medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.28.20029272; this version posted March 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title:

Closed environments facilitate secondary transmission of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)

Authors:

Hiroshi Nishiura, M.D., Ph.D.[1, 2]

Hitoshi Oshitani, M.D., Ph.D. [1, 3]

Tetsuro Kobayashi, M.D. [1, 2]

Tomoya Saito, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D. [1, 4]

Tomimasa Sunagawa, M.D., Ph.D. [1, 5]

Tamano Matsui, M.D., Ph.D. [1, 5]

Takaji Wakita, M.D., Ph.D. [1, 5]

MHLW COVID-19 Response Team[1]

Motoi Suzuki, M.D., Ph.D. [1, 5]

1. Cluster Intervention Group, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2. Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, 3. Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 4. National Institute of Public Health, 5. National Institute of Infectious Diseases

(Correspondence to Hiroshi Nishiura at: Address: Kita 15 Jo Nishi 7 Chome, Kita-ku, Sapporo-shi, Hokkaido 060-8638, Japan, Tel: +81-11-706-5066; Fax: +81-11-706-7819; Email: nishiurah@med.hokudai.ac.jp)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.28.20029272; this version posted March 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Main text:

Although the incidence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China began to decrease in February 2020,[1] many countries are struggling with containment of the disease. To effectively reduce the spread of COVID-19, it is vital to identify common features of cases so as to better understand what factors promote superspreading events,[2] wherein an extraordinarily large number of secondary transmissions are produced by a single primary case. Commissioned by the Minister of the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare of Japan (see Supplementary text), we collected secondary transmission data with the aim of identifying high risk transmission settings.

As of 26 February 2020,[3] we examined a total of 110 cases among eleven clusters and investigated who acquired infection from whom. The clusters included four in Tokyo and one each in Aichi, Fukuoka, Hokkaido, Ishikawa, Kanagawa and Wakayama prefectures. All clusters were associated with close contact in indoor environments, including fitness gyms, a restaurant boat on a river, hospitals, and a snow festival where there were eating spaces in tents with minimal ventilation rate.

The number of secondary cases generated by each primary case was calculated using contact tracing data. Of the 110 cases examined, 27 (24.6%) were primary cases who generated secondary cases. Figure 1 shows the distribution of these transmissions, of which the mean and variance were 0.6 cases and 2.5 cases^2, respectively. The odds that a primary case transmitted COVID-19 in a closed environment was 18.7 times greater compared to an open-air environment (95% confidence interval [CI]: 6.0, 57.9).

If superspreading events are defined as events where the number of secondary cases generated by a single primary case is greater than the 95th percentile of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.28.20029272; this version posted March 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

distribution (i.e. transmission to three or more persons), then eleven of the 110 cases (10.0%) were involved in such events. Nine of these events (81.8%) took place in closed environments, and the odds ratio (OR) of superspreading events in closed environments was as high as 29.8 (95% CI: 5.8, 153.4).

It is plausible that closed environments contribute to secondary transmission of COVID-19 and promote superspreading events. Closed environments are consistent with large-scale COVID-19 transmission events such as that of the ski chalet-associated cluster in France and the church- and hospital-associated clusters in South Korea[4]. Our findings are also consistent with the declining incidence of COVID-19 cases in China, as gathering in closed environments was prohibited in the wake of the rapid spread of the disease.

Reduction of unnecessary close contact in closed environments may help prevent large case clusters and superspreading events. We hope that with such a reduction in contact the reproduction number of COVID-19 in Japan will be maintained below 1 and contact tracing will be sufficient to contain disease spread.[5] As the possibility of confounders and interactions was not assessed in this study, additional studies must be conducted to verify the importance of closed environments as facilitators for transmission of COVID-19.

Conflict of interest:

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement:

We sincerely thank staff of local governments, including health centers and prefectural institutes of public health, healthcare facilities, and associated companies and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.28.20029272; this version posted March 3, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

organizations for cooperating us to collect and investigate secondary transmission data.

References:

1. The Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. Vital Surveillances: The Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) — China, 2020. China CDC Weekly 2020;2(8):113-122.

2. Lloyd-Smith JO, Schreiber SJ, Kopp PE, Getz WM. Superspreading and the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. Nature 2005;438:355-359.

3. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. On the novel coronavirus infection. Tokyo: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2020. Available online from: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000164708_00001.html (accessed on 26 February 2020).

4. Promed mail. Subject: PRO/AH/EDR> COVID-19 update (19): China, global, Italy & Iran. imported cases, WHO. Archive Number: 20200226.7029842

5. Grantz K, Metcalf JE, Lessler J. Dispersion vs. control. 2020. Available online from: https://hopkinsidd.github.io/nCoV-Sandbox/DispersionExploration.html (accessed on 26 February 2020).

Figure legend

Figure 1. The distribution of the number of secondary cases generated by a single primary case with novel coronavirus (COVID-19). The mean and variance were 0.6 cases and 2.5 cases^2, respectively.


Primary cases in closed environment
Other primary cases
Frequency
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
Number of secondary cases per single primary case