Title: Creating a Survey Instrument for Self-Assessed Menstrual Cycle Characteristics and

Androgen Excess

Short Title: Menstrual Cycle and Androgen Excess Survey

Authors: Shruthi Mahalingaiah MD, MS[a,b,c], Carol Cosenza MSW[d], J. Jojo Cheng MS[e], Erika

Rodriguez MS[b], Ann Aschengrau, ScD[f]

Affiliations:

[a]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Boston University School of Medicine, 85 East

Concord Street, Boston, MA 02118, USA

[b]Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Building 1

665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[c]Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, Department of Obstetrics and

Gynecology, Massachusetts General Hospital | Harvard Medical School, 55 Fruit Street Yawkey

10, Boston, MA 02114, USA

[d]Center for Survey Research, UMass Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd, Boston MA 02125, USA

[e]Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, University of Wisconsin, Madison,

Wisconsin 53792, U.S.A.

[f]Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, Talbot 3E, 715 Albany

Street, Boston, MA 02118, USA

Corresponding author:

Shruthi Mahalingaiah, shruthi@hsph.harvard.edu

Reprint Requests:

Shruthi Mahalingaiah, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public

Health, Building 1 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Funding: Shruthi Mahalingaiah was supported by the Building Interdisciplinary Research Careers

in Women's Health (BIRCWH K12) HD043444, the Reproductive Scientist Development

Program (RSDP K12) HD000849, the RSDP 2017 SEED grant, the Ellison Family Foundation

2018 Grant, and a 2018 SEED grant from the OB/GYN department at Boston Medical Center.

This project was part of the work awarded the 2016 Early Investigator award by the Endocrine

Society and Merck & Co.

Disclosure summary: The authors have no disclosures.

Abstract

Context: In large population-based studies, there are a lack of existing questionnaires designed

to ascertain menstrual cycle characteristics and tools to assess androgen excess including

hirsutism, alopecia, and acne.

Objective: Our objective was to create and evaluate an online survey instrument for identification

of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) using self-reported menstrual cycle characteristics and

novel pictorial tools for self-evaluation of androgen excess characteristics.

Methods: Questions to assess menstrual characteristics and health were designed using existing

surveys and clinical experience. Pictorial self-assessment tools for androgen excess were also

developed with an experienced medical illustrator to include the modified Ferrimen-Galway, acne

and androgenic alopecia. These were combined into an online survey instrument using REDCap

and cognitively tested to assess question comprehension. The survey was revised based on

feedback and subsequently used in the Ovulation and Menstruation (OM) Health Pilot study.

Results: A survey instrument was created which includes assessment of menstrual cycle

characteristics and pictorial tools for levels of androgen excess. Cognitive testing identified

questions and concepts not easily comprehended, recalled, or had problematic response choices.

Comprehension examples include simplifying the definition for PCOS and revising questions on

historic menstrual regularity and bleeding duration. Recall and answer formation examples include

issues with recalling waist size, beverage consumption, and interpretation of questions using

symbols (> or <).

Conclusion: We present a cognitively tested, novel survey instrument to assess menstrual cycle

characteristics and androgen excess. This survey instrument is a novel tool to ascertain the

prevalence of PCOS in general population settings.

Keywords (6 or less): menstruation, menstrual cycle, cognitive testing, androgen excess, hirsutism

What is already known on this subject:
Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), is the most common reproductive disorder in women of
reproductive age. In large population-based studies, there are a lack of question sets
56 designed for the ascertainment of menstrual cycle characteristics and tools to assess
androgen excess necessary for diagnosis of PCOS.

58 **What this study adds:**
Our team created an online survey instrument that underwent rigorous cognitive testing to
59 ensure cognitively complex reproductive questions could be understood at an 8th grade
reading level. Our goal was to identify obvious questionnaire problems which could impact
60 participant comprehension and readability. The development and cognitive testing of this
survey instrument may provide a better way to capture PCOS prevalence in future studies.
61 We propose the use of this study as an example that validating survey questions can provide
important insight into capturing accurate data from diverse groups of participants.

Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common endocrine disorder characterized by rare

ovulation and associated menstrual irregularity, androgen excess, and in some cases, presence

of polycystic ovarian morphology on ultrasonographic or other radiologic assessments.[1]

Women with PCOS are at increased risk for endometrial hyperplasia,[2] infertility,[3] and

metabolic disorders including diabetes, dyslipidemia,[4] obesity,[5] and hypertension.[6]

These women are also at increased risk for mood disorders,[4] such as depression and anxiety,

and non-alcoholic fatty liver.[7]

Ascertainment of PCOS, menstrual cycle characteristics, and androgen excess have

been limited in large population-based epidemiologic studies. Often, surveys ask a single

question about having been diagnosed with PCOS or use self-reported menstrual irregularity

as a proxy for PCOS status.[8-10] Because menstrual disorders, such as PCOS, often require

a clinical diagnosis for respondents to be able to report their disease status, mild or clinically

unrecognized disease are often not captured in population-based studies. Existing tools are

also limited in how to quantify the clinical characteristics of androgen excess by self-report,

such as acne and alopecia, in addition to excess hair density on the body. Our goal was to

design a survey instrument for use in an online survey that would: 1) accurately ascertain self-

reported menstrual history and irregular menstrual cycle phenotypes, 2) capture self-reported

androgen excess including hirsutism, acne, and alopecia that could be used in a diverse

population of women, and 3) facilitate detection of suspected cases of PCOS previously

missed in population-based studies.

Methods

The survey instrument was designed in two phases. During the first phase, the questionnaire

including the survey questions and the pictorial self-assessment tools were created. In the second

phase, an expert review of the draft questionnaire and pictorial tools was conducted. These

questions and images were then built into an online REDCap platform. Cognitive testing was

conducted using a print version for comprehension. Usability testing was conducted using the

online survey instrument.

Phase 1

Questionnaire Creation

The design process began with a review of existing questionnaires from women's health studies

such as the Nurses' Health Study 2 (NHS2), the Framingham Heart Study (FHS), and the Cape

Cod Health Study (CCHS). These are large, prospective or reproductive cohorts with surveys that

span a long temporal window. Table 1 identifies the cohorts used in the initial questionnaire review

and whether their questionnaire is publicly available. Although none of these questionnaires were

designed to specifically capture menstrual characteristics or other features of androgen excess

required to diagnose PCOS, they served as a basis for writing our questions. Additionally,

diagnostic criteria of PCOS by the Rotterdam Criteria[11] and classification of abnormal uterine

bleeding during a woman's reproductive years[12] by the International Federation of Gynecology

and Obstetrics (FIGO) were used as references in drafting questions. A total of 219 questions were

developed, which does not reflect the total number of questions an individual may answer due to

skip patterns.

Table 1. Select cohorts for initial review of women's health questions with questionnaire

availability status.

Cohort	Publicly Available Questionnaire (Yes/No)
Nurses' Health Study 2	Yes
Framingham Heart Study	Yes
Cape Cod Health Study	No
Genes for Good	Yes
Women's Health Initiative	Yes
Black Women's Health Study	Yes
Growing Up Today Study	Yes

Pictorial Self-assessment tool creation

The purpose of creating pictorial tools was to make it easier for respondents to answer questions

about their body shape, acne, hirsutism, and alopecia by providing visual examples of the different

response categories.[13] The Ferriman-Gallwey (F-G) score was created in 1961 and has been

used to measure hirsutism as a marker of androgen excess in women.[14] Originally created to

assess eleven body areas, the scoring system was later modified to evaluate nine key areas

associated with hormonal hair growth. The modified Ferriman-Gallwey (mFG) pictorial tool

scores hair growth from no terminal growth to severe levels of growth (0 to 4, for a maximum

score of 36). Because different races/ethnicities may have different manifestations of androgen

excess, including acne and alopecia, we expanded androgen excess ascertainment beyond the

standard F-G hirsutism tool to encompass this variation.[15-17] A medical illustrator was

commissioned to sketch the mFG grading scale based on her previous work illustrating the F-G

scale.[18] Images for varying stages of androgenic alopecia based on the Sinclair system were also

created.[19] Lastly, anthropometric images were created for use in body shape self-report as a

supplement to survey questions on body shape.

Phase 2: Expert Review and Cognitive Testing

After drafting the survey instrument, the Center for Survey Research (CSR) at the University of

Massachusetts Boston was contracted to conduct an expert review on the design, formatting, and

usability of the survey instrument.[20, 21] After this review, the survey instrument was built into

an online platform using REDCap. The CSR then conducted cognitive interviews for

understanding participant comprehension and online survey usability.

Cognitive Testing

When survey questions are not consistently understood and answered by respondents, there is a

high likelihood of response errors and resulting biased findings. Cognitive testing is an evaluation

tool that allows researchers to fix problems with survey questions before the survey is fielded. This

evaluation serves two main functions: 1) it allows researchers to better understand if questions are

working as intended and 2) it provides investigators insight into how respondents handle the

cognitive steps involved in answering questions (which, if needed, provides insight into how a

question might be improved). These cognitive steps include *comprehension* (were the questions

consistently understood by respondents as intended by the researchers); *recall* (does the respondent

have the information needed to answer the question); and *judgement and answer formation* (were

respondents able to decide and provide an answer that accurately reflected what they wanted to

say in the format the researchers required).

Participant Selection for Cognitive Testing: Since the purpose of cognitive testing is to evaluate

the survey instrument, in order to be most effective, respondents should come from the population

that the survey is intended for. Because the survey instrument was intended to be accessible to an

educationally and racial/ethnically diverse population, we conducted in-person interviews in

English with six women, aged 22-46 who represented a mix of racial and ethnic identities as well

as varying levels of educational attainment (Table 2). Half of the respondents had received a

diagnosis of PCOS from a health care provider. Although cognitive testing is often conducted with

a small number of respondents, researchers have found that important information can be gleaned

about potential problems using a small sample size, especially if several respondents encounter the

same issues or misunderstand the same things.

Table 2. Demographics of six cognitive testing respondents

Age (years)	
Range	22-46
Mean (SD)	32.6 (9.2)
Median (25th Percentile, 75th Percentile)	30 (27, 39)
Race/Ethnicity	
White	1
Hispanic	2

Black or African American	1
More than 1 Race/Ethnicity	2
Education	
Some College	4
4-year College Degree	2
PCOS Status	
Has PCOS	3
Does not have PCOS	3
Number of Prior Pregnancies	
Range	0-9
Mean (SD)	2.5 (3.5)
Median (25th Percentile, 75th Percentile)	2 (0, 3.2)
Number of Prior Live Births	
Range	0-4
Mean (SD)	1.2 (1.8)
Median (25th Percentile, 75th Percentile)	0 (0, 2.25)

152
153

Cognitive Testing of Survey Instrument

Because the full survey was long, we focused the cognitive testing on a limited number of key

questions. Particularly important were questions regarding menstrual cycle length, age at

menarche, menstrual flow, and body hair growth, as these are the main self-reported characteristics

used to ascertain PCOS. Additional questions that were evaluated included those critical in our

future analyses such as demographics, anthropometrics, PCOS diagnosis, general health, lifestyle

and diet, and pregnancy history. Of the 219 questions created, 120 questions were cognitively

tested. We present 14 examples here.

The cognitive testing protocol was designed by CSR and was considered exempt by the

IRB at the University of Massachusetts Boston. Interviews were conducted at CSR's office by two

experienced interviewers. Interviewers followed a semi-structured protocol that began with

respondents filling out sections of the survey alone. Then, the interviewer reviewed the questions

with the respondent by asking a series of follow-up probing questions to gain an understanding of

how the survey questions were understood and how respondents formed their answers. The

cognitive interviews lasted between 50 to 90 minutes and respondents were paid $80 for their

participation. Based on the participants' feedback, changes were made to the survey instrument to

improve its clarity. The final version was updated on the online survey platform then used in the

OM Pilot Study and is available on the Harvard Dataverse repository.[13]

Results

Cognitive testing allowed us to evaluate how respondents handled each step of the question-

answering process. We found issues with <u>comprehension and readability</u> (including formatting),

<u>recall and answer formation problems</u>, and <u>usability concerns</u>. This section describes select

examples of some of these problems and how we attempted to solve them. The full cognitive

testing report by CSR entitled *Ovulation and Menstruation Health Study, Report on Cognitive*

Testing, July 2017, stored on Harvard Dataverse.*[13]*

<u>Comprehension and Readability Problems</u> include questions that were missing a needed definition,

questions that have a definition that is too complex or unclear, questions that are formatted in a

way that respondents understand the question in an inconsistent manner, and questions that have

embedded assumptions.

Example 1: Defining Polycystic Ovary Syndrome

Survey Question: Polycystic Ovary Syndrome is a health condition where a woman has fewer than

8 periods each year. It is also associated with increased hair growth on the body. Some women

may also have many cysts on the ovaries. Has a doctor ever diagnosed you with Polycystic Ovary

Syndrome or PCOS?

Findings: Although this question included a definition, respondents were still confused, including

those who had been diagnosed with PCOS. Because the definition was very specific, respondents

were unsure how to answer if they did not meet all of the requirements of the definition. The

definition was modified in future versions to read: *Polycystic Ovary Syndrome is a health*

condition involving irregular periods, excess testosterone, increased acne, body and facial hair,

and many small cysts in the ovaries. Some women also experience hair loss on the scalp.

Example 2: Rotating Shift Work

Survey Question: A rotating shift is a work schedule where your hours change in a predictable

way from day-to-day, week-to-week, or month-to-month. In the last month, did you work rotating

shifts?

Findings: This is another example where a definition was provided, but respondents did not

consistently understand the idea of a "rotating shift." Rather than focusing on whether their hours

changed in a "predictable" way, some simply answered about whether their hours changed at all

in the last month. They included changes such as working overtime and having a job where the

hours were different on different days (such as 9-7 on Mondays and 10-2 on Tuesdays). In future

iterations of the survey, the research team removed this question and asked: Have you ever worked

a job where you worked at least some time between midnight and 4:00 AM?

Example 3: Historic Menstrual Regularity

Survey Question: In the past couple of years, has there ever been a time when your menstrual

period was NOT regular or predictable for more than a 3-month window of time?

Findings: Having more than one time-frame in the question ("past couple of years" and "3-month

window") was confusing to respondents who seemed either to answer about one time-frame or the

other. The introductory phrase "in the past couple of years" was removed in the final version of

the questionnaire.

Example 4: Hair Removal Frequency

Survey Question: In the last year, how often did you use any hair removal method on any area of

your body other than underarms or legs? Response options: Daily, three times a week, twice a

week, weekly, twice a month, monthly

Finding: This question assumes a consistency of behavior that may not exist. Respondents were

unsure how to answer when hair removal was not on a regular schedule, when they removed hair

from different body parts on a different schedule, or when it used to be one way, but now it is a

different frequency, or not at all. This was ultimately not changed in the final version as the need

to have a baseline estimation for hair removal/depilation outweighed variable responses.

Example 5: Obstetrics- Intrauterine Growth Retardation

Survey Question: Sometimes babies are smaller than we expect. During this pregnancy did your

baby have intrauterine growth retardation?

Finding: Respondents commented that they felt the first part of the question didn't match the

second part. They did not understand that the first sentence was supposed to be the definition of

"intrauterine growth retardation." Since the goal of the question was to assess the size of the baby,

not whether the respondent understood the medical phrase "intrauterine growth retardation," the

question was modified to "Sometimes, babies are smaller than we expect. During this pregnancy,

were any of your babies smaller than expected for their gestational age?"

Example 6: Menstrual Cycle- Duration of Bleeding

Survey Question: In the last year, when you had your period, about how many days did it usually

last? Please only count the days when you were bleeding, not when you were spotting.

Finding: For most people, a question mark signifies the end of a question – at which point it should

be answered. However, in this example, important information was provided in the explanatory

sentence <u>after</u> the question. Several respondents were unsure whether or not to include days when

they were spotting. When asked about it, respondents said they did not notice the second sentence

and simply stopped reading at the question. The question was changed to: "Now we want to know

about how long your period usually lasts. Counting only the days when you were bleeding and not

when you were spotting, in the last year about how many days did your period usually last?"

Example 7: ***Other Comprehension Problems***

Comprehension problems can arise from not understanding the words in the question and can also

include not understanding abbreviations or symbols. While "lbs." is the abbreviation for pounds,

we had a respondent who did not know what it stood for. In several questions, the symbols "<" or

">" were used in the response options. While they are commonly used, several of the respondents

were slowed down by seeing the symbol instead of the words and had to think about which symbol

was "greater than" and which was "less than". In the final version, we did not use any abbreviations

or symbols.

<u>Recall and Answer Formation Problems:</u> Cognitive testing also helps researchers understand

whether respondents have the information needed to answer questions. Recall and answer barriers

occur when respondents: cannot remember the information needed to answer a question, never had

the information needed to answer a question, or have not thought about the information in the

format that the question is asking.

Example 8: Waist Size

Survey Question: What is your waist size? Please select if you are responding in inches or

centimeters. If you are unsure please take your best guess.

Findings: Only one respondent answered this question, with the rest saying "don't know" and

refusing to guess. When interviewed, the respondents explicitly said that they don't know their

waist measurement. However, they offered to give something similar that they did know- their

pants sizes. Since respondents were unable to answer this question, because they didn't have the

information required in the format required, we decided to delete this question from the final

version.

Example 9: Fruit Juice Serving Sizes

Survey Question: A serving of fruit juice is 4 ounces (half a cup). In the past week, how many

servings of fruit juice did you drink.

Findings: This question includes three different measurements that the respondent had to juggle in

their mind when answering. While the concept of a "serving" is often used by dieticians and other

health professionals, most people do not think in those terms. As such, this question ended up

being very confusing to respondents. Even those who could clearly articulate what fruit juice they

drank in the past week could not figure out how to answer this question accurately. Although this

question is cognitively complex, it was kept in the survey. However, the time frame was modified

from "in the past week" to "in an average day" to make it easier for respondents to answer.

Example 10: Ovulatory Infertility

Survey Question: Ovulatory infertility is infertility due to not making an egg every month. Have

any of your female relatives been diagnosed with ovulatory infertility?

Findings: This question had two cognitive problems - a comprehension problem and a recall

problem. Most respondents did not understand the term "ovulatory infertility" and whether it

differed from any other kind of infertility. Additionally, none of the respondents knew whether

any of their female relatives had it. Since we realized respondents would probably not be able to

provide reliable information, we decided to delete the question from the final version. Instead of

asking about ovulatory infertility, questions surrounding infertility were streamlined to ascertain

if a participant tried to get pregnant for more than 6 months and then asking about possible causes

such as fallopian tube complications or hormonal variations.

Example 11: Hormonal Contraception

Survey Question: Now think about all the different times you've taken hormonal contraception in

your life. Counting only the times you were taking it, for how many years did you take hormonal

contraception? (If you took it for less than 12 months, check the box below.)

Findings: This question was difficult for those who used multiple forms of contraception and had

started and stopped over time. Respondents had the information needed but had to figure out how

to get it into the format required. For those who had used an injection, there was an additional layer

of complexity stemming from the phrase "taking it" over a specific length of time. One respondent

included the amount of time they thought the shot was supposed to last. Another responded as if it

was a one-time occurrence and did not report it as any time. In the final version, an extra sentence

was added to the question: "If you had an injection or implant, include the time it was effective."

294
Usability Concerns: Cognitive testing may also provide information about how respondents

navigate through a survey and if there are user-interface problems.

Example 12: Anthropometrics

Survey Question: Now think about when you were 18 years old. Please mark the number displayed

below the image which most looks like your body shape when you were 18 (Figure 1).

Findings: Respondents did not have any problems figuring out how to answer this as they knew

which figure looked most like their body shape. The issue arose when they had to figure out how

to mark their answers on the screen. Several respondents tried to click on the number below the

picture, which did not work. They had to look further down the page to where the answer options

were actually listed. After cognitive testing, the format of the page was modified in future versions

to make it easier for the respondents to understand. The question was also changed to: "Which

image above looks most like your body shape when you were 18?"

Example 13: Natural Body Hair

Survey Question: Please mark the image in each row that best corresponds with the amount of hair

you have on that part of your body (Figure 2). Please think about your natural body state (without

the use of hair removal procedures or treatments):

Findings: This question was followed by a series of pictures depicting hair on various body parts.

Cognitive testing showed respondents understood what the phrase "natural body state" meant. As

in the previous example, respondents had trouble answering the questions because they tried to

actually click on or mark the image. Some respondents also said they had trouble seeing the

distinctions between the options. In future versions, the format of the page was modified to make

it easier for the respondents to understand they were supposed to select from answer choices below

the image.

Example 14: Current Acne

Survey Question: In the last month or so, how would you currently rate your acne, also called

pimples? (Please consult image) (Figure 3)

Findings: This question was followed by a series of answer choices with parenthetical descriptions

of acne severity. Cognitive testing showed several respondents only looked at the pictures and did

not read the descriptions in the answer choices. One respondent said it depends on the day/time of

the month, and another asked whether or not to include acne on the back. Due to the text,

parentheses, and pictures, the consultant suggested that the image was adding unnecessary

complexity to the question. In the revised version, the image was removed and the question was

updated to say: "Thinking about your face or back, how would you rate your acne? If your acne

changes during your menstrual cycle, please think about your acne at its worst. [Response options]

None or rare acne (none to a couple of pimples), Mild acne (4 or more pimples), Moderate acne (4

or more pimples that are red and irritated), Severe acne (4 or more pimples that are red, irritated,

and have pus)"

Discussion

We present a cognitively tested survey instrument to ascertain menstrual cycle characteristics and

androgen excess. To the best of our knowledge, no other instrument for self-assessment of

menstrual cycle characteristics and androgen excess, inclusive of hirsutism, acne, and androgenic

alopecia, exists for use in large population-based epidemiologic studies. Cognitive testing allowed

for informed iterative survey design based on respondents' comprehension of survey questions,

including pictorial tools for image-assisted questions. Through the process of cognitive testing, we

identified and changed questions that would likely contribute to response errors.

For instance, example 1 demonstrated the importance of generating a clear definition for

conditions pertinent to assessing PCOS. The creation of a definition that was simultaneously broad

enough to capture the intended group and specific enough to allow for a prevalence assessment of

PCOS had to be balanced. Similarly, example 6 which asks about menstrual cycle and definition

of bleeding days demonstrates the importance of the location of the definitions. Knowing that

respondents consistently read only to the question, the location of the spotting definition became

critical to ensure participants were reporting the appropriate menstrual cycle length.

Additionally, expanding descriptions to capture the intention of the question was important.

In example 11, identifying a timeframe for hormonal birth control usage helped elucidate the

intention of the question which was to identify a duration of use by contraceptive formulation as

injectables may have an efficacy of a month to several months. In contrast, we decided to retain

questions such as example 4, which asks about frequency of hair removal. Despite issues

quantifying frequency of hair removal amongst respondents, we felt the need to have a baseline

estimation for hair removal/depilation to asses average depilation frequency in women and kept

this question in.

The questionnaire and pictorial tools were designed from our review of the strengths and

limitations of questionnaires from large cohort studies, such as the Nurses' Health Study (NHS2)

and the Framingham Heart Study (FHS). The PhenX ToolKit is a public repository of questions

available for phenotyping disease with questions designed to reduce participant burden.[22] The

toolkit identified questions from the Nurses' Health Study (NHS), Black Women's Health Study

(BWHS), Study of Women Across the Nation (SWAN) for menstrual irregularity. Ascertainment

of PCOS was limited in comparison to the survey we developed. However, they served as an

important foundation for identifying the current gap in ascertaining information about self-reported

androgen excess in women.

Implications of Cognitive Testing: Cognitive testing allows researchers to be more

confident that survey respondents understand questions the way researchers intend. Its use in

questions relating to critical outcomes of the study may prevent inadvertent errors in questioning

which later need to be adjusted, resulting in missing data and/or improper answering of key

questions. The use of cognitive testing as a survey evaluation tool has become standard practice

by survey researchers when creating a new survey or when using a survey originally created for a

different population. It is likely that many current large-scale cohort studies involving surveys

undergo some form of cognitive evaluation before they go into the field. However, surveys

designed before the 1980s were probably not cognitively tested.[23][24] Thus, when faced with

questions initially designed for the Nurses' Health Study 2 (which began in 1989). Since those

questions were designed for health professionals, they assume the respondents have medical

knowledge and a relatively high education level, an assumption that might be incorrect for the

general population.[25] For example, the rotating shift may have been widely understood when it

was used in the Nurses' Health Study 2.[26] However, it was poorly understood by several of our

respondents during cognitive testing (see example 2). Even for studies that are focused on the same

population, researchers need to consider the changes in population over time. For example, a study

conducted using race/ethnicity questions from the 1900s will not be reflective of the demographics

in the United States in 2020s.[27] Therefore, researchers must consider the implications of using

survey questions that were created in a different time period. Similarly, social and temporal factors

play a major role in determining the boundary conditions in which a cognitively tested tool remains

functional.

Pictorial tools: This is the first survey instrument, to our knowledge, to capture self-

reported androgen excess through a combination of pictorial tools for mFG, alopecia, and acne.

This allows for comprehensive assessment of androgen excess status in diverse populations that

can be used in a large population-based study. Examples 12 and 13 demonstrate that image assisted

questions were generally well comprehended.

Limitations and Strengths: Limitations of this survey instrument include the need to

validate self-reported menstrual cycle characteristics and androgen excess against a gold standard.

This gold standard may be an in-person interview or a medical record based clinical determination

to compare with the self-reported responses. Validation of self-reported features against a gold

standard- medical record validation of PCOS diagnosis, reproductive health conditions, and

androgen excess will be undertaken in future studies.

Additionally, the survey may be limited in its applicability of facial acne images as they

are a Caucasian blend. We originally considered a blend of all races/ethnicities in the 2020 US

census guidelines and were advised that this would result in an unappealing alienoid image for

facial acne. Due to the responses from example 14 (facial acne), we modified the question to

capture acne on the face and back and removed the image. Additionally, respondents experienced

increased complexity with the facial acne image. In regards to the facial hair images, additional

advances in image technology may allow for study images to overlay and fit with the respondents'

race/ethnicity.

This survey instrument has several strengths. We ask about components critical to the

diagnosis of PCOS, including androgen excess and menstrual irregularity. We are able to define

PCOS using a composite of symptoms based on clinical diagnosis rather than using a yes/no

response. This is key to ascertain those with milder forms of the disease in the general population.

Using this new survey instrument, we can assign a PCOS state beyond the binary answers to

menstrual irregularity questions. Furthermore, this survey instrument improves upon existing

cohorts lack of specificity in the question stems asked.

This survey instrument was designed for those with an 8th-grade level English language

proficiency and can be easily shared to facilitate harmonization across cohorts. We have shared

this entire instrument in a genomic study, Genes for Good, to ascertain phenotypes of menstrual

irregularity and androgen excess.[28] This tool is complementary and additional to phenotyping

suggested by the PhenX ToolKit, whose questions are limited to androgen excess in males only at

this time. Other uses include employment in areas of the world that have not had appropriate

ascertainment or studies related to menstrual cycle research. The pictorial tool has already been

shared with researchers leading the Boston University Pregnancy Study Online (PRESTO) to

evaluate hirsutism within a cohort of North American and Danish Women attempting pregnancy.

In summary, this instrument includes targeted questions and images for anthropometrics

and androgen excess, including acne, hirsutism, and alopecia. Its overarching goal is to accurately

ascertain cases of PCOS, many of whom may be misclassified as non-diseased. This survey tool

is being used in the Ovulation and Menstruation Health Pilot Study, an online study of factors

associated with healthy function of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian/uterine axis for ovulation

and menstruation health.

Conclusion

We designed and cognitively tested a questionnaire and pictorial tools to assess the menstrual cycle

and self-reported androgen excess in a diverse population. This tool can be shared across studies

to facilitate ascertainment of PCOS and phenotyping of menstrual disease. Given the long-term

health risks for women with PCOS, it is imperative to have tools to identify affected women for

possible interventions.

Acknowledgements SM would like to acknowledge that much of this work was performed at

Boston University Medical Campus (BUMC). SM would also like to acknowledge the

Departments of OB/GYN at BUMC for their care of diverse populations. SM also acknowledges

Barbara Corkey, PhD, who provided mentorship that was critical to build the research team and

Renee Cannon for illustrating the images in this survey and for granting one- time US right re-use

for journal publication.

Data Availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article or in the data

repositories listed in References.

References

1   Sheehan MT. Polycystic ovarian syndrome: diagnosis and management. *Clin Med Res*
2004;**2**:13-27.
2   Charalampakis V, Tahrani AA, Helmy A*, et al.* Polycystic ovary syndrome and
endometrial hyperplasia: an overview of the role of bariatric surgery in female fertility. *Eur J*
Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2016;**207**:220-6.
3   Aziz M, Naver KV, Wissing ML*, et al.* Polycystic ovary syndrome: infertility,
cardiovascular, metabolic and obstetrical risks, laboratory and clinical outcomes -- the PICOLO
Study. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2012;**28**:253-8.
4   Legro RS, Arslanian SA, Ehrmann DA*, et al.* Diagnosis and treatment of polycystic ovary
syndrome: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*
2013;**98**:4565-92.

5   Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL*, et al.* Prevalence and trends in obesity among US
adults, 1999-2008. *JAMA* 2010;**303**:235-41.
6   Wild S, Pierpoint T, McKeigue P*, et al.* Cardiovascular disease in women with polycystic
ovary syndrome at long-term follow-up: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*
2000;**52**:595-600.
7   Cerda C, Perez-Ayuso RM, Riquelme A*, et al.* Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in women
with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Hepatol* 2007;**47**:412-7.
8   Chavarro JE, Rich-Edwards JW, Gaskins AJ*, et al.* Contributions of the Nurses' Health
Studies to Reproductive Health Research. *Am J Public Health* 2016;**106**:1669-76.
9   Mahalingaiah S, Winter MR, Aschengrau A. Association of prenatal and early life
exposure to tetrachloroethylene (PCE) with polycystic ovary syndrome and other reproductive
disorders in the cape cod health study: A retrospective cohort study. *Reprod Toxicol* 2016;**65**:87-
94.
10   Mahalingaiah S, Sun F, Cheng JJ*, et al.* Cardiovascular risk factors among women with
self-reported infertility. *Fertil Res Pract* 2017;**3**:7.
11   Group REA-SPCW. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health
risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril* 2004;**81**:19-25.
12   Fraser IS, Critchley HO, Broder M*, et al.* The FIGO recommendations on terminologies
and definitions for normal and abnormal uterine bleeding. *Semin Reprod Med* 2011;**29**:383-90.
13   Mahalingaiah S. The Ovulation and Menstruation Study Report on Cognitive Testing of
Survey Instrument, July 5, 2017. Harvard Dataverse 2020.
14   FERRIMAN D, GALLWEY JD. Clinical assessment of body hair growth in women. *J Clin
Endocrinol Metab* 1961;**21**:1440-7.
15   DeUgarte CM, Woods KS, Bartolucci AA*, et al.* Degree of facial and body terminal hair
growth in unselected black and white women: toward a populational definition of hirsutism. *J Clin
Endocrinol Metab* 2006;**91**:1345-50.
16   Cheewadhanaraks S, Peeyananjarassri K, Choksuchat C. Clinical diagnosis of hirsutism in
Thai women. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2004;**87**:459-63.
17   Yildiz BO, Bolour S, Woods K*, et al.* Visually scoring hirsutism. *Hum Reprod Update*
2010;**16**:51-64.
18   Bode D, Seehusen DA, Baird D. Hirsutism in women. *Am Fam Physician* 2012;**85**:373-80.
19   Gupta M, Mysore V. Classifications of Patterned Hair Loss: A Review. *J Cutan Aesthet
Surg* 2016;**9**:3-12.
20   Fowler FJ. *Survey Research Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications 2014.
21   Fowler FJJ. *Improving Survey Questions: Design and Evaluation*. Thousand Oaks, CA:
Sage Publications Inc. 1995.
22   PhenX Toolkit. phenxtoolkit.org: RTI International 2019.
23   Beatty PC, Willis GB. The Practice of Cognitive Interviewing. *The Public Opinion
Quarterly* 2007;**71**:287-311.
24   Willis GB. *Cognitive Interviewing: A Tool for Improving Questionnaire Design*. Thousand
Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc 2004.
25   Bao Y, Bertoia ML, Lenart EB*, et al.* Origin, Methods, and Evolution of the Three Nurses'
Health Studies. *Am J Public Health* 2016;**106**:1573-81.
26   Vetter C, Devore EE, Wegrzyn LR*, et al.* Association Between Rotating Night Shift Work
and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease Among Women. *JAMA* 2016;**315**:1726-34.

27   What Census Calls Us: A Historical Timeline. Pew Research Center: Social Trends: Pew
Research Center 2015.
28   Genes for Good: For Researchers. genesforgood.sph.umich.edu: University of Michigan:
School of Public Health 2019.
507

508 **Table 1 References:**

509 Questionnaires: Nurses' Health Study. Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School,
510 Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health.
511 https://www.nurseshealthstudy.org/participants/questionnaires. Published 2016. Accessed.
512
513 FHS Exam Forms. The Framingham Heart Study: Boston University and the National Heart,
514 Lung, and Blood Institute. https://www.framinghamheartstudy.org/fhs-for-researchers/list-of-
515 exam-forms/. Published 2020. Accessed.
516
517 Mahalingaiah S, Winter MR, Aschengrau A. Association of prenatal and early life exposure to
518 tetrachloroethylene (PCE) with polycystic ovary syndrome and other reproductive disorders in
519 the cape cod health study: A retrospective cohort study. *Reprod Toxicol.* 2016;65:87-94.
520
521 Genes for Good: For Researchers. University of Michigan: School of Public Health.
522 https://genesforgood.sph.umich.edu/about_study/for_researchers. Published 2019. Accessed.
523
524 Women's Health Initiative Forms. Women's Health Initative.
525 https://www.whi.org/researchers/studydoc/SitePages/Forms.aspx. Accessed.
526
527 Black Women's Health Study Questionnaires. Boston University Slone Epidemiology Center.
528 https://www.bu.edu/bwhs/for-researchers/sample-bwhs-questionnaires/. Accessed.
529
530 Growing Up Today Study: Questionnaires. Channing Laboratory.
531 https://nhs2survey.org/gutswordpress/index.php/previous-questionnaires/. Accessed.
532