Clinical Data on Hospital Environmental Hygiene Monitoring and Medical Staffs Protection during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak

Yanfang Jiang[1*], Haifeng Wang[1*], Likun Chen[2], Jiaxue He[1], Liguo Chen[1], Yong Liu[1]，Xinyuan Hu[1], Ang Li[1], Siwen Liu[1], Peng Zhang[1], Hongyan Zou[1]，Shucheng Hua[1,3#]

1. Key Laboratory of Organ Regeneration & Transplantation of the Ministry of Education, Genetic Diagnosis Center, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

2. Hospital-Acquired Infection Control Department, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

3. Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

#Corresponding Author: Shucheng Hua,

Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

E-mail address: huashucheng2020@163.com

* Yanfang Jiang and Haifeng Wang made equal contributions to this study.

Abstract

Background: The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and SARS-CoV-2 have placed unprecedented challenges on hospital environmental hygiene and medical staffs protection. It is crucial to assess hospital environmental hygiene to understand the most important environmental issues for controlling the spread of 2019-nCoV in hospitals.

Objective: To detect the presence of the COVID-19 in the air and on the surfaces of the guide station, fever clinic, and isolation areas, and the close contacts medical staffs in the First Hospital of Jilin University.

Methods: Viruses in the air were collected by natural sedimentation and air particle sampler methods. Predetermined environmental surfaces were sampled using swabs at seven o'clock in the morning before disinfection. The samples from close contacts medical staffs were throat swab samples. Quantitative real-time PCR methods were used to confirm the existence of COVID-19 pathogens.

Results: Viruses could be detected on the surfaces of the nurse station in the isolation area with suspected patients and in the air of the isolation ward with an intensive care patient.

Conclusion: Comprehensive monitoring of hospital environmental hygiene during pandemic outbreaks is conducive to the refinement of hospital infection control. It is of great significance to ensure the safety of medical treatment and the quality of hospital infection control through the monitoring of environmental hygiene.

Key words COVID-19; hospital environmental hygiene; hospital-associated infection,

medical staff protection

1. Introduction

The outbreak of COVID-19 in Wuhan in December 2019 has led to a serious public health event [1-3]. Meanwhile, the outbreak of this novel virus placed unprecedented challenges on hospital environmental hygiene. The occurrence of medicalstaff-associated infections is closely related to long-lived pathogens in the hospital environment [4,5]. Thus, it is crucial to assess hospital environmental hygiene to understand the most important environmental issues for controlling the spread of COVID-19 in hospitals. Furthermore, the Chinese government has quickly adopted quarantine measures for confirmed and suspected patients to restrain the spread of the pandemic[6]. However, the isolation wards in general hospitals have all been transformed into temporary wards and cannot meet the standard of isolation wards in infectious disease hospitals. Such temporary isolation wards have many concerns and require additional environmental monitoring assessments. Comprehensive monitoring of hospital environmental hygiene during the outbreak of the pandemic is conducive to the refinement of hospital infection control [5,7]. It also increases the understanding of the environmental challenges corresponding to the reemergence of COVID-19 or similar viruses. Therefore, it is of great significance to ensure the safety of medical treatment and the quality of hospital infection control through the monitoring of environmental hygiene. According to a report from the China Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, as of February 11, 3019 medical staffs were infected with the new coronavirus (including confirmed cases, suspected cases, clinically diagnosed cases, and asymptomatic infections, of which 1,716 were confirmed cases and 0.3%

died), indicated that the infection from non-occupational exposure may existed [8] but more evidence are needed to support this hypothesis. Meanwhile, it is of great significance to ensure the safety of medical treatments and the quality of hospital infection control through monitoring the hospital environmental hygiene. However, a sensitive and effective method to monitor the hospital-acquired infection control is still limited. Here, by monitoring COVID-19 and detecting the nucleic acid, we reveal the clinical data of hospital environmental hygiene and provide a way to monitor pathogenic microorganism contamination and nosocomial infections.

2. Methods

2.1 Participant characteristics

15 suspected patients and 1 confirmed intensive care patients (ORF1b and N were positive by Q-PCR) are hospitalized in two isolation areas at the First Hospital of Jilin University, a unit of COVID-19 detection. The hospital carries out district management for these two types of patients, and each area owns nursing station. We detected 158 samples of COVID-19 from the air and surface of the hospital guide stations, fever clinic, and isolation ward areas on 2F and B1(the clean area, the semi-contaminated area, the two nurse stations and 16 isolation wards in contaminated area). The 15 suspected patients are hospitalized in isolation area 1 (ward 1-15) on the 2nd floor and the confirmed intensive care patient is hospitalized in isolation area 2 (ward 16) on the B1 floor. (Table 1) We also detected 18 throat swab samples from “close contacts” medical staffs. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Jilin University (Changchun, China).

Table 1: 158 viral samples from the air and surfaces of the hospital nurse station, fever clinic, and isolation areas

Risk level	Classification	Samples collected points	
High-risk area	CONTAMINATED AREA	Isolation ward area 1 (2F)	Isolation ward 1-15 door handle
			Isolation ward 1-15 general subject surface
			Isolation ward 1-15 indoor air
			Isolation ward 1-15 window frames
			Isolation ward 1-15 sides of the toilet handle
			Nurse station general subject surface
			Nurse station indoor air
			Corridor exit general subject surface
			General subject surface of the refuse storage area near nurse station
		Isolation ward area 2 (B1)	Isolation ward 16 door handle
			Isolation ward 16 general subject surface
			Isolation ward 16 indoor air
			Isolation ward 16 window frames
			Isolation ward 16 sides of the toilet handle
			Nurse station indoor air
		Fever clinic 2 consulting rooms	Door handle (inside and outside)
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Computer keyboard
		Fever clinic observing room	Door handle (inside and outside)
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Computer keyboard
		Fever clinic laboratory	Door handle (inside and outside)
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Computer keyboard
		Fever clinic blood collection room	General subject surface
			Indoor air
Medium-risk area	SEMI-CONTAMINATED AREA	Isolation ward area buffer room	Doorhandle
			General subject surface
			Indoor air
			Doctor office window frames
			Refuse storage area wall surface
			Disinfection bucket surface
			Dressing room ground

			Medical staffs of infection department protective clothing
		Guide stations	General subject surface
			Infrared thermometer
Low-risk area	CLEAN AREA	Isolation ward area working area	Entrance corridor general subject surface
			Indoor air
			Entrance corridor window frames
			Physician's office doorhandle
			Physician's office general subject surface
			Director’s office doorhandle
			Doorhandle

2.2 Sampling and sample processing

The environmental monitoring methods were referred to the Hygienic Standard for Disinfection in Hospitals (GB15982-2012). All air samples were collected by two methods: natural sedimentation [9] and the microbial air sampler called MAS-100 ECO, of which stream of air has been set to exactly 100 liters / minute (Merck, Germany) [10]. Environmental surfaces were sampled using swabs. The samples from "close contacts” medical staffs were throat swab samples.

2.3 Primer and probe sequences

Two sequence regions (ORF1b and N) that are highly conserved among sarbecoviruses were selected for primer and probe designs. The primer and probe sequences for the ORF1b gene assay were 5’-TGGGGYTTTACRGGTAACCT-3’ (forward; Y = C/T, R = A/G), 5’-AACRCGCTTAACAAAGCACTC-3’ (reverse; R = A/G) and 5’-TAGTTGTGATGCWATCATGACTAG-3’ (probe, in 5’-FAM/ZEN/3’-IBFQ format; W = A/T), whereas the primer and probe sequences for the N gene assay were 5’-TAATCAGACAAGGAACTGATTA-3’ (forward),

5’-CGAAGGTGTGACTTCCATG-3’ (reverse) and 5’-GCAAATTGTGCAATTTGCGG-3’ (probe, in 5’-FAM / ZEN/3’-IBFQ format). The expected amplicon sizes of the ORF1b and N gene assays were 132 bp and 110 bp, respectively [11]. All primers and probes were purchased from a commercial source (Integrated DNA Technologies). The primer and probe sequences were subsequently confirmed to have perfect matches with other COVID-19genome sequences available from the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID; https://www.gisaid.org/; accession numbers: EPI_ISL_402119, EPI_ISL_402120, EPI_ISL_402121, EPI_ISL_402123 and EPI_ISL_402124; accessed 12 January 2020).

2.4 RNA extraction

For RNA extraction from 600 µL of 0.9% NaCl solution in a 2.0 mL tube, the samples were centrifuged at 12000g for 10 min and incubated for 30 min, the supernatant was discarded, 50 µL of RNA release agent was added into the tube, and the sample was mixed and incubated for 10 min.

2.5 Real-Time reverse transcription PCR

A 50 µL reaction contained 20 µL of RNA and 30 µL of 1× reaction buffer consisting of 26 µL of COVID-19-PCR Mix (containing primers (4.62%), probes (1.15%), dNTPs (3.85%), $MgCl_2$ (0.77%), Rnasin (0.48%), and PCR buffer (89.13%) (Shengxiang, Hunan, China)) , 4 µL of COVID-19-PCR-Enzyme Mix (containing RT Enzyme (62.5%) and Taq Enzyme (37.5%) (Shengxiang, Hunan, China)). Thermal cycling was performed at 50 °C for 30 min for reverse transcription, followed by

95 °C for 1 min and then 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 30 s. Participating laboratories used a Hongshi SLAN 96 S (Hongshi, Shanghai, China). A sample was considered positive when the qPCR Ct value was ≤40.

3. Results

A total of 158air and surface samples were collected from the hospital guide station, fever clinics and isolation ward areas, which were most likely contaminated by confirmed and suspected COVID-19-infected patients. The two positive areas were the surfaces of the nurse station in the isolation area with suspected patients and the air of the isolation ward with an intensive care patient (Table 2 and Table 3). We found that the virus was present both on surfaces and in the air. The total positive rate was 1.26% (2/158). The positive rate of the air and surface samples was 3.57% (1/28) and 0.77% (1/130), respectively. The results of 18 throat swab samples from "close contacts" medical staffs were negative.

Table 2: Air monitoring results of different risk areas

Type			Negative	Positive	Positive rate
High-risk area	Isolation ward		19	1	5%
	Fever clinic	Consulting rooms	2		
	Fever clinic	Observing room	1		
	Fever clinic	Laboratory	1		
	Fever clinic	Blood collection room	1		
Middle-risk area	Isolation ward	Nurse station	1	0	0
	Isolation ward	Buffer room	1	0	0
Low-risk area	Isolation ward	Clean area	1	0	0
Total			27	1	3.57%

Table 3: Surface monitoring results of different risk areas

Type			Negative	Positive	Positive rate
High-risk area	Isolation ward	Door handle, general surface	51	0	0%
	Isolation ward	Toilet handle	6	0	0
	Fever clinic	Consulting rooms	3	0	0
	Fever clinic	Observing room	3	0	0
	Fever clinic	Laboratory	6	0	0
	Fever clinic	Blood collection room	16	0	0
	Medical staff of infection department Protective clothing		1	0	0
Middle-risk area	Guide stations		11	0	0
	Isolation ward (keyboard)	Nurse station	5	1	16.67%
	Isolation ward	Buffer room	3	0	0
Low-risk area	Isolation ward	Clean area	12	0	0
	Clean area	Keyboards	9	0	
Total			129	1	0.77%

Based on the original 24 hours of ultraviolet air filtering, 1000-2000 mg/L chlorine-containing disinfectant for ambient air and floor disinfection, the frequency and duration time of air disinfections were extended. Key surfaces such as computer keyboards that were easily overlooked were emphatically noted and carefully disinfected the samples from the positive area and indoor air were collected 24 hours later, and the test results were negative.

4. Discussion

The isolation wards in general hospitals have all been transformed into temporary wards and cannot meet the standard of isolation wards in infectious disease hospitals. Such temporary isolation wards have many concerns and require further environmental monitoring assessments. The hermetic spaces of isolation ward is weak of air flow, may cause the high constract of virus. Our positive results of nuclear acid

tests indicated that viruses are present in the air of an isolation ward with intensive care patients. Additionally, the confirmed patient underwent tracheal intubation the day before samples were collected, and this procedure produces large amounts of aerosols that spread in the wards and seriously pollutes the air. The traditional methods to sterilize the environment are performed with 75% alcohol, ultraviolet disinfection and chlorinated disinfectant, show a limit effect. These data indicated that conventional chlorine disinfection and 24-hour ultraviolet disinfection filtration cannot clear the virus from the air and hospital workers under the inadequate protection may carry a risk of infection in the isolation ward during care activities with intensive care patients. Therefore, it is necessary to increase the intensity of disinfection for isolation wards with intensive care patients. Siegel et al. reported that medical ventilators might generate respiratory aerosols that have been associated with an increased risk of occupationally acquired infection among healthcare personnel [12]. Thus, an additonal and effective disinfection is very necessary for hospital infection control and medical staffs' protection.We should enhance ventilator exhaust management, for example, by adding ventilator exhaust port filters.

The suspected and confirmed patients stay a relatively long time in the high risk area may influence the environment. The intrusive and other operations which are easily porduce aerosols may cause serious air pollution.The under observation sites without patient and operation have less impact on the environment. The samples from same collected point were test after taken a series of infection prevention and control measures, such as using of air disinfection machine for the ward air disinfection

continuously，extending the frequency of disinfection for ground and object surfaces，changing the gloves and clean hands after operation and leaving the ward, covered computer keyboard and change the cover each day. The results are negative, showed the effectiveness of disinfection. The high-risk areas such hospital guide stations and fever clinic, due to the open enviorement and large air flow, the results were negative.

At present, the cleaning work in most hospitals is provided by socialized services, which are ability to perform routine cleaning and disinfection. A more professional and targeted disinfection which is offered by infection control department and hospital system but not the by socialized services, is very necessary when the highly infectious diseases or pathogenic microorganisms appear. The routine cleaning and disinfection is most likely cause the deficiency of disinfection of the hospital environment, leading to the spread of pathogens [13]. In our study, viruses were detected on the surfaces of nurse station in isolation area with suspected patients, suggested that we need to strengthen the surface disinfection of nurse stations, especially focusing on computer keyboards, mice and equipment that are not easy to disinfect. A large number of studies have shown that the hands or gloves of medical staffs may be contaminated by contact with environmental surfaces contaminated by pathogens [13-15]. In the process of diagnosis and treatment, pathogens may be transmitted to patients and cause hospital-associated infections. Therefore, it is also very important to further strengthen the hand hygiene of medical staffs.

There are still deficiencies in hospital environmental hygiene. We need to formulate refinement measures based on environmental hygiene monitoring data to improve the

quality of hospital infection control. Due to the “uncultivable” microbe widely exist, traditional method to measure the effect of hospital infection control such as sedimentation (exposuring the plate in the enviorment) showed limit and insensive effect. For the labortary and testging organization, .high contraction of mucleas acid may exists in aerosol, may infulence the test result and operater’s safety, even cause the spreading. The nucleic acid detect provide an effective method to monitor the environment and evaluate the effectiveness of disinfection, especially for the highly contagious diseases or pathogenic microorganisms with a potential aerosol risk like COVID-19. These nucleic acid detect results are very important for the standardized hospital infection control. This study also increases the understanding of the environmental challenges corresponding to reemergence of 2019-nCoV or similar viruses.

The data obtained in this study suggest that 2019-nCoV enters the air of the isolation ward with intensive care patients and deposits on the surfaces of nurse stations and that medical staffs encounter this virus in the air and on surfaces during patient care activities. The effective disinfection may reduce the risk of bio-safety.

For hospital infection control, we suggest:

1. Different people are responsible for the isolation observation area and the isolation ward area respectively, area crossing is strictly prohibited.
2. Objects in each district should be used exclusively; for those cannot be used for special purposes should be sterilized before being used in other areas.
3. Strickly observing hand hygiene rules thoroughly and in time.

4. An additional gloves, disposable isolator, protective screen or hood should be weared when the opertaion may cause the spattering of blood, body fluid and aerosol, which should be discarding in time to avoid the pollution of other area.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 30972610 and 81273240), Jilin Province Science and Technology Agency (Nos. 20160101037JC, 20170622009JC, 2017C021, and 2017J039), Norman Bethune Program of Jilin University (2012206), and Open Project Funding from State Key Laboratory of Kidney Diseases. We thank the patients and their families for participating in this study. We would also like to acknowledge the professional manuscript services of American Journal Experts

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

5. References

1. Benvenuto, D., et al., The global spread of 2019-nCoV: a molecular evolutionary analysis. Pathog Glob Health. 2020, 12: 1-4.
2. Huang, C., et al., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020, 395(10223): 497-506.
3. The, L., Emerging understandings of 2019-nCoV. Lancet. 2020, 395(10221): 311.
4. Anderson, D.J., et al., Enhanced terminal room disinfection and acquisition and infection caused by multidrug-resistant organisms and Clostridium difficile (the Benefits of Enhanced Terminal Room Disinfection study): a cluster-randomised, multicentre, crossover study. Lancet. 2017, 389(10071): 805-814.
5. Beggs, C., et al., Environmental contamination and hospital-acquired infection: factors that are easily overlooked. Indoor air. 2015, 25(5): 462-74.
6. Wang, D., et al., Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020.
7. Phan, L.T., et al., Respiratory viruses in the patient environment. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2020, 41(3):259-266.
8. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China. Epidemiology group of new coronavirus pneumonia emergency response mechanism, Chinese center for disease control and prevention, The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus

diseases (COVID-19) in China, Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020, 41(2):145-151.

9. Odebode A, et al., Airborne fungi spores distribution in various locations in Lagos, Nigeria.Environ Monit Assess. 2020, 192(2):87.

10. Irie K, et al., Investigation of the detection ability of an intrinsic fluorescence-based bioaerosol detection system for heat-stressed bacteria.PDA J Pharm Sci Technol. 2014, 68(5):478-93.

11. Chu DKW,et al., Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia. Clin Chem. 2020, pii: hvaa029. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/hvaa029.

12. Siegel, J.D., et al., 2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Health Care Settings. Am J Infect Control. 2007, 35(10 Suppl 2): S65-164.

13. Pirincci, E. and B. Altun, An analysis of hospital cleaning staff's attitudes and conduct regarding hand hygiene and cleaning. Int J Occup Saf Ergon. 2016, 22(2): 241-5.

14. Pittet, D., et al., Evidence-based model for hand transmission during patient care and the role of improved practices. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006, 6(10): 641-52.

15. Christensen, T.E., J.S. Jorgensen, and H.J. Kolmos, [The importance of hygiene for hospital infections]. Ugeskr Laeger. 2007, 169(49): 4249-51.