

Smartphone addiction and its association with common mental disorders among students attending the university of Dschang, West region, Cameroon

Martial Pianta Sonkoue¹, Benjamin Momo Kadia², Miranda Baame Esong³,
Cyrielle Djouda Douanla³, Jerome Ateudjieu³

Authors' affiliations

1. Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Bamenda, Bamenda, Northwest region, Cameroon
2. Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom.
3. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Dschang, Dschang, West region, Cameroon

Authors email addresses

MPS: maxprice33@yahoo.fr

BMK: benjaminmomokadia@yahoo.com

MBE: emirablesing@yahoo.com

CDD: cyrielle.douanla@yahoo.fr

JA: jateudj@yahoo.fr

Correspondence should be addressed to:

Benjamin Momo Kadia, email: benjaminmomokadia@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: Smartphones are an integral part of modern communication but addiction to these devices could predispose to common mental disorders.

Objectives: To determine the prevalence of smartphone addiction and common mental disorders as well as the association between smartphone addiction and these mental disorders in Dschang university students who use smartphones.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted. Randomly selected students completed a survey comprising Patient Health Questionnaires seven and nine (PHQ-9 and PHQ-7) to screen for depression and anxiety respectively, and the Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV) to grade smartphone use. The association between smartphone use and common mental disorders was assessed using logistic regression modelling.

Results: We recruited 634 participants. The prevalence of smartphone addiction was 20.98% [C.I:17.99%-24.32%]. The prevalence of depression and anxiety were 34.86% [95% CI: 31.25-38.65] and 19.27% [95% CI: 16.81-22.99] respectively. The odds of depression in students with addiction was 5 times the odds of depression in students without addiction [OR: 4.96, 95% CI: 3.30-7.45, $p < 0.001$]. The odds of anxiety in students with addiction was 2 times the odds of anxiety in students without addiction [OR: 2.44, 95% CI: 1.58-3.77, $p < 0.001$]. After adjusting for age, sex, faculty, cycle of study, marital status, religion, chronic diseases, sexual abuse and suicidal ideation, there remained a statistically-significant association between smartphone addiction and both depression [OR: 4.46, 95%CI; 2.92-6.84, $p < 0.001$] and anxiety [OR: 2.08, 95% CI; 1.31-3.30, $p = 0.002$].

Conclusion: In this setting, it is crucial to foster strategies of prevention and promotion in mental health especially among problematic smartphone users.

Keywords: smartphone; addiction; depression; anxiety; students

1. Background

Common mental disorders refer to two main diagnostic categories: depressive disorders and anxiety disorders [1]. These disorders are highly prevalent in the general population (reason why they are considered 'common'), and impact on the mood or feelings of affected persons [1]. Symptoms range from mild to severe in terms of severity and months to years in terms of duration [1]. These disorders lead to huge losses in work participation and productivity, and yet lend themselves to effective and accessible treatment as part of an integrated program of chronic disease management [1]. In 2010, worldwide, an estimated US\$2.5–8.5 trillion in lost output was attributed to mental, neurological and substance use disorders, depending on the method of assessment used [2]. This amount is expected to nearly double by 2030 if a

concerted response is not mounted [2]. In view of this concern, the promotion of mental health and wellbeing has been explicitly included in the United Nations' 2015–30 Sustainable Development Goals [3]. A review reported a prevalence of depression of 33% among university students [4] while two other reviews on anxiety reported a global prevalence ranging from 0.9-28.3% [5] and a prevalence of anxiety disorders in adult populations of 3.8-25% [6] respectively. Student mental health is a growing concern [7] and there is evidence that university students tend to have higher rates of depression than the general population [4].

A smartphone is a mobile phone that performs many of the functions of a computer, typically having a touch screen interface, internet access, and an operating system capable of running downloaded applications [8]. It had been projected that by 2020 the population of smartphone owners would reach 6.1 billion, which is about 70% of the world's population [9]. Many new users are expected to emerge from developing countries through greater device affordability, growing economies, and young, growing populations [9]. Several studies have examined the relation between smartphone use and common mental disorders [10,11,12,13,14]. Without statistically adjusting for other relevant variables, depression severity was consistently related to problematic smartphone use [15]. The extent of the problem of depression and anxiety among students in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is largely unknown [16]. In a recent systematic review with meta-analysis, Sohn and colleagues examined the association between problematic smartphone use and mental health outcomes amongst children and young adults. Although this was a global review which included evidence for the seven-year period 2011-2017 and had no language restriction in its literature search strategy, only studies from Europe, America and Asia were retained. This strongly indicates the huge gap in evidence on the association between smartphone addiction and common mental illnesses in sub-Saharan Africa [17]. This study therefore sought to estimate the prevalence of smartphone addiction in university students who use smartphones; to estimate the prevalence of common mental in the students and to determine the association between smartphone addiction and these mental disorders.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethical considerations

Ethical registry was granted by the Cameroon National Committee for Research Ethics for Human Health. The registry number is 2018/07/1081/CE/CNERSH/SP.

2.2. Study design and period

This was an analytic cross-sectional study conducted from the 10 March to the 30 April 2018.

2.3. Study area and setting

The study was conducted on the main campus of the university of Dschang. The university of Dschang is one of the eight public universities of Cameroon. It is a bilingual university located in the West region of Cameroon in Menoua division within the town of Dschang and had a population of about 32000 students in 2018. The university of Dschang has six faculties located on the main campus (Faculty of Science, Faculty of letters and human sciences, Faculty of economics and management, Faculty of law and political sciences, Faculty of agronomy and agricultural sciences, faculty of medicine and pharmaceutical sciences) and two institutions located out of the main campus (Foumban Institute of fine arts and Fotso Victor institute of Bandjoun). The faculty of medicine and pharmaceutical sciences was newly created by a presidential decree and went functional during academic year 2017 /2018. A small guidance counseling unit is located at the rectorate. Even though it serves the whole university, the unit is underutilized and seldom functional.

2.4. Study participants and selection criteria

Students from the University of Dschang who possess and use smartphones during the 2017 and 2018 academic year. The following selection were set:

Eligibility criteria: Being a registered student of the university of Dschang in the academic year 2017/2018 who uses a smartphone.

Inclusion criteria: Being a registered student of the university of Dschang in the academic year 2017/2018 who uses a smartphone and consent to participate in the study

Exclusion criteria: students with known history of psychiatric disorders; not responding to up to 80% of questions on the questionnaire.

2.5. Sampling procedure

A multistage random sampling method was used. Two faculties were selected at random from the six faculties of the University of Dschang (FESM: Faculty of Economic Sciences and Management, FAAS: Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences, FS: Faculty of Science, FLPS: Faculty of Law and Political Sciences FLHS: Faculty of Letters and Human Sciences, FMPS: Faculty of medicine and pharmaceutical sciences) and participants were recruited from strata designated by cycle of study in each selected faculty. The strata were the bachelors, masters and PhD study cycles. A systematic review found a prevalence of depression of 33% among students [4]. Using the Cochran's sample size determination formula

$$N = \frac{[(Z_{crit})^2 \cdot P(1-P)]}{D^2} \dots\dots\dots [18]$$

and substituting $p=33\%$, relative precision (D) =0.05, normal standard deviate $Z_{crit}=1.96$ at significance criterion of 0.05, we obtained a minimum sample of $N=339$ students. Adjusting for a non-response rate of 10% and a design effect of 2 we got a final sample size of 758 students.

2.6. Variables and data collection

A semi-structured questionnaire comprising PHQ-9, PHQ-7 and SAS-SV was conceived by the principal investigator and pre-tested on 50 students from the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences and the Faculty of Science of the University of Yaounde 1. Participants were students from the Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences (FAAS) and the Faculty of Economic Sciences and Management (FESM). After explaining the purpose of the study, participants' consent was obtained before they responded to the self-administered questionnaire consisting of sociodemographic characteristics, PHQ-9 and PHQ-7, Smartphone use and SAS-SV. A case of depression was defined as a Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) score of 11 or more. A case of anxiety was defined as a Patient Health Questionnaire-7 (PHQ-7) score of 11 or more. A cut off point of 11 was used for PHQ-9 and PHQ-7 to screen for depression and anxiety respectively. A cut-off score for the PHQ-9 of 11 had the best trade-off between sensitivity (89%) and specificity (89%) [19]. Smartphone use was the exposure of interest. It was assessed using the Smartphone addiction scale short version (SAS-SV) to distinguish excessive smartphone users (smartphone addicted) from normal smartphone users (not addicted). The smartphone addiction scale is a self-diagnostic scale used to identify 'smartphone addicts' [20]. This tool has been reduced to create a short version (SAS-SV) [20] which was eventually adapted to French [21]. The SAS-SV is a validated scale originally constructed in South Korea but published in English. It contains ten items rated on a dimensional scale (1= 'strongly agree' to 6= 'strongly disagree'). The total score ranges from 10 to 60 with the highest score being the maximum presence of 'smartphone addiction' in the past year. Kwon and colleagues proposed cut off points per gender: in males it is 31 with a sensitivity value of 0.867 and specificity value of 0.893 and in females it is 33 with sensitivity value of 0.875, and a specificity value of 0.886 [20]. In this study, we used the mean i.e, 32 out of 60 as cut off for both genders to identify 'excessive smartphone users' (smartphone addicts) since no statistical difference was found between SAS-SV total score per gender [21].

2.7. Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using Epi-info version 7.2.2.6 statistical software. Descriptive statistics (mean, frequencies and percentages) were used to report participants demographics. PHQ-9, PHQ-7 and SAS-SV scores were categorized using their cut off values and analysed as binary variables. The prevalence of a common mental disorder was estimated by dividing the

number of students who owned and used smart phones and had the disorder by the total number of students who owned and used smartphones. We estimated the 95% confidence limits for each prevalence. A logistic regression model was built using a priori confounders namely, age, sex, faculty, cycle of study, marital status, religion, chronic diseases, sexual abuse and suicidal thoughts. The model was used to assess the association between smartphone use and depression and anxiety using a significance criterion of 0.05 level and 95% confidence limits.

3. Results

3.1. Sample coverage

A total of 758 students were approached for this study. After excluding unanswered and partially answered questionnaires, 634 were enrolled (response rate of 84%) of which 307 were females and 327 were males. Overall, females had a better response rate than males. Males in the bachelor's degree of FESM and females in PhD cycle had the lowest response rates; 50% and 43% respectively. Table 1 shows the response rates by sex and cycle in each faculty.

Table 1: Response rate by sex, faculty, and cycle of study

Cycle of study	FESM		FAAS		Total: X% (a/b)
	Male: X% (a/b)	Female: X%, (a/b)	Male: X% (a/b)	Female: X% (a/b)	
Bachelor's	50% (69/138)	92% (142/155)	106% (111/104)	115% (80/69)	86% (402/466)
Masters	123% (53/43)	67% (22/33)	59% (74/126)	95% (55/58)	78% (204/260)
PhD	150% (10/6)	125% (5/4)	79% (10/14)	43% (3/7)	90% (28/31)
Total	71% (132/187)	88% (169/192)	80% (195/244)	102% (138/134)	84% (634/757)

X%=Response rate, a=Number included, b=Number expected, FESM: Faculty of Economic Sciences and Management, FAAS: Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences

3.2. Characteristics of study participants

The study participants were predominantly males (51.58%) and the age range was 16 to 38 years. The mean age was 22.5 ± 3 years. The majority of participants lived alone in a room (51%). Catholicism was the most practiced religion (58.2%). A minority of participants (9%) had a chronic disease, 0.04% had been sexually abused and 9% had experienced suicidal thoughts within the previous 2 years. Table 2 below shows the characteristics of the study participants.

Table 2: Characteristics of study participants

Variable	Total (634) n (%)	Female (307) n (%)	Male (327) n (%)
Lodging			
Cohabiting in a room	75 (12%)	40 (13%)	35 (10.7%)
Single in a room	324 (51%)	200 (61.2%)	92(28.1%)
Family house	235 (37%)	92 (28.13%)	200(61.2%)
Marital status			
Married	10 (1.6%)	8 2. (6%)	2 (0.6%)
Divorced	3 (0.5%)	3 (1%)	0
Single	616 (97.2%)	292 (95.1%)	324 (99%)
Widow(er)	5 (0.8%)	4 (3%)	1 (0.3%)
Religion			
Animist	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)
Pentecostal	38 (6%)	17 (5.5)	21 (6.4%)
Adventist	10 (1.6%)	2 (0.7%)	8 (2.5%)
Catholic	369 (58.2%)	196 (63.8%)	196 (63.8%)
Islamist	39 (6.15%)	18 (5.7%)	21 (6.4%)
Jehovah Witness	7 (1.1%)	5 (1.6%)	2 (0.6%)
Protestant	145 (22.9%)	62 (20.2%)	83 (25.6%)
Other	24 (3.8%)	6 (2%)	18 (5.5%)
Parents' education			
Primary	75 (11.8%)	33 (10.8%)	42 (12.8%)
Secondary	285 (45%)	144 (46.9%)	141 (43.1%)
Higher	274 (43.2%)	130 (42.4%)	144 (44%)
Chronic disease	54 (9%)	17 (6%)	37 (11%)
History of sexual abuse	25 (0.04%)	20 (6.5%)	5 (1.5%)
Suicidal ideation within previous 2 years	57 (9%)	31 (10.1%)	26 (8%)

3.3. Prevalence of depression and anxiety

The prevalence of depression and anxiety was 34.86% [C.I: 31.25-38.65] and 19.27% [C.I: 16.81-22.99] respectively. Table 3 shows the distribution of depression and anxiety by sex, age and cycle of study.

Table 3: Prevalence of depression and anxiety stratified by sex, age and level of study

Variable	Depression		Anxiety	
	Prevalence	95%CI	Prevalence	95%CI
Sex				
Male	28.44%	23.82-33.56	17.13%	13.43-21.58
Female	41.69%	36.31- 47.28	22.48%	18.16-27.47

Level of study				
Bachelors	38.06%	33.45-42.90	20.4%	16.75-24.61
Masters	29.41%	23.26-36.18	18.63%	13.53-24.66
PhD	28.57%	13.22-48.67	17.83%	6.06-36.89
Adolescent	28.18%	20.02-37.56	20.91%	13.74-29.70
Young adult	38.86%	34.02-43.93	19.84%	16.08-24.21
Adult	30.13%	23.05-37.98	18.59%	12.82-25.59

3.4. Smartphone use

Participants reported spending on average 7.2 hours per day on their smartphones (with females spending more time (8hours) than males (6.5hours) and spent an average amount of \$1.5 per week on communication credit or data bundles for their phones. Smartphones were mostly used for social media activities (39.3%) and short message services (35.8%). Figure 1 shows the main activities performed when using smartphones.




Figure 1: Main activities performed on smartphones by students

In our sample, 53.67% [340/634, 95% C.I: 49.74%- 57.48%] of participants attested that using smartphone affects their academic performance and among these participants, 64.41% [219/634, C.I: 59.19%- 69.31%] declared that it affects their performance positively. The prevalence of smartphone addiction was 20.98% [C.I:17.99%-24.32%].

3.5. Association between smartphone addiction and depression

The odds of being depressed when addicted to smartphones was 4.96 [95% C.I: 3.30-7.45] the odds of being depressed when not addicted ($p < 0.001$). As shown on table 4, after

controlling for age, cycle of study, faculty, matrimonial status, religion, sex, sexual abuse and suicidal thoughts, there was still evidence of a strong association between smartphone addiction and depression (Adjusted Odds Ratio: 4.46, 95% C.I: 2.92-6.84), $p < 0.001$

Table 4: Association between smartphone addiction and depression, adjusted for age, sex, faculty, cycle of study, matrimonial status, religion, chronic diseases, sexual abuse and suicidal ideation.

Variable	Adjusted odds ratio (CI)	P-Value
Addiction		
Addicted	4.46(2.92-6.84)	<0.001
Not addicted(ref)	1	
Age		
Adult	1.50(0.7-3.22)	0.3
Adolescent(ref)	1	
Sex		
Male	0.60(0.42-0.87)	0.008
Female	1	
Faculty		
FESM	1.56(1.08-2.25)	0.018
FAAS	1	
Cycle of study		
PhD	0.89(0.35-2.33)	0.799
Masters	0.85(0.58-1.29)	0.454
Bachelors(ref)	1	
Marital status		
Married	3.86(0.08-195)	0.499
Single	5(0.13-196)	0.391
Widow	1.31(0.02-94)	0.902
Divorced	1	
Religion		
Other	0.92(0.63-1.32)	0.642
Catholic	1	
Chronic disease		
Yes	1.90(1-3.59)	0.049
No	1	
History of sexual abuse		
Yes	2.03(0.77-5.37)	1.52
No	1	
Suicidal ideation		
Yes	2.69(1.44-5.02)	0.002
No	1	

3.6. Association between smartphone addiction and anxiety

The odds of anxiety among students with smartphone addiction was 2.44 (1.58-3.77) times the odds of anxiety among students without addiction ($p < 0.001$). After adjusting for age, cycle of study, faculty, marital status, religion, sex, sexual abuse and suicidal ideation, there

was still evidence of an association between smartphone addiction and anxiety (Adjusted Odds Ratio: 2.08), p-value: 0.002 (table 5).

Table 5: Association between smartphone addiction and anxiety, adjusted for age, sex, faculty, cycle of study, matrimonial status, religion, chronic diseases, sexual abuse and suicidal thoughts

Variable	Adjusted Odds Ratio (C.I)	P-Value
Addiction		
Addicted	2.08(1.31-3.30)	0.002
Not addicted	1	
Age		
Adult	0.84(0.37-1.92)	0.684
Adolescent	1	
Sex		
Male	0.76(0.49-1.16)	0.204
Female(ref)	1	
Faculty		
FESM	1.14(0.74-1.73)	0.558
FAAS	1	
Cycle of study		
PhD	0.89(0.35-2.23)	0.799
Masters	1.07(0.66-1.72)	0.791
Bachelors	1	
Marital status		
Married	0.76(0.02-30)	0.886
Single	1.39(0.05-38)	0.845
Widow	1.26(0.02-66)	0.909
Divorced	1	
Religion		
Other	0.95(0.62-1.45)	0.815
Catholic	1	
Chronic disease		
Yes	2.32(1.21-4.46)	0.016
No	1	
History of sexual abuse		
Yes	1.32(0.49-3.57)	0.579
No	1	
Suicidal ideation		
Yes	4.42(2.43-8.00)	<0.001
No	1	

4. Discussion

The objectives of this cross-sectional study were to determine the prevalence of smartphone addiction and common mental disorders and the association between smartphone addiction and these mental disorders among students attending a state university in Cameroon. This study is one of the largest of its kind in sub-Saharan Africa and is an important contribution to the crucial lack of data on mental illnesses and the association between smartphone use and these mental illnesses in sub-Saharan Africa. We found that smartphone addiction, depression and anxiety were prevalent especially among females and bachelor's degree students. Smartphones were mainly used for social media activities and SMS. There was strong evidence of an association between smartphone addiction and common mental disorders even after controlling for multiple a priori confounders. Apart from the large sample size, other strengths of the study are the use of multistage random sampling to enroll study participants and logistic regression modeling to assess if there is an association between smartphone addiction and common mental illnesses. The above sampling method and the use of logistic regression are key strategies to reduce sampling bias and confounding bias respectively and they both increase the internal validity of the results.

Previous studies have demonstrated that smartphone addiction among students tends to be high [22,23] with some authors reporting addiction percentages of almost 50% in their samples [22]. A previous cross-sectional study involving medical students in multiple state universities in Cameroon reported major depressive disorders in about a third of study participants [24]. Our results are comparable to these findings and our study further concurs with this previous report in that students with symptoms of common mental disorders tended to be females. Larger and more robust studies in the United Kingdom [25] and the United States of America [26] concluded that women have higher rates of common mental disorders than men. It has been proposed that the higher prevalence of depressive symptoms in women may be as a result of triggering by hormonal changes, particularly during puberty, prior to menstruation, following pregnancy and at perimenopause [27]. Mental health improvement programmes must consider this gender difference in order to foster equity in the access to and utilisation of effective mental health services.

Social media and smartphones took most of the time spent using smartphones. Similar results were obtained in previous studies conducted out of Africa [28,29] which found that social networking are the most time spending activities. Thus, our results concur with the general tendency for problematic smartphone users to report social media as the most preferred activity on smartphone [27]. In the general population, smartphone and social media use are far more common in younger and educated population segments as our study sample.

The magnitude of the association (when observed) between problematic smartphone use and common mental disorders varies across literature and this may be due to the differences in data collection methods (such as face-to-face versus online), and assessment tools for measuring addiction and mental health disorders, and variations in baseline characteristics of the study populations. For instance, our study involved a student population that was relatively young, and the data was collected via face-to-face interviews while in the Middle East, Alhassan and colleagues studied data collected using web-based questionnaires administered to adults [29,30]. The two studies also used different methods of measuring depressive symptoms: Beck's Depression Inventory in the study by Alhassan and collaborators [17] and PHQ-9 in our study. A global review by Sohn and colleagues found that there is a significant association between problematic smartphone use and common mental health disorders [17]. Albeit our results concur with the findings of this review, it should be noted that the review synthesised evidence from Europe, America and Asia and not Africa [17]. Further studies in the sub-Saharan African context are therefore needed to make more meaningful comparisons.

This study is not without limitations. Data were collected from students from the university of Dschang, so the results should be interpreted with caution when referring to other segments of the general population. Additionally, social desirability bias and recall bias during the filling of the questionnaire as well as lack of adjustment for other potential confounders such as socioeconomic status, sleep pattern and history of intimate partner violence may have reduced the validity of our findings. Moreover, it is possible that the validity of the results was also affected by measurement bias given that depression and anxiety were assessed using self-reported psychological symptoms measured using PHQ-9 and PHQ-7 which have not been validated in Africa. In order to provide much stronger evidence on the association between smartphone use and common mental illnesses, larger observational studies involving structured interviews by clinicians, adjustment for a broader range of confounders and assessment of reverse causality should be conducted.

5. Conclusion and recommendation

Depression and anxiety were prevalent in the study population. Smartphone addiction was found to be associated with these common mental disorders. In this setting, it is crucial to foster strategies of prevention and promotion in mental health especially among problematic smartphone users. Apart from raising awareness on these issues among students, the ministry of higher education in collaboration with the ministry of health could consider integrating more functional psychosocial support and basic mental health services with pre-existing programmes in university settings. In order to assess if there is evidence of stronger

causal relationships between smartphone addiction and mental health problems, much larger observational studies are warranted.

Abbreviations

CI: Confidence Interval

FAAS: Faculty of agronomy and agricultural sciences

FESM: Faculty of economic sciences and management

FLHS: Faculty of Letters and Human Sciences

FLPS: Faculty of Law and Political Sciences

FS: Faculty of Science

LMICs: Low and middle-income countries

PHQ-7: Patient health questionnaire 7

PHQ-9: Patient health questionnaire 9

SAS-SV: Smartphone addiction scale short version

SMS: Short Message Service

Data availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest

Authors' contributions

Martial Pianta Sonkoue and Jerome Ateudjieu conceived the study and did the literature review. They also prepared the protocol for this study. Martial Pianta Sonkoue, Miranda Baame Esong and Cyrielle Djouda Douanla collected the data. Martial Pianta Sonkoue, Benjamin Momo Kadia and Jerome Ateudjieu analysed and interpreted the data. Martial Pianta Sonkoue, Miranda Baame Esong and Cyrielle Djouda Douanla prepared and edited the manuscript. Benjamin Momo Kadia critically reviewed the technical and intellectual consistency of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No funding was obtained for the conduct of this study

References

1. World Health Organization. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates. WHO, 2017. Geneva, Switzerland.
2. Bloom D.E., Cafiero, E.T., Jané-Llopis E., Abrahams-Gessel S., Bloom L.R., Fathima S. et al. The Global Economic Burden of Noncommunicable Diseases. World Economic Forum., 2011. Geneva, Switzerland.
3. United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development.: UN, 2015. New York, United States of America.
4. Sarokhani D, Delpisheh A, Veisani Y, Sarokhani MT, Manesh RE, Sayehmiri K. Prevalence of depression among university students: a systematic review and meta-analysis study. *Depress Res Treat*. 2013;373857. doi:10.1155/2013/373857
5. Baxter A, Scott K, Vos T, Whiteford H. Global prevalence of anxiety disorders: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Psychol Med*. 2013; 43(5), 897-910.
6. Remes O, Brayne C, van der Linde R, Lafortune L. A systematic review of reviews on the prevalence of anxiety disorders in adult populations. *Brain Behav*. 2016;6(7): e00497.
7. Castillo L, Schwartz S. Introduction to the Special Issue on College Student Mental Health. *J Clin Psychol*. 2013;69(4):291-7.
8. Oxford dictionaries. Smartphone [Updated 2016; cited 2015 Sep 26]. Available from: <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/smartphone>
9. Cerwall P. Ericsson mobility report 2016. Retrieved from <https://www.ericsson.com/assets/local/mobility-report/documents/2016/ericsson-mobility-report-november-2016.pdf>
10. Kyung-Hye H, Yang-Sook Y, Ok-Hee C. Smartphone overuse and upper extremity pain, anxiety, depression, and interpersonal relationships among college students. *The Journal of the Korea Contents Association*. 2012; 12(10), 365-375
11. Demirci K, Akgönül M, Akpınar A. Relationship of smartphone use severity with sleep quality, depression, and anxiety in university students. *J Behav Addict*. 2015 4(2). 85-92.
12. Lee KE, Kim SH, Ha TY, Yoo YM, Han JJ, Jung JH et al. Dependency on smartphone use and its association with anxiety in Korea. *Public health reports*. 1974, 131(3), 411–419.
13. Matar Boumosleh J, Jaalouk D. Depression, anxiety, and smartphone addiction in university students: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(8): e0182239. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0182239

14. Kim YJ, Jang HM, Lee Y, Lee D, Kim DJ. "Effects of Internet and Smartphone Addictions on Depression and Anxiety Based on Propensity Score Matching Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018; 25;15(5). doi: 10.3390/ijerph15050859
15. Elhai JD, Dvorak RD, Levine JC, Hall BJ. Problematic smartphone use: a conceptual overview and systematic review of relations with anxiety and depression psychopathology. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;251-259. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.030
16. January J, Madhombiro M, Chipamaunga S, Ray S, Chingono A, Abas M. Prevalence of depression and anxiety among undergraduate university students in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review protocol. *Syst Rev*.2018;7(1):57.
17. Sohn S, Rees P, Wildridge B, Kalk NJ, Carter B. Prevalence of problematic smartphone usage and associated mental health outcomes amongst children and young people: a systematic review, meta-analysis and GRADE of the evidence. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019; 356(2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-019-2350-x>
18. Cochran, WG. *Sampling techniques*. Wiley 1977. New York, United States of America
19. Manea L, Gilbody S, McMillan D. Optimal cut-off score for diagnosing depression with the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9): a meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. 2012; 184 (3)
20. Min K, Dai-Jin K, Hyun C, Soo Y. The smartphone addiction scale: development and validation of a short version for adolescents. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(12): e83558. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083558.
21. Olatz LF. Short version of the Smartphone Addiction Scale adapted to Spanish and French: Towards a cross-cultural research in problematic mobile phone use. *Addict Behav*. 2017; 64:275-280.
22. Aljomaa SS, Al. Qudah MF, Albursan IS, Bakhiet SF, Abduljabbar AS. Smartphone addiction among university students in the light of some variables. *Computers in Human Behaviour*. 2016; 6, 155-64
23. Alhazmi AA, Alzahrani SH, Baig M, Salawati EM, Alkatheri A. Prevalence and factors associated with smartphone addiction among medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2018; 34(4): 984-88
24. Ngassa SN, Sama CB, Dzekem BS, Nforchu KN, Tindong M, Aroke D, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with depression among medical students in Cameroon: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017; 17(1): 216
25. Gulland, A. Women have higher rates of mental disorders than men, NHS survey finds. *BMJ* (online). 2016; 354: i5320. DOI: 10. 1136/bmj.i5320
26. McLean CP, Asnaani A, Litz BT, Hofmann SG. Gender differences in anxiety disorders: prevalence, course of illness, comorbidity and burden of illness. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2011; 45(8), 1027–35.

27. Albert PR. Why is depression more prevalent in women? *J Psychiatr Neurosci*. 2015; 40(4), 219–21.
28. Alosaimi FD, Alyahya H, Alshahwan H, Mahyijari NA, Shaik SA. Smartphone addiction among university students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; *Saudi Med J*. 2016; 37(6): 675–683.
29. Ozer O, Sanal Y. Smartphone addiction and the use of social media among university students. *MJH*. 2017;7. 367-77.
30. Alhassan AA, Alqadhib EM, Taha NW, Alahmari RA, Salam M, Almutairi AF. The relationship between addiction to smartphone usage and depression among adults: a cross sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2018;18(1):148.