

1 **Improving geographical accessibility modeling for operational use by local health**
2 **actors**

3 Felana Angella Ithantamalala^{1,2, *}, Vincent Herbreteau³, Christophe Révillion⁴, Mauricianot
4 Randriamihaja^{1,5}, Jérémy Commins³, Tanjona Andréambelason^{1,5}, Feno H
5 Rafenoarivamalala¹, Andriamihaja Randrianambinina⁶, Laura F Cordier¹, Matthew H
6 Bonds^{1,2}, Andres Garchitorena^{1,7}

7 ¹NGO PIVOT, Ranomafana, Madagascar

8 ²Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

9 ³Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR 228 Espace-Dev (IRD, UA, UG, UM,
10 UR), Phnom Penh, Cambodia

11 ⁴Université de La Réunion, UMR 228 Espace-Dev (IRD, UA, UG, UM, UR), Saint-Pierre, La
12 Réunion, France

13 ⁵School of Management and Technological innovation, University of Fianarantsoa,
14 Madagascar

15 ⁶Ministry of Health in Madagascar, Antananarivo, Madagascar

16 ⁷MIVEGEC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France

17 *Corresponding author: felana.angella@gmail.com

18 **Abstract**

19 **Background:** Geographical accessibility to health facilities remains one of the main barriers
20 to access care in rural areas of the developing world. Although methods and tools exist to
21 model geographic accessibility, the lack of basic geographic information prevents their
22 widespread use at the local level for targeted program implementation. The aim of this study
23 was to develop very precise, context-specific estimates of geographic accessibility to care in
24 a rural district of Madagascar to help with the design and implementation of interventions that
25 improve access for remote populations.

26 **Methods:** We used a participatory approach to map all the paths, residential areas, buildings
27 and rice fields on OpenStreetMap (OSM). We estimated shortest route from every household
28 in the District to the nearest primary health care center (PHC) and community health site

29 (CHS) with the Open Source Routing Machine (OSMR) tool. Then, we used remote sensing
30 methods to obtain a high resolution land cover map, a digital elevation model and rainfall
31 data to model travel speed. Travel speed models were calibrated with field data obtained by
32 GPS tracking in a sample of 168 walking routes. Model results were used to predict travel
33 time to seek care at PHCs and CHSs for all the shortest route estimated earlier. Finally, we
34 integrated geographical accessibility results into an e-health platform developed with R
35 Shiny.

36 **Results:** We mapped over 100,000 buildings, 23,000 km of footpaths, and 4,925 residential
37 areas throughout Ifanadiana district; this data is freely available on OSM. We found that over
38 three quarters of the population lived more than one hour away from a PHC, and 10-15%
39 lived more than one hour away from a CHS. Moreover, we identified areas in the North and
40 East of the district where the nearest PHC was further than 5 hours away, and vulnerable
41 populations across the district with poor geographical access (>1 hour) to both PHCs and
42 CHSs.

43 **Conclusion:** Our study demonstrates how to improve geographical accessibility modeling so
44 that results can be context-specific and operationally actionable by local health actors. The
45 importance of such approaches is paramount for achieving universal health coverage in rural
46 areas throughout world.

47 **Keywords:** Madagascar, Universal Health Coverage, geographic barriers, e-health tools.

48 **Background**

49 In 2018, world leaders celebrated the 40 years since the adoption of the Alma Ata
50 Declaration, which recognized the need to invest in primary care as the key to attaining the
51 goal of "Health for All". While we have witnessed since then an unprecedented global
52 improvement in health indicators, half of the world's population continues to lack access to
53 essential health services [1]. Low health care access in rural settings of the developing world
54 is due to a combination of financial, geographical and health system barriers [2–6]. To
55 reduce the impact that weak health systems and user-fees have on health care access, there
56 is a growing consensus around the central importance of sector-wide approaches such as

57 health system strengthening (HSS) and universal health coverage (UHC) [7–9]. An
58 increasingly recognized pillar of many HSS and UHC efforts is the role of community health
59 workers (CHWs) to reduce geographical inequities in access and ensure the delivery of
60 primary care at the community level [10].

61 Community health strategies are underway in most rural areas of Sub-Saharan Africa,
62 where health infrastructure is sparse and the majority of travel is on foot [10]. Distance and
63 travel time to primary health centers (PHC) in these areas are known drivers of care
64 utilization, showing consistent negative impacts on the use of prenatal, perinatal and
65 obstetric care for women [4, 11–15]; child vaccination coverage and pediatric health
66 utilization [16–19]; voluntary enrolment in health insurances [2]; and rates of diagnosis or
67 treatment for tuberculosis, malaria, and HIV [20–24]. In fact, the use of primary care tends to
68 fall exponentially as distance from facility rises, a phenomenon known as “distance decay”
69 [16, 23, 25–27]. Geographical barriers to care can persist even when facility-based HSS
70 activities are in place, making these approaches insufficient to reach full population coverage
71 of primary care services [28–33]. Therefore, to optimize both facility-based and community-
72 based strategies towards the realization of UHC, a much deeper understanding of
73 geographical accessibility to PHC and CHS is necessary in contexts undergoing HSS efforts.

74 Geographical access to health care has been previously characterized in other
75 contexts using a variety of methods [13, 34–36], aimed at estimating distance or travel time
76 to reach health facilities for populations [37, 38]. A common approach consists of estimating
77 travel time through population surveys, but this method is resource-intensive and prone to
78 biases related to subjective measures of time [23, 26, 34]. An alternative approach is the use
79 of geomatics with available geographic information [39–41], either estimating Euclidean
80 distance (“as the crow flies”) or using more precise algorithms that account for terrain
81 characteristics and road networks through friction surfaces [35, 39, 42, 43]. To increase the
82 adoption of geographic accessibility analyses into health planning, the WHO integrated those
83 algorithms into an easy to use, freely available tool (“AccessMod”) that includes multiple
84 functionalities [44, 45]. However, methods that rely on friction surfaces represent only a “best

85 guess” of the routes people use in areas with poor road infrastructure and of the speed at
86 which people travel. For geographical accessibility analyses to be precise enough for local
87 use by program managers and health workers, reliable information on footpath networks
88 needs to be combined with context-specific estimates of travel speed, and integrated via e-
89 health tools. This can inform the design and implementation of geographically targeted
90 interventions that balance facility-based, community health, and outreach strategies in order
91 to maximize population access to primary care.

92 Such approaches are particularly needed in Madagascar, a country with one of the
93 least funded health systems in the world [46]. In 2014, Madagascar had less than 3 clinicians
94 (doctors, nurses and midwives) per 10,000 people [47], with a lower concentration in rural
95 areas, where over three quarters of the population live [48]. Access to health care is
96 particularly low for populations living more than 5 kilometers away from a PHC, putting them
97 at higher risk for early childhood mortality [49, 50]. In 2014, the Madagascar’s Ministry of
98 Health (MoH) and the nongovernmental organization PIVOT partnered to strengthen the
99 public health system in the rural district of Ifanadiana, with the aim of attaining universal
100 health coverage and set a model for the country. Despite rapid improvements observed in
101 accessibility and health conditions [51, 52], initial analyses suggested that health gains were
102 concentrated in close proximity to health centers [52]. Here, we aimed to develop very
103 precise, context-specific estimates of geographic accessibility to care in Ifanadiana district to
104 help with the design and implementation of interventions that improve access for remote
105 populations. We mapped all buildings and footpaths in the district to accurately estimate the
106 shortest route to health facilities, and we parametrized travel time estimations with hundreds
107 of hours of fieldwork and remote sensing analyses. We integrated all this information into
108 accessible e-health tools for use by PIVOT, MoH and local partners.

109 **Materials and Methods**

110 **Study area**

111 The study area is Ifanadiana, a rural health district located 444 km southeast of
112 Antananarivo. The district has an area of 3,975 sq. km and is characterized by a

113 mountainous landscape. The district's health system is comprised of one hospital (CHRD II),
114 21 PHC facilities and 195 CHS where CHWs provide consultations for children under 5 years
115 and reproductive women; these may be their homes or a designated structure. There is only
116 one paved road crossing the district from West to East (national road RN25) and through
117 Ranomafana National Park. Additionally, there are two non-paved axes connecting the main
118 towns in the North and South of the District, which are partly accessible by 4WD vehicles or
119 all-terrain motorcycles. Most villages in the District are connected to each other by small
120 paths only accessible by foot. High rates of extreme poverty, geographical barriers, and
121 unreliable health services were associated with very limited access to health care in the
122 district in 2014, which was substantially lower than average estimates for Madagascar [50,
123 53]. Since then, the NGO PIVOT has worked in Ifanadiana in partnership with the Ministry of
124 Health to create a "model district", so that the experience in this district can help improve
125 national strategies and health policies throughout the country. The intervention included the
126 removal of most point-of-service payments as well as improved facility readiness and clinical
127 programs at all levels of care (*i.e.* hospital, health centers, and community health). In
128 particular, Ifanadiana is one of the first districts to officially pilot the national policy on
129 Universal Health Coverage, which aims to ensure access to quality healthcare for all through
130 strengthened health systems and a reduction of point-of-care fees. Moreover, PIVOT is
131 piloting alternative, professionalized, models of community health through enhanced
132 supervision by certified nurses, building infrastructure for CHSs in partnership with local
133 communities, and implementing proactive community case management. The work
134 described in this study was in support of these two major initiatives.

135 **Data collection**

136 *Participatory mapping with OpenStreetMap*

137 Detailed, freely available data on footpath networks and villages in rural areas of the
138 developing world are necessary to obtain precise routes for accessing care, but this
139 information is largely absent. To fill this gap, we carried out photo-interpretation using very
140 high spatial resolution satellite images in OpenStreetMap (OSM), a collaborative mapping

141 project with tools for drawing roads, houses, and land use contours among others [54]. For
142 this, we collaborated with the Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team (HOTOSM) [55], an
143 organization that promotes collaborative mapping projects on the OpenStreetMap platform
144 for humanitarian purposes through a dedicated interface and network to a large online
145 community. The district was divided into 3,508 tasks of 1 sq. km each. Mapping of each task
146 was done in a two-stage process. First, one or several individuals mapped all paths, roads,
147 buildings, and residential areas (defined as groups of 4 or more buildings) within a particular
148 task. This was done on OSM using Digital Globe Standard imagery for background (30 – 60
149 cm spatial resolution), and Bing maps imagery (up to 30 cm spatial resolution) as backup
150 when cloud cover in Digital Globe images prevented their use for mapping. After the task
151 was marked as mapped, it was available for validation by a separate person. The validation
152 stage, which uses the same tools as the mapping stage, allowed making all necessary
153 corrections of each task in order to ensure the consistency and quality of the mapping. After
154 the completion of this mapping in HOTOSM, we carried out an additional mapping of the
155 hydrographic network (streams and rivers) and rice fields, following the same protocol. OSM
156 mapping of Ifanadiana district was achieved in 8 months with the collaboration of 103
157 participants. To increase participation in the mapping project, we organized 5 “mapping
158 parties” with local universities and OSM groups in both Madagascar and La Reunion. Despite
159 it being a collaborative project and published in the HOTOSM Task Manager, we had few
160 spontaneous contributions and 5 people from our research team mapped 73.6% of the
161 overall project. The geographic data mapped in OSM is now freely accessible to any user
162 and can be queried on QGIS [56] via the QuickOSM plugin, which we used here for retrieving
163 the data for our analyses.

164 *Recording travel time on the field*

165 Most travel in Ifanadiana district is done by foot due the minimal transportation
166 infrastructure and steep terrain. To obtain context-specific estimates of travel speed by foot
167 according to terrain characteristics, we recorded GPS data from 168 walking routes across
168 10 out of the 15 communes of Ifanadiana district between September 2018 and April 2019

169 (Additional file 1). We collected two types of routes: 1) routes from field expeditions of
170 PIVOT's community team staff during CHW supervisions, and 2) routes specifically recorded
171 for this project to obtain a larger sample size and wider representation of terrain
172 characteristics, collected by representatives of the PIVOT research team and by the local
173 population (Additional file 1). We recorded these tracks using Samsung Tab A10 tablets and
174 the Android app "OsmAnd" version 3.0.2 [57]. OsmAnd is a free map and navigation app
175 based on the OSM database. For each trip, we recorded via OsmAnd the GPS location, time
176 and altitude every 10 seconds.

177 **Satellite imagery and remote sensing**

178 We complemented the mapping work in OSM, which provides some elements of land
179 use, with remote sensing analyses of satellite images to identify forests, water bodies and
180 savanna land uses. For this, we used free Sentinel-2 images (level-2A) from August 18,
181 2018, which were orthorectified, provided Top Of Canopy (TOC) reflectance, and had a 10m
182 spatial resolution. We used the Dzetsaka plugin for semi-automatic classification in QGIS
183 [58]. First, we manually outlined over fifty polygons representing regions of interest (ROI) for
184 each of the three classes (forests, water bodies and savanna). We then ran random forest
185 algorithms, which have good performance in the classification of remotely sensed data with
186 good accuracy [59]. The random forest model calculates a response variable by creating
187 many different decision trees and then allocating each multi-layered pixel down each
188 decision tree. The response is then determined by evaluating the responses from all trees.
189 The class that is predicted the most is the class that is assigned to the object. Forty percent
190 of the image's pixels were used for validation. Second, we used the model to predict values
191 for the whole satellite image in order to obtain a classified image. The last step of the
192 process consisted of a post-classification, where smaller clusters of areas under 10,000 sq.
193 m were removed and replaced by the pixel value of the largest neighbor polygon. This
194 process was done to improve the quality of the classification product. Finally, we merged
195 these supervised classifications with the two thematic classes obtained earlier through OSM
196 (residential areas and rice fields). We validated the land use map by recording 62 control

197 points on the field during four expeditions across the district and we completed these
198 observations by identifying 254 points through Google Earth. We finally computed a
199 confusion matrix to compare observed and classified values by class.

200 In addition to land cover, we obtained elevation and precipitation data from remotely
201 sensed data. We downloaded the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital
202 Elevation Model (DEM) from the United States Geological Survey (USGS, [60]), which gives
203 elevation with a 30 m ground resolution. We also acquired precipitation estimates from NASA
204 Prediction of Worldwide Energy Resources (POWER) Project [61], with a spatial resolution of
205 0.5×0.5 degree.

206 **Estimation of shortest path distance**

207 Once the mapping of all buildings and footpaths was finalized, we used the OSRM
208 software [62] to calculate the distance of the shortest path between each building and the
209 closest health facility, via the R software package “osrm” (Figure 1). OSRM uses the
210 Dijkstra’s routing Algorithm, which searches iteratively the shortest path from a single node to
211 the destination node in a network. For each building, the shortest path distance to two health
212 facilities were calculated: to the closest PHC and to the closest CHS. When the precise
213 location of a CHS was unknown, we assumed that it was located in the main village of the
214 Fokontany (“*chef lieu*”), as indicated in national policies for community health. In addition to
215 the distance values, the actual shortest path was saved as a vector file (shapefile format) for
216 use in travel time estimations (next section). Finally, we interpolated the distance values in
217 the whole district using kriging methods available in ArcGIS to improve visualization of
218 results.

219 **Estimation of travel speed and time to seek treatment**

220 To obtain precise and context-specific estimates of time to seek treatment, we studied
221 the geographic and climatic factors associated with travel speed in the sample of 168 walking
222 routes collected on the field. For this, travel speed between each pair of points within a GPS
223 track was estimated for each track using the time and GPS location at which each point was
224 taken. Then, using the raster data from the land use classification, DEM and rainfall, we

225 intersected these data with the 168 walking routes. As a result, between each pair of points
226 (N= 57,719) we obtained values for the following explanatory variables: degree of slope,
227 cumulative distance since the beginning of the track, precipitation and land use.

228 We modelled the impact of each geographic and climatic factor on travel speed using
229 additive models that included a random intercept for each individual track. First, exploratory
230 and univariate analyses were carried out to understand the relationship between each
231 variable and travel speed, including linear and non-linear relationships for slope as well as
232 categorical and numerical variables for land use and cumulative distance (Additional file 2).
233 Cumulative distance since the beginning of the track was categorized following exploratory
234 analysis into 2 groups (0 to 13 km and 13 to 30 km) to reflect the reduction in speed after
235 substantial walking. The land use was converted into a categorical variable that represented
236 the predominant thematic class between each pair points, and a category « mixed » was
237 added when the predominant class represented less than 50%. Slope was included as a
238 non-linear smooth in the additive model. Each explanatory variable with a p-value under 0.1
239 was included in the multivariate analysis, and model fit was estimated via AIC (Akaike
240 information criterion). The model with the lowest AIC was selected. Model validation was
241 carried out to check for normality, homogeneity and independence of residuals.

242 Using travel speed estimates from the fixed effects of the final multivariate model,
243 travel time was predicted for each of the 41,426 routes obtained through OSRM (two per
244 isolated building or residential area, one to the closest PHC and one to the closest CHS). For
245 this, we divided these routes into 100 m segments and intersected each segment with DEM
246 and land use to obtain the same set of explanatory variables. Since rainfall affects travel
247 speed and varies from day to day, for each route we provided a prediction for a scenario
248 without rainfall (minimum time) and with the maximum amount of rainfall recorded during
249 fieldwork (maximum time). As with travel distance, we used kriging methods to interpolate
250 the values of travel for the entire district to improve visualization.

251 **Development of an e-health tool with R Shiny**

252 We developed an online app to facilitate use of the data and results from the study by
253 local health staff. It consists of a website interface that builds on the estimation methods for
254 distance and travel time in Ifanadiana district presented here, to make the results flexible and
255 easily accessible by program managers and health workers (in French). We used the
256 package Shiny [63] for R statistical software. This app is hosted at the PIVOT dashboard
257 website (<http://research.pivot-dashboard.org:3838/>) for both private and public use.

258 **Results**

259 **Mapping**

260 A total of 106,171 buildings were mapped. Of these, 65.6% were located in one of the
261 4,925 residential areas whereas 34.4% were isolated houses. The size of residential areas
262 ranged from 4 to 870 buildings, with an average size of 14. Moreover, 23,726 km of footpaths
263 were mapped, which represent 99.1% of the road network in Ifanadiana district. Only 0.3% of
264 the road network (62 km) are paved secondary roads. To expand available data, we also
265 mapped on OSM the name and location of 707 villages, 21 PHCs (2 of which were recently
266 built), 37 CHSs built by PIVOT. This district GIS dataset was substantially more exhaustive
267 than what is available through OSM for the rest of districts in the Vatovavy Fitovinany region,
268 with an area above 16,788 sq km (Table 1).

269 The remote sensing analysis allowed to map 850 sq km of forest, 12 sq km of water
270 bodies and 2,967 sq km of savanna (21.4%, 0.30 and 74.6 % of the district surface,
271 respectively) at a 10m resolution (Additional File 3). The kappa index for the land use
272 classification was 0.95 [64].

273 **Shortest path distance to health facilities**

274 Travel distance to reach the nearest PHC varies from 0 to 27 km with a district
275 average of 8 km (Additional files 4 and 5). The most remote areas in terms of PHC
276 accessibility are located in the North (Fasintsara), South-West (Ranomafana) and East of the
277 district (Tsaratana), where populations live further than 20 km from the nearest PHC
278 (Figure 2). More than two thirds of the district population live further than 5 km from the
279 closest PHC, with 44.43% living between 5 and 10 km, and 24.67% living between 10 and 20

280 km (Table 2). Only 8.54% live under 2 km from a PHC. Travel distance to reach the nearest
281 CHS varies from 0 to 13 km, with a district average of 2.69 km (Additional files 4 and 5). In
282 several areas across the district, populations live between 4 and 6 km to the closest CHS
283 (Figure 3). Overall, less than 5% of the population resides more than 5 km away from a CHS,
284 but half (52.2%) live between 2 and 5 km. Only 43.75% live under 2 km from a CHS (Table
285 3).

286 **Time to seek care under different climatic conditions**

287 Statistical analyses from a sample of 168 walking routes in Ifanadiana District (871
288 km, Additional file 2) allowed us to identify the most relevant determinants of travel speed for
289 local populations and health workers. Overall, there was wide variation in speed between
290 individual tracks, with 39.45% of the variation explained by the random effects. Travel speed
291 was reduced by 0.38 km/h after the first 13 km. In terms of terrain characteristics, slope was
292 the most important determinant of travel speed, which decreased exponentially with absolute
293 values of slope (whether positive or negative, see Additional file 6). Walking through a water
294 body, a rice field, or a residential area were associated with slower travel speeds, whereas
295 walking through savanna, forest or a mixed land use were associated with faster speed.
296 Finally, rainfall had a small negative effect on travel speed, where an increase in 10 mm of
297 rainfall was associated with a reduction of 0.06 km/h in speed.

298 Using predictions of travel speed for the 41,426 routes obtained through OSRM we
299 found that time to seek care at a PHC varies between 0.49 minutes and 7 hours (one way)
300 under dry conditions (average of 111 minutes) and between 0.51 minutes and 7.5 hours
301 during rainy conditions (average 121 minutes; Additional files 3 and 4). The time difference
302 between rainy and dry conditions was most relevant (over 20 minutes) for areas located
303 further than 15 km to the PHC. Overall, only 21.6% of the population can join a PHC within
304 an hour under rainy conditions and 58.1% within two hours. This percentage increases under
305 dry conditions to 24.3% of population being able to reach the PHC within an hour and 63.2%
306 within two hours.

307 Travel time to reach a CHS varies between 0 and 150 minutes under dry conditions
308 and up to 165 minutes under rainy conditions (Additional files 3 and 4). For areas located
309 further than 5 km from a CHS, the difference between dry and rainy conditions can be of 15
310 minutes. Overall, 40% of the population lives within 30 minutes from a CHS, and 85.12%
311 lives within 1 hour under rainy conditions (Table 4). This percentage increases under dry
312 conditions to 44% and 89.83% for populations living within 30 min and 1h to a CHS
313 respectively. Small areas all across Ifanadiana had populations that lived further than 1h
314 from a CHS (Figure 3), and most of these populations lived further than 1h from any type of
315 primary health facility, whether a PHC or a CHS (Figure 4).

316 **E-health tools for geographical accessibility to care**

317 The web interface created for health workers and program managers (Figure 5)
318 allows visualization of key information for the planning and implementation of health
319 programs such as 1) areas with the lowest accessibility to health care based on shortest path
320 distance and travel time, 2) the percentage of the population in each commune and
321 fokontany that are at a particular distance and travel time to the nearest health facility, 3) a
322 tool to estimate shortest path routes for field expeditions and community health work with
323 either a satellite or OSM background, and 4) the geographic distribution of residential areas
324 and isolated households that are within a certain distance or time from a selected health
325 facility. In addition to the web interface, which can be accessed by phone or computer but
326 requires an internet connection, field workers can get accurate directions without access to
327 the internet through the Android app “OsmAnd”, as the full footpath network and all
328 residential areas have been mapped on OSM.

329 **Discussion**

330 Despite a renewed commitment in recent years to UHC and community health as
331 ways to increase financial and geographical access to primary care, half of the world's
332 population continues to lack access to essential health services [1]. Distance to health
333 facilities remains one of the main barriers to accessing care in rural areas of the developing
334 world, where transport infrastructure is deficient, population density is low and health facilities

335 are sparse. Although methods and tools exist to model geographic accessibility, there is a
336 critical lack of basic geographic information that prevents the widespread use of these tools
337 to optimize the implementation of health programs at the local level. Here, we show how the
338 combination of participatory mapping, fieldwork and remote sensing can provide very precise
339 estimates of distance and time to seek treatment at health facilities that can be used to
340 inform health system design and policy, improve management, and support health workers.
341 We found that over three quarters of the population in Ifanadiana district lived more than one
342 hour from a PHC. Moreover, we identified areas in the North and East of the district where
343 the nearest PHC was further than 5 hours away, and vulnerable communities in most parts of
344 the district with poor geographical access (over 1 hour travel) to both PHCs and CHSs.

345 A travel time of one or two hours to health services is a generally accepted threshold
346 of poor accessibility to health services, delaying or preventing health seeking behaviors that
347 can have severe consequences [22, 26, 39, 65, 66]. We found that three quarters of the
348 population in Ifanadiana district (76%) lived more than 1h from a PHC and forty percent lived
349 further than 2h, with a larger percentage in the rainy season. This is significantly higher than
350 previous estimates of access to secondary care in sub-Saharan Africa, where only 11-27%
351 were found to live further than 1h from a hospital with surgical capabilities depending on the
352 region [66]. National and sub-national level studies focusing on Emergency Obstetric and
353 Neonatal Care (EmONC) found slightly higher results, with 30% of the women in two regions
354 of Ethiopia [39] and 34% in Ghana living further than 2h from EmONC facilities [26]. Thus,
355 the proportion of the population that potentially lacks access to primary care at PHC in
356 Ifanadiana is comparable to the proportion lacking access to secondary care in other low
357 resource African settings. In addition, because community health is considered a solution for
358 reducing geographical inequities in access to care, geographical accessibility to community
359 health workers is rarely studied. Here we show that over half of the population in Ifanadiana
360 had to walk over 30 minutes to reach a CHS, and 10 to 15% had to walk over 1h depending
361 on season. This suggests that gaps exist even in the geographical coverage of community

362 health programs and opens new questions around how to measure and optimize access to
363 CHSs for rural populations based on a deep understanding of their geographical context.
364 In this study, we estimated distance to PHC using a mapping of about 23,000 km of
365 footpaths and over 100,000 buildings and parametrized travel time with hundreds of hours of
366 fieldwork, obtaining precise and context-specific accessibility estimates for every community
367 in our district. This approach overcomes many of the challenges that studies of geographical
368 accessibility commonly face. Despite the increasing use of geographical information systems
369 and spatial analyses to better understand health care access in developing countries, there
370 are still important gaps in its application. Studies in high income countries provide accurate
371 estimates of geographical access to services due to the availability of electronic HMIS and
372 GIS data, as well as good transport infrastructure [65, 67–70]. Studies in developing
373 countries typically use either Euclidean distances to obtain a basic measure of distance, or
374 road networks in combination with friction surfaces to obtain estimates of travel time to PHC
375 because the real network of footpaths is rarely available [21, 27, 42]. Given that most travel
376 in rural areas is done by foot, inaccuracies from such models can be quite important [22, 71].
377 In addition, while travel time can strongly depend on contextual factors, researchers use
378 either constant values for travel speed [20, 26] or different values by geographic feature
379 inputted from other contexts [22]. A 2016 review found that none of the studies on maternal
380 care calibrated spatial accessibility with measured travel time [42]. An alternative to
381 measuring travel distance and time to health facilities is to rely on self-reported answers in
382 surveys, but these are subjective and can be prone to significant bias [23, 26, 34]. Thus, our
383 approach could be replicated in future studies of geographical accessibility to care where
384 very precise estimates of distance and time to seek treatment are required.

385 This unprecedented level of precision allows for their use locally by decision-makers
386 at the Ministry of Health, program managers and health workers, thanks to the integration
387 with tools that are free, open source, and easily accessible. Mapping on OSM is simple, the
388 information entered is accessible to anyone for download or for use into mobile apps, and the
389 geographic database can be regularly updated or further expanded by the online community.

390 Although in our case most of the work through HOTOSM was done by several mappers
391 involved in the project, this type of participative and collaborative mapping approach can
392 allow for rapid crowdsourced mapping of large geographical areas when the mapping
393 community is heavily mobilized. For instance, following the 2010 earthquake in Haiti, a
394 complete map of Port au Prince was achieved within a month by hundreds of remote
395 mappers through HOTOSM to aid disaster response [72]. Uses of the detailed geographical
396 information available for Ifanadiana (with all the footpaths, hydrographic networks, buildings
397 and rice fields) go beyond its healthcare accessibility applications and could be expanded to
398 other demographic (e.g. population density, migration patterns) or vector-borne disease
399 research projects (e.g. malaria) of public health interest. In addition, Shiny is increasingly
400 popular for the development of interactive web interfaces that take advantage of the
401 statistical power of R software. Applications include among others malaria surveillance [73],
402 health equity [74, 75], precision farming [76], and biological research [77, 78]. In our context,
403 the use of the e-health tools developed here will allow program managers to prioritize and
404 plan community and outreach activities for the most remote populations, while CHWs and
405 field teams will be able to obtain accurate directions anywhere in the district for
406 implementation of such activities.

407 Our study provides several programmatic insights to improve health care access in
408 Ifanadiana. In the last 3 years, two PHCs have been built in the extreme North and South of
409 Ifanadiana where geographical access was very low, reducing the percentage of population
410 that have to walk 2-5 hours (Additional file 7). Given the current situation, adding 3 new
411 facilities to the 21 existing PHCs in the areas of the district with the lowest geographical
412 access (East, West and Southwest, Figure 2A) would nearly eliminate the need for
413 populations to walk 4 hours or more each way to a PHC. In addition, the national policy for
414 community health establishes that two CHSs should be appointed in every Fokontany, with
415 no regard to the size or spread of the population in the Fokontany. We show that as a result,
416 a non-negligible proportion of the population (~30,000 people) needs to walk over an hour
417 just to reach a CHS and receive the most basic care. Consequently, PIVOT is piloting the

418 implementation of proactive community care in order to reach everyone despite their
419 distance to a CHS, and is adapting CHW workforce to the demographic and geographic
420 characteristics of each Fokontany. Experiences from other countries suggest that health
421 reforms focused on improving geographical accessibility to primary care can achieve
422 significant results for the most disadvantaged populations [79].

423 Our study had several limitations. First, dates of the satellite images used for the
424 OSM mapping were not available, which could pose an issue if images did not reflect current
425 conditions, but subsequent fieldwork confirmed that OSM maps (buildings, paths, etc.) were
426 quite accurate. Second, we only considered a model of travel time by foot, which could lead
427 to biases if part of the population travels to PHCs by vehicle. Other studies have indeed
428 estimated travel time by vehicle in settings with good road networks [34, 69], or by both foot
429 and vehicle in low-resource settings [80]. In this context, less than 3% of the population of
430 Ifanadiana has a vehicle, and there is only one paved road (<1% of the road network) where
431 public transportation is available [81]. Third, we infer the proportion of the population living at
432 a particular distance or travel time based on a full mapping of the district's buildings from
433 high resolution satellite imagery instead of an actual census. Since some of these buildings
434 do not represent inhabited households (e.g. administrative buildings, shops, etc...), this
435 approach could have led to biases if the distribution of non-households was distributed
436 heterogeneously across the district. Fourth, we provide locally parametrized estimations of
437 travel time for Ifanadiana, but our estimates under scenarios with and without rainfall differed
438 only by up to 20 minutes at distances of over 15 km. Although the district has a tropical
439 climate with periods of heavy rains, track GPS recordings of field expeditions were collected
440 during relatively low rainfall periods (up to 47.5 mm per day), which could explain the small
441 impact of rainfall on travel speed. In addition, recordings were done by health workers and
442 community members, so our estimations represent local travel time for healthy individuals.
443 Other groups such as ill individuals, pregnant women or the elderly will likely take longer to
444 reach health facilities, and factors such as break time during a route were not considered,
445 which could be particularly relevant for those who walk for 4 to 8 hours. As a result, values

446 for maximum time to seek treatment at health facilities presented here are probably an
447 underestimation of the real time spent by certain groups or under certain weather conditions.

448 **Conclusion**

449 In conclusion, this study advances methods to improve geographical accessibility
450 modeling to determine with high precision the distance and travel time between every
451 household and the nearest primary care facilities, so that the results can be context-specific
452 and operationally actionable by local health actors. Integrating the complete mapping of the
453 district with fieldwork and remote sensing analyses into accessible e-health tools may result
454 in better strategic and operational refinement of programs by the MoH and local health
455 partners. The role of such approaches could be transformative to reach the goal of health
456 care access for all in areas where, like in Ifanadiana, the majority of the population face
457 significant challenges to reach primary care facilities and even CHSs.

458 **Abbreviations**

459 CHS: community health site; CHW: community health worker; DEM: digital elevation model;
460 EmONC: emergency obstetric and neonatal care; GPS: global positioning system; HOTOSM:
461 Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team; HSS: health system strengthening; MoH : Ministry of
462 Health; OSM: OpenStreetMap; OSMR: open source routing machine; PHC: primary health
463 care center, POWER: prediction of worldwide energy resources; SRTM : shuttle radar
464 topography mission; UHC: universal health coverage

465 **Author's contributions**

466 FAI, VH, CR, JC, MHB, AG conceived and designed the study. VH, CR, LFC, MHB, AG,
467 advised on the analysis. FAI, MR, TA analyzed the data. FAI, VH, CR, MR, TA, AG
468 contributed to the interpretation of the data. FAI, VH, CR, MR, JC, TA, FHR, AR, LC, MHB,
469 AG drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

470 **Author's details**

471 ¹NGO PIVOT, Ranomafana, Madagascar, ²Department of Global Health and Social
472 Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA, ³Institut de Recherche pour le
473 Développement, UMR 228 Espace-Dev (IRD, UA, UG, UM, UR), Phnom Penh, Cambodge,

474 ⁴Université de La Réunion, UMR 228 Espace-Dev (IRD, UA, UG, UM, UR), Saint-Pierre, La
475 Réunion, France, ⁵School of Management and Technological innovation, University of
476 Fianarantsoa, Madagascar, ⁶Ministry of Health in Madagascar, Antananarivo, Madagascar, ⁷
477 MIVEGEC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France

478 **Acknowledgements**

479 We would like to thank all OSM contributors to the cartography of Ifanadiana district, apart
480 from the authors of this article, and in particular the OpenStreetMap Associations of La
481 Réunion and Madagascar for the mapping parties they organized and their individual
482 contributions. We would like to thank also community team staff at PIVOT and community
483 health workers for helping us to collect fieldwork data.

484 **Competing interests**

485 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

486 **Availability of data and material**

487 Data are available on OpenStreetMap (<https://www.openstreetmap.org>) and on the Shiny
488 app described in this study (<http://research.pivot-dashboard.org:3838/>)

489 **Consent for publication**

490 All authors approved the manuscript's submission for publication.

491 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

492 Not applicable

493 **Funding**

494 This work was supported by a grant from Institut de Recherche pour le Développement
495 (Project IRD Coup de Pouce "MAGIE") and internal funding from PIVOT.

496 **References**

- 497 1. Tracking Universal Health Coverage: 2017 Global Monitoring Report. 2017.
- 498 2. Dror DM, Shahed Hossain SA, Majumdar A, Koehlmoos TLP, John D, Panda PK. What
499 factors affect voluntary uptake of community-based health insurance schemes in low- and
500 middle-income countries? A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11:1–31.
- 501 3. Buor D. Analysing the primacy of distance in the utilization of health services in the Ahafo-

- 502 Ano South district, Ghana. *Int J Health Plann Manage*. 2003;18:293–311.
- 503 4. Gabrysch S, Campbell OMR. Still too far to walk: Literature review of the determinants of
504 delivery service use. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2009;9:34.
- 505 5. O'Connell TS, Bedford KJ a., Thiede M, McIntyre D. Synthesizing qualitative and
506 quantitative evidence on non-financial access barriers: implications for assessment at the
507 district level. *Int J Equity Health*. 2015;14:54.
- 508 6. Ensor T, Cooper S. Overcoming barriers to health service access: Influencing the demand
509 side. *Health Policy Plan*. 2004;19:69–79.
- 510 7. World Health Organization. *Monitoring the Building Blocks of Health Systems: A*
511 *Handbook of Indicators and their Measurement Strategies*. 2010;1–92.
- 512 8. Stenberg K, Hanssen O, Edejer TT, Bertram M, Brindley C, Meshreky A, et al. Articles
513 Financing transformative health systems towards achievement of the health Sustainable
514 Development Goals: a model for projected resource needs in 67 low-income and middle-
515 income countries. 2017;5 September:875–87.
- 516 9. Sachs JD. Achieving universal health coverage in low-income settings. *Lancet*.
517 2012;380:944–7.
- 518 10. World Health Organization. *WHO guideline on health policy and system support to*
519 *optimize community health worker programmes*. Geneva; 2018.
- 520 11. Ebener S, Guerra-Arias M, Campbell J, Tatem AJ, Moran AC, Amoako Johnson F, et al.
521 *The geography of maternal and newborn health: The state of the art*. *Int J Health Geogr*.
522 2015;14.
- 523 12. Banke-Thomas A, Wright K, Collins L. Assessing geographical distribution and
524 accessibility of emergency obstetric care in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *J Glob*
525 *Health*. 2019;9.
- 526 13. Tanou M, Kamiya Y. Assessing the impact of geographical access to health facilities on
527 maternal healthcare utilization: evidence from the Burkina Faso demographic and health
528 survey 2010. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19:1–8.
- 529 14. Hanson C, Gabrysch S, Mbaruku G, Cox J, Mkumbo E, Manzi F, et al. Access to

- 530 maternal health services: geographical inequalities, United Republic of Tanzania. *Bull World*
531 *Heal Organ.* 2017;95 October:810–20.
- 532 15. Munguambe K, Boene H, Vidler M, Bique C, Sawchuck D, Firoz T, et al. Barriers and
533 facilitators to health care seeking behaviours in pregnancy in rural communities of southern
534 Mozambique. *Reprod Health.* 2016;13.
- 535 16. Feikin DR, Nguyen LM, Adazu K, Ombok M, Audi A, Slutsker L, et al. The impact of
536 distance of residence from a peripheral health facility on pediatric health utilisation in rural
537 western Kenya. *Trop Med Int Heal.* 2009;14:54–61.
- 538 17. Blanford JI, Kumar S, Luo W, MacEachren AM. It's a long, long walk: accessibility to
539 hospitals, maternity and integrated health centers in Niger. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2012;11:1–15.
- 540 18. Ruktanonchai CW, Ruktanonchai NW, Nove A, Lopes S, Pezzulo C, Bosco C, et al.
541 Equality in maternal and newborn health: Modelling geographic disparities in utilisation of
542 care in five East African countries. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:1–17.
- 543 19. Kenny A, Basu G, Ballard M, Griffiths T, Kentoffio K, Niyonzima JB, et al. Remoteness
544 and maternal and child health service utilization in rural Liberia: A population-based survey.
545 *J Glob Health.* 2015;5:1–12.
- 546 20. Kuupiel D, Adu KM, Apiribu F, Bawontuo V, Adogboba DA, Ali KT, et al. Geographic
547 accessibility to public health facilities providing tuberculosis testing services at point-of-care
548 in the upper east region, Ghana. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19:1–12.
- 549 21. Munyaneza F, Ntaganira J, Nyirazinyoye L, Birru E, Nisingizwe MP, Gupta N, et al.
550 Community-Based Accompaniment and the Impact of Distance for HIV Patients Newly
551 Initiated on Antiretroviral Therapy: Early Outcomes and Clinic Visit Adherence in Rural
552 Rwanda. *AIDS Behav.* 2018;22:77–85.
- 553 22. Noor AM, Amin AA, Gething PW, Atkinson PM, Hay SI, Snow RW. Modelling distances
554 travelled to government health services in Kenya. *Trop Med Int Heal.* 2006;11:188–96.
- 555 23. Noor AM, Zurovac D, Hay SI, Ochola SA, Snow RW. Defining equity in physical access
556 to clinical services using geographical information systems as part of malaria planning and
557 monitoring in Kenya. *Trop Med Int Heal.* 2003;8:917–26.

- 558 24. Lankowski AJ, Siedner MJ, Bangsberg DR, Tsai AC. Impact of geographic and
559 transportation-related barriers on HIV outcomes in sub-saharan Africa: A systematic review.
560 AIDS Behav. 2014;18:1199–223.
- 561 25. McLaren, Zoe, Ardington, Cally, Leibbrandt M. Distance decay and persistent health care
562 inequality in South Africa. BioMed Cent. 2014;1–9.
- 563 26. Gething PW, Johnson FA, Frempong-Ainguah F, Nyarko P, Baschieri A, Aboagye P, et
564 al. Geographical access to care at birth in Ghana: A barrier to safe motherhood. BMC Public
565 Health. 2012;12:1.
- 566 27. Stock R. Distance and the utilization of health facilities in rural Nigeria. 1983;17:563–70.
- 567 28. Nguyen HT, Zombré D, Ridde V, De Allegri M. The impact of reducing and eliminating
568 user fees on facility-based delivery: A controlled interrupted time series in Burkina Faso.
569 Health Policy Plan. 2018;33:948–56.
- 570 29. Langlois É V., Karp I, De Dieu Serme J, Bicaba A. Effect of a policy to reduce user fees
571 on the rate of skilled birth attendance across socioeconomic strata in Burkina Faso. Health
572 Policy Plan. 2016;31:462–71.
- 573 30. De Allegri M, Tiendrebéogo J, Müller O, Yé M, Jahn A, Ridde V. Understanding home
574 delivery in a context of user fee reduction: A cross-sectional mixed methods study in rural
575 Burkina Faso. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015;15:1–13.
- 576 31. De Allegri M, Ridde V, Louis VR, Sarker M, Tiendrebéogo J, Yé M, et al. Determinants of
577 utilisation of maternal care services after the reduction of user fees: A case study from rural
578 Burkina Faso. Health Policy (New York). 2011;99:210–8.
- 579 32. Mills S, Williams JE, Adjuik M, Hodgson A. Use of health professionals for delivery
580 following the availability of free obstetric care in Northern Ghana. Matern Child Health J.
581 2008;12:509–18.
- 582 33. Hounton S, Chapman G, Menten J, De Brouwere V, Ensor T, Sombié I, et al.
583 Accessibility and utilisation of delivery care within a Skilled Care Initiative in rural Burkina
584 Faso. Trop Med Int Heal. 2008;13 SUPPL. 1:44–52.
- 585 34. Al-Taiar A, Clark A, Longenecker JC, Whitty CJM. Physical accessibility and utilization of

- 586 health services in Yemen. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2010;9:1–8.
- 587 35. Makanga PT, Schuurman N, Sacoor C, Boene HE, Vilanculo F, Vidler M, et al. Seasonal
588 variation in geographical access to maternal health services in regions of southern
589 Mozambique. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2017;16:1.
- 590 36. Root ED, Lucero M, Nohynek H, Anthamatten P, Thomas DSK, Tallo V, et al. Distance to
591 health services affects local-level vaccine efficacy for pneumococcal conjugate vaccine
592 (PCV) among rural Filipino children. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2014;111:3520–5.
- 593 37. Blanford JI, Kumar S, Luo W, MacEachren AM. It's a long, long walk: accessibility to
594 hospitals, maternity and integrated health centers in Niger. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2012;11:1–15.
- 595 38. Noor AM, Amin AA, Gething PW, Atkinson PM, Hay SI, Snow RW. Modelling distances
596 travelled to government health services in Kenya. *Trop Med Int Heal.* 2006;11:188–96.
- 597 39. Bailey PE, Keyes EB, Parker C, Abdullah M, Kebede H, Freedman L. Using a GIS to
598 model interventions to strengthen the emergency referral system for maternal and newborn
599 health in Ethiopia. *Int J Gynecol Obstet.* 2011;115:300–9.
- 600 40. Okwaraji YB, Webb EL, Edmond KM. Barriers in physical access to maternal health
601 services in rural Ethiopia. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2015;15:1–8.
- 602 41. Yao J, Agadjanian V. Bypassing health facilities in rural Mozambique: Spatial,
603 institutional, and individual determinants. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18:1–11.
- 604 42. Makanga PT, Schuurman N, Von Dadelszen P, Firoz T. A scoping review of geographic
605 information systems in maternal health. *Int J Gynecol Obstet.* 2016;134:13–7.
- 606 43. Alegana VA, Wright JA, Pentrina U, Noor AM, Snow RW, Atkinson PM. Spatial modelling
607 of healthcare utilisation for treatment of fever in Namibia. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2012;11:1–13.
- 608 44. Ray N, Ebener S. AccessMod 3.0: computing geographic coverage and accessibility to
609 health care services using anisotropic movement of patients. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2008;7:63.
- 610 45. Black M, Ebener S, Aguilar PN, Vidaurre M, Morjani Z El. Using GIS to Measure Physical
611 Accessibility to Health Care. *World Heal Organ.* 2004;3–4:1–22.
- 612 46. World Bank. World Bank Open Data. 2019.
- 613 47. World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory Data Repository. 2019.

- 614 48. Institut National de la Statistique. Enquête Démographique et de Santé, Madagascar.
615 Antananarivo, Madagascar; 2009.
- 616 49. Kashima S, Suzuki E, Okayasu T, Louis R, Eboshida A, Subramanian S V. Association
617 between proximity to a health center and early childhood mortality in madagascar. PLoS
618 One. 2012;7.
- 619 50. Garchitorena A, Miller AC, Cordier LF, Ramananjato R, Rabeza VR, Murray M, et al. In
620 Madagascar, use of health care services increased when fees were removed: Lessons for
621 universal health coverage. Health Aff. 2017;36:1443–51.
- 622 51. Id CE, Id MHB, Id ACM, Cordier LF, Haruna J, Mwanawabenea D, et al. Assessing
623 trends in the content of maternal and child care following a health system strengthening
624 initiative in rural Madagascar: A longitudinal cohort study. 2019; DiD:1–23.
- 625 52. Garchitorena A, Miller AC, Cordier LF, Rabeza VR, Randriamanambintsoa M,
626 Razanadrakato HR, et al. Early changes in intervention coverage and mortality rates
627 following the implementation of an integrated health system intervention in Madagascar.
628 2018;1–12.
- 629 53. Miller AC, Ramananjato RH, Garchitorena A, Rabeza VR, Gikic D, Cripps A, et al.
630 Baseline population health conditions ahead of a health system strengthening program in
631 rural Madagascar. Glob Health Action. 2017;10:1329961.
- 632 54. Fondation OpenStreetMap (OSMF). OpenStreetMap. 2004.
633 <https://www.openstreetmap.org/>.
- 634 55. HOT. Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team. Mapping our world together. 2013.
635 <https://www.hotosm.org/>.
- 636 56. Gary S. QGIS: A Free and Open Source Geographic Information System. 2002.
637 <https://www.qgis.org/en/site/>.
- 638 57. Shcherb V, Pelykh A, Mueller H. Offline mobile maps & navigation. 2010.
639 <https://osmand.net/>.
- 640 58. Karasiak N. Dzetsaka: classification plugin for Qgis. 2018.
641 doi:<https://zenodo.org/record/3463523>.

- 642 59. Belgiu M, Dragut L. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing Random
643 forest in remote sensing: A review of applications and future directions. ISPRS J
644 Photogrammetry Remote Sens. 2016;114:24–31.
- 645 60. U.S. Department of the Interior. U.S. Geological Survey. 1879. <https://www.usgs.gov/>.
- 646 61. NASA. POWER project Data Sets. 2019. <https://power.larc.nasa.gov/>.
- 647 62. Luxen D. Smart Directions Powered by OSRM's Enhanced Graph Model. 2014.
648 [https://blog.mapbox.com/smart-directions-powered-by-osrms-enhanced-graph-model-](https://blog.mapbox.com/smart-directions-powered-by-osrms-enhanced-graph-model-3ae226974b2)
649 [3ae226974b2](https://blog.mapbox.com/smart-directions-powered-by-osrms-enhanced-graph-model-3ae226974b2).
- 650 63. Winston C, Cheng J, Allaire J, Xie Y, McPherson J. shiny: Web Application Framework
651 for R. 2019.
- 652 64. Belgiu M, Dragut L. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing Random
653 forest in remote sensing: A review of applications and future directions. ISPRS J
654 Photogrammetry Remote Sens. 2016;114:24–31.
- 655 65. Pilcher J, Kruske S, Barclay L. A review of rural and remote health service indexes: Are
656 they relevant for the development of an Australian rural birth index? BMC Health Serv Res.
657 2014;14:1–8.
- 658 66. Juran S, Broer PN, Klug SJ, Snow RC, Okiro EA, Ouma PO, et al. Geospatial mapping of
659 access to timely essential surgery in sub-Saharan Africa. BMJ Glob Heal. 2018;3:e000875.
- 660 67. Chukwusa E, Verne J, Polato G, Taylor R, J Higginson I, Gao W. Urban and rural
661 differences in geographical accessibility to inpatient palliative and end-of-life (PEoLC)
662 facilities and place of death: a national population-based study in England, UK. Int J Health
663 Geogr. 2019;18:8.
- 664 68. Grzybowski S, Stoll K, Kornelsen J, Allen V, Jilwah N, Joseph K, et al. Distance matters:
665 a population based study examining access to maternity services for rural women. BMC
666 Health Serv Res. 2011;11:147.
- 667 69. Grzybowski S, Kornelsen J, Schuurman N. Planning the optimal level of local maternity
668 service for small rural communities: A systems study in British Columbia. Health Policy (New
669 York). 2009;92:149–57.

- 670 70. Kelly C, Hulme C, Farragher T, Clarke G. Are differences in travel time or distance to
671 healthcare for adults in global north countries associated with an impact on health
672 outcomes? A systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6:1–9.
- 673 71. Apparicio P, Abdelmajid M, Riva M, Shearmur R. Comparing alternative approaches to
674 measuring the geographical accessibility of urban health services: Distance types and
675 aggregation-error issues. *Int J Health Geogr*. 2008;7:1–14.
- 676 72. Anderson-Tarver C. Crisis Mapping the 2010 Earthquake in OpenStreetMap Haiti.
677 ProQuest Diss Theses. 2015;177.
- 678 73. Girond F, Randrianasolo L, Randriamampionona L, Rakotomanana F,
679 Randrianariveლოსია M, Ratsitorahina M, et al. Analysing trends and forecasting malaria
680 epidemics in Madagascar using a sentinel surveillance network: a web-based application.
681 *Malar J*. 2017;16:72.
- 682 74. Hosseinpoor AR, Nambiar D, Schlotheuber A, Reidpath D, Ross Z. Health Equity
683 Assessment Toolkit (HEAT): Software for exploring and comparing health inequalities in
684 countries. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2016;16.
- 685 75. Hosseinpoor AR, Schlotheuber A, Nambiar D, Ross Z. Health Equity Assessment Toolkit
686 Plus (HEAT Plus): software for exploring and comparing health inequalities using uploaded
687 datasets. *Glob Health Action*. 2018;11.
- 688 76. Jahanshiri E, Shariff ARM. Developing web-based data analysis tools for precision
689 farming using R and Shiny. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci*. 2014;20.
- 690 77. Lakshmanan K, Peter AP, Mohandass S, Varadharaj S, Lakshmanan U, Dharmar P.
691 SynRio: R and Shiny based application platform for cyanobacterial genome analysis.
692 *Bioinformatics*. 2015;11:422–5.
- 693 78. Chen D, Fu LY, Hu D, Klukas C, Chen M, Kaufmann K. The HTPmod Shiny application
694 enables modeling and visualization of large-scale biological data. *Commun Biol*. 2018;1.
- 695 79. Rosero-Bixby L. Spatial access to health care in Costa Rica and its equity: A GIS-based
696 study. *Soc Sci Med*. 2004;58:1271–84.
- 697 80. Makanga PT, Schuurman N, Sacoer C, Boene HE, Vilanculo F, Vidler M, et al. Seasonal

698 variation in geographical access to maternal health services in regions of southern
699 Mozambique. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2017;16:1–16.

700 81. Institut National de la Statistique. Enquete de base, District d'Ifanadiana. 2014.

701

702 **Figures**

703 **Figure 1: Estimation of the shortest paths from a building to join the PHC.** A) Shows an
704 illustrative example of shortest paths obtained through OSRM, with building values for travel
705 distance and time to reach one of the district's PHC B) Shows how the travel distance
706 calculated by OSRM improves on typical Euclidian distance estimations, providing more
707 realistic and accurate values by using the footpath network.

708

709 **Figure 2: Interpolated distance and travel time between each household and PHCs.** A)
710 Spatial variation in the distance to join the nearest PHC, with shades of blue representing 6
711 distance classes: 0-5, 5-10, 10-15, 15-20, 20-25 and >25 km. B-C) Travel time without and
712 with rainfall to join the nearest PHC, with shades of brown representing 6 time classes: 0-1,
713 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5 and >5 hrs.

714

715 **Figure 3: Interpolated distance and travel time between each household and CHSs.** A)
716 Spatial variation in the distance to join the nearest CHS, with shades of blue representing 4
717 distance classes: 0-2, 2-4, 4-6 and >6 km. B-C) Travel time without and with rainfall to join
718 the nearest CHS, with shades of brown representing 5 time classes: 0-30, 30-60, 60-90, 90-
719 120 and >120 mins.

720

721 **Figure 4: Distribution of vulnerable populations with poor geographic access to both**
722 **PHC and CHS.** It shows the spatial variation in the travel time to reach any type of primary
723 care facility (both PHC and CHS). Areas less than one hour away are shown in light brown
724 and those more than one hour away in red.

725

726 **Figure 5: Shiny app for operational use by local health actors.** Illustrative example of the
727 interface, showing in a map all the residential areas and isolated houses (red polygons)
728 located between 0 and 1 hour from the PHC (green circle).
729

730 **Tables**

731 **Table 1:** Comparison of geographic information available on OSM in Ifanadiana district
 732 following mapping and the average geographic information available in the other districts of
 733 Vatovavy-Fitovinany Region.

	Ifanadiana				Distric average ¹ for the rest of Vatovavy- Fitovinany Region			
	n	(%)	Length (km)	Area (ha)	n	(%)	Length (km)	Area (ha)
Residential area	4,925				223			
[0,20]	4241	86.11%			206	92.38%		
(20,40]	371	7.53%			9	4.03%		
(40,50]	58	1.18%			1	0.45%		
(50,100]	177	3.59%			4	1.19%		
>100	78	1.58%			3	1.35%		
Buildings	106,171				6,723			
Isolated house	36,539	34.42%			5,086	75.65%		
On residential area	69,632	65.58%			1,637	24.35%		
Rice field	17,446			13,436	201			297
Road networks								
Path			23,726				400	
Secondary road			62				68	
Tertiary road			130				45	

734 ¹The average here represents the sum of the number of residential areas, buildings, rice fields and the length of
 735 road networks in the other 5 districts of the region (Manakara, Mananjary, Ikongo, Vohipeno, Nosy-Varika) divided
 736 by 5.
 737

738 **Table 2:** Distribution of the population in Ifanadiana according to their distance to the nearest
 739 health facility

Health facility type	Travel distance (km)	Population (%)
PHC	[0,1]	5.85
	(1,2]	2.69
	(2,5]	21.02
	(5,10]	44.43
	(10,20]	24.67
	(20,30]	1.34
CHS	[0,1]	22.07
	(1,2]	21.68
	(2,5]	52.2
	(5,10]	3.98
	(10,20]	0.08

740

741 **Table 3:** Multivariate analysis of local factors affecting travel speed by foot in Ifanadiana,
 742 (linear additive model, with individual track as random intercept)

	coeff	Std. Error	p⁴³
Intercept (km/h)	3.27	0.10	<0.001 ⁷⁴⁴
Slope (%)	Non-linear ¹	- ¹	<0.001 ⁷⁴⁵
Rainfall (every 10 mm)	-0.06	0.01	<0.001 ⁷⁴⁶
Travel distance (km)			
[0,13]	(ref)		747
(13,22.9]	-0.38	0.02	<0.001 ⁷⁴⁸
Land cover			
Mixed	(ref)		749
Water bodies	-1.32	0.18	<0.001 ⁷⁵⁰
Forest	0.01	0.16	0.93
Rice field	-0.46	0.16	<0.001 ⁷⁵¹
Savanna	-0.05	0.16	0.75 ⁷⁵²
Residential area	-0.52	0.16	<0.001 ⁷⁵³
Individual			754
Community team staff	(ref)		
PIVOT research team	1.20	0.03	<0.001 ⁷⁵⁵
Local population	1.29	0.03	<0.001 ⁷⁵⁶



757 ¹ There was an exponential decrease of speed at higher absolute values of slope (in degrees). Non-linear smooth
 758 and confidence intervals are shown in Additional file 5.


759

760 **Table 4:** Distribution of the population in Ifanadiana according to their travel time to the
 761 nearest health facility

Health facility type	Travel time (minutes)	Population (%)	
		If time estimation without rainfall	If time estimation with rainfall
PHC	[0,30]	9.76	8.85
	(30,60]	14.49	12.77
	(60,120]	38.90	36.5
	(120 ,180]	25.20	26.19
	(180,240]	7.97	10.54
	>240	3.66	5.14
CHS	[0,30]	44.24	39.98
	(30,60]	45.59	45.14
	(60,120]	9.95	14.59
	(120 ,180]	1.21	0.27
	(180,240]		0.03

762








+ PHC
Travel distance (km)




0 5 10 km








+ PHC
Travel time without rainfall (hr)



+ PHC
Travel time with rainfall (hr)








Distribution

Estimation d'itinéraires

Filtre géographique

À Propos

Cet outil vous permet de connaître les villages et les ménages qui sont à une certaine distance ou temps de parcours pour rejoindre une formation sanitaire (CSB ou Site Communautaire) la plus proche.



Commune

Kelilalina

Type de formation sanitaire

Centre de Santé de Base (CSB)

Nom de formation sanitaire

CSB2 Kelilalina

Information géographique

Temps de parcours

Temps de parcours

0 - 1 heure

Afficher sur la carte

Exporter les données filtrées

Exporter la carte