

## Original research paper

# Sex differences in clinical findings among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and severe condition

Jing Li, MD, PhD <sup>#, \* a</sup>; Yinghua Zhang, MD<sup># b</sup>; Fang Wang, MD, PhD <sup>a</sup>; Bing Liu, MD, PhD <sup>a</sup>; Hui Li, MD <sup>a</sup>; Guodong Tang, MD <sup>a</sup>; Zhigang Chang, MD, PhD <sup>c</sup>; Aihua Liu, MD <sup>d</sup>; Chunyi Fu, MD <sup>e</sup>; Jing Gao, MD, PhD <sup>\* b</sup>; Jing Li, MD, PhD <sup>\*, b, f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Cardiology, Beijing Hospital, Beijing 100730, China

<sup>b</sup> Division of Cardiology, Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing 100053, China

<sup>c</sup> Division of Intensive Care Unit, Beijing Hospital, Beijing 100730, China

<sup>d</sup> Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Beijing Hospital, Beijing 100730, China

<sup>e</sup> Division of Emergency, Beijing Hospital, Beijing 100730, China

<sup>f</sup> National Center Research Center Of Geriatric Disease [Xuanwu Hospital], Beijing 100053, China

<sup>#</sup> Contributed equally to the manuscript

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors

## Correspondence

Jing Li, MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology

Beijing Hospital

#1 Dahua Road, Dongcheng District Beijing 100730, China

Tel: +86 13501006249

e-Mail: [leejingabc@sina.com](mailto:leejingabc@sina.com)

Jing Gao, MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology

Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University

#45 Changchun Street, Xicheng District Beijing 100053, China

Tel: +86-13011068404

e-Mail: [gaojing\\_gao@sina.com](mailto:gaojing_gao@sina.com)

Jing Li, MD, PhD, FESC

Division of Cardiology

Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University

#45 Changchun Street, Xicheng District Beijing 100053, China

Tel: +86-13611092728

e-Mail: [shpxbb@sina.com](mailto:shpxbb@sina.com)

**Running head:** Sex differences in COVID-19

Total Pages: 19, tables: 3, words: 1447

## **Abstract**

**Objective:** To compare the sex differences in the clinical findings among patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

**Methods:** We retrospectively collected data of 47 patients diagnosed as severe type of COVID-19 from February 8 to 22, 2020, including demographics, illness history, physical examination, laboratory test, management, and compared differences between men and women.

**Results:** Of the 47 patients, 28 (59.6%) were men. The median age was 62 years, and 30 (63.8%) had comorbidities. The initial symptoms were mainly fever (34 [72.3%]), cough (36 [76.6%]), myalgia (5 [10.6%]) and fatigue (7 [14.9%]). Procalcitonin level was higher in men than in women (0.08 vs. 0.04ng/ml,  $p=0.002$ ). N-terminal-pro brain natriuretic peptide increased in 16 (57.1%) men and 5 (26.3%) women ( $p=0.037$ ). Five men (17.9%) had detected positive influenza A antibody, but no women. During 2-week admission, 5 (17.9%) men and 1 (5.3%) woman were reclassified into the critical type due to deterioration. Mortality was 3.6% in men and 0 in women respectively. Four (21.1%) women and one man (3.6%) recovered and discharged from hospital.

**Conclusion:** Sex differences may exist in COVID-19 patients of severe type. Men are likely to have more complicated clinical condition and worse in-hospital outcomes as compared to women.

**Key words:** coronavirus, severe pneumonia, sex difference, outcome

## Introduction

From December 2019, a pneumonia with unknown cause emerged in the Huanan seafood wholesale market, Wuhan, Hubei province. On January 3, 2020, the first complete genome of the novel  $\beta$  genus coronaviruses was identified in samples of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) from a patient[1], which is different from the known 6 coronaviruses and named 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by WHO[2]. Soon later, the novel virus has proved to share more than 79% of same sequence with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV)[3]. Therefore, the virus is formally designated as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by the Coronavirus Study Group (CSG) of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses[4]. The disease caused by the virus is named as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

In the following months, SARS-CoV-2 quickly spread throughout China and even other countries[5-8]. However, the knowledge and understanding of the COVID-19 are still limited. Generally, the disease could be classified into four clinical types: mild, moderate, severe and critical pneumonia[9]. According data released by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on February 17, 2020, a total of 44,672 cases (61.8%) has been confirmed COVID-19[10]. Among them, more than 80% are mild or moderate with relatively good short-term prognosis due to self-limiting process. However, 6,168 (13.8%) cases belong to the severe type and is more likely to develop

into the critical pneumonia followed by a death rate of 49%, far beyond the average mortality of 2.3%. Although some data regarding clinical characteristics of COVID-19 has been recently revealed[5-7, 10, 11], those focusing on patients with the severe type is scarce. Moreover, since SARS-CoV-2 is highly homologous with prior SARS-CoV, it comes naturally that they may have similar clinical features, such as highly contagious infection, high lethality rate, and even sex differences[12].

In this study, we aim to compare clinical findings between men and women with severe type of COVID-19, and hope to contribute to the prevention and treatment.

## **Methods**

### **Study population**

We retrospectively collected data of 47 patients at Sino-French New Town area Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, where was supported and charged by the medical team of Beijing Hospital from February 8, 2020. Patients were all diagnosed with COVID-19 and classified into severe pneumonia according to the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonia Infected by Novel Coronavirus (5th trial edition) pressed by the General Office of the National Health Commission and the General Office of the National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine[9]. This study was approved by the Ethics Commission of Beijing Hospital.

Laboratory confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 was done in four different institutions: the Chinese CDC, the Chinese Academy of Medical Science, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, and Wuhan Institute of Virology and Chinese Academy of Sciences. Throat-swab specimens from the upper respiratory tract that were obtained from all patients at admission were collected for extracting SARS-CoV-2 RNA. The protocol was the same as the document published recently[6]. We also examined other respiratory viruses with real-time RT-PCR, including influenza A virus (H1N1, H3N2, H7N9), influenza B virus, respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza virus, adenovirus, SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and MERS coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Sputum or endotracheal aspirates were obtained at admission for the identification of possible

causative bacteria or fungi.

Severe pneumonia met at least one of the following criteria: 1) dyspnea, respiratory frequency  $\geq 30$ /minute, 2) blood oxygen saturation  $\leq 93\%$  at rest, 3) PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio  $\leq 300$ . Critical pneumonia met at least one of the following criteria: 1) respiratory failure with mechanical ventilation, 2) septic shock, 3) transferred to intensive care unit (ICU) due to multiple organ failure.[9]

### **Data collection**

We obtained demographic, illness history, physical examination, laboratory test, management, and outcome data from patients' medical records. Blood oxygen saturation was measured after oxygen therapy. Clinical outcomes were followed up by February 22, 2020.

Laboratory tests were conducted within 24 hours after admission, including a complete blood count, procalcitonin, interleukin-6, Ferritin, hypersensitive troponin I (hsTnI) and N-terminal-pro brain natriuretic peptide (NTproBNP).

### **Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as median (IQR) and compared with the Mann-Whitney U test; categorical variables were expressed as number (%) and compared by  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test between the men and women groups. A two-sided  $\alpha$  of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical analyses were done using the SPSS software (version 23) for all

analyses.



## Results

From February 8 to February 11, 2020, 47 laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients were classified as severe pneumonia at the area, 28 (59.6%) cases were men. About 63.8% of patients had comorbidities. History of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was non-significant higher but hypertension and cardiovascular disease (CVD) was lower in men than in women. The initial symptoms were mainly fever, cough, myalgia and fatigue. Three men and one woman had oxygen saturation below 93% after routine nasal oxygen supply (Table 1).

Table 1 demographics and clinical characteristics of severe pneumonia patients with COVID-19

Characteristics	No. (%)			p value <sup>a</sup>
	All patients (n=47)	Men (n=28)	Women (n=19)	
age, median (IQR), y	62.0 (51.0-70.0)	62.5 (50.5-69.5)	61.0 (51.0-70.0)	0.729
> 65	19 (40.4)	12 (42.9)	7 (36.8)	0.680
Comorbidities	30 (63.8)	17 (60.7)	13 (68.4)	0.589
diabetes	7 (14.9)	3 (10.7)	4 (21.1)	0.576
hypothyroidism	2 (4.3)	0	2 (10.5)	0.158
hypertension	17 (36.2)	7 (25.0)	10 (52.6)	0.053
CVD	6 (12.8)	1 (3.6)	5 (26.3)	0.065
COPD	7 (14.9)	7 (25.0)	0	0.052
malignancy	7 (14.9)	5 (17.9)	2 (10.5)	0.783
liver cirrhosis	3 (6.4)	3 (10.7)	0	0.386
hyperlipidemia	1 (2.1)	0	1 (5.3)	0.404
anemia	1 (2.1)	1 (3.6)	0	1.000

---

Initial symptoms

fever	34 (72.3)	19 (67.9)	15 (78.9)	0.404
cough	36 (76.6)	20 (71.4)	16 (84.2)	0.506
fatigue	7 (14.9)	4 (14.3)	3 (15.8)	1.000
myalgia	5 (10.6)	3 (10.7)	2 (10.5)	1.000
Sputum	2 (4.3)	2 (7.1)	0	0.508
dyspnea	3 (6.4)	3 (10.7)	0	0.386
headache	3 (6.4)	2 (7.1)	1 (5.3)	1.000
sore throat	1 (2.1)	1 (3.6)	0	1.000
chest distress	2 (4.3)	1 (3.6)	1 (5.3)	1.000
chest pain	1 (2.1)	1 (3.6)	0	1.000
diarrhea	3 (6.4)	2 (7.1)	1 (5.3)	1.000
nausea	2 (4.3)	0	2 (10.5)	0.158
SBP, median (IQR), mmHg	133.0 (123.0-146.0)	132.5 (117.8-144.5)	134.0 (127.0-149.0)	0.237
DBP, median (IQR), mmHg	82.0 (75.0-93.0)	82.0 (72.0-93.0)	82.0 (76.0-95.0)	0.602
Heart Rate, median (IQR), bpm	88.0 (75.0-99.0)	89.0 (77.3-101.8)	78.0 (74.0-98.0)	0.351
SPO2, median (IQR), % §	97.0 (94.0-98.0)	96.0 (94.0-97.0)	98.0 (96.0-99.0)	0.014
≤93.0	4 (8.5%)	3 (10.7%)	1 (5.3%)	0.901

---

<sup>a</sup> p values indicate differences between men and women.  $p < .05$  was considered statistically significant. <sup>§</sup>Oxygen saturation was measured on admission after receiving oxygen therapy. COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019 ; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVD = cardiovascular disease; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure.

The serum procalcitonin and NTproBNP level were higher in men than in women. Five men had positive influenza A antibody, but no women. Antibiotic therapy was applied more in the men group than the women group (Table 2).

Table 2 Laboratory characteristics of severe pneumonia patients with COVID-19

	Median (IQR)			p value <sup>a</sup>
	All patients (n=47)	Men (n=28)	Women (n=19)	
WBC, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	4.7 (3.9-6.8)	5.2 (3.6-7.6)	4.6 (4.4-5.7)	0.447
> 10.0, No. (%)	2 (4.3)	2 (7.1)	0	0.357
< 4.0, No. (%)	12 (25.5)	9 (32.1)	3 (15.8)	0.508
Neutrophil count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	3.1 (2.1-5.2)	4.1 (2.0-6.9)	2.9 (2.5-3.4)	0.353
Lymphocyte count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1.3 (0.7-1.9)	1.2 (0.7-2.0)	1.3 (0.9-1.6)	0.869
≥1.0, No. (%)	30 (63.8)	15 (53.6)	15 (78.9)	0.076
Platelet count, ×10 <sup>9</sup> /L	230.0 (157.0-302.5)	218.0 (151.3-320.8)	230.0 (164.0-304.0)	0.696
> 300.0, No. (%)	14 (29.8)	8 (28.6)	6 (31.6)	0.825
Procalcitonin, ng/ml	0.06 (0.03-0.10)	0.08 (0.05-0.19)	0.04 (0.03-0.07)	0.002
increased (> 0.05), No. (%)	25 (53.2)	19 (67.9)	6 (31.6)	0.014
Interleukin-6, pg/ml	12.58 (4.05-46.66)	17.26 (4.59-62.73)	12.01 (2.84-33.56)	0.402
increased (≥ 7.0), No. (%)	29 (61.7)	18 (64.3)	11 (57.9)	0.658
Ferritin, ng/ml	414.0 (211.7-1131.0)	504.0 (173.5-1256.0)	369.0 (233.7-823.5)	0.659
hsTnI, pg/ml	3.8 (1.9-8.5)	4.8 (1.9-9.1)	3.2 (1.9-6.7)	0.230
NTproBNP, pg/ml	220.0 (63.0-338.0)	272.5 (111.3-504.8)	116.0 (29.0-300.0)	0.023
increased (> 241), No. (%)	21 (44.7)	16 (57.1)	5 (26.3)	0.037
Influenza A antibody, No. (%)	5 (10.6)	5 (17.9)	0	0.143

<sup>a</sup> p values indicate differences between men and women. p < .05 was considered statistically significant. COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; WBC = white blood cell; hsTnI = hypersensitive troponin I; NTproBNP = N-terminal-pro brain natriuretic peptide;

By the end of February 22, 2020, 5 men and 1 woman deteriorated and were reclassified as critical condition. At the same period, 4 women and 1 man recovered and discharged from hospital according to the Criteria of Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonia Infected by Novel Coronavirus (5th trial edition).

---

The remaining were in stable condition. (Table 3)

Table 3 Treatment and outcomes for severe pneumonia patients with COVID-19

	No. (%)		
	All patients (n=47)	Men (n=28)	Women (n=19)
Treatment			
antiviral therapy	42 (89.4)	26 (92.9)	16 (84.2)
antibiotic therapy	22 (46.8)	15 (53.6)	7 (36.8)
traditional Chinese medicine	20 (42.6)	11 (39.3)	9 (47.4)
Clinical outcomes			
discharge	5 (10.6)	1 (3.6)	4 (21.1)
deterioration	6 (12.8)	5 (17.9)	1 (5.3)
mechanical ventilation	4 (8.5)	3 (10.8)	1 (5.3)
DIC	1 (2.1)	1 (3.6)	0
death	1 (2.1)	1 (3.6)	0

---

COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019, DIC = disseminated intravascular coagulation.

## Discussion

In this retrospective study, we analyzed data from 47 patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 of severe type. Common symptoms were similar between men and women, consistent with the recent research[6, 7, 11, 13]. Men were more likely to have prior COPD, develop secondary infections, receive complicated treatments as well as experience worse in-hospital outcomes, as compared to women.

During two-week treatment, men accounted for five of six patients (83.3%) shifting from severe to critical type. On the other side, only one man (20.0%) in five patients discharged from hospital. The similar phenomenon has also been reported in prior SARS-CoV infection in 2003. Karlberg et al.[12] analyzed 1755 SARS cases and found that men had a significantly higher mortality than women did (21.9% versus 13.2%,  $p < 0.0001$ ). Leung et al.[14] showed that male sex was independently associated with a greater risk for adverse events in SARS patients. Interestingly, there is no clear evidence showing the sex difference in prognosis of influenza[15-17]. It seems that the different prognosis between men and women might be a feature for coronavirus infections.

The reason for sex difference is unknown. Some studies suggested that different outcomes between men and women could be explained by estrogen which might protect females from worse outcomes after SARS-CoV infection[12, 18]. Another possible explanation for this is that the prevalence of

malignancy was higher in males. Liang et al.[19] found that in severe COVID-19 patients, those with cancer had poorer outcomes from COVID-19. In addition, more men in our study were co-infected with bacteria or influenza virus, which may somewhat result in high risk.

Rothberg et al.[20] reported that bacterial infection was a common pulmonary complication of influenza. We found that positive influenza A antibody were detected in 5 men patients but not in women. Otherwise a higher rate of increased procalcitonin was also observed in men. These findings suggested that men with severe COVID-19 is susceptible to secondary infections with virus or bacteria, resulting in higher utilization rate of advanced antiviral therapy and antibiotics. Chen et al.[11] observed that 71% of confirmed COVID-19 patients used antibiotic treatment, but no comparison between men and women. It will be clinically meaningful to further investigate why and which type of influenza virus or bacteria are more likely to co-infect with SARS-CoV-2 in men.

Another, we observed that NTproBNP but not cTnI was higher in men, suggesting more damage in heart function might exist in men after SARS-CoV-2 infection. It was reported that women were associated with less remodeling after cardiac injury, due to the protection of estrogens[21]. Our findings imply that physicians should pay more attention to cardiac function in men with severe COVID-19.

## **Limitations**

Our study has several limitations. First, it's a study with small sample size, confounding factors and selection bias are inevitable. Second, the available data was limited in the early phase of SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. Third, observation period is too short to describe patients' outcome accurately.

## **Conclusion**

Sex differences may exist in COVID-19 patients with severe clinical condition. Men probably have more complicated clinical status and worse in-hospital outcomes as compared to women. Further studies with large sample size are needed to verify our findings.

## **Acknowledgments**

The study was funded by the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (No.7192078). We thank all patients involved in this study.

## **Conflict of interest**

All authors have nothing to declare.

## References

- 1 Tan W, Zhao X, Ma X, et al. A Novel Coronavirus Genome Identified in a Cluster of Pneumonia Cases - Wuhan, China 2019–2020. *China CDC Weekly* 2020;2:61-62.
- 2 WHO. Laboratory testing for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in suspected human cases. Jan 17, 2020. <https://www.who.int/publications-detail/laboratory-testing-for-2019-novel-coronavirus-in-suspected-human-cases-20200117> (accessed Feb 26, 2020).
- 3 Ren L, Wang Y, Wu Z, et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus causing severe pneumonia in human. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2020; published online 11 February. doi:10.1097/CM9.0000000000000722
- 4 Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: The species and its viruses - a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. *bioRxiv* 2020; doi:<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.07.937862>. <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.07.937862v1> (accessed 24 February, 2020)
- 5 Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok K, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet* 2020;395:514-23. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9
- 6 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020;395:497-506. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5



7 Xu X, Wu X, Jiang X, et al. Clinical findings in a group of patients infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) outside of Wuhan, China: retrospective case series. *BMJ* 2020;368:m606. doi:10.1136/bmj.m606

8 Sun K, Chen J, Viboud C. Early epidemiological analysis of the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak based on crowdsourced data: a population-level observational study. *Lancet Digital Health* 2020; published online 20 February. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(20\)30026-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30026-1)

9 China NHCO. the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonia Infected by Novel Coronavirus (5th trial edition). 5 February, 2020.  
[http://39.137.20.198/cache/www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/zhengcwj/202002/3b09b894ac9b4204a79db5b8912d4440/files/7260301a393845fc87fcf6dd52965ecb.pdf?ich\\_args2=46-24145100054382\\_b8ed1a1a481d778c43503cdcde88e45c\\_10001002\\_9c896c2ed2c1f6d1913c518939a83798\\_10cabae9adc42948a617b3b1c71d6fff](http://39.137.20.198/cache/www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/zhengcwj/202002/3b09b894ac9b4204a79db5b8912d4440/files/7260301a393845fc87fcf6dd52965ecb.pdf?ich_args2=46-24145100054382_b8ed1a1a481d778c43503cdcde88e45c_10001002_9c896c2ed2c1f6d1913c518939a83798_10cabae9adc42948a617b3b1c71d6fff) (accessed 24 February, 2020)

10 Team TNCP. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in China. *Chinese Journal of Epidemiology* 2020;41:145-51. published online 17 February. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003

11 Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020;395:507-13. published online 15 February. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7[.].

12 Karlberg J, Chong DS, Lai WY. Do men have a higher case fatality rate of severe acute respiratory syndrome than women do? *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159:229-31.

- 13 Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020; published online 7 February. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1585
- 14 Leung GM, Hedley AJ, Ho L, et al. The epidemiology of severe acute respiratory syndrome in the 2003 Hong Kong epidemic: an analysis of all 1755 patients. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;141:662-73.
- 15 Vom Steeg LG, Klein SL. Sex and sex steroids impact influenza pathogenesis across the life course. *Semin Immunopathol* 2019;41:189-94. doi:10.1007/s00281-018-0718-5
- 16 Wang X, Yang L, Chan K, et al. Age and Sex Differences in Rates of Influenza-Associated Hospitalizations in HongKong. *Am J Epidemiol* 2015;182:335-44. doi:10.1093/aje/kwv068
- 17 Cheng Q, Zhao G, Xie L, et al. Impacts of age and gender at the risk of underlying medical conditions and death in patients with avian influenza A (H7N9): a meta-analysis study. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 2018;14:1615-26. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S173834
- 18 Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2016;16:626-38. doi:10.1038/nri.2016.90
- 19 Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. *Lancet. Oncol* 2020; published online 14 February. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30096-6
- 20 Rothberg MB, Haessler SD, Brown RB. Complications of viral influenza. *Am J Med* 2008;121:258-64. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.10.040
- 21 Patrizio M, Marano G. Gender differences in cardiac hypertrophic remodeling. *Ann Ist*

*Super Sanita* 2016;52:223-29. doi:10.4415/ANN\_16\_02\_14