The Effects of "Fangcang, Huoshenshan, and Leishenshan" Hospitals and Temperature on the Mortality of COVID-19

Yuwen Cai[ab1], Tianlun Huang[a1], Xin Liu[a], Gaosi Xu[a*]

[a]Department of Nephrology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, China

[b]Grade 2016, the Second Clinical Medical College of Nanchang University, Jiangxi, China

[1]Yuwen Cai and Tianlun Huang contributed equally to this work

* Correspondence should to Professor Gaosi Xu (Ph.D. & M.D.); Department of Nephrology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, China; Zip Code: 330006; Address: No. 1, Minde Road, Donghu District, Nanchang, P.R. China; E-mail: gaosixu@163.com; Tel: +86(0)791 86312770, Fax: +86(0)791 86312770

Subtitle: makeshift hospital and temperature on mortality of COVID-19

Total words: 1625 words (excluding the abstract, references, tables, boxes, or figures)

Abstract

Background

In December 2019, a novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) broke out in Wuhan, China, however, the factors affecting the mortality remain unclear.

Methods

Thirty-two days of data that were shared by China National Health Commission and China Weather Net were collected using standard forms. The difference in the mortality of confirmed and severe cases before and after the use of "Fangcang, Huoshenshan, and Leishenshan" makeshift hospitals (MSHs) was tested using Mann-Whitney U test. We also studied whether air temperature (AT) could affect the above outcomes of COVID-19 cases by performing Spearman's analysis.

Results

Eight days after the use of MSHs, the mortality of confirmed cases was significantly decreased both in Wuhan ($U = 1$, $P < 0.001$) and Hubei ($U = 0$, $P < 0.001$), while in non-Hubei regions, as a contrast, the mortality of confirmed cases remained unchanged ($U = 40$, $P = 0.139$). However, another eight days later, changes in the mortality in non-Hubei regions also became significant ($U = 73$, $P = 0.039$). Mortality of confirmed cases was found to be significantly correlated with AT both in Wuhan ($r = -0.441$, $P = 0.012$) and Hubei ($r = -0.440$, $P = 0.012$).

Conclusions

Our findings indicated that both the use of MSHs and the rise of AT were beneficial to the survival of COVID-19 cases.

Introduction

In early December 2019, a novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19, previously known as 2019-nCoV) induced by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) broke out in Wuhan, China.[1 2] This newly discovered coronavirus had been confirmed to have human-to-human transmissibility[3] and has now spread across the country.[4] However, the mortality caused by COVID-19 had been reported to be unbalanced in different regions.[4] Briefly speaking, the mortality in Wuhan city was generally higher than that in other cities, and the mortality in Hubei Province was generally higher than that in other provinces. The specific reason needed to be investigated so that we can better contain the epidemic.

Wuhan as the source of the epidemic in China, was under great pressure of treatment despite the assistance received from all over the country. Many patients in Wuhan were unable to see a doctor, could not be hospitalized previously. The medical resources consumed by rescuing such patients would then further compress the treatment space of other patients. Such a vicious circle induced by inappropriate resource allocation might be one of the reasons for the high mortality in Wuhan. In addition, by reviewing the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Guangdong in 2003, we could find that the SARS epidemic gradually subsided with the warming of the weather, and was basically controlled until the warm April and May. Therefore, we assume that different air temperature (AT) in different regions might also contributed to the unbalanced mortality.

Up to February 5, 2020, the first three Fangcang, Huoshenshan, and Leishenshan makeshift hospitals (MSHs) had been put into use in Wuhan.[5] The Fangcang hospitals, which belong to field mobile medical systems, are composed of a number of movable cabins. They have multiple functions such as emergency treatment, surgical disposal, clinical examination and so on. In case of any public health emergency, the cabins can rush to the scene as soon as possible, and then in situ expand to a class II hospital.[6] In the present study, we aim to research whether these MSHs could reduce the mortality induced by COVID-19. In addition, we also studied whether the AT could improve the survival of COVID-19 cases.

Methods

Data collection and mortality calculation

From January 21 to February 21, the number of each day's total cases confirmed by nucleic acid test, severe cases and new deaths in Wuhan city, Hubei Province and non-Hubei regions (as a contrast so as to reduce bias) were collected by two authors independently. All the above data were available on the official website of National Health Commission of the people's Republic of China (http://www.nhc.gov.cn/). Growth rate of confirmed cases was calculated by dividing the new confirmed cases by the total confirmed cases on the previous day. Each day's mortality was calculated by dividing the number of new deaths by the average number of confirmed cases on that day and the previous day, and mortality of severe patients was calculated by the number of severe cases. Each day's AT were collected from China Weather Net (http://www.weather.com.cn/), and the AT of Hubei Province was represented by the average AT of its cities.

Statistical analysis

The data of each region was divided into group A (after the hospitals were built) and group B (before the hospitals were built). Since the sample size was small (less than 50), the normality of data was determined using Shapiro–Wilk test, and P value > 0.05 was considered as normally distributed.[7] If the

outcomes of two groups were both normally distributed, Student's t test would be performed to compare the difference between groups, and if the outcomes of at least one group was skewed distribution, Mann-Whitney U test would be performed instead.[8] We compared data of eight days, sixteen days after the use of MSHs, respectively, with the data of sixteen days before the use of MSHs. As for the correlation analysis, if the AT and the corresponding outcome were both normally distributed, Pearson correlation analysis would be performed to investigate their correlation, otherwise, Spearman's correlation analysis would be performed instead.[9] SPSS 26.0 statistical software (IBM, New York, USA) was used for statistical data processing, and GraphPad Prism 8.3 (GraphPad Software Inc., New York, USA) was applied for plotting graphs. All tests were two-sided, and P value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

Mortality difference before and after the use of MSHs

Each day's total confirmed cases, severe cases, new deaths and AT in different regions were summarized in **Table 1**. As shown in **Supplementary Table S1**, for each outcome, at least one group was skew distribution ($P < 0.05$), so Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare the difference between groups. The results of eight days after the use of MSHs are shown in **Figure 1** and **Table 2**. The growth rate of confirmed cases was significantly decreased both in Wuhan ($U = 27$, $P = 0.023$) and Hubei ($U = 23$, $P = 0.012$), but in non-Hubei regions, as a contrast, changes were also significant ($U = 3$, $P < 0.001$). The mortality of confirmed cases was significantly decreased both in Wuhan ($U = 1$, $P < 0.001$) and Hubei ($U = 0$, $P < 0.001$), while in non-Hubei regions, as a contrast, the mortality of confirmed cases remained unchanged ($U = 40$, $P = 0.139$). Similarly, the mortality of severe cases was significantly decreased in Hubei ($U = 0$, $P < 0.001$), while in non-Hubei regions, changes were not significant ($U = 33$, $P = 0.056$).

The results of sixteen days after the use of MSHs were shown in **Figure 2** and **Table 2**. Results of the growth rate of confirmed cases was basically consistent with that of the first eight days. Changes in the mortality of confirmed cases were significant both in Wuhan ($U = 1$, $P < 0.001$) and Hubei ($U = 0$, $P < 0.001$), but not like the results of the first eight days, changes in non-Hubei regions became significant ($U = 73$, $P = 0.038$). Similarly, changes in the mortality of severe cases in Hubei were significant ($U = 0$, $P < 0.001$), while in non-Hubei regions, changes also became significant ($U = 66$, $P = 0.019$) this time.

Correlation between AT and outcomes

Except AT, all outcomes were skew distribution as shown in **Supplementary Table S2**. Therefore, Spearman's analysis was used to investigate their correlation among AT, and the results are shown in **Figure 3**. For the growth rate of confirmed cases, it was not significantly related to AT no matter in Wuhan ($P = 0.730$) or in Hubei ($P = 0.062$). But for the mortality of confirmed cases, its correlation between AT was considered to be significant both in Wuhan ($r = -0.441$, $P = 0.012$) and Hubei ($r = -0.440$, $P = 0.012$). The mortality of severe patients was also found to be significantly related to AT ($r = -0.421$, $P = 0.016$). That is to say, if the AT rises 1 Celsius, the mortality of confirmed cases would decrease 0.44% and the mortality of severe cases would decrease 0.42% on average.

Discussion

Our study found that after eight days of the use of MSHs, the mortality of COVID-19 cases in Wuhan

and Hubei was significantly decreased, while as a contrast, the change in non-Hubei regions was not significant. The results preliminarily verified that these MSHs were beneficial to the survival of COVID-19 cases. Later, after another eight days, the difference of mortality between the pre and post use of MSHs was still significant in Wuhan and Hubei. However, not like the results of the first eight days, the difference in non-Hubei regions became significant. The accumulation of treatment experience of medical staff might be one of the reasons. In addition, according to the trade-off hypothesis, a pathogen must multiply within the host to ensure transmission, while simultaneously maintaining opportunities for transmission by avoiding host morbidity or death.[10] That's to say, coronavirus with weak virulence was more likely to spread than that with strong virulence, which might explain why the mortality in non-Hubei regions also decreased over time. However, empirical evidence remains scarce and the truth needs to be investigated.

Our study also found that the rise of AT could significantly reduce the mortality of both common and severe patients. According to a previous study, the first occurred deaths were mainly elderly people who had comorbidities or surgery history before admission.[11] Acute or chronic cold exposure, however, could elicit bad effects on the respiratory system. Pulmonary mechanics would be compromised by bronchoconstriction, airway congestion, secretions and decreased mucociliary clearance.[12] It has also been reported that cold exposure was coincided with hormonal changes, which might directly or indirectly alter the immune system.[13] The above factors would worsen the underlying medical conditions of elderly people, and this might explain why a warm weather could reduce the mortality of COVID-19 cases.

When it comes to the transmissibility of coronavirus, an *in vitro* study of transmissible gastroenteritis virus and mouse hepatitis virus found that at higher AT, these coronaviruses survived for a shorter time on the surfaces of stainless steel.[14] A case-crossover analysis performed in Saudi Arabia also found that primary Middle East Respiratory Syndrome were more likely to occur when condition was relatively cold and dry.[15] However, our results seemed to be inconsistent with these previous studies, as no significant correlation between AT and the growth rate of confirmed cases in Wuhan was found. One of the potential reasons was that the current AT was not high enough to exert a significant impact on SARS-CoV-2. As the AT gets higher, subsequent studies are necessary to further validate our results. But considering the new cases in tropical areas such as Singapore and Malaysia,[16] it seems that the rise of AT alone would not completely control this epidemic.

Contributors

Yuwen Cai and Tianlun Huang made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data and analysis and interpretation of data. Xin Liu drafted the article; Gaosi Xu revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Transparency statement

We affirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.

Role of the funding source

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 81970583 to

Prof. Xu), and the Nature Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (grant 20181BAB205016 to Prof. Xu). The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Competing interests

All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: this study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. RWP received consulting fees for work unrelated to this project from Amgen, Eli Lilly, Merck, and Pfizer. All other authors have no conflicts to disclose, and have no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work

Data sharing statement

All data used in this study are available on the official website of National Health Commission of the people's Republic of China (http://www.nhc.gov.cn/) and China Weather Net (http://www.weather.com.cn/).

References

1 Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature* 2020; published online Feb 03. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.

2 Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: The species and its viruses – a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. *bioRxiv* 2020; published online Feb 11. DOI: 10.1101/2020.02.07.937862.

3 Chan JF-W, Yuan S, Kok K-H, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *The Lancet* 2020; **395**: 514-23.

4 Team TNCPERE. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China. *Chinese Journal of Epidemiology* 2020; **41**: 145-51.

5 China Central Television. Makeshift hospitals start to accept patients in China's Wuhan. Press release. Feb 06, 2020. http://english.cctv.com/2020/02/06/ARTIxIHp9AN2fAStmOl5jYeE200206.shtml (accessed Feb 22, 2020).

6 Bai S, Yu B-G, Zhang Y-Z, et al. Challenges of Treating Adenovirus Infection: Application of a Deployable Rapid-Assembly Shelter Hospital. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2018; **12**: 109-14.

7 Mishra P, Pandey CM, Singh U, Gupta A, Sahu C, Keshri A. Descriptive statistics and normality tests for statistical data. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2019; **22**: 67-72.

8 Parab S, Bhalerao S. Choosing statistical test. *Int J Ayurveda Res* 2010; **1**: 187-91.

9 Schober P, Boer C, Schwarte LA. Correlation Coefficients: Appropriate Use and Interpretation. *Anesth Analg* 2018; **126**: 1763-8.

10 Blanquart F, Grabowski MK, Herbeck J, et al. A transmission-virulence evolutionary trade-off explains attenuation of HIV-1 in Uganda. *eLife* 2016; **5**: e20492.

11 Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 507-13.

12 Giesbrecht GG. The respiratory system in a cold environment. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 1995; **66**: 890-902.

13 van der Lans AAJJ, Boon MR, Haks MC, et al. Cold acclimation affects immune composition in skeletal muscle of healthy lean subjects. *Physiol Rep* 2015; **3**: e12394.

14 Casanova LM, Jeon S, Rutala WA, Weber DJ, Sobsey MD. Effects of air temperature and relative humidity on coronavirus survival on surfaces. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010; **76**: 2712-7.

15 Gardner EG, Kelton D, Poljak Z, Van Kerkhove M, von Dobschuetz S, Greer AL. A case-crossover analysis of the impact of weather on primary cases of Middle East respiratory syndrome. *BMC Infect Dis* 2019; **19**: 113.

16 WHO. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), Situation Report – 32. Feb 22, 2020. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200221-sitrep-32-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=4802d089_2 (accessed Feb 23, 2020)

Figure legends

Figure 1: Comparisons between eight days after the use of MSHs and sixteen days before the use of MSHs. (**A**): comparisons of the growth rate of confirmed cases in Wuhan, Hubei, and non-Hubei regions. (**B**): comparisons of the mortality of confirmed cases in Wuhan, Hubei, and non-Hubei regions. (**C**): comparisons of the mortality of severe patients in Wuhan, Hubei, and non-Hubei regions. Before: before the use of MSHs. After: after the use of the use of MSHs.

Figure 2: Comparisons between sixteen days after the use of MSHs and sixteen days before the use of MSHs. (**A**): comparisons of the growth rate of confirmed cases in Wuhan, Hubei, and non-Hubei regions. (**B**): comparisons of the mortality of confirmed cases in Wuhan, Hubei, and non-Hubei regions. (**C**): comparisons of the mortality of severe patients in Wuhan, Hubei, and non-Hubei regions. Before: before the use of MSHs. After: after the use of the use of MSHs.

Figure 3: Correlation between temperature and outcomes. (**A**): correlation between air temperature and the growth rate of confirmed cases in Wuhan. (**B**): correlation between air temperature and the growth rate of confirmed cases in Hubei. (**C**): correlation between air temperature and the mortality of confirmed cases in Wuhan. (**D**): correlation between air temperature and the mortality of confirmed cases in Hubei. (**E**): correlation between air temperature and the mortality of severe cases in Hubei. Before: before the use of MSHs. After: after the use of the use of MSHs.

Table 1: Each day's total confirmed cases, severe cases, new deaths and AT in different regions

	Wuhan			Hubei				Non-Hubei regions		
Date	Total confirm-ed cases	Daily deaths	AT	Total confirm-ed cases	Total severe cases	Daily deaths	AT	Total confirm-ed cases	Total severe cases	Daily deaths
20-Jan	258	6		270	51	6		21	17	0
21-Jan	363	3	6.0	375	65	3	5.5	65	37	0
22-Jan	425	8	4.0	444	71	8	4.7	127	24	0
23-Jan	495	6	5.0	549	129	7	4.9	281	48	1
24-Jan	572	15	5.5	729	157	15	4.5	558	80	1
25-Jan	618	7	3.0	1052	192	13	3.3	923	132	2
26-Jan	698	18	2.0	1423	290	24	2.3	1321	171	0
27-Jan	1590	22	2.5	2567	690	24	2.4	1948	286	2
28-Jan	1905	19	3.5	3349	899	25	3.9	2625	340	1
29-Jan	2261	25	5.5	4334	988	37	5.6	3377	382	1
30-Jan	2639	30	6.0	5486	1094	42	6.5	4206	433	1
31-Jan	3215	33	6.5	6738	1294	45	7.2	5053	501	1
1-Feb	4109	32	8.5	8565	1562	45	7.5	5815	548	0
2-Feb	5142	41	8.5	9618	1701	56	7.4	7587	595	1
3-Feb	6384	48	6.0	10990	2143	64	6.3	9448	645	0
4-Feb	8351	49	7.0	12627	2520	65	7.7	11697	699	0
5-Feb	10117	52	9.0	14314	3084	70	8.3	11988	775	3
6-Feb	11618	64	5.0	15804	4002	69	3.8	13181	819	4
7-Feb	13603	67	4.5	19835	5195	81	4.2	11939	906	5
8-Feb	14982	63	5.5	20993	5247	81	6.4	12745	941	8
9-Feb	16902	73	7.0	22160	5505	91	7.7	13822	979	6
10-Feb	18454	67	7.5	25087	6344	103	8.2	12539	989	5
11-Feb	19558	72	9.0	26121	7241	94	9.2	12679	963	3
12-Feb	30043	82	11.0	43455	7084	107	10.7	9071	946	12
13-Feb	32959	88	13.0	46806	9278	108	12.6	8942	926	5
14-Feb	34289	77	11.0	48175	10152	105	10.2	8698	901	4
15-Feb	35314	110	0.5	49030	10396	139	0.5	8386	876	3
16-Feb	36385	76	2.0	49847	9797	100	3.8	8087	847	5
17-Feb	37152	72	5.0	50338	10970	93	6.3	7678	771	5
18-Feb	38020	116	7.5	50633	11246	132	7.3	7172	731	4
19-Feb	37994	88	8.0	49665	11178	108	8.2	6638	686	6
20-Feb	37448	99	10.0	48730	10997	115	9.9	6235	636	3
21-Feb	36680	90	9.0	47647	10892	106	9.2	5637	585	3

AT, air temperature

Table 2: The difference before and after the use of MSHs

		Mann-Whitney U test		
	Group	Median (QU, QL)	*U* value[a]	*P* value[b]
GRW	Before	0.205 (0.165, 0.271)		
	After 8 days	0.115 (0.093, 0.165)	27	= 0.023
	After 16 days	0.050 (0.022, 0.121)	27	< 0.001
GRH	Before	0.268 (0.158, 0.346)		
	After 8 days	0.091 (0.056, 0.224)	23	= 0.011
	After 16 days	0.035 (0.007, 0.097)	23	< 0.001
GRNH	Before	0.326 (0.240, 0.879)		
	After 8 days	-0.002 (-0.094, 0.080)	3	< 0.001
	After 16 days	-0.043 (-0.088, 0.005)	3	< 0.001
MCW (%)	Before	1.152 (0.877, 1.768)		
	After 8 days	0.410 (0.343, 0.513)	1	< 0.001
	After 16 days	0.312 (0.234, 0.425)	1	< 0.001
MCH (%)	Before	0.893 (0.617, 1.447)		
	After 8 days	0.409 (0.322, 0.450)	0	< 0.001
	After 16 days	0.274 (0.220, 0.416)	0	< 0.001
MCNH (%)	Before	0.023 (0.001, 0.103)		
	After 8 days	0.042 (0.033, 0.062)	40	= 0.153
	After 16 days	0.049 (0.038, 0.063)	73	= 0.039
MSH (%)	Before	3.978 (3.196, 7.337)		
	After 8 days	1.622 (1.411, 1.756)	0	< 0.001
	After 16 days	1.337 (1.002, 1.657)	0	< 0.001
MSNH (%)	Before	0.230 (0.001, 0.758)		
	After 8 days	0.557 (0.503, 0.806)	33	= 0.061
	After 16 days	0.533 (0.463, 0.623)	66	= 0.019

[a] *U* value was used to test the significance of the difference
[b] $P < 0.05$ was considered as significantly different
Abbreviations: QL, lower quartile; QU, upper quartile; GRW, growth rate of confirmed cases in Wuhan; After 8 days, after the use of MSHs for 8 days; After 16 days, after the use of MSHs for 16 days; Before: before the use of MSHs; MCW: mortality of confirmed cases in Wuhan; GRH: growth rate of confirmed cases in Hubei; MCH: mortality of confirmed cases in Hubei; MSH: mortality of severe cases in Hubei; GRNH: growth rate of confirmed cases in non-Hubei regions; MCNH: mortality of confirmed cases in non-Hubei regions; MSNH: mortality of severe cases in non-Hubei region


A
P Wuhan =0.023
P Hubei =0.012
P Non-Hubei <0.001
Before
After
Growth rate of confirmed cases
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
-0.5
Wuhan
Hubei
non-Hubei
Regions
B
P Wuhan <0.001
P Hubei <0.001
P Non-Hubei =0.139
Before
After
Mortality of confirmed cases(%)
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
-0.5
Wuhan
Hubei
non-Hubei
Regions
C
P Hubei <0.001
P Non-Hubei =0.056
Before
After
Mortality of severe patients (%)
10
8
6
4
2
0
-2
Hubei
non-Hubei
Regions


A
P Wuhan <0.001
P Hubei <0.001
P Non-Hubei <0.001
Before
After
Growth rate of confirmed cases
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
-0.5
Wuhan
Hubei
Non-Hubei
Regions


B
P Wuhan <0.001
P Hubei <0.001
P Non-Hubei =0.038
Before
After
Mortality of confirmed cases(%)
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
-0.5
Wuhan
Hubei
Non-Hubei
Rejions


C
P Wuhan <0.001
P Hubei <0.001
P Non-Hubei =0.019
Before
After
Mortality of severe patients (%)
10
8
6
4
2
0
-2
Hubei
Non-Hubei
Rejions


A
Growth rate of confirmed cases in Wuhan
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
-0.5
P= 0.730
51015
Temperature(°C)
B
Growth rate of confirmed cases in Hubei
1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
-0.2
P= 0.062
51015
Temperature(°C)
C
Mortality of confirmed cases in Wuhan (%)
3
2
1
0
P= 0.012
r= -0.441
051015
Temperature(°C)
D
Mortality of confirmed cases in Hubei (%)
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
P= 0.012
r= -0.440
051015
Temperature(°C)
E
Mortality of severe cases in Hubei (%)
15
10
5
0
P= 0.016
r= -0.421
051015
Temperature(°C)