The potential role of IL-6 in monitoring coronavirus disease 2019

Tao Liu<sup>1</sup>\*, Jieying Zhang<sup>1</sup>\*, Yuhui Yang<sup>1</sup>\*, Liling Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Hong Ma<sup>1</sup>, Zhengyu Li<sup>1</sup>, Jiaoyue Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Ji Cheng<sup>4</sup>, Xiaoyu Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Gang Wu<sup>2#</sup>, Jianhua Yi<sup>2#</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Cancer center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and

Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong

University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.

<sup>5</sup>Liver intensive care unint, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

\* <sup>#</sup> These authors contribute equally to this work.

Abstract.

Background:

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan City, China spreads rapidly since December, 2019. Most patients show mild symptoms, but some of them develop into severe disease. There is currently no specific medication. The purpose of this study is to explore changes of markers in peripheral blood of severe COVID-19 patients, which may be of value in disease monitoring.

Methods: Clinical data of patients with nonsevere and severe type COVID-19 diagnosed by laboratory test in our institution were collected. The relationship between peripheral blood cells and cytokines, clinical manifestation and outcome was analyzed.

Results: A total of 69 severe type COVID-19 patients were included. On admission, the median age of severe cases was 56-year old, with 52.17% of female patient. The most common symptoms were fever (79.72%), coughing (63.77%), shortness of breath (57.97%) and fatigue (50.72%). Diarrhea is less common. The most common comorbidity is hypertension. Upon admission, the

> proportion of bilateral pulmonary involvement or interstitial pneumonia evidenced by CT imaging in severe cases was 60.87% and 27.54%, respectively. Compared with patients with nonsevere disease, those with severe disease showed lymphocytopenia. Elevated level of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), C-reactive protein (CRP), ferritin and D-dimer was found in most cases. Two patients (2.9%) needed transfer to the intensive care unit. Baseline immunological parameters and most of the inflammatory parameters were basically within the normal range. However, baseline IL-6 was significantly increased in severe type which is closely related to the maximal body temperature during hospitalization and CT findings. Baseline IL-6 was also significantly related to the increase of baseline level of CRP, LDH, ferritin and D-dimer. The increase of baseline IL-6 level suggests that it may positively correlate with the severity of COVID-19. Among the 30 severe type patient whose level of IL-6 was assessed before and after treatment, significant decrease in IL-6 and improved CT assessment was found in 25 patients after treatment. Whereas the IL-6 level was further increased in 3 cases, which was closely related to the progression of pneumonia. It is suggested that IL-6 may be used as a biomarker for disease monitoring in severe COVID-19 patients.

> Conclusion: On admission, the baseline level of IL-6, CRP, LDH and ferritin was closely related to the severity of COVID-19, and the high level of IL-6 was significantly related to the clinical manifestation of severe type patients. The decrease of IL-6 was closely related to treatment effectiveness, while the increase of IL-6 indicated disease progression. Collectively, the dynamic change of IL-6 level can be used as a marker for disease monitoring in patients with severe COVID-19.

Key words: Coronavirus disease 2019, interleukin-6.

In early December, 2019, the first case of pneumonia of unknown origin was reported in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China, which was highly contagious and rapidly spread nationwide and worldwide<sup>1-3</sup>. A new  $\beta$ -coronavirus was identified by high-throughput sequencing and it is named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) which shared phylogenetic similarity to the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) that caused the outbreak of SARS in 2003<sup>1, 4, 5</sup>. Disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 was then officially named

coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) by World Health Organization (WHO). Analysis of the epidemiological pattern curve of COVID-19 showed that the overall epidemic pattern was aggregation outbreak. Most cases were mild and curable, and the overall crude mortality was low<sup>1</sup>. However, patients with severe disease showed respiratory dysfunction and high mortality rate<sup>1, 3</sup>. By February 16, 2020, a total number of 57934 cases were reported in mainland China, including 10644 severe cases. As the outbreak area of COVID-19, the total number of confirmed cases in Hubei is 49847, with 9797 (19.6%) severe cases and an overall mortality rate of 3.4%. The disease incidence and mortality rate of severe COVID-19 in Hubei is relatively high as compared with other areas in China.

At present, the monitoring of severe cases mainly rely on the observation of clinical manifestation, since there is no effective marker nor medication<sup>3, 6</sup>. Previous studies have suggested that lymphocytopenia and inflammatory cytokine storm are typical abnormalies in infections caused by highly pathogenic coronavirus, such as SARS and MERS, and are considered disease severity related<sup>7-10</sup>. Similarly, recent studies on COVID-19 patients have reported a decrease in peripheral blood lymphocyte count and an increase in serum inflammatory cytokines<sup>2, 11, 12</sup>. A better understanding of the clinical features of COVID-19 is urgently needed in order to screen out reliable markers for inflammation monitoring and effective medication. According to previous relevant literature on viral pneumonia and the current clinical treatment experience on severe type of COVID-19, it is suggested that the storm of inflammatory factors may be the main reason for rapid disease progression and poor treatment response<sup>7, 8, 13</sup>. In this study by collecting data of laboratory confirmed severe cases, we tried to analyze the clinical features and inflammatory markers in patients with severe type COVID-19 in Wuhan City to explore biomarkers for disease monitoring and treatment screening.

## Methods.

### Data collection.

COVID-19 was diagnosed in accordance with the WHO interim guidance. A confirmed case of COVID-19 was defined as positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid on high-throughput sequencing or real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays of nasal and

> pharyngeal swab specimens. Only laboratory-confirmed cases were included in this study, while disease diagnosed based on clinical presentation and imaging findings, but not on SARS-CoV-2 detection, were excluded. The severity of COVID-19 is classified according to the Diagnosis and Treatment Plan of Pneumonia Infected by Novel Coronavirus (trial Fifth Edition) of the Health and Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Severe case is defined when any of the following criteria is met: 1. shortness of breath,  $RR \ge 30$  times / min; 2. the oxygen saturation is less than 93% in resting state; 3. arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) / oxygen concentration (FiO2)  $\leq$  300mmHg (1 mmHg=0.133kPa). If the pulmonary lesion progressed more than 50% within 24 to 48 hours as evidenced by radiologic assessment, clinical management should be complied with the severe type. We collected data of 69 patients with severe type COVID-19 between January 21 and February 16, 2020 hospitalized in the Department of Infectious Diseases, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. A retrospective study on the clinical characteristics and laboratory examination was conducted. 11 nonsevere COVID-19 patients were included for comparison. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Written consent was obtained from patients before the enrollment.

> The clinical symptoms, physical signs and results of laboratory examination of patients were recorded. Radiologic evaluation included chest CT scan. Laboratory tests included baseline whole blood cell count, blood chemistry, coagulation test, C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), ferritin, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), creatine kinase (CK), and lymphocyte subset and cytokine profile analysis. Follow up on cytokine profile was conducted in 30 severe type patients after treatment. Treatment plan are recorded. Time lapse from the occurrence of symptoms to the diagnosis of pneumonia, and the time lapse from the diagnosis of pneumonia to discharge are recorded.

### Laboratory diagnosis

Nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens were collected and placed into a collection tube containing preservation solution for the virus<sup>2</sup>. COVID-19 was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR assay for SARS-CoV-2 by the viral nucleic acid detection kit according to the manufacturer's protocol

(Shanghai bio-germ Medical Technology Co Ltd). Laboratory confirmation of the COVID-19 was performed by local CDC according to Chinese CDC protocol. Specimens, including sputum or alveolar lavatory fluid, blood, urine, and feces, were cultured to identify potential bacterial and/or fungal infection that may accompany with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### Flow cytometry and ELISA detection

The lymphocyte test kit (Beckman Coulter Inc., FL, USA) was used for lymphocyte subset analysis. Plasma cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-6,IL-10, tumour Necrosis Factor (TNF)- $\alpha$  and Interferon(IFN)- $\gamma$ ) were detected with human Th1/2 cytokine kit II (BD Ltd., Franklin lakes, NJ, USA). All tests were performed according to the product manual.

#### Statistic analysis

Continuous variables were described as means and standard deviations, or medians and interquartile range (IQR) values. Categorical variables were expressed as counts and percentages. Continuous variables were compared by using the Mann Whitney U tests. Proportions for categorical variables

were compared using the chi-square tests and Fisher's exact tests as appropriate. Correlations were determined by Spearman rank correlation analysis and Kendall correlation analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism (version 5.0) software and SPSS 26.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics 26.0). The significance threshold was set at a P<0.05.

# Results

In this study, a total of 69 severe cases were included. The median age was 56. Female accounted for 52.17% of the enrolled cases. The smoking rate was 11.59%. Fever (79.72%), coughing (63.77%), shortness of breath (57.97%) and fatigue (50.72%) are the most common symptoms, while diarrhea (15.94%), vomiting (7.25%) and sore throat (5.80%) are relatively rare. 36.23% of patients had at least one comorbidity (hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease, hepatitis B virus infection), and there were 4 cases of tumor. Another 11 nonsevere cases were also enrolled (Table 1).

> The most common CT findings in severe cases upon admission are bilateral patchy shadow (60.87%) and interstitial pneumonia (27.54%), while the common type mainly manifested as focal ground glass opacity and patchy shadow (54.5%) (Table 2). Baseline neutrocytopenia, lymphocytopenia and thrombocytopenia were observed in 13.04%, 79.71% and 24.64% of the severe type patients upon admission, respectively (Table 2) and lymphocyte count was significantly less than the nonsevere cases (Figure 1. A). Creatine kinase increased in 14.49% of the cases, while increased level of alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase was more common and both was detected in 37.68% of the cases (Table 2). Baseline inflammatory level, PCT change is not obvious. Most patients showed increased level of D-dimer and ESR, and significantly increased level of LDH, CRP and ferittin (Figure 1. B). 7 patients developed acute respiratory distress syndrome and 1 got septic shock (Table3). 89.86% of the severe type patients was given antibiotics, 63.77% was given antiviral therapy (20.29% with Oseltamivir, 52.17% with Arbidol), 42.03% was given glucocorticoids, 50.72% was given human immunoglobulin and 7.25% was given Klitsch (Table 3). Most of the severe type patients needed oxygen therapy, 27.54% needed high-flow oxygen therapy, non-invasive ventilator therapy and invasive ventilator therapy (Table 3). For clinical outcome of the enrolled patients with severe disease, 53.62% were cured, 3 patients (4.35%) needed transfer to the intensive care unit, 46.38% were still hospitalized, and there was no death case (Table 3). Compared with patients with nonsevere COVID-19, the time from symptom onset to initial diagnosis and the time from symptom onset to pneumonia of patients with severe disease was shorter, but it was not significant (P > 0.05) (Figure 2. A,B). There was no significant difference in the time from symptom onset to treatment, and the time from developing pneumonia to recovery in patients with severe disease was longer (P > 0.05) (Figure 2.C, D).

Among the immunological findings, the baseline proportion of  $CD4^+$  T cells,  $CD8^+$  T cells, B cells, natural killer (NK) cells, and the  $CD4^+$  T cells/CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells ratio are basically within the normal range (Figure 1. C). The baseline level of IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, TNF-  $\alpha$  and IFN-  $\gamma$  was within normal range, while IL-10 was slightly increased and IL-6 was increased significantly in severe cases than the nonsevere cases (Figure 1. D). The baseline high IL-6 level was positively

correlated with bilateral and interstitial pulmonary involvement (r=0.453, P=0.001). Meanwhile, the baseline IL-6 levels was closely related to the maximal body temperature during hospitalization (r=0.521,P=0.00) (Figure 3. A), and it is also significantly related to the increase of CRP (r=0.781, P=0.001), LDH (r=0.749, P=0.001), ferritin (r=0.606, P=0.001) and D-dimer (r=0.679, P=0.001) (Figure 3. B-E). The increase of baseline IL-6 suggested that it was positively correlated with the severity of COVID-19. We found the tendency showing that the lower the IL-6 level, the shorter the time lapse from diagnosis to cure (r=0.049, P=0.763), whereas the higher the IL-6 level, the shorter the time lapse from symptom onset to pneumonia diagnosis (r= - 0.116, P=0.345), although there is no significant difference. The higher level of IL-6 related to glucocorticords (r=0.301, P=0.001), human immunoglobulin (r=0.147, P=0.118), high flow oxygen inhalation (r=0.251, P=0.007), ventilator therapy (r=0.223, P=0.017).

Among the 30 patients whose IL-6 was assessed before and after treatment, 26 patients showed significantly decreased IL-6 level and improved CT assessments after treatment (Figure 4. A). Disease of the other 4 patients progressed continuously. Among them, 3 patients showed persistent increase of IL-6 (Figure 4. B), while the change in IL-6 in 1 patient was not obvious. For this patient, there was an increase in PCT level, and the progression of lung disease was confirmed as bacterial, but not viral pneumonia (Figure 4. C). Finally, as shown in Figure 5, with the remission of disease, IL-6 decreased significantly, D-dimer and CRP, LDH also decreased significantly, and all of them were associated with the resolution of clinical manifestation and CT images.

## Discussion

This study shows that most of the severe cases of COVID-19 have the initial symptoms of fever, coughing, shortness of breath and fatigue, while diarrhea is not common. Compared with the nonsevere cases, the imaging findings mainly involve bilateral and interstitial pulmonary changes. In patients with severe disease, more intensive and supportive treatment, including glucocorticoid, human immunoglobulin, interferon- $\alpha$ , antibiotics, antiviral therapy (Oseltamivir and Abidol) and oxygen therapy, was given and relieved symptoms were observed in most cases. The time from initial symptoms to pneumonia in severe COVID-19 patients is shorter, the median recovery time is longer, and the onset of severe type is more rapid than the nonsevere type.

> Previous studies have suggested that lymphocytopenia and inflammatory cytokine storm are associated with the severity of highly pathogenic coronavirus infection<sup>3, 7, 8, 14, 15</sup>. Cytokines are signaling peptides, proteins, or glycoproteins that are secreted by many cell types, including immune, epithelial, endothelial, and smooth muscle cells. Cytokines allow context-dependent communication within the body<sup>13</sup>. If the interactions that lead to cytokine production are destabilized, a "cytokine storm" can result, producing unbridled inflammation within tissues and key organs.<sup>13</sup> Cytokine storms are associated with sepsis and septic shock, influenza, acute respiratory distress, and toxic response to medication and so on<sup>7, 10, 15</sup>. IL-1, IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-a have the highest degree of variability in response to uncertain initial conditions, exogenous effects, and parameter estimates. They have been implicated in the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic, the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak, and the H5N1 avian influenza infections firstly recognized in 1987<sup>7, 15, 16</sup>. Similarly, recent studies on COVID-19 patients have also reported a decrease in peripheral blood lymphocyte count and an increase in serum inflammatory cytokine levels 2, 11. Cytokine storms, that is, inflammatory storms which can quickly cause single or multiple organ failure and ultimately can be life-threatening, are considered to be an important cause of death in patients with severe COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 infection can rapidly activate pathogenic T cells and produce granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and IL-6. GM-CSF will further activate CD14<sup>+</sup>CD16<sup>+</sup> inflammatory monocytes and produce more IL-6 and other inflammatory factors, resulting in a cytokine storm that causes severe immune damage to the lungs and other organs<sup>17</sup>.

> Results of this study suggest that compared with the common type, the changes in immunological parameters and cytokine level in severe disease are inconspicuous. There was only mild variation in IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, TNF-a, IFN-γlevel before and after treatment, all of which fluctuated within the normal range. Elevated CRP, ferritin, IL-6 and LDH are associated with longer treatment period and more intensive treatment, which included glucocorticoids, human immunoglobulin, stronger antibiotics, high flow oxygen inhalation or ventilator. Collectively, it showed that the abovementioned parameters were closely related to disease severity. Further analysis showed that the changes in IL-6 were closely related to the disease. In this study, CRP, ferritin and IL-6, LDH decreased significantly after cure, while as the disease progressed, IL-6 was further increased and

pulmonary lesions deteriorate as evidenced by CT scan, suggesting that IL-6 may be a valuable candidate for disease monitoring. Among the cases included, only 1 severe case showed low IL-6 level as disease progressed. In this case, to our interest, the lung progression was caused by bacterial infection, but not viral infection, which might suggest the specificity of IL-6 in COVID-19.

IL-6 is synthesized by a variety of cells in the lung parenchyma, including the alveolar macrophages, type II pneumocytes, T lymphocytes, and lung fibroblasts. IL-6 is a pleiotropic cytokine important in regulating immunologic and inflammatory responses. IL-6 has been further implicated in regulating immune response, autoimmune mechanisms, and acute phase responses<sup>10</sup>, <sup>18</sup>. IL-6 being an acute phase inflammatory cytokine suggests that measurement of circulating IL-6 can reflect the inflammatory state of the lung<sup>8, 18</sup>. This is supported by the often observed increase of IL-6 in acute respiratory distress syndrome, and in patients with acute complications of lung transplantation<sup>10, 12, 19, 20</sup>. Our patients with COVID-19 demonstrated higher circulating level of IL-6 during and immediately after diagnosis, a finding that supports a shared mechanism of cytokine-mediated lung injury caused by infectious agents. However the mechanism for IL-6 involvement in viral pneumonitis deserves further investigation. Siltuximab and tocilizumab are monoclonal antibodies (mAb) targeted against IL-6 and its receptor (IL6R)<sup>21, 22</sup>. Both siltuximab and tocilizumab have been used to treat cytokine release syndrome following CAR-gaT therapy. Since we have found that IL-6 may be related to COVID-19 severity, we suggest that targeting IL-6 may ameliorate cytokine storm-related symptoms in severe COVID-19 cases<sup>22, 23</sup>.

In conclusion, severe COVID-19 patients require more intensive treatment, and the prognosis is relatively poor. IL-6 is positively correlated with disease severity, since it decreases with the remission and increases with the aggravation of the disease. Therefore, IL-6 may be an ideal marker of the disease. However, the relationship between IL-6 and the pathogenesis of COVID-19 remains elusive. IL-6 and IL6R antibodies may be effective in treating inflammatory cytokine storm during disease progression.

# Contributors

TL and JHY had the idea for and designed the study and had full access to all data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. JYZ, YHY,

LLZ, HM, ZYL contributed to writing of the report. GW and JHY contributed to critical revision of the report. TL, JYZ, XYZ and JC contributed to the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to data acquisition, data analysis, or data interpretation, and reviewed and approved the final version.

### **Declaration of interests**

All authors declare no competing interests.

### Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81602696 to

TL) and Science and Technology Major Project of China (No.2018ZX10302204-002-003).

## Reference:

1. Guan W-j, Ni Z-y, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in China. *medRxiv* 2020.

2. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): 497-506.

3. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 2020.

4. Luk HK, Li X, Fung J, Lau SK, Woo PC. Molecular epidemiology, evolution and phylogeny of SARS coronavirus. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 2019.

5. Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 2020: 1-4.

6. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Jama* 2020.

7. Gupta KK, Khan MA, Singh SK. Constitutive Inflammatory Cytokine Storm: A Major Threat to Human Health. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* 2020; **40**(1): 19-23.

8. Lucena-Silva N, Torres LC, Luna CF, de Barros Correia J, da Silva GAP. The balance between the serum levels of IL-6 and IL-10 cytokines discriminates mild and severe acute pneumonia. *BMC pulmonary medicine* 2016; **16**(1): 170.

9. Rose-John S, Winthrop K, Calabrese L. The role of IL-6 in host defence against infections: immunobiology and clinical implications. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* 2017; **13**(7): 399.

10. Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T. IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 2014; **6**(10): a016295.

11. Liu J, Li S, Liu J, et al. Longitudinal characteristics of lymphocyte responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. 2020.

12. Magnan A, Mege J-L, Escallier J-C, et al. Balance between alveolar macrophage IL-6 and TGF-beta

in lung-transplant recipients. Marseille and Montréal Lung Transplantation Group. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 1996; **153**(4): 1431-6.

13. Yiu HH, Graham AL, Stengel RF. Dynamics of a cytokine storm. PloS one 2012; 7(10).

14. Liu Y, Sun W, Li J, et al. Clinical features and progression of acute respiratory distress syndrome in coronavirus disease 2019. *medRxiv* 2020.

15. Channappanavar R, Perlman S. Pathogenic human coronavirus infections: causes and consequences of cytokine storm and immunopathology. Seminars in immunopathology; 2017: Springer; 2017. p. 529-39.

16. Saito LB, Diaz-Satizabal L, Evseev D, et al. IFN and cytokine responses in ducks to genetically similar H5N1 influenza A viruses of varying pathogenicity. *The Journal of general virology* 2018; **99**(4): 464.

17. Zhou Y, Fu B, Zheng X, et al. Aberrant pathogenic GM-CSF+ T cells and inflammatory CD14+ CD16+ monocytes in severe pulmonary syndrome patients of a new coronavirus. *bioRxiv* 2020.

18. Chen Y, Rubin P, Williams J, Hernady E, Smudzin T, Okunieff P. Circulating IL-6 as a predictor of radiation pneumonitis. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology\* Biology\* Physics* 2001; **49**(3): 641-8.

19. Maus U, Rosseau S, Knies U, Seeger W, Lohmeyer J. Expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines by flow-sorted alveolar macrophages in severe pneumonia. *European Respiratory Journal* 1998; **11**(3): 534-41.

20. Wang W-K, Chen S-Y, Liu I-J, et al. Temporal Relationship of Viral Load, Ribavirin, Interleukin (IL)—6, IL-8, and Clinical Progression in Patients with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. *Clinical infectious diseases* 2004; **39**(7): 1071-5.

21. Van Rhee F, Wong RS, Munshi N, et al. Siltuximab for multicentric Castleman's disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *The lancet oncology* 2014; **15**(9): 966-74.

22. Emery P, Keystone E, Tony H, et al. IL-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab improves treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumour necrosis factor biologicals: results from a 24-week multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2008; **67**(11): 1516-23.

23. Norelli M, Camisa B, Barbiera G, et al. Monocyte-derived IL-1 and IL-6 are differentially required for cytokine-release syndrome and neurotoxicity due to CAR T cells. *Nature medicine* 2018; **24**(6): 739-48.

	All patients	Nonsevere	Severe	D value
	(n=80)	(n=11)	(n=69)	r value
Age (year)-median	53.00	31.00	56.00	< 0.001
(range)	(26.00-86.00)	(26.00-58.00)	(27.00-86.00)	
Gender-				10.027
No·/total No· (%)				< 0.03 /
Male	34/80 (42.50)	1/11 (9.09)	33/69 (47.83)	
Female	46/80 (57.50)	10/11 (90.91)	36/69 (52.17)	
Smoking history-				0.501
No·/total No· (%)				0.391
Non-smoker	72/80 (90.00)	11/11 (100.00)	61/69 (88.41)	
Smoker	8/80 (10.00)	0/11 (0.00)	8/69 (11.59)	
Respiratory				
symptoms-No·/total				
No· (%)				
Fever on admission	64/80 (80.00)	9/11 (81.82)	55/69 (79.72)	0.808
Body temperature on				
admission ( $\Box$ )				
< 37.5	17/80 (21.25)	2/11 (18.18)	15/69 (21.74)	
37.5-38.0	19/80 (23.75)	4/11 (36·36)	15/69 (21.74)	
38.1-39.0	38/80 (47.50)	5/11 (45.45)	33/69 (47.83)	
>39.0	6/80 (7.50)	0/11 (0.00)	6/69 (8.70)	
Fever during hospitalization (%)	46/80 (57.50)	2/11 (18.18)	44/69 (63.77)	0.012
Conjunctival congestion	1/80 (1.25)	0/11 (0.00)	1/69 (1.45)	1.000

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of severe type COVID-19 patients

Nasal congestion	5/80 (6.25)	0/11 (0.00)	5/69 (7.25)	1.000
Headache	8/80 (10.00)	0/11 (0.00)	8/69 (11.59)	0.516
Cough	53/80 (66-25)	9/11 (81.82)	44/69 (63.77)	0.405
Sore throat	8/80 (10.00)	4/11 (36.36)	4/69 (5.80)	0.009
Expectoration	15/80 (18.75)	1/11 (9.09)	14/69 (20.29)	0.640
Fatigue	36/80 (45.00)	1/11 (9.09)	35/69 (50.72)	0.024
Hemoptysis	2/80 (2.50)	2/11 (18.18)	0/69 (0.00)	0.017
Shortness of breath	44/80 (55.00)	4/11 (36.36)	40/69 (57.97)	0.312
Nausea or vomiting	7/80 (8.75)	2/11 (18.18)	5/69 (7.25)	0.245
Diarrhea	15/80 (18.75)	4/11 (36.36)	11/69 (15.94)	0.232
Myalgia or arthralgia	12/80 (15.00)	0/11 (0.00)	12/69 (17.39)	0.296
Shivering	13/80 (16-25)	0/11 (0.00)	13/69 (18.84)	0.257
Physical signs-				
No·/total No· (%)				
Throat congestion	3/80 (3.75)	0/11 (0.00)	3/69 (4.35)	1.000
Coexisting				
disorders-No·/total				
No· (%)				
Any	28/80 (35.00)	3/11 (27.27)	25/69 (36-23)	0.812
Diabetes	11/80 (13.75)	0/11 (0.00)	11/69 (15.94)	0.340
Hypertension	14/80 (17.50)	0/11 (0.00)	14/69 (20.29)	0.223
Coronary heart	6/20 (7 50)	0/11 (0.00)	6/60 (9 70)	0.590
disease	0/80 (7.30)	0/11 (0.00)	0/09 (8.70)	0.389
Hepatitis B virus	1/20 (1 25)	0/11 (0.00)	1/60(1.45)	1.000
infection	1/00 (1-25)	0/11 (0.00)	1/09 (1.43)	1.000
Cancer <sup>†</sup>	7/80 (8.75)	3/11 (27.27)	4/69 (5.80)	0.051

Data are presented as medians (interquartile ranges, IQR) and n/N (%).

<sup>†</sup> Cancer referred to any malignancy. All cases were stable disease.

P values denoted the comparison between nonsevere and severe cases.

Table 2. Radiographic and laboratory findings of severe type COVID-19 patients

Radiologic and laboratory	All patients	Nonsevere	Severe	D 1
findings	(n=80)	(n=11)	(n=69)	P value
Radiologic findings				
Abnormalities on chest CT-				
No·/total No· (%)				
Ground glass opacity	3/80 (2.50)	3/11 (27.27)	0/69 (0.00)	0.017
Local patchy shadowing	5/80 (6.25)	3/11 (27.27)	2/69 (2.90)	0.017
Bilateral patchy shadowing	47/80 (58.75)	5/11 (45.45)	42/69 (60.87)	0.526
Interstitial abnormalities	19/80 (23.75)	0/11 (0.00)	19/69 (27.54)	0.107
Laboratory				
findings-No·/total No· (%)				
Neutrophil count				

>6·3*10 <sup>9</sup> /L	9/80 (11·25)	0/11 (0.00)	9/69 (13.04)	
1.8-6.3*10 <sup>9</sup> /L	62/80 (77.50)	11/11 (100.00)	51/69 (73.91)	
<1.8*10 <sup>9</sup> /L	9/80 (11·25)	0/11 (0.00)	9/69 (13.04)	
Lymphocyte count				
$< 1.5 * 10^{9}/L$	60/80 (75.00)	5/11 (45.45)	55/69 (79.71)	0.039
Mean ± standard deviation	1·11±0·51	1.61±0.39	1.03±0.48	< 0.001
Platelet count				
< 150*10 <sup>9</sup> /L	17/80 (21.25)	0/11 (0.00)	17/69 (24.64)	0.145
Hemoglobin level (g/dL)	127·10±15·32	127·8±16·13	122·7±7·82	0.314
C-reactive protein level≥10 mg/L	60/80 (75.00)	1/11 (9.09)	59/69 (85.51)	< 0.001
Procalcitonin level ≥0.5 ng/mL	2/80 (2.50)	0/11 (0.00)	2/69 (2.90)	1.000
Lactose dehydrogenase≥250 U/L	46/80 (57.50)	1/11 (9.09)	45/69 (65.22)	0.002
Aspartate aminotransferase				
>40U/L	27/80 (33.75)	1/11 (9.09)	26/69 (37.68)	0.129
Alanine aminotransferase				
>40U/L	27/80 (33.75)	1/11 (9.09)	26/69 (37.68)	0.129
Total bilirubin				
>17·1µmol/L	7/80 (8.75)	1/11 (9.09)	6/69 (8.70)	1.000
Creatinine kinase ≥200U/L	10/80 (12.50)	0/11 (0.00)	10/69 (14.49)	0.390
Creatinine≥133µmol/L	1/80 (1.25)	0/11 (0.00)	1/69 (1.45)	1.000
D-dimer≥0.5mg/L	45/80 (56-25)	0/11 (0.00)	45/69 (65.22)	< 0.001
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h)	40·59±27·2	19·91±23·74	44·58±26·16	0.001
Ferritin (µg/L)	690·20±864·30	155·70±187·3	827·2±916·9	0 <mark>.</mark> 001

Data are presented as medians (interquartile ranges, IQR) and n/N (%).

Plus-minus values are means  $\pm$  SD· P values denoted the comparison between nonsevere and severe cases.

Table 3.Complications, treatment and outcomes of of severe type COVID-19 pneumonia patients

Characteristics	All patients (n=80)	Non-severe (n=11)	Severe (n=69)	P value
Complications-No·/total				
No· (%)				
Septic shock	1/80 (1.25)	0/11 (0.00)	1/69 (1.45)	
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	7/80 (8.75)	0/11 (0.00)	7/69 (10.14)	
Rhabdomyolysis	0/80 (0.00)	0/11 (0.00)	0/69 (0.00)	
Time from symptom				
onset to treatment-days				
Median,	1 00 (1 00 1 00)	1 00 (1 00 5 00)	1 00 (1 00 1 00)	
interquartile range	1.00 (1.00-4.00)	1.00 (1.00-5.00)	1.00 (1.00-4.00)	
Mean $\pm$ standard	2.04.2.67	0.55.4.70	0.02.0.40	0.022
deviation	2·94±3·67	3·55±4·72	$2.83\pm3.48$	0.822
Time from the symptom				
onset to initial				
diagnosis-days				
Median,	4.00 (2.00.7.00)	7.00	4 00 (1 75 7 00)	
interquartile range	4.00 (2.00-7.00)	(2.00-13.00)	4.00 (1.75-7.00)	
Mean $\pm$ standard	5 02 4 44	7 26 5 52	4.02 + 4.1.4	0.141
deviation	5·25 <u>±</u> 4·44	/·30±3·52	4·85±4·14	0.141
Time from the symptom				
onset to				
pneumonia-days				
Median,	4 00 (2 00 7 50)	8.00	4.00 (2.00.7.00)	
interquartile range	4.00 (2.00-7.50)	(2.00-13.00)	4.00 (2.00-7.00)	
Mean $\pm$ standard	5 25 1 46	7 72 5 62	4.00 + 4.11	0.115
deviation	3·33±4·40	/·/3±3·02	4·90±4·11	0.115
Time from developing				
pneumonia to				
Recovered-days				
Median,	18.00	18.00	18.00	
interquartile range	(16.00-23.00)	(12.25-22.50)	(16.00-23.00)	
Mean ± standard	18·95±5·49	18·10±7·48	19·23±4·80	0.615
deviation				
Supportive				
treatment-No·/total				
NO·(%)				
Administration of	73/80 (91.25)	11/11 (100.00)	62/69 (89.86)	0.585
antibiotics				
Administration of	20/80 (25.00)	6/11 (54.55)	14/69 (20.29)	0.039
oseltamıvır				
Administration of	47/80 (58.75)	3/11 (27.27)	44/69 (63.77)	0.044
ribavirin, ganciclovir or				

peramivir				
Administration of	49/80 (61.25)	10/11 (90.91)	39/69 (52.17)	0.066
arbidol				
Administration of	10/90 (12 50)	0/11 (0.00)	10/69 (14.49)	0.390
antifungal medications	10/80 (12-30)			
Use of intravenous	26/80 (45.00)	1/11/0.00	25/60 (50 70)	0.024
immunoglobin	30/80 (43.00)	1/11 (9.09)	55/69 (50·72)	0.024
Administration of	20/20 (26 25)	0/11 (0.00)	20/60 (42.02)	0.019
systemic corticosteroids	29/80 (30-25)	0/11 (0.00)	29/69 (42.03)	
Nebulized inhalation of	70/00 (07 50)	10/11 (00.01)	(0)(0)(9(0))	0.002
interferon	/0/80 (87.50)	10/11 (90-91)	00/09 (80.90)	0.902
Administration of				
Lopina Vilito Nawei	5/80 (6.25)	0/11 (0.00)	5/69 (7.25)	1.000
tablets				
Oxygen therapy	39/80 (48.75)	1/11 (9.09)	38/69 (55.07)	0.007
High-flow nasal	11/00 (12 75)	0/11 (0.00)	11/60 (15 04)	0.240
cannula	11/80 (13-75)	0/11 (0.00)	11/09 (15:94)	0.340
Mechanical ventilation				
Invasive	2/80 (2.50)	0/11 (0.00)	2/69 (2.90)	1.000
Non-invasive	6/80 (7.50)	0/11 (0.00)	6/69 (8.70)	0.589
Intensive care unit	2/00 (2 75)		3/69 (4.35)	1.000
admission	3/80 (3.75)	0/11 (0.00)		
Clinical outcomes				
Death	0/80 (0.00)	0/11 (0.00)	0/69 (0.00)	
Recovered	47/80 (58.75)	10/11 (90.91)	37/69 (53.62)	0.022
Staying in hospital	33/80 (41.25)	1/11 (9.09)	32/69 (46.38)	0.022

Figure 1. (A) Neutrophils and creatinine level from severe type COVID-19patients were in the normal range in this study. Compared with nonsevere cases, lymphocytes decreased while creatine kinase (CK) increased significantly in patients with severe COVID-19. (B) The procalcitonin (PCT) of patients with severe COVID-19 was basically normal, and the level of ESR, Ferritin, CRP,D-Dimer and LDH were significantly increased. (C) Lymphocyte subgroup analysis showed that the proportion of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, B cells, natural kill (NK )cells and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells/CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells ratio fluctuated within the normal range, and there was no significant difference between the two groups. (D) Cytokine profile analysis showed that compared with nonsevere type. IL-2, IL-4, TNF- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\gamma$  were in the normal range

while IL-10 increased slightly and IL-6 increased significantly in patients with severe COVID-19.

Figure 2. Compared with patients with nonsevere COVID-19, (A) the time from symptom onset to initial diagnosis (TFSD) and (B) the time from symptom onset to pneumonia (TFSP) of patients with severe COVID-19 was shorter, but there was no significant difference (P > 0.05). (C) There was no significant difference in time from symptom onset to treatment (TFST), and the (D) time from developing pneumonia to recovery (TFPR) of patients with severe COVID-19 was longer (P > 0.05).

Figure 3. Baseline IL-6 level was correlated with clinical features. (A) The baseline IL-6 level was positively correlated with the maximal body temperature on admission. (B-E)The baseline IL-6 level was positively correlated with CRP, LDH, ferritin and D-dimer.

Figure 4. IL-6 and COVID-19. (A) Compared with the patients with severe COVID-19 before and after treatment, the decrease of IL-6 was positively correlated with the improvement in CT absorption, and the severity of CT images before treatment was correlated with the high level of I-L6. (B) In this study, there were 3 patients showed elevated IL-6 after treatment, and the disease of these patients exacerbated which associated with progression of CT imaging. (C) A 69-year-old female with high fever and dyspnea, and IL-6 increased to 197.39 pg/ml After treatment, IL-6 decreased to 9.47 pg/mL, but the symptoms were not relieved. Chest X-ray showed that pneumonia worsened, CRP increased again, and PCT increased significantly. Follow up sputum culture confirmed the exacerbation was caused by

bacterial infection.

Figure 5. A case of a male 59-year-old COVID-19 patient. (A) This patient was diagnosed with COVID-19 after 5 days of fever, and their body temperature decreased after 2 days of treatment and had stayed normal for 2 weeks. (B) The PCT value was normal throughout the course of disease. (C) The number of lymphocytes in peripheral blood. (D) The curve of the CRP value changes. (E) The IL-6 value was 9.72 pg/mL on the fifth day from symptom onset, while chest CT scan was normal. On the tenth day, IL-6 increased to 40.9 pg/mL, chest CT suggested multiple patchy ground glass opacities in bilateral lungs. On the twenty-fifth day, IL-6 decreased to 3.05mL, CT scan indicated that the bilateral patchy ground glass opacities were smaller than before, and the symptoms of the patients were significantly improved.











Time (days)