COVID-19 in Japan: What could happen in the future?

Nian Shao[1], Hanshuang Pan[1], Xingjie Li[4], Weijia Li[1],
Shufen Wang[1], Yan Xuan[8], Yue Yan[3], Keji Liu[3],
Yu Chen[3], Boxi Xu[3], Xinyue Luo[3], Christopher Y. Shen[5],
Min Zhong[10], Xiang Xu[9], Xu Chen[7], Yu Jiang[3] ,
Shuai Lu[1], Guanghong Ding[6], Jin Cheng[1,2], Wenbin Chen[1,2,*]

[1] School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
[2] Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
[3] School of Mathematics, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai, China
[4] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC, 28223 USA
[5] Shanghai American School, Pudong Campus, Shanghai, China
[6] Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
[7] Winning Health Technology Group, Shanghai, China
[8] School of Basic Medical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
[9] School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China
[10] School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

Abstract

We adopt a novel statistical time delay dynamic model proposed in our recent work to estimate the evolution of COVID-19. Based on the cumulative number of confirmed cases and cured cases published daily by government, we effectively simulate and predict the outbreak trend of COVID-19 in different regions in China. Meanwhile, the model reveals the growth rate of the epidemic, through which the basic reproductive number is thus estimated to be 3.25 to 3.4. Then, we apply the model to track the spread of COVID-19 in Japan. We find that the trend of the epidemic in Japan is strikingly similar to that in Wuhan, China at the early stage. Therefore there are reasons to draw a serious concern that there could be a rapid outbreak in Japan if no effective control measures are carried out immediately. Finally, we make a prediction of the future trend of COVID-19 in Japan, and suggest an enhancement of control measures as soon as possible, so as to avoid a severe outbreak.

1 Is it just similar?



Figure 1: Cumulative number of confirmed cases in Wuhan, China (in blue) and Japan (in red) of 29th-38th days after the day when the first case in the corresponding region was reported.

We show in Figure 1 the cumulative number of confirmed cases in Wuhan, China (in blue) and Japan (in red) of 29th-38th days after the day when the first case in the corresponding region was reported, i.e., Wuhan: Jan 29-Feb 7, Japan: Feb 10-Feb 19. We find that the epidemic development of the early stage of COVID-19 in Japan is very similar to that in Wuhan, China. We suspect that there may be similarities in future trend. Therefore, we forecast that the situation in Japan is hardly optimistic, if the current isolation rate of Wuhan is used for Japan. We should concern that there could be a severe outbreak in Japan if no strong restrictive measures by government are carried out.

Here lists the parameters used in our model.

	ℓ_1	β
Japan	0.0100	0.3032
Wuhan	0.0964	0.3100

2 Introduction

In December 2019, a pneumonia of unknown cause broke out in Wuhan, Hubei Province. The atypical pneumonia was caused by 2019 novel coronavirus, later named as COVID-19 by World Health Organization. As of Feb 20, 2020, there have been 74675 confirmed cases in mainland China and spread to 26 countries [18]. Given that Wuhan is the major transportation hub in central China, the epidemic

also spread domestically to other major Chinese cities such as Beijing, Shanghai, Chongqing, Guangzhou and Shenzhen [12]. COVID-19 is a group of SARS-like coronaviruses [19] and closely related to SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. All of them are zoonotic viruses and epidemiologically similar. It is reported that human-to-human transmission has occurred among close contacts since the middle of December 2019 on the basis of evidence from early transmission dynamics [9]. Cases found outside Wuhan also indicate independent self-sustaining human-to-human spread in multiple Chinese major cities [18]. Thus the risk of epidemic outbreak cannot be neglected outside Wuhan.

COVID-19 raised intense attention not only within China but internationally, people concerned about the spread of epidemic and its development trend. Many researchers devoted to the modelling of the epidemic. Specifically, there are some works focused on the effect of migration and transportation on the spread of epidemic. In [24], they estimated the probability of transportation of COVID-19 from Wuhan to 369 cities in China before the quarantine of Wuhan with the expected risk greater than 50% in 130 (95% CI 89-190) cities and greater than 99% in the 4 largest metropolitan areas of China. In [25], they conducted the research of feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. Their results showed it can hardly work with a high value of R_0 and a large initial number of cases when less than 1% of transmission occurred before symptom onset. Similarly, [12, 26] also suggested proper transmissibility reduction to avoid the outbreak of epidemic. Case numbers are rising exponentially in multiple cities due to human-to-human transmission. To possibly secure containment of the spread of infection, substantial public health interventions should be considered and carried out immediately. Multiple provinces including Hubei have adopted measures such as metropolitan-wide quarantine and reduction of inter-city mobility. Isolation of patients and trace and quarantine contacts as early as possible are still crucial because asymptomatic infection appears possible [20].

On the other hand, many researchers devoted to more details about the quantitative analysis of the spread of epidemic with some novel models. For instance, [15] adopted a novel statistical time delay dynamic system to estimate the reproductive number R_0 of COVID-19 based on Wallinga and Lipsitch framework [11] with distribution of the generation interval of the infection obtained. The growth rate of COVID-19 almost in $[0.30, 0.32]$ is larger than that estimated by CCDC [9], also the reproductive number R_0 estimated in $[3.25, 3.4]$ of COVID-19 is bigger than that of SARS. Some paralleled results also have been shown based on different models, see[16, 17, 12, 21, 25, 22, 23].

In our study, we simulate and predict the outbreak trend of COVID-19 in multiple cities in China, as well as the growth rate and basic reproductive number of the epidemic with a novel statistical time delay dynamic model. Our model appears to be consistent with the current situation in several cities including Wuhan, and the epidemic situation in Japan is quite similar to that in Wuhan. Thus, we apply the model to track and predict the spread of COVID-19 in Japan. Our findings could provide potent evidence for enhancing public health interventions to avoid severe outbreaks.

3 Methods

3.1 Data source

The data employed in this paper are acquired from WIND DATA (similar to Bloomberg, the market leader in China's financial information services industry), including the cumulative number of confirmed cases and the cumulative number of cured cases, from Jan 19, 2020 to Feb 16, 2020.

3.2 Model

Our group has developed some models for the growth rate of COVID-19 (TDD-NCP models [2, 13] and Fudan-CCDC models[10]) after Jin suggested to use the time delay model to fit the real data [2]. Because of the outstanding agreement with data from CCDC and the estimations on the growth rate are very stable, we decide to use the following Fudan-CCDC model. Other models also work very well to fit the CCDC data.

Firstly, Let us introduce some variables:

- $I(t)$: (the distribution of) the cumulative number of cases in the infected stage at time t;
- $J(t)$: (the distribution of) the cumulative ones in hospitalization stage at time t;
- $G(t)$: (the distribution of) the number of infected and isolated but yet diagnosed cases at time t. They are infected in fact, but are not confirmed by the hospital, then not appeared in the infected list of CCDC;
- $I_0(t)$: $I_0(t) = I(t) - J(t) - G(t)$.

We further make some assumptions on the transition probability functions $f_2(t)$, $f_3(t)$ and $f_4(t)$:

- $f_2(t)$: the transition probability from infection to illness onset;
- $f_3(t)$: the transition probability from illness onset to hospitalization;
- $f_4(t)$: the transition probability from infection to hospitalization, which can be calculated via the convolution of $f_2(t)$ and $f_3(t)$.

We assume the log-normal distribution for $f_2(t)$ and the Weibull distrubution for $f_3(t)$[10], and the distribution parameters can be estimated from CCDC by fitting the figures in [8]. In particular, two important parameters are used:

- β: denotes the growth rate, which is exactly the same as r: $\beta = r$.
- ℓ: denotes the quarantine strategy, for example, specially, we consider this parameter as the isolation rate. Large value of ℓ suggests that the government more likes to control the spreading of COVID-19.

Now we introduce our Fudan-CCDC model: $I(t)$, $J(t)$ and $G(t)$ can be approximated by the following (statistical) dynamical system:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}t} = rI_0(t), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}t} = r\int_{-\infty}^{t} f_4(t-s)I_0(s)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}G}{\mathrm{d}t} = \ell(t)\int_{-\infty}^{t} f_2(t-s)I_0(s)ds - \ell(t)\int_{-\infty}^{t} f_4(t-s)I_0(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.3}$$

One can also use the discrete system with each step representing one day just as we have implemented in the code:

$$I(t+1) = I(t) + rI_0(t), \tag{3.4}$$

$$J(t+1) = J(t) + r\sum_{s\le t} f_4(t-s)I_0(s), \tag{3.5}$$

$$G(t+1) = G(t) + \ell(t)\sum_{s\le t} f_2(t-s)I_0(s) - \ell(t)\sum_{s\le t} f_4(t-s)I_0(s). \tag{3.6}$$

We will fit and estimate appropriate values of β(or r) and ℓ based on the cumulative diagnosed data from CCDC.

The simulation is implemented via Matlab with some additional constraints imposed. Here the results are summarized in Table 1 with the real data employed up to Feb 16, 2020.

Table 1: The growth rate r and the reproductive number R_0

	r	R_0
Wuhan	0.3100	3.3250
Hubei(without Wuhan)	0.3166	3.3745
China(without Hubei)	0.3122	3.3415
Beijing	0.3038	3.2785
Shanghai	0.3082	3.3115

In the Table 1, we use two kind of quarantine strategies introduced below. We are surprised that our code can get uniform estimators on the growth rate r and the reproductive number R_0.

Quarantine strategy: We can observe that ℓ is distinct for different time stages at the different regions. Now we may use the following assumption:

$$\ell = \begin{cases} \ell_1 & \text{if } t < t_\ell \\ \ell_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

which means that the quarantine strategy was observably changed at the day t_ℓ. We report the results in Table 2.

Table 2: The isolation rate ℓ and the changed point t_ℓ

	ℓ_1	ℓ_2	t_ℓ
Wuhan	0.0964	0.4927	Jan. 20
Hubei(without Wuhan)	0.1717	0.5996	Jan. 20
China(without Hubei)	0.1477	0.8584	Jan. 20
Beijing	0.0126	0.5861	Jan. 16
Shanghai	0.0432	0.6299	Jan. 16

We also find that ℓ for the Diamond Princes Cruise Ship is $\beta = 0.3182$, $\ell_1 = 0, \ell_2 = 0.3239$. Moreover, the results from the model imply the case in the Diamond Princes Cruise Ship may be very DANGEROUS, since ℓ is below one key THRESHOLD, where the number of the infected persons will growth quickly. We also very worry about the situations in Japan. We WARN here that every CDC in every country should track the number of the infected persons, and immediately increase ℓ to one suitable level.

4 COVID-19 in mainland China



Figure 2: Simulations of J/I for several regions in mainland China.

In Figure 2, we plot the evolutions of J/I in several regions in mainland China. The x-axis represents dates started from the first infection date. From this figure we see that the curve for Beijing and Shanghai are ahead of Wuhan and Hubei, implying that earlier restrictive measures make the epidemic more controllable.



Figure 3: Simulations of cumulative number of confirmed cases in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded).

We show in Figure 3 simulations for the cumulative number of confirmed cases in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded), respectively. Data are presented in scattered black asterisks; the black solid and dotted lines are the fitting of the data and its prediction, with ±15% deviation in blue and red lines.



Figure 4: Simulations of the daily increment of cumulative confirmed cases in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded).

We show in Figure 4 simulations for the daily increment of cumulative confirmed cases in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded), respectively, with ±20% deviation.



Figure 5: Simulations of the epidemic situation in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded).

We show in Figure 5 simulations for the cumulative infected number (in blue), the current isolation number (in black), the cumulative number of confirmed cases (in red) in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded), respectively.



Figure 6: Simulations of $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{I(t)}{I(t_0)}\right)$, $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{J(t)}{J(t_0)}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{G(t)}{G(t_0)}\right)$ in Beijing, Shanghai, Hubei Province (Wuhan excluded).



Figure 7: Simulations of $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{I(t)}{I(t_0)}\right)$ in several cities in mainland China.



Figure 8: Simulations of $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{J(t)}{J(t_0)}\right)$ in several cities in mainland China.



Figure 9: Simulations of $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{G(t)}{G(t_0)}\right)$ in several cities in mainland China.

In Figures 6-9, we plot the evolutions of $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{I(t)}{I(t_0)}\right)$, $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{J(t)}{J(t_0)}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(\frac{G(t)}{G(t_0)}\right)$ in several cities in mainland China, either grouped by variables or by cities. The x-axis represents dates started from the first infection date. Since the start dates vary among different cities, the actual date referring to the integer x-axis values are different. As can be seen from the pictures, cities with similar final cumulative confirmed number have similar evolution patterns, such as Beijing and Shanghai.

5 Possible future scenarios for COVID-19 in Japan



Figure 10: Simulations of the ln epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 started from February 22nd, 2020.



Figure 11: Simulations of the epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 started from February 22nd, 2020.



Figure 12: Simulations of the ln epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 started from February 29th, 2020.



Figure 13: Simulations of the epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 started from February 29th, 2020.



Figure 14: Simulations of the ln epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 around 0.4 started from February 22nd, 2020.



Figure 15: Simulations of the epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 around 0.4 started from February 22nd, 2020.



Figure 16: Simulations of the ln epidemic evolution in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 around 0.4 started from February 29th, 2020.



Figure 17: Simulations of the epidemic situation in Japan under 4 choices of ℓ_2 around 0.4 started from February 29th, 2020.



Figure 18: Simulations of the epidemic situation in Japan when ℓ_2 started from 20200222 is the same as in Shanghai.



Figure 19: Simulations of the epidemic situation in Japan when ℓ_2 started from 20200229 is the same as in Shanghai.

In Figure10-19, we present the simulation results of the epidemic situation in Japan generated by FUDAN-CCDC model, as in Figure5. It can be clearly seen that when $\ell_2 = \ell_1$, namely when no effective

quarantine strategy is taken, the infected/confirmed number will grow exponentially. When ℓ_2 lies below a certain level, the growth rate fluctuates yet remains nonnegative. Also, the epidemic is much severer when ℓ_2 is taken since Feb. 29th than that of Feb. 22nd, which makes sense since the earlier we take the quarantine measure, the earlier the epidemic will be under control.

We conclude from Figures 10-19 as follows:

1. $\ell_2 = \ell_1$: If Japanese government does not take any action to restrict the behavior of its people, the number of infected people will lose control, and it will increase exponentially.
2. $\ell_2 = 0.38$: If the measures taken by the Japanese government are insufficient, the number of infected people will remain increasing exponentially.
3. $\ell_2 = 0.39$: The measures taken by Japanese government are not sufficient, and the number of infected people will have a vibration rise.
4. $\ell_2 = 0.40$: The measures taken by the Japanese government are not sufficient, and the number of infected people will rise at a slower rate.
5. $\ell_2 = 0.41$: The Japanese government has taken sufficient measures to eventually control the number of infections, but the number of infections is relatively large. If measures are taken earlier (2020-02-22), the scale of infection will be about 1.1 million. If measures are taken later (2020-02-29), the scale of infection will be about 1.7 million.
6. $\ell_2 = 0.45$: The Japanese government has taken sufficient measures, and the number of infected people will eventually be controlled, but the number of infected people will still be large. If measures are taken earlier (2020-02-22), the scale of infection will be about 45 If the measures are taken later (2020-02-29), the scale of infection will be about 1 million.
7. ℓ_2 Same as Shanghai: The Japanese government has adopted the same quarantine measures as Shanghai, and the number of infected people will be better controlled, and the number of infected people will be relatively small. If measures are taken earlier (2020-02-22), the scale of infection will be about 150,000, and if measures are taken later (2020-02-29), the scale of infection will be about 450,000.

6 Discussion

As the epidemic develops, some researchers [12] suggest that substantial measures that limit population mobility should be seriously and immediately considered in affected areas, such as cancellation of mass gathering, school closures and work-from-home arrangements. These strategies, based on our model, could drastically increase the isolation number and thus are beneficial for securing containment of the spread of infection. Furthermore, public education on personal prevention (eg, use of face masks and improved personal hygiene) is also crucial for reducing risk of infection among general public.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The ethical approval or individual consent was not applicable.
Availability of data and materials
All data and materials used in this work were publicly available.
Consent for publication
Not applicable.
Conflict of interests
The authors declare no competing interests.
Funding

Wenbin Chen was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China (11671098, 91630309) and 111 project(B08018), Jin Cheng was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China (11971121).

Disclaimer

The funding agencies had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Authors contributions All authors conceived the study, carried out the analysis, discussed the results, drafted the first manuscript, critically read and revised the manuscript, and gave final approval for publication.

Authors contributions

The simulations are main implemented by Shao Nian and designed by Wenbin Chen. All authors conceived the study, carried out the analysis, discussed the results, drafted the first manuscript, critically read and revised the manuscript, and gave final approval for publication.

Acknowledgements We should truly thank Prof. Tatsien Li. We also thank Yongzhen Wang, Prof. Rongmin Li and Prof. Shanjian Tang(Fudan), Gang Liang(China CDC in Wuhai, Hubei), our old friend Dr. Zhihua Shen(Fusion Fin Trade, fusionfintrade.com), , Ling Ye(China CDC in Daishan, Zhejiang), Long Chen(University of California, Irvine), Prof. Jiongmin Yong(University of Central Florida), Prof. Zhaojun Bai(UC Davis) and Xinkang Cao(Mathworks). Wenbin Chen thanks Nin Liu's in the Winning Health Technology Group Company(winning.com.cn), whose group always believe and support us, he also thanks his wife Dr. Jie Xu, her hometown is Wuhan.

We should thanks everyone who are fighting for Wuhan, specially we present our deep respects to Doctor Wenliang Li and Prof. Nanshan Zhong.[1].

References

[1] Anderson RM, May RM. Infectious diseases of humans: dynamics and control. Oxford, Uk: Oxford University Press, 1992.

[2] Chen Y, Cheng J, Jiang Y, Liu K. A time delay dynamical model for outbreak of 2019-nCov and the parameter identification. To appear in J Inverse Ill-Pose P 2020. arXiv: 2002.00418.

[3] Chen Y, Cheng J, Jiang Y and Liu K. A time delay dynamic system with external source for the local outbreak of 2019-nCoV. To appear in J Appl Anal 2020. arXiv:2002.02590.

[4] Ferguson N, Cummings D, Cauchemez S, el al. Strategies for containing an emerging influenza pandemic in Southeast Asia. Nature 2005; 437: 209–14.

[5] Ferguson N, Cummings D, Fraser C, Cajka J, Cooley P, Burke D. Strategies for mitigating and influenza pandemic. Nature 2006; 442: 448–52.

[6] Lipsitch M, Cohen T, Cooper B, et al. Transmission dynamics and control of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Science 2003; 300(5627): 1966–70.

[7] Liu K, Jiang Y, Yan Y, Chen W. A time delay dynamic model with external source and the basic reproductive number estimation for the outbreak of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia. Submitted to Control Theory and Appl (in Chinese) 2020.

[8] Pybus OG, Charleston MA, Gupta S, Rambaut A, Holmes EC, Harvey PH. The epidemic behaviour of hepatitis C virus. Science 2001; 22: 2323–2325.

[9] Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2020; published online. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.

[1] Please let us know if you have any question and suggestions, please email us at wbchen@fudan.edu.cn.

[10] Shao N, Chen Y, Cheng J and Chen W. Some novel statistical time delay dynamic model by statistics data from CCDC on Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia. Submitted to Control Theory and Appl (in Chinese) 2020.

[11] Wallinga J and Lipstch M. How generation intervals shape the relationship between growth rates and reproductive number. Proc. R. Soc. B 2007; 274: 599–604.

[12] Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. The Lancet 2020; published online. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9.

[13] Yan Y, Chen Y, Liu K, el al. Jiang and J. Cheng. Modeling and prediction for the trend of outbreak of 2019-nCoV based on a time-delay dynamic system. Sci Sin Math (in Chinese) 2020; 50(3): 1–8. DOI: 10.1360/SSM-2020-0026.

[14] Zhao S, Lin Q, Ran J, el al. Preliminary estimation of the basic reproduction number of novel coronavirus (2019-nCov) in China, from 2019 to 2020: A data-driven analysis in the early phase of the outbreak. Int J Infect Dis 2020; 92: 214–17.

[15] Shao N, Cheng J, Chen W. The reproductive number R_0 of COVID-19 based on estimate of a statistical time delay dynamical system. medRxiv 2020; published online Feb 21. DOI:10.1101/2020.02.17.20023747 (preprint).

[16] Read JM, Bridgen JRE, Cummings DAT, Ho A, Jewell CP. Novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV: early estimation of epidemiological parameters and epidemic predictions. medRxiv 2020. published online Jan 24. DOI:10.1101/2020.01.23.20018549 (preprint).

[17] Zhu Z, Li J, Gong D, et al. Time-varying transmission dynamics of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia in China[J]. 2020. [preprint] doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.25.919787.

[18] WHO. Novel coronavirus (COVID-19) situation report–31. 2020. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200220-sitrep-31-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=dfd11d24_2 (accessed Feb 21, 2020)

[19] Hu D, Zhu C and Ai L, et al. Genomic characterization and infectivity of a novel SARS-like coronavirus in Chinese bats. Emerg Microbes Infec 2018; 7: 154. DOI: 10.1038/s41426-018-0155-5.

[20] Chan JF, Yuan S and Kok K, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. The Lancet 2020; 395: 514-523. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9.

[21] Zhao Q, Chen Y, Small D S. Analysis of the epidemic growth of the early 2019-nCoV outbreak using internationally confirmed cases. medRxiv, 2020.

[22] Xiong H, Yan H. Simulating the infected population and spread trend of 2019-nCov under different policy by EIR model. Available at SSRN 3537083, 2020.

[23] Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Chowell G. Early epidemiological assessment of the transmission potential and virulence of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Wuhan City: China, 2019-2020. medRxiv, 2020.

[24] Du Z, Wang L, Cauchemez S, et al. Risk for Transportation of 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) from Wuhan to Cities in China. medRxiv, 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.01.28.20019299.

[25] Hellewell J, Abbott S, Gimma A, et al. Feasibility of controlling 2019-nCoV outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. medRxiv, 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.02.08.20021162.

[26] Zhao H, Man S, Wang B, et al. Epidemic size of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in the Epicenter Wuhan: using data of five-countries' evacuation action. medRxiv, 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.02.12.20022285