Real-time monitoring the transmission potential of COVID-19 in Singapore, March 2020

Amna Tariq[1*], Yiseul Lee[1], Kimberlyn Roosa[1], Seth Blumberg[2], Ping Yan[3], Stefan Ma[4], Gerardo Chowell[1],

[1]Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA

[2]F. I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

[3]Infectious Disease Prevention and Control Branch, Public Health Agency of Canada, Ottawa, Canada

[4]Epidemiology and Disease Control Division, Public Health Group, Ministry of Health Singapore

[*]Corresponding author

Amna Tariq
Department of Population Health Sciences
Georgia State University School of Public Health
Atlanta GA, 30303
atariq1@student.gsu.edu
Contact number: 470-985-6352

Abstract

Background

As of March 31, 2020 the ongoing COVID-19 epidemic that started in China in December 2019 is now generating local transmission around the world. The geographic heterogeneity and associated intervention strategies highlight the need to monitor in real time the transmission potential of COVID-19. Singapore provides a unique case example for monitoring transmission, as there have been multiple disease clusters, yet transmission remains relatively continued.

Methods

Here we estimate the effective reproduction number, R_t, of COVID-19 in Singapore from the publicly available daily case series of imported and autochthonous cases by date of symptoms onset, after adjusting the local cases for reporting delays as of March 17, 2020. We also derive the reproduction number from the distribution of cluster sizes using a branching process analysis that accounts for truncation of case counts.

Results

The local incidence curve displays sub-exponential growth dynamics, with the reproduction number following a declining trend and reaching an estimate at 0.7 (95% CI: 0.3, 1.0) during the first transmission wave by February 14, 2020 while the overall R based on the cluster size distribution as of March 17, 2020 was estimated at 0.6 (95% CI: 0.4, 1.02). The overall mean reporting delay was estimated at 6.4 days (95% CI: 5.8, 6.9), but it was shorter among imported cases compared to local cases (mean 4.3 vs. 7.6 days, Wilcoxon test, $p<0.001$).

Conclusion

The trajectory of the reproduction number in Singapore underscores the significant effects of successful containment efforts in Singapore, but it also suggests the need to sustain social distancing and active case finding efforts to stomp out all active chains of transmission.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, Singapore, Transmission potential, Transmission heterogeneity, Reproduction number, Cluster distribution, Reporting delay

Background

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic started with a cluster of pneumonia cases of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China back in December 2019 (1, 2). The initial cases have been linked to a wet market in the city of Wuhan, pointing to an animal source of the epidemic (3). Subsequently, rapid human-to-human transmission of the disease was confirmed in January 2020, and the etiological agent was identified as severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) due to its genetic similarity to the SARS-CoV discovered in 2003 (4, 5). The total global case tally has reached 750,890 infections including 36,405 deaths and involving 199 countries as of March 31, 2020 (6). As the virus continues to spread in the human population, obtaining an accurate "real-time" picture of the epidemic's trajectory is complicated by several factors including reporting delays and changes in the case definition (7, 8). Although the COVID-19 case incidence in China has substantially declined, active transmission is now occurring in multiple countries around the world (2). Epidemiological data from these countries can help to monitor transmission potential of SARS-CoV-2 in near real-time.

Outside of China, Singapore, where the first symptomatic imported case (66 years old Chinese male) was reported on January 23, 2020, has been able to maintain relatively low COVID-19 incidence levels through active case finding and strict social distancing measures. Up until March 31, 2020, Singapore has reported 926 laboratory confirmed cases, including 24 reported case importations from Wuhan China and 501 non-Wuhan related case importations (9). Imported cases include six individuals who were evacuated from China between January 30 and February 9, 2020 and multiple citizens and long term Singapore pass holders returning from Asia, Europe and North America in late March 2020 (9-11). Moreover, Singapore has reported 3 deaths as of March 31, 2020 (9). On February 4, 2020, the Ministry of Health of Singapore reported its first local cluster of COVID-19, which was linked to the Yong Thai Hang shop (12). A total of 18 clusters with 2 or more COVID-19 cases have been reported thus far. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the 6 largest clusters in Singapore.

Although large-scale community transmission has not been reported in Singapore, the novel coronavirus can rapidly spread in confined and crowded places, as illustrated by large clusters of COVID-19 cases linked to the Grace Assembly of God Church, the Life Church and Missions

Singapore, Wizlearn Technologies and the SAFRA Jurong cluster (13, 14). In China, substantial hospital-based transmission of SARS-CoV-2 has been reported, with approximately 3400 cases involving healthcare workers (15). This pattern aligns well with past outbreaks of SARS and MERS (16), including substantial nosocomial transmission during the 2003 SARS outbreak in Singapore (17). To minimize the risk of hospital-based transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the Ministry of Health of Singapore has restricted the movement of patients and staff across hospitals (18). Also, because multiple unlinked COVID-19 cases have been reported in the community (19) and the recognition that a substantial proportion of asymptomatic cases may be spreading the virus (20-22), strict social distancing measures have been put in place including advising the public against large social gatherings in order to mitigate the risk of community transmission (23, 24). These social distancing measures reduce the risk of onward transmission not only within Singapore, but also beyond the borders of this highly connected nation (25). A recent influx of imported cases from Asia, Europe and North America into Singapore has triggered travel bans and restrictions for travelers and citizens (9).

The reproduction number is a key threshold quantity to assess the transmission potential of an emerging disease such as COVID-19 (26, 27). It quantifies the average number of secondary cases generated per case. If the reproduction number is below 1.0, infections occur in isolated clusters as self-limited chains of transmission, and persistence of the disease would require continued undetected importations. On the other hand, reproduction numbers above 1.0 indicate sustained community transmission (16, 27). Using epidemiological data and mathematical modeling tools, we are monitoring the effective reproduction number, R_t, of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in Singapore in real-time, and here we report the evolution of R_t by March 17, 2020. Specifically, we characterize the growth profile and the effective reproduction number during the first transmission wave from the daily case series of imported and autochthonous cases by date of symptoms onset after adjusting for reporting delays, and we also derive an estimate of the overall reproduction number based on the characteristics of the clusters of COVID-19 in Singapore.

Methods

Data

125 We obtained the daily series of 247 confirmed COVID-19 cases in Singapore between January 23-
126 March 17, 2020 from public records of the Ministry of Health, Singapore as of March 17, 2020
127 (9). Individual-level case details including the dates of symptoms onset, the date of reporting, and
128 whether the case is autochthonous (local transmission) or imported are publicly available. Clusters
129 consisting of two or more cases according to the infection source were also assembled from case
130 descriptions obtained from field investigations conducted by the Ministry of Health, Singapore
131 (9). Single imported cases are analyzed as clusters of size 1 whereas unlinked cases were excluded
132 from the cluster analysis.
133
134 ***Transmission clusters***
135 As of March 17, 2020, 18 different clusters of COVID-19 cases with 2-48 cases per cluster have
136 been reported in Singapore. A schematic diagram and characteristics of the COVID-19 clusters in
137 Singapore are given in Figure 1 and Table 1. The geographic location of the six clusters accounting
138 for 45.3% of the total cases is shown in Figure 2 whereas the corresponding distribution of cluster
139 sizes is shown in Figure 3.
140
141 ***Yong Thai Hang cluster***
142 This cluster with 9 cases was the first to be reported in Singapore. It has nine traceable links,
143 including eight Chinese and one Indonesian national associated with the visit of Chinese tourists
144 to the Yong Thai Hang health products store, a shop that primarily serves the Chinese population,
145 on January 23, 2020. Four shop employees and the tour guide were first identified as a cluster on
146 February 4, 2020 (12, 28, 29). The tour guide subsequently infected her husband, a newborn and
147 the domestic helper (29). No further cases have been added to this cluster as of February 8, 2020.
148
149 ***Grand Hayatt hotel***
150 This cluster with 3 local cases was the second cluster to receive international attention, as it
151 originated from a business meeting held at the Grand Hayatt hotel attended by Singaporean locals
152 and the Chinese visitors from Hubei (30). Four international cases associated with this cluster had
153 left Singapore before the onset of symptoms. All Singaporean residents associated with this cluster
154 have recovered as of February 19, 2020 (30). No additional cases have been added to this cluster
155 as of February 8, 2020.

156 ***Seletar Aerospace Heights cluster***

157 This cluster with 5 Bangladeshi work pass holders was identified on February 9, 2020. No further
158 cases have been added to this cluster as of February 15, 2020.

159

160 ***The Life Church and Missions and The Grace Assembly of God cluster***

161 The biggest Singaporean cluster is composed of 33 cases, including two imported cases and 31
162 local cases. The cluster started during The Life Church and Missions service event in Paya Lebar
163 on January 19, 2020. This event was apparently seeded by two visitors from Wuhan China who
164 infected a couple with SARS-CoV-2 at the church. The infected couple likely passed the infection
165 to another case during a Lunar New Year's celebration on January 25, 2020. This case had
166 subsequently infected Grace Assembly of God church staff at the Tanglin branch, generating
167 secondary cases by the time he was reported on February 14, 2020. Two branches of the Grace
168 Assembly of God church at Tanglin and Bukit Batok have been included in this cluster (28, 31).
169 This church serves an average of 4800 people in attendance over the weekend. While the church
170 has momentarily closed, field investigations have not led to conclusive evidence regarding super-
171 spreading transmission (32). No further cases have been added to this cluster as of March 9, 2020.

172

173 ***SAFRA Jurong cluster***

174 The largest cluster composed of 48 local cases is linked to a private dinner function at SAFRA
175 Jurong restaurant on February 15, 2020. The restaurant was closed for cleaning from February 16-
176 February 19, 2020 following the dinner function. The latest case was added to this cluster on March
177 16, 2020.

178

179 ***Wizlearn Technologies cluster***

180 This cluster which comprises of 14 cases, was identified on February 26, 2020. Wizlearn
181 Technologies is an e-learning solutions company. The latest case was added to this cluster on
182 March 3, 2020.

183

184 ***Church of Singapore cluster***

The first case of this cluster was identified on March 14, 2020, originating as a secondary case from a case in the SAFRA Jurong cluster. This cluster is composed of 3 local cases. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 16, 2020.

Boulder gym cluster

The first case of this cluster was identified on March 8, 2020, also linked to the SAFRA Jurong cluster. This cluster is composed of 3 local cases. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 10, 2020.

Cluster A

The first case of this cluster was identified on February 14, 2020. The cluster comprise of 3 local cases. No further cases have been added in this cluster as of February 18, 2020.

Cluster B

The first case of this cluster was identified on February 19, 2020. This cluster is composed of two local cases. No further cases have been added in this cluster since February 21, 2020.

Cluster C

This first case of this cluster was identified on March 3, 2020. This cluster is composed of 2 local cases. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 6, 2020.

Cluster D

The first case of this cluster was identified on March 7, 2020. The two cases (one imported and one local) in this cluster are related to each other. No further cases have been added in this cluster since March 8, 2020.

Cluster E

The two cases (an imported and a local case) of this cluster were identified on March 11, 2020. No further cases have been added in this cluster since March 11, 2020.

Cluster F

216 The first case of this cluster was identified on March 11, 2020. This cluster is composed of 3 local
217 cases. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 13, 2020.
218
219 ***Cluster G***
220 This cluster is composed of 5 cases, including 4 imported cases. The first case of this cluster was
221 identified on March 10, 2020. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 13,
222 2020.
223
224 ***Cluster H***
225 The first case of this cluster was identified on March 14, 2020, a secondary case generated from a
226 case at SAFRA Jurong cluster. This cluster is composed on 3 local cases. No further cases have
227 been added to this cluster since March 16, 2020.
228
229 ***Cluster I***
230 The first case of this cluster was identified on March 14, 2020. This cluster is composed of one
231 local and one imported case. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 15,
232 2020.
233
234 ***Cluster J***
235 The first case of this cluster was identified on March 15, 2020. This cluster is composed of one
236 imported and one local case. No further cases have been added to this cluster since March 16,
237 2020.
238
239 ***Adjusting for reporting delays***
240 As an outbreak progresses in real time, epidemiological curves can be distorted by reporting delays
241 arising from several factors that include: (i) delays in case detection during field investigations,
242 (ii) delays in symptom onset after infection, (iii) delays in seeking medical care, (iv) delays in
243 diagnostics and (v) delays in processing data in surveillance systems (33). However, it is possible
244 to generate reporting-delay adjusted incidence curves using standard statistical methods (34).
245 Briefly, the reporting delay for a case is defined as the time lag in days between the date of onset
246 and date of reporting. Here we adjusted the COVID-19 epidemic curve of local cases by reporting

delays using a non-parametric method that employs survival analysis known as the Actuaries method for use with right truncated data, employing reverse time hazards to adjust for reporting delays as described in previous publication (35-37). The 95% prediction limits are derived according to Lawless et al. (38). For this analysis, we exclude 7 imported cases and 5 local cases for which dates of symptoms onset are unavailable.

Effective reproduction number from case incidence

We assess the effective reproduction number over the course of the outbreak, R_t, which quantifies the temporal variation in the average number of secondary cases generated per case during the course of an outbreak after considering multiple factors including behavior changes, cultural factors, and the implementation of public health measures (16, 27, 39) . Estimates of $R_t>1$ indicate sustained transmission; whereas, $R_t<1$ implies that the outbreak is slowing down and the incidence trend is declining. Hence, maintaining $R_t<1$ is required to bring an outbreak under control. Using the reporting delay adjusted incidence curve, we estimate the most recent estimate of R_t for COVID-19 in Singapore by characterizing the early transmission phase using a phenomenological growth model as described in previous publications (40-42). Specifically, we first characterize daily incidence of local cases for the first transmission wave (January 21- February 14, 2020) using the generalized logistic growth model (GLM) after adjusting for imported cases. This model characterizes the growth profile via three parameters: the growth rate (r), the scaling of the growth parameter (p) and the final epidemic size (K). The GLM can reproduce a range of early growth dynamics, including constant growth (p=0), sub-exponential or polynomial growth (0<p<1), and exponential growth (p=1) (43). We denote the local incidence at calendar time t_i by I_i, the raw incidence of imported cases at calendar time t_i by J_i, and the discretized probability distribution of the generation interval by ρ_i. The generation interval is assumed to follow a gamma distribution with a mean of 4.41 days and a standard deviation of 3.17 days based on refs. (44, 45). Then, we can estimate the effective reproduction number by employing the renewal equation given by (40-42)

$$R_{t_i} = \frac{I_i}{\sum_{j=0}^{i}(I_{i-j} + \alpha\, J_{i-j})\rho_i}$$

In this equation the numerator represents the new cases I_i, and the denominator represents the total number of cases that contribute to the new cases I_i at time t_i. Parameter $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ represents the

relative contribution of imported cases to the secondary disease transmission. We perform a sensitivity analyses by setting $\alpha = 0.15$ and $\alpha = 1.0$ (46). Next, in order to derive the uncertainty bounds around the curve of R_t directly from the uncertainty associated with the parameters estimates (r, p, K), we estimate R_t for 300 simulated curves assuming a Poisson error structure (47).

Reproduction number (R) from the analysis of cluster sizes

A second method of inferring the reproduction number applies branching process theory to cluster size data to infer the degree of transmission heterogeneity (48, 49). Simultaneous inference of heterogeneity and the reproduction number has been shown to improve the reliability of confidence intervals for the reproduction number (50). In the branching process analysis, the number of transmissions caused by each new infection is modeled as a negative binomial distribution. This is parameterized by the effective reproduction number, R, and the dispersion parameter, k. The reproduction number provides the average number of secondary cases per index case, and the dispersion parameter varies inversely with the heterogeneity of the infectious disease. In this parameterization, a lower dispersion parameter indicates higher transmission heterogeneity.

Branching process theory provides an analytic representation of the size distribution of cluster sizes as a function of R, k and the number of primary infections in a cluster (as represented in equation of 6 of the supplement of (51)). This permits direct inference of the maximum likelihood estimate and confidence interval for R and k. In this manuscript, we modify the calculation of the likelihood of a cluster size to account for the possibility that truncation of case counts at a specific time point (i.e. March 17, 2020) may result in some infections being unobserved. This is accomplished by denoting *x* as the sum of the observed number of serial intervals in a cluster. Then the likelihood that an observed cluster of size *j* containing *m* imported cases is generated by *x* infectious intervals is given by:

$$l^{C}_{m\to j}\left(R_{eff}, k, x\right) = \frac{m}{j} l_{x\to(j-m)}\left(R_{eff}, k\right) \quad (1)$$

Where the likelihood of a i infections causing j infections is given by:

307 $$l_{i\to j}(R_{eff},k) = \frac{\Gamma(j+ki)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(ki)}\left(\frac{k}{R_{eff}+k}\right)^{ki}\left(\frac{R_{eff}}{R_{eff}+k}\right)^{j} \quad (2)$$

308 where Γ is the gamma function.

309

310 To determine the number of observed serial intervals we observe in each cluster, we first estimate
311 the cumulative probability distribution of the serial interval. We assume the serial interval is a
312 gamma distribution, with a mean of 4.7 days and a standard deviation of 2.9 days (44). This
313 translates to a shape parameter of 2.63 and a scale parameter of 1.79. We then use the difference
314 between the onset data and the end of our study (March 17, 2020) to determine how much of the
315 infectious period was observed. For cases that only have a report date, but no onset date, we assume
316 an onset date that is six days earlier than the reporting date. This is based on the average duration
317 between onset date and report date that was observed in the data. When applied to the case series,
318 we are able to assign a total size, the number of imported cases and the observed number of
319 infectious periods for each cluster in the case series. When no imported cases are known to be in
320 a cluster, we assign the number of imported cases to be one as the cluster must have been initiated
321 by someone (e.g. the index case had contact with a foreign visitor).

322

323 When equation 1 is applied to the table of cluster size characteristics, the likelihood of the data can
324 be calculated as a function of R and k. Minimizing the likelihood produces the maximum
325 likelihood estimates of R and k. Applying the likelihood ratio test by profiling and R and k,
326 produces confidence intervals (52). Code was run in R version 3.6.1.

327

328 **Results**

329

330 ***Incidence data and reporting delays***

331 The COVID-19 epidemic curve by the date of reporting, stratified for local and imported incidence
332 case counts is shown in Figure 4. It shows that the majority of the imported cases are concentrated
333 at the beginning of the outbreak (January 23, 2020 - February 3, 2020) and after March 10, 2020
334 in Singapore, with an average of ∼12 new cases reported per day between March 1, 2020 and
335 March 17, 2020 (Figure 4). Out of 88 imported cases, only 14 cases have been linked to secondary

cases. Meanwhile, a total of 159 autochthonous cases have been reported as of March 17, 2020 including 27 cases that are unlinked to any known transmission chains.

The reporting-delay adjusted epidemic curve of local cases by date of symptoms onset roughly displays two small waves of transmission reflecting the occurrence of asynchronous case clusters (Figure 5). Moreover, the gamma distribution provided a reasonable fit to the distribution of reporting delays for all cases, with a mean reporting delay at 6.4 days (95% CI: 5.8, 6.9) (Figure 6).We also found that imported cases tend to have shorter reporting delays compared to local cases (mean 4.3 vs. 7.6 days, Wilcoxon test, $p<0.001$), as imported cases tend to be identified more quickly. The mean of reporting delays for the six large clusters ranged from 4.8-13.6 days (Figure 7).

Reproduction Numbers

For the first small wave of transmission comprising the first 25 epidemic days, the delay-adjusted local incidence curve displays sub-exponential growth dynamics with the scaling of growth parameter p at 0.7 (95% CI: 0.4, 1.0), the intrinsic growth rate r estimated at 0.6 (95% CI: 0.3, 1.1) and parameter K estimating the wave size estimated at 95 (95%CI: 56, 230). Because of the sub-exponential growth dynamics, the effective reproduction number followed a declining trend with the latest estimate at 0.7 (95% CI: 0.3, 1.0) when $\alpha = 0.15$ (Figure 8). This estimate was not sensitive to changes in parameter α.

Based on the entire distribution of cluster sizes, we jointly estimated the overall reproduction number R and the dispersion parameter k as of March 17, 2020. Fitting the negative binomial distribution to the cluster data in the empirical distributions of the realizations during the early stages of the outbreak in Singapore, the reproduction number is estimated at 0.61 (95% CI: 0.39, 1.02) after adjusting for the truncation of the time series leading to the possibility that some infected cases might still cause new infections after March 17, 2020. The dispersion parameter is estimated at 0.11 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.25) consistent with SARS-CoV-2 transmission heterogeneity.

Discussion

366 Overall, current estimates of transmission potential in Singapore, based on two different data
367 sources and different methods, suggest that temporary local transmission potential of SARS-CoV-
368 2 has occurred in Singapore while our most recent estimate of the effective reproduction number
369 is below the epidemic threshold of 1.0 whereas the overall reproduction number derived from the
370 distribution of cluster sizes just barely crosses 1.0 (Rt = 0.61 (95% CI: 0.39, 1.02). Temporary
371 sustained transmission in the beginning of the epidemic can be partly attributed to multiple case
372 importations and initiation of local transmission in the region. While large-scale local transmission
373 has not been reported in Singapore, the fact that asymptomatic and subclinical cases are now well
374 documented for COVID-19 (53) suggests that our estimates could be underestimated (54). On the
375 other hand, it is not clear if asymptomatic or subclinical cases are as infectious as symptomatic
376 cases. Indeed, we have reported that multiple local cases have yet to be traced to existing
377 transmission chains. Additional data collected during the course of the outbreak will help obtain
378 an improved picture of the transmission dynamics (55). These findings emphasize the need to
379 strengthen public health interventions including active case contact tracing activities in countries
380 with emerging transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (56). It is worth noting that imported cases have
381 minor contribution to secondary cases in Singapore, with most of the imported cases dating back
382 to the early phase of the epidemic and between March 10-17, 2020. However, there are examples
383 such as the Grant Hayatt Singapore cluster and the Yong Thai Hang cluster that were linked to
384 imported sources, and the original sources had left Singapore before these local clusters emerged
385 (30, 57).
386
387 Our R_t estimates for Singapore are substantially lower than mean estimates reported for the
388 COVID-19 epidemic in other parts of the world (58-66). This indicates that containment efforts
389 have a significant impact in Singapore (Table 2). However, some differences in the reproduction
390 numbers reported for the epidemic in China may result from different methods, differences in data
391 sources, and time periods used to estimate the reproduction number. Similarly, a recent study has
392 shown an average reporting delay of 6.1 days in China (67) which agrees with our mean estimate
393 for cases in Singapore (6.4 days). Moreover, the scaling parameter for growth rate (p) indicates a
394 sub-exponential growth pattern in Singapore, reflecting the effective isolation and control
395 strategies in the region. This is consistent with a sub-exponential growth pattern for Chinese

396 provinces excluding Hubei (p~0.67), as estimated by a recent study (68); whereas, an exponential
397 growth pattern was estimated for Hubei (p~1.0) (68).
398
399 A previous study on the 2015 MERS outbreak in South Korea reported substantial potential for
400 superspreading transmission despite a subcritical R_t (69). The lower estimate of the dispersion
401 parameter in our study also indicate significant transmission heterogeneity in Singapore. Super-
402 spreading events of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV associated with nosocomial outbreaks are well
403 documented and driven largely by substantial diagnostic delays (16, 37). Although the average
404 delay from onset of symptoms to diagnosis for COVID-19 patients in Singapore is at 6.4 days and
405 no super-spreading events has been observed yet, the dispersion parameter, $k<1$, indicates the
406 probability of observing large clusters and the potential for super-spreading such as the SAFRA
407 Jurong cluster (49, 69). Therefore, public health measures enacted by public health authorities in
408 Singapore that advise the public to avoid mass gatherings and confined places are crucial to prevent
409 disease amplification events. However, the presence of asymptomatic cases in the community
410 represent an ongoing threat (70, 71) although it is not currently known if subclinical cases are less
411 infectious. This highlights the need for rapid testing of suspected cases to quickly isolate those that
412 test positive for the novel coronavirus. To achieve this goal, public health authorities in Singapore
413 are reactivating 900 general practitioner clinics (72). While new clusters emerge in Singapore,
414 some clusters including the Yong Thai Hang cluster, Seletar Aerospace cluster, Wizlearn
415 Technologies and the Grand Hayatt cluster have stabilized (no recent additional cases in most
416 clusters). The "Grace Assembly of God and the Life Church and Missions" cluster and the
417 "SAFRA Jurong" cluster continue to be consolidated (57, 73).
418
419 Beyond Singapore, COVID-19 cases are now being reported in 204 countries including
420 identifiable clusters in many parts of the world (2, 74-77). Moreover, Singapore has also produced
421 secondary chains of disease transmission beyond its borders (25). Although Singapore has been
422 detecting and isolating cases with diligence, our findings underscore the need for continued and
423 sustained containment efforts to prevent large-scale community transmission including
424 nosocomial outbreaks. Overall, the current situation in Singapore highlights the need to investigate
425 the imported, unlinked and asymptomatic cases that could be a potential source of secondary cases
426 and amplified transmission in confined settings. Although Singapore has a world-class health

system including a highly efficient contact tracing mechanism in place that has prevented to outbreak from getting out of control (25, 78), continued epidemiological investigations and active case finding efforts are needed to contain the outbreak.

Our study is not exempt from limitations. First, the outbreak is still ongoing and we continue to monitor the transmission potential of COVID-19 in Singapore. Second, onset dates are missing for twelve cases, which were excluded from our analyses. Third, we cannot rule out that additional cases will be added to existing clusters, which may lead to underestimating the reproduction number based on the cluster size distribution. Fourth, some of the cases are associated with generating secondary chains in more than one cluster, which were included in the most relevant cluster.

Conclusion

This is a real-time study to estimate the evolving transmission potential of SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. Our current findings point to temporary sustained transmission of SARS-CoV-2, with our most recent estimate of the effective reproduction number lying below 1.02. These estimates highlight the significant impact of containment efforts in Singapore while at the same time suggest the need to maintain social distancing and active case finding efforts to stomp out all active or incoming chains of transmission.

List of abbreviations

COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

G.C. is supported by NSF grants 1610429 and 1633381. G.C. and S.B. are partially supported by R01 GM 130900.

Data declaration

All data are publicly available.

Author Contributions

A.T, S.B., P.Y. and G.C. analyzed the data. A.T. , Y. L, P.Y and S.M. retrieved and managed data; A.T and G.C wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to writing and revising subsequent versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

489 **References**

490 1. WHO. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 27. World Health
491 Organization February 16, 2020.
492 2. Worldometer. COVID-19 coronavirus / cases 2020 [Available from:
493 https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-cases/.
494 3. Nishiura H, Jung S, Linton N, Kinoshita R, Yang Y, Hayashi K, et al. The Extent of
495 Transmission of Novel Coronavirus in Wuhan, China, 2020. Journal of Clinical Medicine.
496 2020;9(2):330.
497 4. Tian H, Li Y, Liu Y, Kraemer M, Chen B, Cai J, et al. Early evaluation of Wuhan City travel
498 restrictions in response to the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak. medRxiv.
499 2020:2020.01.30.20019844.
500 5. WHO. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV), Situation report - 1. January 21,2020.
501 6. WHO. Situation Reports Coronavirus World Health Organization2020 [Available from:
502 https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports.
503 7. Olowski LJ. Coronavirus worse than reported – here's how China is catching up to
504 reality. Fox News. February 16, 2020.
505 8. Kottasová I. China's coronavirus numbers highlight the challenges of an evolving
506 epidemic. CNN. February 14, 2020.
507 9. MOH. News Highlights Ministry of Health Singapore [updated February 12,2020.
508 Available from: https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/.
509 10. Ang D. The Big Story: 92 Singaporeans evacuated from Wuhan; Hikikomori in Singapore.
510 The Straits Times. February 13,2020.
511 11. Tan A. Coronavirus: Flight with 174 Singaporeans evacuated from Wuhan lands at
512 Changi Airport on Sunday morning. The Straits Times. February 9, 2020.
513 12. MOH. Confirmed cases of local transmission of novel coronavirus infection in Singapore
514 Minstry of Health Singapore [updated February 4,2020. Available from:
515 https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/confirmed-cases-of-local-transmission-of-
516 novel-coronavirus-infection-in-singapore.
517 13. MOH. Five more cases discharged, three new cases of covid-19 infection confirmed
518 Ministry of Health [updated February 19,2020. Available from: https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-
519 highlights/details/five-more-cases-discharged-three-new-cases-of-covid-19-infection-
520 confirmed.
521 14. MOH. Two more cases discharged; five new cases of COVID-19 infection confirmed.
522 Ministry of Health March 5, 2020.
523 15. Secon H. Nearly 3,400 Chinese healthcare workers have gotten the coronavirus, and 13
524 have died. Business Insider. March 4, 2020.
525 16. Chowell G, Abdirizak F, Lee S, Lee J, Jung E, Nishiura H, et al. Transmission characteristics
526 of MERS and SARS in the healthcare setting: a comparative study. BMC Medicine.
527 2015;13(1):210.
528 17. Gopalakrishna G, Choo P, Leo Y, Tay B, Lim Y, Khan A, et al. SARS transmission and
529 hospital containment. Emerging infectious diseases. 2004;10(3):395-400.
530 18. Lim J. Coronavirus: Doctors, staff and patients to restrict movements to within one
531 hospital. The Straits Times Singapore. February 12, 2020.

19. Aravindan A, Geddie J. Singapore lifts virus alert to SARS level, sparking panic buying. Rueters. February 7, 2020.
20. Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Zarebski A, Chowell G. Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin. 2020;25(10):2000180.
21. Nishiura H, Kobayashi T, Miyama T, Suzuki A, Jung S, Hayashi K, et al. Estimation of the asymptomatic ratio of novel coronavirus infections (COVID-19). medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.03.20020248.
22. Hu Z, Song C, Xu C, Jin G, Chen Y, Xu X, et al. Clinical characteristics of 24 asymptomatic infections with COVID-19 screened among close contacts in Nanjing, China. Science China Life Sciences. 2020.
23. Sim D. Coronavirus: why did Singapore have more cases than Hong Kong – until now? This Week in Asia. February 11, 2020.
24. Rettner R. Can the new coronavirus spread through building pipes? Live Science. February 12,2020.
25. Vaswani K. Coronavirus: Why Singapore is so vulnerable to coronavirus spread. BBC News. February 13, 2020.
26. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP. Mathematical Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases: Model Building, Analysis and Interpretation 1st ed: Wiley; March 15 2000.
27. Anderson RM, May RM. Infectious Diseases of Humans. Oxford, editor. Oxford Univeristy Press1991.
28. Khalik S. Coronavirus in Singapore: Of the 50 cases so far, local cases exceed imported ones. The Straits Times. February 9,2020.
29. CNA. Coronavirus cases in Singapore: Trends, clusters and key numbers to watch. CNA. January 24,2020.
30. Wong L. Coronavirus: Full recovery for all three local cases linked to Grand Hyatt event. The Straits Times. February 19,2020.
31. MalayMail. COVID-19: SAF regular among three new victims in Singapore as Grace Assembly of God cluster grows to 18 cases. Malaymail. February 17,2020.
32. Lee J. Covid-19 outbreak: Grace Assembly of God cluster grows to 21 cases. Mothership. February 18,2020.
33. Yan P, Chowell G. Quantitative methods for infectious disease outbreak investigations. Springer2019.
34. Yan P. Estimation for the infection curves for the spread of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) from a back-calculation approach Center for Discrete Mathematics & Theoratical Computer Science Founded as a National Science Foundation Science and Technology Center 2018 [Available from: http://archive.dimacs.rutgers.edu/Workshops/Modeling/slides/Yan.pdf.
35. Lawless JF. Adjustments for reporting delays and the prediction of occurred but not reported events. 1994;22(1):15-31.
36. Taylor GC. Claims Reserving In Non Life Insurance: Elsevier; 1985.

574 37. Tariq A, Roosa K, Mizumoto K, Chowell G. Assessing reporting delays and the effective
575 reproduction number: The Ebola epidemic in DRC, May 2018-January 2019. Epidemics.
576 2019;26:128-33.
577 38. Kalbfleisch JD, Lawless JF. Estimating the incubation time distribution and expected
578 number of cases of transfusion-associated acquired immune deficiency syndrome. Transfusion.
579 1989;29(8):672-6.
580 39. Nishiura H, Chowell G, Heesterbeek H, Wallinga J. The ideal reporting interval for an
581 epidemic to objectively interpret the epidemiological time course. J R Soc Interface.
582 2010;7(43):297-307.
583 40. Nishiura H, Chowell G. Early transmission dynamics of Ebola virus disease (EVD), West
584 Africa, March to August 2014. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies
585 transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin. 2014;19(36).
586 41. Nishiura H, Chowell G. The Effective Reproduction Number as a Prelude to Statistical
587 Estimation of Time-Dependent Epidemic Trends. Springer D, editor2009. 103-12 p.
588 42. Paine S, Mercer G, Kelly P, Bandaranayake D, Baker M, Huang Q, et al. Transmissibility of
589 2009 pandemic influenza A(H1N1) in New Zealand: effective reproduction number and
590 influence of age, ethnicity and importations. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les
591 maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin. 2010;15(24).
592 43. Shanafelt DW, Jones G, Lima M, Perrings C, Chowell G. Forecasting the 2001 Foot-and-
593 Mouth Disease Epidemic in the UK. Ecohealth. 2018;15(2):338-47.
594 44. Nishiura H, Linton NM, Akhmetzhanov AR. Serial interval of novel coronavirus (2019-
595 nCoV) infections. medRxiv.2020.02.03.20019497.
596 45. You C, Deng Y, Hu W, Sun J, Lin Q, Zhou F, et al. Estimation of the Time-Varying
597 Reproduction Number of COVID-19 Outbreak in China. medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.08.20021253.
598 46. Nishiura H, Roberts MG. Estimation of the reproduction number for 2009 pandemic
599 influenza A(H1N1) in the presence of imported cases. Eurosurveillance. 2010;15(29):19622.
600 47. Chowell G. Fitting dynamic models to epidemic outbreaks with quantified uncertainty: A
601 primer for parameter uncertainty, identifiability, and forecasts. Infectious Disease Modelling.
602 2017;2(3):379-98.
603 48. Blumberg S, Lloyd-Smith JO. Inference of R0 and Transmission Heterogeneity from the
604 Size Distribution of Stuttering Chains. PLoS Comput Biol. 2013;9(5):e1002993.
605 49. Lloyd-Smith JO, Schreiber SJ, Kopp PE, Getz WM. Superspreading and the effect of
606 individual variation on disease emergence. Nature. 2005;438(7066):355-9.
607 50. Blumberg S, Lloyd-Smith JO. Comparing methods for estimating R0 from the size
608 distribution of subcritical transmission chains. Epidemics. 2013;5(3):131-45.
609 51. Blumberg S, Funk S, Pulliam JRC. Detecting Differential Transmissibilities That Affect the
610 Size of Self-Limited Outbreaks. PLOS Pathogens. 2014;10(10):e1004452.
611 52. Bolker BM. Ecological Models and Data in R. Press PU, editor2008.
612 53. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention Beijing 102206 C. The
613 epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in
614 China. 2020;41(2):145-51.
615 54. Belluz J. Why the coronavirus outbreak might be much bigger than we know. Vox.
616 February 14, 2020.

617 55. MOH. One more case discharged; five new cases of covid-19 infection confirmed
618 Ministry of Health Singapore [updated February 15,2020. Available from:
619 https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/one-more-case-discharged-five-new-cases-
620 of-covid19-infection-confirmed.
621 56. Pung R, Chiew CJ, Young BE, Chin S, Chen MIC, Clapham HE, et al. Investigation of three
622 clusters of COVID-19 in Singapore: implications for surveillance and response measures. The
623 Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1039-46.
624 57. Kurohi R. Coronavirus: 3 new cases in Singapore, including one first warded as dengue
625 patient; five more patients discharged. The Straits Times. February 19,2020.
626 58. Shen M, Peng Z, Xiao Y, Zhang L. Modelling the epidemic trend of the 2019 novel
627 coronavirus outbreak in China. bioRxiv.2020.01.23.916726.
628 59. Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Chowell G. Early epidemiological assessment of the transmission
629 potential and virulence of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Wuhan City: China, 2019-2020.
630 medRxiv.2020.02.12.20022434.
631 60. Zhao S, Lin Q, Ran J, Musa SS, Yang G, Wang W, et al. Preliminary estimation of the basic
632 reproduction number of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in China, from 2019 to 2020: A data-
633 driven analysis in the early phase of the outbreak. International Journal of Infectious Diseases.
634 2020;92:214-7.
635 61. Liu T, Hu J, Kang M, Lin L, Zhong H, Xiao J, et al. Transmission dynamics of 2019 novel
636 coronavirus (2019-nCoV). bioRxiv.2020.01.25.919787.
637 62. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and
638 international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study.
639 The Lancet.
640 63. Read JM, Bridgen JR, Cummings DA, Ho A, Jewell CP. Novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV:
641 early estimation of epidemiological parameters and epidemic predictions.
642 medRxiv.2020.01.23.20018549.
643 64. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in
644 Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. New England Journal of Medicine.
645 2020.
646 65. Sanche S, Lin YT, Xu C, Romero-Severson E, Hengartner N, Ke R. The Novel Coronavirus,
647 2019-nCoV, is Highly Contagious and More Infectious Than Initially Estimated.
648 medRxiv.2020.02.07.20021154.
649 66. Shim E, Tariq A, Choi W, Lee Y, Chowell G. Transmission potential and severity of COVID-
650 19 in South Korea. International Journal of Infectious Diseases.
651 67. Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, Funk S, Eggo RM. Early dynamics of transmission
652 and control of 2019-nCoV: a mathematical modelling study. medRxiv.2020.01.31.20019901.
653 68. Roosa K, Lee Y, Luo R, Kirpich A, Rothenberg R, Hyman JM, et al. Real-time forecasts of
654 the COVID-19 epidemic in China from February 5th to February 24th, 2020. Infectious Disease
655 Modelling. 2020;5:256-63.
656 69. Kucharski AJ, Althaus CL. The role of superspreading in Middle East respiratory
657 syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) transmission. Eurosurveillance. 2015;20(25):21167.
658 70. Sim D, Xinghui K. Singapore: nine new coronavirus cases, no plans to raise outbreak alert
659 to red. This Week in Asia. February 14,2020.

71. Baker JA, Mamud AH. 9 new COVID-19 cases in Singapore, including 6 linked to Grace Assembly of God cluster. Channel New Asia. February 14, 2020.
72. Chang N, Yong M. Public Health Preparedness Clinics reactivated to reduce risk of COVID-19 spread. CNA. February 14, 2020.
73. Hui M. A cluster of coronavirus cases in South Korea has been traced to a cult. Quartz. February 20,2020.
74. Marcus I. Chronology: Germany and Covid 19 ('Coronavirus'). The Berlin Spectator. February 13, 2020.
75. Rueters. Germany Confirms Seventh Coronavirus Case. Rueters. February 3,2020.
76. McCurry J. Japan reports first coronavirus death as 44 more cases confirmed on cruise ship. The Guardian. February 13, 2020.
77. Woods A. 44 new coronavirus cases reported on quarantined Diamond Princess cruise. Newyork Post. February 13, 2020.
78. Ng Y, Li Z, Chua YX, Chaw WL, Zhao Z, Er B, et al. Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Surveillance and Containment Measures for the First 100 Patients with COVID-19 in Singapore — January 2–February 29, 2020. MMWR Early Release; 2020 March 20, 2020.

Cluster name	Cluster location	Cluster size	Number of Imported Cases linked to the cluster	Number of local cases linked to the cluster	Number of secondary cases in the cluster	Reporting date for the first case linked to cluster	Reporting date for the last case linked to cluster
Yong Thai Hang cluster	Yong Thai Hang Medical Store on Cavan Road	9	0	9	1	February 4, 2020	February 8, 2020
Grand Hayatt cluster	Grand Hayatt hotel in Orchard	3	0	3	0	February 6, 2020	February 8, 2020
The Life Church and Missions and The Grace Assembly of God cluster	The Life Church and Missions at Paya Lebar and The Grace Assembly of God Church at Tanglin and Bukit Batok	33	2	31	10	January 29, 2020	March 9, 2020

Seletar Aerospace Heights construction cluster	Seletar Aerospace Heights construction site	5	0	5	4	February 9, 2020	February 15, 2020
Wizlearn Technologies cluster	Wizlearn Technologies in Science park	14	0	14	8	February 26, 2020	March 3, 2020
SAFRA Jurong cluster	SAFRA Jurong restaurant	48	0	48	29	February 27, 2020	March 16, 2002

Table 1: Characteristics of the largest COVID-19 outbreak in Singapore as of March 17, 2020.

Date	Event
1/23/2020	First imported case of SARS-CoV-2 confirmed
1/23/2020-1/26/2020	Flights to Wuhan cancelled by the Singaporean government
1/29/2020	Travelers from Hubei denied entry in Singapore
2/1/2020	New visitors with recent travel history to mainland China within the last 14 days denied entry into Singapore, or transit through Singapore
2/1/2020	Distribution of masks by the government
2/4/2020	First cases of local SARS-CoV-2 transmission
2/6/2020	First recovered patient in Singapore
2/7/2020	Singapore's outbreak response level upgraded from yellow to orange
2/17/2020	Stay at home notices issued for 14 days for all Singapore residents and long term work pass holders returning from China
2/23/2020	Travel advisory extended to visitors from South Korea
2/25/2020	Links between Grace Assembly of God cluster and The Life Church and Missions cluster established
2/26/2020	Ban on visitors arriving from Cheongdo and Daegu in South Korea.
2/28/2020	Singapore company Biotech introduced COVID-19 test kit for in-vitro case diagnosis
3/4/2020	Ban implemented on visitors arriving from South Korea, Iran and Italy
3/10/2020	600 passengers disembarked from the Italian cruise ship, Costa Fortuna and social distancing measures announced
3/12/2020	First two deaths from COVID-19 reported

3/15/2020	Ban implemented on visitors arriving from Italy, France, Spain and Germany
3/18/2020	Announcement made for all visitors entering Singapore from March 20, 2020 onwards to observe a 14 day quarantine
3/22/2020	Ban implemented on all short term visitors arriving or transiting from Singapore from March 23, 2020 onwards
3/23/2020	Announcement made for travelers including Singapore citizens required to submit a health declaration before entering Singapore
3/24/2020	Social distancing measures reinforced including bans on large gatherings and social events
3/262020	Punishments announced for individuals breaching the stay at home notices

Table 2: Timeline of COVID-19 epidemic in Singapore as of March 31, 2020.



Figure 1: Cluster network of the cases in Singapore for the COVID-19 global pandemic as of March 17, 2020 . The pink circles represent the cases linked to Wuhan, the green circles represent the non-Wuhan related case importations and the blue circles represent cases with no travel history to China. The larger dotted circles represent the COVID-19 disease clusters. Each blue arrow

represents the direction in which the disease was transmitted. Pink arrows represent immediate family. Dates below the circles are the dates of case reporting.

Figure 2: Map depicting the spatial distribution of the 6 largest COVID-19 clusters in Singapore; Grand Hayatt cluster, Yong Thai Hang cluster, Seletar Aerospace cluster, Wizlearn Technologies cluster, SAFRA Jurong cluster and The Grace Assembly of God Church and Life Church and Missions cluster as of March 17, 2020.



Figure 3: Distribution of COVID-19 cluster sizes in Singapore as of March 17, 2020.



Figure 4: Local and imported incidence cases by date of reporting as of March 17, 2020. The solid blue line represents the cumulative case count for the COVID-19 cases in Singapore.



Figure 5: Reporting delay adjusted local incidence for the COVID-19 outbreak in Singapore as of March 17, 2020. Blue bars represent the raw incidence, red solid line represents the adjusted incidence, red dotted lines represent the 95% lower and upper bound of the adjusted incidence.



Figure 6: The distribution of reporting delays for all cases as of March 17, 2020. The red line represents the fit of a gamma distribution to the data. The red circle represents the mean of gamma distribution and the horizontal line represents the 95% CI.



Figure 7: Reporting delay distribution with mean (blue circle) and 95% CI (vertical lines) for each big cluster in Singapore; Grand Hayatt cluster, Yong Thai Hang cluster, Seletar Aerospace cluster, Wizlearn Technologies cluster, SAFRA Jurong cluster and The Grace Assembly of God Church and Life Church and Missions cluster as of March 17, 2020.



Figure 8: The effective reproduction number reproduction number with 95% CI estimated by adjusting for the imported cases $\alpha = 0.15$ during the first transmission wave by February 14, 2020. The effective reproduction number followed a declining trend with the latest estimate at 0.7 (95% CI: 0.3,1.0) by February 14, 2020.