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Abstract:

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in Republic of Korea has caused 3736 cases and 18 deaths by 1 March 2020. We modeled the transmission process in Republic of Korea with a stochastic model and estimated the basic reproduction number $R_0$ as 2.6 (95%CI: 2.3-2.9) and 3.2 (95%CI: 2.9-3.5), under the assumption that the exponential growth starting 31 January and 5 February, 2020, respectively. Estimates of dispersion term ($k$) were larger than 10 significantly, which implies few super-spreading events..
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1. Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) first emerged in Wuhan, China in the end of 2019 and spread to more than 60 foreign countries as of 1 March 2020 [1]. On 20 January 2020, the first imported COVID-19 case was detected in Republic of Korea, the epidemic curve appeared steadily until 15 February. In the second half of February, the number of reported cases increased rapidly with more than 1200 cases a week. As of 1 March 2020, there were 3736 cases confirmed including 18 deaths [1]. To date, there are 7169 confirmed cases in the world except China, which means that nearly half of cases outside China are from Republic of Korea [1]. In this study, we modelled the early outbreak of COVID-19 in Republic of Korea and estimated the basic reproduction number under different transmission starting date.

2. Methods

We collect time series of reported COVID-19 cases in Republic of Korea from 20 January to 1 March 2020 [1]. Following [2,3], we assumed that number of secondary cases associated with a primary COVID-19 case follows a negative binomial (NB) distribution, with mean $R_0$ and dispersion parameter $k$ [3]. Here, the $R_0$ is the basic reproduction number of COVID-19. The $k$ measures the likelihood of the superspreading events, and a larger $k$ means the superspreading is less likely to occur. The onset date of each secondary case is the summation of the onset date of the primary case ($t$) plus the serial interval (SI). In this work, the SI was assumed as a Gamma distribution with a 4.5-day mean and a 3.1-day standard deviation (SD) [4-7]. The transmission process was simulated stochastically.

Since the number of early reported cases are stable, which appears no sign of the outbreak in January 2020, we consider the self-sustaining transmission might start since February 2020. Hence, we simulated the transmission starting on

- 31 January 2020, and
- 5 February 2020
with one seed infection. The $R_0$ and $k$ were estimated by the maximum likelihood estimates approach that fit the reported cases with Poisson-distributed likelihood framework as follows.

$$I(\lambda) = \log L(\lambda) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log f(n_t; R_0, k).$$

Here, the $l(\cdot)$ is the overall log-likelihood and $T$ is the total number of days since the start of transmission. The $n_t$ represents number of cases reported on $t$-th day. We calculated 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) by using the profile likelihood estimation approach determined by a Chi-square quantile.

3. Results and discussion

In Table 1, we estimated the $R_0$ at 2.6 (95% CI: 2.3–2.9) and 3.2 (95% CI: 2.9–3.5) with the transmission starting date on 31 January and 5 February 2020 respectively. Estimates of dispersion term ($k$) were larger than 10 significantly, which was consistent with [3] and suggested the unlikelihood of superspreading events.

Fig 1 showed that the fitting results matched the observed number of cases well. Our estimated $R_0$ in Republic of Korea is relatively higher than that in Shim et al.’s [8], which set the transmission starting date on 20 January 2020 and the onset date data were considered. Here we use laboratory confirmation date data which covered both symptomatic and asymptomatic cases. In addition, the $R_0$ estimate was largely consistent with those estimates based on the epidemic curve in China [9,10]. If there were evidences suggesting that the exponential growth started earlier, we note that the $R_0$ estimate would decrease. Public activities in the late date and cold weather in our study period could have speed up the transmission which explains the higher estimates.

The ongoing COVID-19 outbreak in Republic of Korea could be amplified due to large-scale gathering activities [11]. Without public health control or self-protective measures, the epidemic was likely to grow in a relatively large rate. The $R_0$ estimate and the rapid growth of epidemic curves both indicates the disease transmissibility. Thus, control measures as well as self-administered protective actions are crucial to reduce the transmissibility of COVID-19 and thus mitigate the outbreak size and
prevent for further burden. Given the superspreading is unlikely to occur, we can be confident to control the COVID-19 outbreak by reducing the reproduction number to below unity.

**Table 1.** The summary of the basic reproduction number and dispersion parameter estimates under different starting transmission date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>transmission starting date</th>
<th>$R_0$</th>
<th>dispersion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 January</td>
<td>2.6 (2.3, 2.9)</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 February</td>
<td>3.2 (2.9, 3.5)</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The observed (dots) and fitted (curves) number of COVID-19 cases in Republic of Korea. Panels (a) and (b) show the results with the transmission starting date on 31 January and 5 February 2020 respectively. In both panels, the grey curves are 1000 simulations, the blue bold curve is the simulation median, and the blue dashed curves are the 95%CI.
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