

1 A simple ecological model captures the transmission pattern of the coronavirus COVID-
2 19 outbreak in China

3

4 Feng Zhang^{1*}, Jinmei Zhang², Menglan Cao¹, Cang Hui^{3,4,*}

5

6 1. Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecosystem Protection and Restoration,
7 School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei 230601,
8 China;

9 2. Xiguan Community Health Center of Liangzhou, Wuwei 733000, China;

10 3. Centre for Invasion Biology, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stellenbosch
11 University, Matieland 7602, South Africa;

12 4. Mathematical BioSciences Unit, African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cape
13 Town 7945, South Africa;

14

15 **Abstract**

16 The rapid spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19), initially reported in the city
17 of Wuhan in China, and quickly transmitted to the entire nation and beyond, has become
18 an international public health emergency. Estimating the final number of infection cases
19 and the turning point (time with the fastest spreading rate) is crucial to assessing and
20 improving the national and international control measures currently being applied. In
21 this paper we develop a simple model based on infectious growth with a time-varying
22 infection rate, and estimate the final number of infections and the turning point using
23 data updated daily from 3 February 2020, when China escalated its initial public health
24 measures, to 10 February. Our model provides an extremely good fit to the existing data
25 and therefore a reasonable estimate of the time-varying infection rate that has largely
26 captured the transmission pattern of this epidemic outbreak. Our estimation suggests
27 that (i) the final number of infections in China could reach 78,000 with an upper 95%
28 confidence limit of 88,880; (ii) the turning point of the fastest spread was on the 4th or
29 the 5th of February; and (iii) the projected period for the end of the outbreak (i.e., when

30 **NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**
95% of the final predicted number of infection is reached) will be the 24th of February,

31 with an upper 95% confidence limit on the 19th of March. This suggests that the current
32 control measures in China are excellent, and more than sufficient to contain the spread
33 of this highly infectious novel coronavirus, and that the application of such measures
34 could be considered internationally for the global control of this outbreak.

35

36 **Introduction**

37 The Wuhan coronavirus (COVID-19) possibly originated from bats¹ and caused the
38 current pneumonia outbreak, raging since December 2019. As the COVID-19 has an
39 excessively high human-to-human transmission capability, and the early outbreak stage
40 coincided with the travel rush of the Chinese Spring Festival, the pandemic has been
41 spreading rapidly². According to reports by the National Health Commission of China
42 (NHCC), the first confirmed case was reported in Wuhan (Hubei Province) on 8
43 December 2019; the following day there were also confirmed cases in the major Chinese
44 cities of Beijing and Shanghai, as well as in the neighbouring countries of Japan, Thailand
45 and South Korea; as of 25 January, a total of all 31 Chinese provinces, as well as Hong
46 Kong, Macau, Taiwan, the United States, France and Australia reported pandemic cases of
47 COVID-19. It is apparent that the pandemic is still ongoing both in China and
48 internationally.

49 In the meantime, Chinese authorities have taken prompt public health measures to
50 control this outbreak. Medical teams and supplies from all over the country flooded into
51 Wuhan. All major cities in Hubei Province were sealed off to contain the spread of the
52 virus, with quarantine imposed on all people exposed to the virus. China has striven to
53 limit the spread of the virus through prohibiting human traffic and movement at an
54 unprecedented level. The World Health Organization (WHO) has also taken a series of
55 measures to consolidate global scientific research, quarantine, and surveillance efforts to
56 help fight the pandemic. At present, WHO is working with partner agencies to enhance
57 the global diagnostic capabilities of COVID-19, improve surveillance and the tracking of
58 disease transmission, along with mobilizing global powers to coordinate research on
59 vaccines, therapies and diagnostic technologies for the virus.

60 For effective monitoring and containment of the pandemic, it is therefore crucial to

61 predict and estimate the spreading dynamics of this novel infectious disease from a
62 modelling point of view. Much has been done using the traditional SIR epidemiological
63 models³⁻⁵. However, such models often suffer from unreliable model structure and
64 parameterization; these problems are especially pronounced in this case when the
65 pathology and the transmission pathways of the virus remain unclear, making rapid,
66 accurate forecasting impossible. Here we propose a population ecology model with a
67 time-varying infection rate that does not rely on the detailed pathology of the disease
68 but could accurately capture the spreading dynamics of the virus. This model allows us
69 to estimate and predict the spreading dynamics of the COVID-19 with reliable accuracy,
70 given the current healthcare measures in place.

71

72 **Materials and Methods**

73 We first develop a simple population dynamic model with a time-varying parameter. Let
74 $N(t)$ be the number of infected cases, with its temporal dynamics following an ordinary
75 differential equation, $dN(t)/dt = r(t)N(t)$, where $r(t)$ is the time-dependent
76 infection rate. This model applies when the susceptible population is large but the
77 outbreak is limited and temporary so that the demographic dynamics of the entire
78 population remain unchanged (i.e., the additional death and recovery rates caused by
79 the infection among all residents of the Hubei Province are negligible). With this simple
80 model, we can estimate the turning point as the moment when the number of infected
81 cases increases at the fastest speed, happening when $d^2N(t)/dt^2 = 0$. That is, the
82 turning point is the solution to the equation $dr(t)/dt + r(t)^2 = 0$. Notably, $dr(t)/dt <$
83 0 is necessary condition for existing turning point. In practice, the infection rate can be
84 estimated as $r(t + 1/2) = \ln(N(t + 1)) - \ln(N(t))$, where t is measured in days.

85 We compiled the daily numbers of diagnosed COVID-19 infections from 10 January
86 to 10 February 2020 in Wuhan city, Hubei Province, and the whole of China from the
87 website of the NHCC (www.nhc.gov.cn) and the Health Commission of Hubei Province
88 (wjw.hubei.gov.cn). This allowed us to analyse the data at four levels: Wuhan city, Hubei
89 Province, the rest of China, and the whole of China. As there were two large-scale control
90 measures implemented on 26 January and 2 February, we fitted the time-dependent

91 infection rate $r(t)$ with exponential functions for two periods: from 27 January to 2
92 February, and from 3 February to 10 February. We estimated the trajectories of the
93 cumulative number of infected cases using the fitted infection rate according to the
94 difference equation, $N(t + \tau) = \exp(r(t + \tau/2)\tau)N(t)$, with $\tau = 0.05$ (day). The daily
95 increase of infected cases can be calculated as $(N(t + \tau) - N(t))/\tau$, and the turning
96 point can be identified according to the condition $dr(t)/dt + r(t)^2 = 0$.

97

98 **Results and Discussions**

99 Since 26 January when China implemented strict nationwide control measures, a clear
100 pattern of transmission has emerged. Albeit minor declines can be observed, the time-
101 varying rate of infection has dropped exponentially for the whole of China and Hubei
102 Province, although the rate still increases in Wuhan city (red lines in Fig.1). This means
103 that the first nationwide control measure (implemented on 26 January) failed to contain
104 the outbreak and the spread remained out of control.

105 According to the data from 3 February to 10 February, the infection rates of Wuhan
106 city, Hubei Province and the whole of China were all declining at largely the same rate (a
107 decrement of 0.16-0.18 per day; the slope of blue lines in Fig.1), similar to the rate of
108 decline in the rest of China when the Wuhan and Hubei cases were excluded (the slope of
109 the blue curve in Fig.1). It is worth pointing out that the infection rate has declined at a
110 steady pace for areas outside Hubei Province. This suggests that the second nationwide
111 control measure (implemented on 2 February) was effective. The fitted model from 3
112 February to 10 February can accurately predict the daily and accumulated infection
113 numbers on 11 February. However, on 12 February, Hubei Province changed its clinical
114 diagnostic approach, leading to a sharp rise of diagnosed cases and a reported daily
115 increase of 15,151 infections; this unforeseeable change made the number of reported
116 cases much greater than model predictions. Nevertheless, the reports after 12 February
117 suggest that the change of clinical diagnostic approach did not affect the transmission
118 pattern, and the daily infection rate reverted to our model prediction (black crosses in
119 Fig.1). As such, we have estimated the spreading dynamics after 13 February according
120 to our fitted model (from 3 February to 10 February). Our results show that the total

121 numbers of infections in Wuhan city, Hubei province, and the whole of China, are 48,530,
122 64,640 and 77,990 respectively (Table 1). By subtraction, this means a total number of
123 13,350 for the rest of China excluding Hubei Province, which is highly consistent with
124 the model prediction of 13,660 (see Table 1).

125 Our model also suggests that the spreading dynamics follow a typical S-shaped
126 logistic curve. This means that there is a turning point when the rate of infection has
127 reached its peak. Transmission accelerates when approaching the turning point, then
128 decelerates after the turning point. Our model indicates a turning point around the 4th to
129 the 5th of February (Fig.1 & 2). The duration of the outbreak, for reaching 95% of the
130 final predicted number of infection from 10 January, was estimated to last 46 days in
131 Wuhan city, 43 days in Hubei and the whole of China, and 37 days when Hubei was
132 excluded (Table 1); it was estimated the outbreak would subside at the end of February
133 in China.

134 Our model assumed an infinite size of a susceptible population trivially affected by
135 infection, recovery and control. This makes our model different from the traditional SIR
136 or SEIR epidemiological models, where the rise of infection cases is due to the large
137 susceptible population, while the decline of infection cases is due to the depletion of the
138 susceptible population. Such typical pattern of epidemiological models is obviously
139 unsuitable for the spread of COVID-19 in China with susceptible populations of such
140 massive size (e.g., >11 million residents in Wuhan alone and ~60 million residents in
141 Hubei). Epidemiological models could be more suitable for modelling the spread of
142 COVID-19 among the 3,400 passengers and crew members on the *Diamond Princess*
143 cruise ship currently docked in Japan's Yokohama harbour for quarantine.

144 Our simple model captured the dynamic nature of the infection rate, which can be
145 adjusted flexibly according to updated data. This allows us to predict short-term
146 spreading dynamics with accuracy, which is essential for the live monitoring of the
147 epidemic. According to the time dependency of the infection rate, we could also assess
148 the effectiveness of implemented control measures and provide modelling support to
149 adjust any public health measures. Our results showed three stages of the COVID-19
150 spreading: (1) the early stage with no clear dynamic patterns, due largely to lack of

151 awareness and diagnostic capability; (2) from 27 January to 2 February there were
152 nationwide control measures but with no clear effect on the control of the disease,
153 especially in Wuhan city; (3) since 2 February another coordinated nationwide control
154 measure was implemented, with the clear effects of containing the spread of the virus,
155 decelerating and eventually reducing the epidemic. Lessons learnt from these
156 nationwide control measures applied after 2 February should be communicated to the
157 WHO, to help contain the spread of COVID-19 worldwide.

158

159 **Acknowledgement:** FZ is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China
160 (No.31360104) and Anhui University (S020118002/101); CH is supported by the
161 National Research Foundation of South Africa (grant 89967).

162

163 **References**

- 164 1. Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable
165 bat origin. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7 (2020).
- 166 2. Li, Q. *et al.* Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-
167 Infected Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001316 (2020).
- 168 3. Wu, J. T., Leung, K. & Leung, G. M. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic
169 and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a
170 modelling study. *Lancet*, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9 (2020).
- 171 4. Tang, B. *et al.* Estimation of the Transmission Risk of the 2019-nCoV and Its
172 Implication for Public Health Interventions. *J Clin Med* 9, doi:10.3390/jcm9020462
173 (2020).
- 174 5. Keeling, M. J. & Rohani, P. *Modeling Infectious Diseases*. (Princeton University Press,
175 2008).

176

177

178

179


180 **Table 1:** Predictions for the coronavirus COVID-19 outbreak in China (time refers to the
181 number of days from 10-Jan; numbers in parentheses represent 95% confidence
182 intervals).

183

Region	Turning point (day)	Final infections (Thousand)	Day of 95% prediction
Wuhan city	28.51	48,530 (41,360 – 95,450)	45.47 (38.14, 69.79)
Hubei Province	27.27	64,640 (59,240 – 79,880)	42.85 (38.19, 52.60)
Entire China	26.54	77,990 (72,980 – 88,880)	42.62 (38.80, 49.34)
China excl. Hubei	22.93	13,660 (11,810 – 16,070)	37.07 (35.72, 38.83)

184

185




186

187

188 **Fig. 1:** The time-dependent infection rate. Points show data reported by the National
 189 Health Commission of China and the Health Commission of Hubei Province. Red lines
 190 and blue lines are from the regression of the data from 27-Jan to 2-Feb and from 3-Feb to
 191 10-Feb, respectively. Green line represents the regression of 13-Feb to 18-Feb. Shadow
 192 belts indicate 95% confidence intervals. Open circles are the data before 10-Feb. Black
 193 crosses represent after predictions (the data from 12-Feb to 14-Feb include clinical
 194 diagnoses, instead of nucleic acid detection alone, where blue circles represent data
 195 excluding clinical diagnoses). Red triangles indicate predicted turning points.

196

197



198

199

200 **Fig. 2:** The predicted dynamics of infection over time. Solid lines represent the
201 predictions based on the models fitted to the data from 3-Jan to 10-Jan, while dashed
202 lines represent revised predictions due to the change of diagnostic method from 12-Feb.
203 Shadow belts represent a 95% confidence interval. Black and red points represent the
204 data before 10 February (for model fitting), while blue and pink points represent the
205 data afterwards. Red triangles indicate predicted turning points.

206