients and are an important source of exposure to the infected cases in the healthcare settings, thus, expected to be at a high risk of infections. By the end of January, the WHO and CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) have published recommendations for the prevention and control of COVID-19 for HCWs [8,9]. Indeed, the WHO also initiated several online training sessions and materials on COVID-19 in various languages to strengthen the preventive strategies, including raising awareness, and training HCWs preparedness activities [10]. In several instances, misunderstandings of HCWs delayed controlling efforts to provide necessary treatment [11], implicate rapid spread

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

of infection in hospitals [12,13], and also may put the patients' lives at risk. In this regard, the COVID-19 epidemic offers a unique opportunity to investigate the level of knowledge, and perceptions of HCWs during this global health crisis. Besides, we also explored the role of different information sources in shaping HCWs knowledge and perceptions on COVID-19 during this peak period.

Methods

A prospective Web-based cross-sectional study was conducted using a survey instrument to obtain responses from HCWs globally during the first week of March 2020.

A 23-item survey instrument was developed using WHO course materials on Emerging respiratory viruses, including COVID-19 [14], and covered the domains of HCWs characteristics, awareness, information sources, knowledge and perceptions related to COVID-19. The developed draft survey instrument was distributed to ten randomly selected faculty members to assess the readability and validity before pretesting among 20 randomly selected HCWs for clarity, relevancy, and acceptability. The developed comprehensive and well organized questionnaire was distributed to the study population.

Content of the study tool

The survey instrument constituted 23-close ended questions and took approximately 3 minutes to complete. The 23-item questionnaire was divided into three parts including participant characteristics (3 items), awareness of COVID-19 (2-items), and source of information (4 statements/4-point Likert scale), knowledge about symptoms of COVID-19 effected patients (2 items), different modes of transmission (2 items), precautions and risk prevention (3 items) and perceptions toward COVID-19 (7 items/true or false questions) [Supplementary file 1]. Sufficient time was given to respondents to read, comprehend, and answer all the questions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Ethical considerations

Confidentiality of the study participants' information was maintained throughout the study by making the participants' information is anonymous and requesting participants to provide honest answers. Eligible HCWs participation in this survey was voluntary and was not compensated. Informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to their participation. The study was performed following the Helsinki Declaration as revised in 2013. The study was conducted following the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) guidelines [15] [Supplementary file 2].

Data analysis

The obtained data were coded, validated, and analyzed using SPSS, version 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive analysis was applied to calculate the frequencies and proportions. The Chi-square test was used to investigate the level of association among variables. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 529 HCWs participated, of which 453 completed the study questionnaire (85.6% response rate), including 234 (51.6%) men and 219 (48.3%) women, and most of them are below 44 years of age (82.4%). Majority of respondents are doctors (n=137, 30.2%), and medical students (n=134, 29.6%), and from Asia (68%). Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents. Almost every one of the participants agreed that they heard about COVID-19 (97.8%), but only 44.1% of them got the opportunity to attend lectures/discussions about COVID-19.

Source of information

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

When we asked about their source of reliable information about COVID-19, the primary source of information that they obtain about COVID-19 was through official government websites and social media (Figure 1). Around 30% of the respondents reported they use news media (TV/video, magazines, newspapers, and radio) and social media (Facebook, Twitter, Whatsapp, YouTube, Instagram, Snapchat) to obtain information about COVID-19. Moreover, nearly 40% of the respondents sometimes discussed COVID-19 related topics with family and friends.

Knowledge about COVID-19

Table 2 shows the knowledge about COVID-19 among HCWs. We identified significant knowledge gaps between doctors and other HCWs. For instance, around two-third of doctors and half of the allied health workers thought that the origin of COVID-19 was from bats (65.7% vs. 55.7%, $p<0.05$). A high majority of the HCWs (85.6%) agreed that maintaining hand hygiene, covering nose and mouth while coughing, and avoiding sick patients can help to prevent COVID-19 transmission. A majority of the doctors agreed that COVID-19 can leads to pneumonia, respiratory failure, and death (84%, $p<0.05$), and supportive care is the only treatment option that is currently available (83.2%, $p<0.05$). However, the participants' knowledge about questions related to mode of transmission and incubation period of COVID-19 was poor ($p<0.05$).

Perceptions about COVID-19

Over 78% of the HCWs exhibited a positive perception of COVID-19. A high majority of the HCWs knew that sick patients should share their recent travel history (92.7%), flu vaccination is not enough to prevent (90.7%), and COVID-19 is not fatal (88.5%). Besides, 87% felt that washing hands with soap and water could help to prevent COVID-19 transmission; symptoms of it will appear in 2-14 days (84.3%) and agreed that all the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

equipments used in the wet markets should be cleaned every day (85.6%). However, around 20% of HCWs were not clear about eating well-cooked meat during the outbreak [Table 3].

Items related to COVID-19 related perception among HCWs in the study were analyzed using $\chi 2$ test to assess their association with age, sex, and across different categories of HCWs [Table 4].

Nearly ninety percent of the youngsters (<25 years) and 92% of the doctors perceived that the symptoms of COVID-19 appear as early as 2 to 14 days; the differences among the respondent groups were statistically significant ($p<0.05$). Moreover, a significant proportion of the doctors perceived that eating well-cooked/handled meat is safe (83.2%) ($p<0.05$). Medical students found to have an association with the perception that flu vaccination is not sufficient to prevent COVID-19 transmission (88%, $p<0.05$). A large number of allied health workers wrongly perceived that it is not safe to eat well-processed meat during COVID-19 outbreak (25.3%, $p<0.05$), COVID-19 is fatal (21.5%); delay in symptoms (19.8%), and flu vaccination is sufficient (19.8%; $p<0.05$) than other respondents in respective groups, respectively.

Discussion

Currently, COVID-19 is a global discussion topic in the media and among the public, especially among HCWs and patients. With current mounting of COVID-19 transition raised tensions on everyone, including health officials and health system, this raised an important recurring question about how we manage information to help frontline HCWs in times of public health crisis. For this reason, we investigated HCWs knowledge and perceptions towards the prevention and control of COVID-19 during a global epidemic.

Knowledge and perception of COVID-19 varied across different categories of HCWs. Our study revealed that HCWs have insufficient knowledge about COVID-19 but showed positive

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

perceptions to prevent COVID-19 transmission. We also found that more than 33% of the HCWs use official government websites as a primary source of information about COVID-19. This indicates the COVID-19 related updates posted online by the official government health authorities had shown to have a positive implication for improving HCWs knowledge levels. Relying on authentic sources is a key factor to believe the transparent information about the emerging COVID-19 infection and is essential for HCWs preparedness and response. However, a finding of considerable concern that most of HCWs (60%) also used social media as a major source of information. Nowadays, a vast diversity of the information available through the internet and this unverified malicious information can spread quickly and can also misguide the HCWs. The health authorities and scientists particularly warned the wide-spreading misinformation of COVID-19 as a serious concern causing xenophobia across the world [4,16-18]. In this regard, HCWs are should practice with careful evaluation of COVID-19 related information and should use scientific and authentic content of information sources.

The findings of this study suggest significant knowledge gaps between the amount of information available about COVID-19 and the depth of knowledge among HCWs, particularly about the mode of transmission and incubation period of COVID-19. Also, many allied health workers had inadequate knowledge, thought that COVID-19 can be treated with antivirals, and there is a vaccine available. This is unfortunate, as the surge of COVID-19 is devastating globally, and a large number of resources are provided by the healthcare authorities to educate HCWs to improve their knowledge about COVID-19 was immense. As a result, our findings were disappointing. Nevertheless, greater encouragement from the health authorities is needed to assimilate COVID-19 related knowledge among HCWs, including doctors.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Generally, most participants had a positive perception of prevention and control of COVID-19. However, discrepancies were identified across different categories of HCWs in their perceptions. For instance, only half (52%) of the HCWs aged between 45-65 years old perceived that the symptoms of COVID-19 appear as early as 2 to 14 days ($p<0.05$) and more than a quarter of the medical students though that eating meat during the outbreak is not safe. Around 20% of the allied health workers believed that the flu vaccine is sufficient for COVID-19 prevention. Finally, a vast majority of HCWs strongly agreed that maintaining hygiene activities, reporting the recent travel history when individuals are sick, and cleaning the equipment used in the wet markets are strongly recommended.

Limitations

We used WHO training material for detection, prevention, response, and control of COVID-19 to develop a validated questionnaire. The developed questionnaire was pilot tested, and open-ended questions were limited to reduce information bias.

However, the study has some limitations that should be considered. This is a cross-sectional study conducted online among HCWs during alarming cases reported globally in the first week of March 2020. Besides, the data presented in this study are self-reported partly depending on respondents' honesty and ability to recall. This may subject to recall bias. Lastly, Due to the four-weeks closure of higher educational institutions in the UAE during the COVID-19 outbreak [19], the institutional review board was not approached. Despite the limitations, our findings provided valuable information about the knowledge and perceptions of HCWs during a peck period of COVID-19.

Conclusion

We identified a significant gap constituting the source of information, poor knowledge levels, and discrepancies in the perceptions about COVID-19 among our study participants. As the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

global threat of COVID-19 continues to emerge, greater efforts through educational campaigns that targeting HCWs and a wide reach population beyond the borders are urgently needed.

Acknowledgment: We thank all the study participants for their voluntary participation and providing the essential information.

Authors contribution

ASB designed the study, developed the questionnaire, collected the data, analyzed the data, prepared the manuscript. WAA designed the questionnaire, conducted pilot test, and literature review. MMJ and JR distributed the questionnaire, filtered, and analyzed the data. All the author read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: No source of funding

Available data and materials

All the materials are attached as supplementary and information related to the study are in the manuscript.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Yin Y, Wunderink RG. MERS, SARS and other coronaviruses as causes of pneumonia. Respirology 2018;23(2):130-137.

2. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, Ren R, Leung KS, Lau EH, Wong JY, Xing X. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. New Engl J Medi. 2020 Jan 29. Doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.

3. Bhagavathula AS, Shehab A. The story of mysterious pneumonia and the response to deadly novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV): So far!. Emirates Med J 2020;1:7-10.

4. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and corona virus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agent. 2020:105924. Doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

5. Eurosurveillance Editorial Team. Note from the editors: World Health Organization declares novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) sixth public health emergency of international concern. Euro Surveill. 2020 Feb;25(5):200131e.

6. World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus (COVID-19) situation. Available online: https:// https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/685d0ace521648f8a5beeeee1b9125cd (Accessed on 09 March 2020).

7. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20–28 January 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(5): pii=2000062.

8. World Health Organization. Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance, January 2020. World Health Organization; 2020. Available: https://www.who.int/publications-detail/infection-prevention-and-control-during-health-care-when-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-is-suspected-20200125 (Accessed on 12 February 2020).

9. Centers for disease control and prevention. Update and interim guidelines on outbreak of 2019 Novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Available online: https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/han00427.asp. (Accessed on 12 February 2020).

10. World health organization. Responding to COVID-19: Real-time training for the coronavirus disease outbreak. Available online: https://openwho.org/channels/covid-19. (Accessed on 1 February 2020).

11. Hoffman SJ, Silverberg SL. Delays in Global Disease Outbreak Responses: Lessons from H1N1, Ebola, and Zika. Am J Public Health. 2018;108(3):329-333.

12. Selvaraj SA, Lee KE, Harrell M, Ivanov I, Allegranzi B. Infection Rates and Risk Factors for Infection Among Health Workers During Ebola and Marburg Virus Outbreaks: A Systematic Review. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(suppl_5):S679-S689

13. McCloskey B, Heymann DL. SARS to novel coronavirus–old lessons and new lessons. Epidemiol Infect. 2020;148:e22

14. World health organization. Emerging respiratory viruses, including COVID-19: methods for detection, prevention, response, and control. Available online: https://openwho.org/courses/introduction-to-ncov (Accessed on 1 February 2020).

15. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). J Med Internet Res. 2004 Sep 29;6(3):e34.

16. Thomas Z. Misinformation on coronavirus causing 'infodemic'. https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-51497800 (Accessed 09 March 2020)

17. Shimizu K. 2019-nCoV, fake news, and racism. Lancet. 2020;395(10225):685-6.

18. Mejova Y, Kalimeri K. Advertisers Jump on Coronavirus Bandwagon: Politics, News, and Business. arXiv preprint arXiv 2020:2003.00923.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

19. Ministry of Education. Education Ministry announces early 4-week spring vacation, starting Sunday https://www.moe.gov.ae/En/MediaCenter/News/pages/SpringVacation.aspx (Accessed 28 February 2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Tables 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of Healthcare workers' (N=453)

Characteristics	**Participants (%)**
Sex	
Male	234 (51.6%)
Female	219 (48.3%)
Age	
<25	145 (31.6%)
25-34	147 (32.1%)
35-44	86 (18.7%)
45-54	47 (10.2%)
55-64	28 (6.1%)
Occupation	
Doctors	137 (30.2)
Medical students	134 (29.6%)
Pharmacists	61 (13.5%)
Medical academicians	61 (13.5%)
Nurse	24 (5.3%)
Lab-technicians	22 (4.9%)
Dentist	14 (3.1%)
Location	
Asia	308 (68%)
Africa	72 (15.9%)
Europe	40 (8.8%)
North America	11 (2.4%)
South America	7 (1.5%)
Unspecified	13 (2.9%)
Heard about Novel coronavirus	
Yes	443 (97.8%)
No	10 (2.2%)
Attended lectures/discussions about Novel Coronavirus	
Yes	200 (44.1%)
No	253 (55.8%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1: Source of Knowledge about Novel coronavirus

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Knowledge about Novel coronavirus (COVID-19) among Healthcare workers' (N=453)

Questions	**Doctors (n=137)**	**Allied health workers (n=316)**	**Total Correct responses**	**P-value**
COVID-19 is thought to be originated from bats	90 (65.7%)	176 (55.7%)	266 (58.7%)	<0.05
COVID-19 is transmitted through air, contact, fecal-oral routes	50 (36.5%)	127 (40.2%)	177 (39%)	0.46
Headache, fever, cough, sore throat, and flu are symptoms of COVID-19	109 (79.6%)	223 (70.6%)	332 (73.2%)	<0.05
The incubation period of COVID-19 (2-14 days)	62 (45.3)	103 (32.6%)	165 (36.4%)	<0.05
COVID-19 leads to pneumonia, respiratory failure, and death	115 (84%)	238 (75.3%)	353 (77.9%)	<0.05
Supportive care is the current treatment for COVID-19	114 (83.2%)	193 (61%)	307 (67.7%)	<0.05
Hand hygiene, covering nose and mouth while coughing, and avoiding sick contacts can help in the prevention of COVID-19 transmission.	117 (85.4%)	271 (85.6%)	388 (85.6%)	0.96

Table 3: Perception of Healthcare workers' towards COVID-19

Statements	**Yes**	**No**
COVID-19 symptoms appear in 2-14 days	382 (84.3%)*	71 (15.6%)
COVID-19 is fatal	52 (11.4%)	401 (88.5%)*
Flu vaccinated is sufficient for preventing COVID-19	42 (9.2%)	411 (90.7%)*
During the outbreak, eating well-cooked and safely handled meat is safe	358 (78.1%)*	95 (20.9%)
Sick patients should share their recent travel history with healthcare providers	420 (92.7%)*	33 (7.3%)
Disinfect equipment's and working area in wet markets at least once a day	388 (85.6%)*	65 (14.3%)
Washing hands with soap and water can help in prevention of COVID-19 transmission	59 (13%)	394 (87%)*

*correct answers

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381; this version posted March 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4: Association between respondents' characteristics and perceptions of COVID-19

	Sex (%)			**Age (%)**				**Profession (%)**			
	Male (234)	Female (219)	P-value	<25 (145)	25-44 (233)	45-65 (75)	P-value	Doctors (137)	Medical students (134)	Others (182)	P-value
COVID-19 symptoms appear in 2-14 days			0.85				**<0.05**				**<0.05**
Yes†	198 (84.6)	183 (83.5)		130 (89.6)	207 (88.8)	39 (52)		126 (92)	116 (86.5)	146 (80.2)	
No	36 (15.3)	36 (16.4)		15 (10.4)	26 (11.1)	36 (48)		11 (8)	18 (13.5)	36 (19.8)	
COVID-19 is fatal			0.19				0.78				0.31
Yes	22 (9.4)	29 (13.2)		127 (87.5)	207 (88.8)	68 (90.6)		116 (84.6)	112 (83.5)	143 (78.5)	
No†	212 (90.6)	190 (86.7)		18 (12.5)	26 (11.1)	7 (9.4)		21 (15.4)	22 (16.5)	39 (21.5)	
Flu vaccinated is sufficient for preventing COVID-19			0.94				0.07				**<0.05**
Yes	24 (10.2)	22 (10.1)		21 (14.5)	19 (8.1)	5 (6.6)		18 (13.1)	16 (12)	36 (19.8)	
No†	210 (89.7)	197 (89.9)		124 (85.5)	214 (91.9)	70 (93.4)		119 (86.9)	118 (88)	146 (80.2)	
During the outbreak, eating well-cooked and safely handled meat is safe			0.67				0.13				**<0.05**
Yes†	192 (82)	183 (83.5)		113 (77.9)	200 (85.8)	63 (84)		114 (83.2)	98 (73.1)	136 (74.7)	
No	42 (18)	36 (16.4)		32 (22)	33 (14.2)	12 (16)		23 (16.8)	36 (26.9)	46 (25.3)	
Sick patients should share their recent travel history with healthcare providers			0.84				0.51				**<0.05**
Yes†	228 (97.4)	214 (97.7)		141 (97.2)	229 (98.2)	72 (96)		131 (95.6)	124 (92.5)	158 (86.8)	
No	6 (2.6)	5 (2.3)		4 (2.8)	4 (1.8)	3 (4)		6 (4.4)	10 (7.5)	24 (13.2)	
Disinfect equipment's and working area in wet markets at least once a day			0.26				0.54				0.41
Yes†	205 (87.6)	199 (90.8)		131 (90.3)	206 (88.4)	64 (85.3)		116 (84.6)	117 (87.3)	149 (81.8)	
No	29 (12.4)	20 (9.2)		14 (9.7)	27 (11.6)	11 (14.7)		21 (15.4)	17 (12.7)	33 (18.2)	
Washing hands with soap and water can help in prevention of COVID-19 transmission			0.58				0.24				0.88
Yes†	204 (87.2)	187 (85.3)		120 (82.7)	207 (88.8)	65 (86.6)		118 (86.1)	116 (86.5)	160 (87.9)	
No	30 (12.8)	32 (13.6%)		25 (17.3)	26 (11.1)	10 (13.4)		19 (13.9)	18 (13.5)	22 (12.1)	

†Correct answers; Significant at P<0.05 (bolded)