

1 The long-term impact on self-reported health, function and comorbidities from lower limb
2 apophysitis: Protocol of a cross-sectional study

3
4 Kasper Krommes¹, Kristian Thorborg¹, Lasse Christensen², Per Hölmich¹

5
6 ¹Sports Orthopedic Research Center – Copenhagen, Orthopedic Department, Amager-Hvidovre,
7 Copenhagen University hospital

8 ²Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark,
9 Odense, Denmark

10
11 April 24th, 2021. Version 1.1. Doi: 10.1101/2020.03.01.20029660

12
13 Contact to corresponding author: Email: kasper.krommes@regionh.dk, twitter: @Krommes

14 Registration identifier: ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04313621

15 Conflict of interest: The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to declare.

16 Author contributions: KK conceptualized and planned the study, and drafted the protocol. KK, LC,
17 KT, and PT revised and approved the protocol.

18
19 Revision history

Version	Date	Amendment
1.1	24-APR-2020	Added identifier for ethical and data approval, and pre-registration. Wording of title changed. Added details to analysis plan. Added secondary objective. Attached statistical code (stata) and link to the survey in Danish.

20
21
22 Abstract

23 Lower limb apophysitis cause long-term pain, decrease in function, and can reduce or completely
24 hinder participation in sports and physical activity. Yet, there is little knowledge on the long-term
25 consequences for health. Our objective with this investigation is to capture self-reported health-
26 status for all adults diagnosed with lower limb apophysitis in the period of 1977 to 2020 in Danish
27 secondary care and compare these data with normative values for the background population. We
28 are therefore conducting a national cross-sectional study based on data from the Danish National
29 Patient Registry. In this protocol we describe the planned methods.

30 Background, rationale, and review of the literature

31 Being physically active during adult life is key for health and prevention of disease, and carries
32 additional benefits during adolescence such as improved academic abilities and cognitive
33 function.^{1–4} Besides somatic advantages, participating in sports fosters meaningful social
34 networks, lowers the risk of criminal activity, drinking, and substance abuse.^{5–7} The levels of
35 physical activity declines during early adolescence, and less than 20% are currently meeting
36 recommendations for moderate to vigorous physical activity or sports participation.⁸
37 As adolescence is a period of increased autonomy, behaviors established during this period could
38 potentially last into adulthood.^{9,10} Several barriers exist for participation in physical activity and
39 sports during adolescence, such as the risk of injury and pain during activity. In line with this, lower
40 limb pain is the most frequent cause for seeking primary care during adolescence¹¹, and up to half
41 of sports-active adolescents regularly take pain medication for injury-related pain.¹² In addition,
42 almost a third of adolescents quitting their sport, reports injuries or pain as the main reason

43
44 Adolescents are specifically susceptible to growth-related overuse injuries in the knee and heel.^{13–}
45 ¹⁷ For early adolescence, when growth velocity peaks (ages 10-16),¹⁸ the most common growth-
46 related injuries are apophysitis in the lower limb; *Morbus Severs* in the heel, and *Morbus Sinding*
47 *Larsen Johansson* and *Morbus Osgood Schlatter* in the knee.¹⁹ All three entities cause long-term
48 pain, decrease in function, and can reduce or completely hinder participation in sports and physical
49 activity.^{20–25} The most common, *Osgood Schlatter*, affects 1 in 4 active adolescents.^{15,26–29} Yet
50 there is little knowledge on the long-term consequences for health, with only a small case-series on
51 younger participants²⁴ and in college-aged students.³⁰

52
53 Objective

54 To review the long-term consequences of having had a lower limb apophysitis in a larger sample,
55 we aim to capture self-reported health-status for all adults in Denmark having been diagnosed with
56 such from 1977 to 2020 and compare these data with normative values for the background
57 population. Secondly, to examine if self-reported historical apophysitis symptoms (duration,
58 symptom severity, restrictions in participation) are related to current symptoms or health by
59 comparing subgroups.

60
61 Research question

62 What is the health status of adults with a history of lower limb apophysitis?

63
64
65

66 Methods

67

68 Design

69 We wish to conduct a national cross-sectional study based on self-reported data from patients
70 registered with a relevant diagnosis code in the Danish National Patient Registry (LPR). The study
71 is approved by the regional research ethics committee (Region Hovedstaden, H-20016972) and
72 the regional data review board (P-2020-433). The study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov
73 (NCT04313621), and the protocol is published as pre-print on medRxiv (DOI:
74 10.1101/2020.03.01.20029660). On the first page of the survey presented to the participants, there
75 will be information about possible risks, study-participant rights, and aspects of data-sharing and
76 data-protection, where they will be asked to consent. If participants wish to redraw their answers
77 from the survey, they can do so. A contact email for the project coordinator (KK) is provided in the
78 invitation message. The study protocol is based on relevant items from the STROBE checklist³¹ for
79 reporting of cross-sectional studies and the SPIRIT checklist for trial protocols.³² The study is
80 descriptive and exploratory, and no pre-determined hypotheses are therefore being tested; instead,
81 it aims to generate potential future hypotheses to be tested in longitudinal designs.

82

83 Recruitment and eligibility

84 All participants aged 18-55 having received diagnosis codes pertaining to lower limb apophysitis
85 (Severs, Sinding-Larsen Johansson, Osgood Schlatter) in the years 1977-2020 in Denmark will be
86 eligible to participate. All eligible participants will be contacted and invited to participate by filling
87 out the electronic survey online. Sample size will be of convenience with no pre-determined cut-off
88 for the smallest or largest sample of interest. Rather, the final sample of respondents will be based
89 on however many have been designated the diagnosis codes and responds to the survey.

90

91 Measures

92 The full survey in Danish is freely available (doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14504958.v1). Outcomes
93 and their design is based on relevant sports- and knee-related measures, and the 'National Health
94 Profile 2017' (Sundhedsprofilen 2017), where 3% (180.000) of the Danish population is surveyed
95 on a range of health and disease questions.³³ The following outcomes are ranged from the most
96 important to the least important regarding this study's objective.

97

98 Self-rated health

99 Measured on the SF-12 (Short-form 12 item) health survey and specific questions which computes

100 a Mental Component Summary scale (MCS-12) and a Physical Component Summary scale (PCS-
101 12).³⁴ From a scale from 0-100 where higher scores indicate better health. The PCS-12 will be
102 used in this study. In the National Health Profile “Bad physical health” are defined as a score above
103 35.37 on the PCS, and ‘Bad physical health” as a score above 35.76 on MCS.

104

105 Knee-related pain and symptoms, and physical activity

106 We will ask about the current extent of physical activity³⁵ and knee-related pain and symptoms
107 (KOOS).³⁶ The subscale dimensions used in this context will be KOOS-pain, KOOS-symptoms,
108 and KOOS Sport/rec. Physical Activity Scale 2 (PAS-2) is used to capture daily and weekly
109 physical activity.^{37,38}

110

111 Other diseases

112 To describe this population and their rate of other diseases, participants will be asked if they have
113 any other diseases in accordance with conditions from the National Health Profile.³³

114

115 Apophysitis-related history

116 We will ask questions related to the duration and severity of their apophysitis.

117

118 Sleep problems, pain, and mental health

119 These factors are related to prognosis or severity for many other well-researched musculoskeletal
120 conditions.^{33,39}

121

122 Data collection and sharing

123 All data will be directly entered into REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture),⁴⁰ a logged
124 secure system designed for capturing sensitive non-commercial research data that is hosted at
125 Hvidovre Hospital. REDCap contains options for valid values, range checks, data validation,
126 branching, scheduling, and stop-rules to increase data quality.

127

128 Invitations to the questionnaire will be posted to participants digital government-issued inbox (e-
129 boks) used for confidential correspondence (e.g. doctors’ appointments), using their social security
130 number (CPR number) obtained from the NPR. From pilot-testing, we expect the mean duration of
131 filling out the questionnaire will be in the range of 10-15 minutes. If participants have questions or
132 comments, there will be a contact-email posted in the survey-invitation.

133

134 The full dataset, excluding all personal identifiers, will be posted alongside the pre-print when the

135 results have been disseminated.

136

137 Analyses

138 The flow of participants from the potential total pool, to invitations, final respondents, and missing
139 data, will be described in detail and visualized in a consort-style flowchart. As this is an exploratory
140 single-group study with no pre-determined hypothesis and only self-assessed outcomes, no
141 blinding will be performed. However, we are committed to a pre-specified analysis strategy as
142 outlined below, and have compiled the statistical code for this before the completion of data
143 collection (Supplemental file 2: 'Stata code.pdf') All statistical analyses will be performed using
144 Stata version 16.1 (StataCorp, USA). Residuals of continuous variables will be visually inspected
145 for normality using a quartile-quartile plot. If the assumption of normal distribution of data is not
146 met, we will transform variables with appropriate methods for analytical use. The primary analysis
147 will be descriptive and reported in means and 95% confidence intervals, and if non-normally
148 distributed, reported as medians and inter-quartile ranges. The between-group difference in sub-
149 groups will be compared using an independent-samples t-test on PCS-12 and KOOS scores for
150 the continuous variables and will be reported with standardized effect sized (Cohens d) and
151 assessed as trivial ($d < 0.2$), small ($d \geq 0.2$), medium ($d \geq 0.5$) and large ($d \geq 0.8$).⁴¹ The between-
152 group difference in diagnosis groups (Severs, Sinding-Larsen Johansson, Osgood Schlatter) will
153 be compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the tukey post-hoc test to
154 analyze the difference between the groups (Severs, Sinding-Larsen Johansson, Osgood Schlatter)
155 on PCS-12 and KOOS scores. An alpha level of <5% is considered statistically significant. For the
156 dichotomous variables, the prevalence of knee and heel-related conditions is compared between
157 subgroups using unadjusted logistic regression. Similarly, outcomes are compared between strata
158 based on diagnosis, also using unadjusted logistic regression. The association between subgroups
159 are reported using odds ratios and a designated a priori defined level of magnitude. We have
160 outlined an explanation of this pre-determined relationship of odds ratio with magnitude (table 1),
161 ranging from a 'very large' magnitude of a reduction or increase in odds to a 'negligible' difference
162 with inspiration from GRADE Handbook (GRADing the quality of Evidence and the strength of
163 recommendations).⁴²

164

Odds ratio	Corresponding to	Magnitude
<0.2	> 5-fold reduction	Very large
0.21-0.50	> 100% reduction	Large
0.51-0.75	> 25% reduction	Moderate
0.76-0.90	> 10% reduction	Small
0.91-1.10	< 10% increase/reduction	Negligible difference
1.10-1.24	> 10% increase	Small
1.25-1.99	> 25% increase	Moderate
2.0-4.99	> 100% increase	Large
>5.0	> 5-fold increase	Very large

165

166

167 If the contingency tables needed for calculating odds ratios should contain null-responses and
168 therefore rendering the analysis impossible, we will post hoc explore other analysis strategies and
169 label these analysis as post hoc.

170

171 The *a priori* subgroups of interest are:

- 172 1. Participants reporting short (<6 months) vs. long (>6 months) apophysitis symptom duration.
- 173 2. Participants reporting significant limitation to sport and physical activity during their
174 apophysitis vs. those that were not significantly affected ('very' or 'totally' limited in sports
175 participation or physical activity during their apophysitis) pa participation during apo).
- 176 3. Participants who currently have knee pain or symptoms from the same general area vs.
177 those who currently do not.
- 178 4. Participants who report having met WHO recommendations (150 or 75 min of moderate-to-
179 vigorous physical activity weekly)for physical activity in their adult life vs. does that report
180 having been less physically active.
- 181 5. Participants that report currently having a large bony prominence thought to originate from
182 their apophysitis (only Osgood Schlatter patients) vs. those that does not
- 183 6. Participants that report severe symptoms during their apophysitis vs. those who only report
184 having experienced light or moderate symptoms (based on pain intensity and restriction in
185 physical activity and sport).

186

187 If relevant sub-groups should emerge *post hoc*, they will be denoted as such. We will also compare
188 data from this sample with normative values for the background population.

189

190 Limitations

191 As this study only can include patients attending hospitals that are part of secondary care or
192 specialized care, the results will potentially only be relevant for severe cases, as mild cases likely
193 are managed either in their sports club, by their parents, by their general practitioner or other
194 primary care health professionals with direct access. Nevertheless, the patients in most need of
195 management and a more solid evidence-base will be the sample in question.

196

197

198 References

199

- 200 1. Henriksson P, Shiroma EJ, Henriksson H, et al. Fit for life? Low cardiorespiratory fitness in
201 adolescence is associated with a higher burden of future disability. *Br J Sports Med*. Published
202 online August 14, 2020.
- 203 2. Thompson WR, Sallis R, Joy E, Jaworski CA, Stuhr RM, Trilk JL. Exercise Is Medicine.
204 *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*. 2020;14(5):511-523.
- 205 3. Donnelly JE, Hillman CH, Castelli D, et al. Physical Activity, Fitness, Cognitive Function, and
206 Academic Achievement in Children: A Systematic Review. *Medicine & Science in Sports &
207 Exercise*. 2016;48(6):1197.
- 208 4. von Rosen P, Olofsson O, Väsborn S, Heijne A. Correlates of health in adolescent elite
209 athletes and adolescents: A cross-sectional study of 1016 adolescents. *Eur J Sport Sci*.
210 2019;19(5):707-716.
- 211 5. Werner EE, Smith RS. *Vulnerable but Invincible: A Longitudinal Study of Resilient Children and
212 Youth*. 3 edition. Adams Bannister Cox Pubs; 1989.
- 213 6. Christensen KO, Lindstad J, Boje-Kovacs B, Sigurd F. Unge, sport og kriminalitet
214 Undersøgelse af sportsklubbers kriminalitetsforebyggende potentiale i udsatte boligområder.
215 :41.
- 216 7. Sigfusson J. Evidence Based Primary Prevention The Icelandic Model. :83.
- 217 8. Krommes K. Physical Activity & Sport for Health – What does it benefit? Published online
218 February 17, 2020.
- 219 9. Nelson MC, Story M, Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Lytle LA. Emerging adulthood and
220 college-aged youth: an overlooked age for weight-related behavior change. *Obesity (Silver
221 Spring)*. 2008;16(10):2205-2211.
- 222 10. Rindfuss RR. The young adult years: diversity, structural change, and fertility. *Demography*.
223 1991;28(4):493-512.
- 224 11. Prathivadi Bhayankaram N, Lacey RJ, Barnett LA, Jordan KP, Dunn KM. Musculoskeletal
225 consultations from childhood to adulthood: a longitudinal study. *J Public Health (Oxf)*.
- 226 12. Sari D, Thorlund JB, Mikkelsen U, Møller M. Pain medication use in Danish youth handball: a
227 cross-sectional study of 466 youth handball players. In: ; 2020.
- 228 13. Alexander CJ. Effect of growth rate on the strength of the growth plate-shaft junction. *Skeletal
229 Radiol*. 1976;1(2):67-76.
- 230 14. van der Sluis A, Elferink-Gemser MT, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Nijboer JA, Brink MS, Visscher C.
231 Sport injuries aligned to peak height velocity in talented pubertal soccer players. *Int J Sports
232 Med*. 2014;35(4):351-355.
- 233 15. de Lucena GL, dos Santos Gomes C, Guerra RO. Prevalence and associated factors of
234 Osgood-Schlatter syndrome in a population-based sample of Brazilian adolescents. *Am J
235 Sports Med*. 2011;39(2):415-420.

- 236 16. Fuglkjær S, Hartvigsen J, Wedderkopp N, et al. Musculoskeletal extremity pain in Danish
237 school children – how often and for how long? The CHAMPS study-DK. *BMC Musculoskelet*
238 *Disord.* 2017;18.
- 239 17. Fuglkjær S, Dissing KB, Hestbæk L. Prevalence and incidence of musculoskeletal extremity
240 complaints in children and adolescents. A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders.*
241 2017;18(1):418.
- 242 18. Hawkins D, Metheny J. Overuse injuries in youth sports: biomechanical considerations. *Med*
243 *Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(10):1701-1707.
- 244 19. Arnold A, Thigpen CA, Beattie PF, Kissenberth MJ, Shanley E. Overuse Physeal Injuries in
245 Youth Athletes. *Sports Health.* 2017;9(2):139-147.
- 246 20. Rathleff MS, Winiarski L, Krommes K, et al. Pain, Sports Participation, and Physical Function
247 in 10-14 Year Olds With Patellofemoral Pain and Osgood Schlatter: A Matched Cross-
248 Sectional Study of 252 Adolescents. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* Published online January 6,
249 2020:1-26.
- 250 21. Valasek AE, Young JA, Huang L, Singichetti B, Yang J. Age and Sex Differences in Overuse
251 Injuries Presenting to Pediatric Sports Medicine Clinics. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 2019;58(7):770-
252 777.
- 253 22. Duong MM, Nicholson AD, Li SQ, Gilmore A, Cooperman DR, Liu RW. Relationship Between
254 Sever Disease and Skeletal Maturity. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2020;40(2):93-96.
- 255 23. López-Alameda S, Alonso-Benavente A, López-Ruiz de Salazar A, Miragaya-López P, Alonso-
256 Del Olmo JA, González-Herranz P. [Sinding-Larsen-Johansson disease: analysis of the
257 associated factors]. *Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol.* 2012;56(5):354-360.
- 258 24. Gulddammer C, Rathleff MS, Jensen HP, Holden S. Long-term Prognosis and Impact of
259 Osgood-Schlatter Disease 4 Years After Diagnosis: A Retrospective Study. *Orthopaedic*
260 *Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2019;7(10):2325967119878136.
- 261 25. Holden S, Krommes K, Olesen JL, et al. Prognosis of Osgood Schlatter- poorer than
262 anticipated? A prospective cohort study with 24-month follow-up. (*In Review*). Published online
263 2020.
- 264 26. Price RJ, Hawkins RD, Hulse MA, Hodson A. The Football Association medical research
265 programme: an audit of injuries in academy youth football. *Br J Sports Med.* 2004;38(4):466-
266 471.
- 267 27. Junge T, Runge L, Juul-Kristensen B, Wedderkopp N. Risk Factors for Knee Injuries in
268 Children 8 to 15 Years: The CHAMPS Study DK. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise.*
269 2016;48(4):655–662.
- 270 28. Trifonov Rexen C, Andersen LB, Ersbøll AK, Jespersen E, Franz C, Wedderkopp N. Injuries in
271 Children with Extra Physical Education in Primary Schools. *Medicine & Science in Sports &*
272 *Exercise.* 2014;46(4):745–752.
- 273 29. Materne O, Chamari K, Farooq A, et al. Association of Skeletal Maturity and Injury Risk in Elite
274 Youth Soccer Players: A 4-Season Prospective Study With Survival Analysis. *Orthopaedic*
275 *Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2021;9(3):2325967121999113.
- 276 30. Ross MD, Villard D. Disability levels of college-aged men with a history of Osgood-Schlatter
277 disease. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2003;17(4):659-663.
- 278 31. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The
279 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement:
280 guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology.*
281 2008;61(4):344-349.
- 282 32. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol
283 items for clinical trials. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158(3):200-207.
- 284 33. Danskernes Sundhed - Den Nationale Sundhedsprofil 2017.
- 285 34. Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales
286 and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care.* 1996;34(3):220-233.

- 287 35. Danquah I. *Validering Af Spørgsmål Om Fysisk Aktivitet Og Siddetid Ida Høgstedt Danquah,*
288 *Sofie Smedegaard Skov Bo Rytkjær Callesen, Ida Voss Christina Bjørk Petersen, Janne*
289 *Schurmann Tolstrup – Til Brug for National Monitorering.* Statens Institut for Folkesundhed;
290 2016.
- 291 36. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis
292 Outcome Score (KOOS)--development of a self-administered outcome measure. *J Orthop*
293 *Sports Phys Ther.* 1998;28(2):88-96.
- 294 37. Pedersen ESL, Mortensen LH, Brage S, Bjerregaard AL, Aadahl M. Criterion validity of the
295 Physical Activity Scale (PAS2) in Danish adults. *Scand J Public Health.* 2018;46(7):726-734.
- 296 38. Construct validity of a revised Physical Activity Scale and testing by cognitive interviewing -
297 Lise G. Andersen, Mogens Groenvold, Torben Jørgensen, Mette Aadahl, 2010.
- 298 39. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Kent P. Brief Psychological Screening Questions Can be Useful for
299 Ruling Out Psychological Conditions in Patients With Chronic Pain. *Clin J Pain.*
300 2018;34(2):113-121.
- 301 40. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research Electronic Data
302 Capture (REDCap) - A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing
303 translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform.* 2009;42(2):377-381.
- 304 41. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences.* Routledge; 2013.
- 305 42. GRADE handbook.
- 306