Management of lower limb apophysitis: Protocol of a cross-sectional study on long-term impact on health status

(Pre-print – preliminary protocol without peer-review)

Kasper Krommes[1], Kristian Thorborg[1], Per Hölmich[1]

Sports Orthopedic Research Center – Copenhagen, Orthopedic Department, Amager-Hvidovre, Copenhagen university hospital

February 29th, 2020. Version 1.0. Doi: xxx (identifier pending)

Contact to corresponding author: Email: kasper.krommes@regionh.dk, twitter: @Krommes

Registration identifier: ClinicalTrials.gov: xxx (identifier pending)

Conflict of interest: The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to declare.

Author contributions: KK conceptualized and planned the study, and drafted the protocol. KK, PH and KT revised and approved the protocol.

Abstract

Lower limb apophysitis cause long-term pain, decrease in function, and can reduce or completely hinder participation in sports and physical activity, yet there is little knowledge on the long-term consequences for health. Our objective with this investigation is to capture self-reported health-status for all adults having been diagnosed with lower limb apophysitis in the period of 1980 to 2020 and compared these data with normative values for the background population. We are therefore conducting a national cross-sectional study based on data from the Danish National Patient Registry (NPR). In this protocol we describe, as detailed as possible, the planned methods.

Background, rationale, and review of the literature

Physical activity during the adult life is crucial for health and prevention of disease but has additional benefits during adolescence (fig. 1). During adolescence the levels of physical activity declines with only 1 in 6 meeting the recommendations for moderate to vigorous physical activity or sports participation (fig. 1).



Given that adolescence is a period of increasing autonomy, positive behaviors established during this period could potentially last into later adulthood.[1,2] There are several barriers to participation in physical activity and sports during adolescence, one such is the risk of injury and pain during these activities. During adolescence, lower limb pain is the most frequent cause for seeking primary MSK care[3] and up to 50% of sports active adolescents regularly consume painkillers for injury-related pain.[4]

Adolescents are specifically susceptible to growth-related overuse injuries in the knee and

heel.[5–9] For early adolescence, when growth velocity peaks (ages 10-16),[10] the most common growth related injuries is apophysitis in the lower limb; *Severs* in the heel, and *Sinding Larsen Johansson* and *Osgood Schlatter* in the knee.[11] All three entities cause long-term pain, decrease in function, and can reduce or completely hinder participation in sports and physical activity.[12–17] The most common, *Osgood Schlatter*, affects 1 in 5 active adolescents.[7] Yet there is little knowledge on the long-term consequences for health, with only a small case-series on younger participants[16] and one in college-aged students.[18]

Objective

To review the long-term consequences of having had a lower limb apophysitis in a large sample, we aim to capture self-reported health-status for all adults having been diagnosed with such in the period of 1980 to 2020.

Research question

What is the health status of adults with a history of lower limb apophysitis?

Methods

Design

We wish to conduct a national cross-sectional study based on data from the Danish National Patient Registry (NPR). The study is reported to the regional research ethics committee (Region Hovedstaden) but has not yet received approval (identifier pending, submitted February 29th, 2020). In addition, it's been reported to the local data authority (Region Hovedstadens Videnscenter for Dataanmeldelser), but has not yet received approval (identifier pending, submitted February 29th, 2020). The study is being registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier pending, submitted February 29th, 2020), and the protocol is published here at medRxiv (submitted March 1st, 2020). Participants will be thoroughly informed about possible risks, study-participant rights, and aspects of data-sharing and data-protection, and asked to consent in the first page of the survey. If patients wish to redraw their answers to the survey, they can do so. A contact email for the project

coordinator (KK) is provided in the invitation message. The study protocol is based on relevant items from the STROBE checklist[19] for reporting of cross-sectional studies and the SPIRIT checklist for trial protocols.[20] The study is descriptive and exploratory, and no pre-determined hypothesis are being tested, rather it aims to generate future hypothesis to be tested in longitudinal designs.

Recruitment and eligibility

All patients aged 18-50 having received diagnostics codes pertaining to lower limb apophysitis (Severs, Sinding-Larsen Johansson, Osgood Schlatter) in the years 1980-2020 in Denmark will be eligible to participate. Diseased participants will be censored. All eligible patients will be contacted and invited to participate by filling out the electronical survey online. Sample size will be of convenience with no pre-determined cut-off for smallest or largest sample of interest, rather, the final sample of respondents will be based on however many have been designated the diagnostic codes and responds to the survey.

Outcomes

Primary outcome: Physical and mental health

Measured on the SF-12 (Short-form 12 item) health survey.[21]

Other diagnostic codes

To describe this population and their rate of other diseases, all other designated diagnostic codes from the NPR will be captured and reported.

Sleep problems, mental health, BMI and self-efficacy

These factors are related to prognosis or severity for many other well-researched musculoskeletal conditions. We will utilize validated questions[22] and questionnaires (PSEQ-2).[23(p2)]

Knee-related pain and symptoms, and physical activity

We will ask to current extent, satisfaction, and level of physical activity and sport (Tegner scale).[24] In addition, we will ask to knee-related pain and symptoms (KOOS)[25] and other types of pain.

112

113 Apophysitis-related history

114 We will ask questions related to duration, severity and treatment of their apophysitis.

115

116 Data collection and sharing

117 All data will be directly entered into REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture),[26] a
118 logged secure system designed for capturing sensitive non-commercial research data that
119 is hosted at Hvidovre Hospital. REDCap contains options for valid values, range checks,
120 data validation, branching, scheduling, and stop-rules to increase data quality.

121

122 Invitations to the questionnaire will be posted to participants digital government-issued
123 inbox (e-boks) used for confidential correspondence (e.g. doctors' appointments), using
124 their social security number (CPR number) obtained from the NPR. We expect the mean
125 duration of a reply will be in the range of 8-10 minutes. If participants have questions or
126 comments, there will be a contact-email posted in the survey-invitation.

127

128 The full dataset, excluding all personal identifiers, will be posted alongside the pre-print
129 when the results have been disseminated.

130

131 Analyses

132 The flow of participants from the potential total pool, to invitations, final respondents, and
133 missing data, will be described in detail. As this is a single-group study with no pre-
134 determined hypothesis, no blinding will be performed. Analyses will be descriptive and
135 reported in means and 95% confidence intervals, and if non-normally distributed, reported
136 as medians and inter-quartile ranges. We will compare sub-groups of interest observing
137 overlaps of confidence intervals. The *a priori* subgroups of interest are:

138 1. Patients reporting short (<6 months) vs. long (>1 year) apophysitis symptom duration.
139 2. Patients reporting significant limitation to sport and physical activity during their
140 apophysitis vs. those that was not significantly affected.
141 3. Patients that currently have knee pain or symptoms from the same general area vs.
142 those that currently does not.

4. Patients who continued moderate or high levels of physical activity after cessation of apophysitis symptoms vs. does that have been less than moderate physically active since cessation of their apophysitis symptoms.
5. Patients that report currently having a large bony prominence thought to originate from their apophysite (Only Osgood Schlatter patients) vs. those that does not
6. Patients that report severe symptoms during their apophysitis vs. does that only report have experienced light or moderate symptoms (based on pain intensity and frequency of pain-flares, and restriction in physical activity and sport).
7. Patients that report having undergone a period of rapid growth during their apophysitis vs. does that does not

If relevant sub-groups should emerge *post hoc*, they will be denoted as such. We will also compare data from this sample with normative values for the background population.

References

1. Nelson MC, Story M, Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Lytle LA. Emerging adulthood and college-aged youth: an overlooked age for weight-related behavior change. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16(10):2205-2211.
2. Rindfuss RR. The young adult years: diversity, structural change, and fertility. *Demography*. 1991;28(4):493-512.
3. Prathivadi Bhayankaram N, Lacey RJ, Barnett LA, Jordan KP, Dunn KM. Musculoskeletal consultations from childhood to adulthood: a longitudinal study. *J Public Health (Oxf)*.
4. Sari D, Thorlund JB, MIkkelsen U, Møller M. Pain medication use in Danish youth handball: a cross-sectional study of 466 youth handball players. In: Copenhagen; 2020.
5. Alexander CJ. Effect of growth rate on the strength of the growth plate-shaft junction. *Skeletal Radiol*. 1976;1(2):67-76.
6. van der Sluis A, Elferink-Gemser MT, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Nijboer JA, Brink MS, Visscher C. Sport injuries aligned to peak height velocity in talented pubertal soccer players. *Int J Sports Med*. 2014;35(4):351-355.
7. de Lucena GL, dos Santos Gomes C, Guerra RO. Prevalence and associated factors of Osgood-Schlatter syndrome in a population-based sample of Brazilian adolescents. *Am J Sports Med*. 2011;39(2):415-420.
8. Fuglkjær S, Hartvigsen J, Wedderkopp N, et al. Musculoskeletal extremity pain in Danish school children – how often and for how long? The CHAMPS study-DK. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2017;18.
9. Fuglkjær S, Dissing KB, Hestbæk L. Prevalence and incidence of musculoskeletal extremity complaints in children and adolescents. A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*. 2017;18(1):418.
10. Hawkins D, Metheny J. Overuse injuries in youth sports: biomechanical considerations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2001;33(10):1701-1707.

11. Arnold A, Thigpen CA, Beattie PF, Kissenberth MJ, Shanley E. Overuse Physeal Injuries in Youth Athletes. *Sports Health*. 2017;9(2):139-147.
12. Rathleff MS, Winiarski L, Krommes K, et al. Pain, Sports Participation, and Physical Function in 10-14 Year Olds With Patellofemoral Pain and Osgood Schlatter: A Matched Cross-Sectional Study of 252 Adolescents. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. January 2020:1-26.
13. Valasek AE, Young JA, Huang L, Singichetti B, Yang J. Age and Sex Differences in Overuse Injuries Presenting to Pediatric Sports Medicine Clinics. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2019;58(7):770-777.
14. Duong MM, Nicholson AD, Li SQ, Gilmore A, Cooperman DR, Liu RW. Relationship Between Sever Disease and Skeletal Maturity. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2020;40(2):93-96.
15. López-Alameda S, Alonso-Benavente A, López-Ruiz de Salazar A, Miragaya-López P, Alonso-Del Olmo JA, González-Herranz P. [Sinding-Larsen-Johansson disease: analysis of the associated factors]. *Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol*. 2012;56(5):354-360.
16. Guldhammer C, Rathleff MS, Jensen HP, Holden S. Long-term Prognosis and Impact of Osgood-Schlatter Disease 4 Years After Diagnosis: A Retrospective Study. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2019;7(10):2325967119878136.
17. Holden S, Krommes K, Olesen JL, et al. Prognosis of Osgood Schlatter- poorer than anticipated? A prospective cohort study with 24-month follow-up. *(In Review)*.
18. Ross MD, Villard D. Disability levels of college-aged men with a history of Osgood-Schlatter disease. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2003;17(4):659-663.
19. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2008;61(4):344-349.
20. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;158(3):200-207.
21. Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care*. 1996;34(3):220-233.
22. Vaegter HB, Handberg G, Kent P. Brief Psychological Screening Questions Can be Useful for Ruling Out Psychological Conditions in Patients With Chronic Pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2018;34(2):113-121.
23. Nicholas MK, McGuire BE, Asghari A. A 2-Item Short Form of the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire: Development and Psychometric Evaluation of PSEQ-2. *The Journal of Pain*. 2015;16(2):153-163.
24. Briggs KK, Steadman JR, Hay CJ, Hines SL. Lysholm score and Tegner activity level in individuals with normal knees. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(5):898-901.
25. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--development of a self-administered outcome measure. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1998;28(2):88-96.
26. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) - A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377-381.