

1 **Effectiveness of control strategies for Coronavirus Disease 2019: a SEIR dynamic modeling study**

2 Jinhua Pan<sup>1,2+</sup>, Ye Yao<sup>3+</sup>, Zhixi Liu<sup>1,2+</sup>, Mengying Li<sup>4</sup>, Ying Wang<sup>4</sup>, Weizhen Dong<sup>5</sup>, Haidong Kan<sup>2,6</sup>,

3 Weibing Wang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

4

5 <sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

6 <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety of Ministry of Education, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032,

7 China

8 <sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

9 <sup>4</sup>Department of Social Medicine, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

10 <sup>5</sup>Department of Sociology and Legal Studies, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West,

11 Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada

12 <sup>6</sup>Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032,

13 China

14

15 +These authors contributed equally to this work

16

17 \* Corresponding authors: Dr. Weibing Wang

18 School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

19 Email: [wwb@fudan.edu.cn](mailto:wwb@fudan.edu.cn)

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 **Background:** Since its first case's occurrence in Wuhan, China, the Coronavirus Disease 2019  
23 (COVID-19) has been spreading rapidly to other provinces and neighboring countries. A series of  
24 intervention strategies have been implemented, but didn't stop its spread.

25 **Methods:** Two mathematical models have been developed to simulate the current epidemic situation in  
26 the city of Wuhan and in other parts of China. Special considerations were given to the mobility of  
27 people for the estimation and forecast the number of asymptomatic infections, symptomatic infections,  
28 and the infections of super-spreading events ( $I_{sse}$ ).

29 **Findings:** The basic reproductive number ( $R_0$ ) was calculated for the period between 18 January 2020  
30 and 16 February 2020:  $R_0$  declined from 5.75 to 1.69 in Wuhan and from 6.22 to 1.67 in the entire  
31 country (not including the Wuhan area). At the same time, Wuhan is estimated to observe a peak in the  
32 number of confirmed cases around 6 February 2020. The number of infected individuals in the entire  
33 country (not including the Wuhan area) peaked around February 3. The results also show that the peak  
34 of new asymptomatic cases per day in Wuhan occurred on February 6, and the peak of new  
35 symptomatic infections have occurred on February 3. Concurrently, while the number of confirmed  
36 cases nationwide would continue to decline, the number of real-time COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan  
37 has reached a peak of 13,030 on February 14 before it decreases. The model further shows that the  
38 COVID-19 cases will gradually wane by the end of April 2020, both in Wuhan and the other parts of  
39 China. The number of confirmed cases would reach the single digit on March 27 in Wuhan and March  
40 19 in the entire country. The five cities with top risk index in China with the exclusion of Wuhan are:  
41 Huanggang, Xiaogan, Jingzhou, Chongqing, and Xiangyang city.

42 **Interpretations:** Although the national peak time has been reached, a significant proportion of  
43 asymptomatic patients and the infections of super-spreading events ( $I_{sse}$ ) still exist in the population,  
44 indicating the potential difficulty for the prevention and control of the disease. As the Return-to-Work  
45 tide is approaching and upgrading, further measures (e.g., escalatory quarantine, mask wearing when  
46 going out, and sit apart when taking vehicles) will be particularly crucial to stop the COVID-19 in other  
47 cities outside of Wuhan.

48 **Keywords:** severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2); Coronavirus Disease  
49 2019 (COVID-19); mathematical models; basic reproductive number

50  
51

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82

**What was already known about the topic concerned**

Currently, a Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is thought to have emerged into the human population in Wuhan, and cases have been identified in neighboring provinces and other countries. In existing epidemiological studies, the basic reproduction number ( $R_0$ ) of the virus were estimated between 1.4 and 5. Besides, it is of crucial importance to evaluate and improve different intervention strategies which have already implemented.

**What new knowledge the manuscript contributes**

In this study, two mathematical models were established to simulate the current epidemic situation and predict the future trend of the COVID-19. We found that with the implementation of different policies,  $R_0$  continued to decline over time and the number of confirmed cases in Wuhan will peak on around February 6. Also, we estimated and forecast the number of asymptomatic infections, symptomatic infections, and infections of super-spreading events caused by the COVID-19 and the risk index of different cities.

**Implications of all the available evidence**

Our research has important practical implications for public health policy makers. Although the current prevention and control measures have made some significant inroads into controlling the epidemic, complete control has not yet been achieved. We recommend that self-isolation at home be strictly observed for a period of time in the future. Furthermore, our estimation of the number of asymptomatic people, super spreading and real-time inpatients would provide basis guidance for the hospital to arrange beds accordingly.

## 83 BACKGROUND

84 On 12 December 2019, a case of pneumonia of unknown etiology was detected in Wuhan City, Hubei  
85 Province, China. On 31 December 2019, the outbreak was first reported to the World Health  
86 Organization (WHO)(1). The novel coronavirus has thus been named ‘severe acute respiratory  
87 syndrome coronavirus 2’ (SARS-CoV-2), while coronavirus disease associated with it is now referred  
88 to as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Most cases from the initial cluster had an  
89 epidemiological association with a live animal market (Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market, henceforth  
90 referred to as the Seafood Market), suggesting that the SARS-CoV-2 is animal-derived(2). However,  
91 the specific source of the virus has not been identified yet.

92

93 The reported data show that while it is susceptible to people of all ages, the elderly and those with  
94 underlying diseases(3) tend to become more seriously ill once infected(4). The outbreak of COVID-19  
95 started at the time when hundreds of millions of Chinese people were on their way to their hometowns  
96 or vacation destinations for the celebration of Chinese New Year, which aided the rapid spread of the  
97 virus. As a result, a first-level response to major public health emergencies was launched in many areas  
98 across the country. Wuhan, as the source of this outbreak, implemented travel ban on 23 January  
99 2020(5). The Chinese government issued extension of order to shut down all non-essential companies,  
100 including manufacturing plants, in Hubei Province until at least 20 February. As of 25 February, 78,190  
101 cases of COVID-19 (according to the applied case definition) have been reported, with 2,718 deaths  
102 reported(6).

103

104 Since the epidemic outbreak, Wuhan has built two large hospitals with 2,600 beds to ease the sudden  
105 increase of local peoples’ healthcare needs. Interventions have also been adjusted for treating milder  
106 infections, whereby individuals have been shifted from home-based quarantines to centralized  
107 quarantines at designated facilities (e.g. “square cabin” hospitals) in fixed sites, to efficiently monitor  
108 and manage infections and prevent further outbreaks. As an intervention strategy, quarantine has been  
109 implemented nationwide to prevent further city-to-city transmission. However, despite these measures,  
110 the number of daily reported confirmed new cases is still very big.

111

112 Most people in China and those who may be affected by the COVID-19 outbreak around the world are

113 eager to know when they can return to normal lives. The purpose of this study is to provide a scientific  
114 projection on COVID-19 progression. The mathematical models could explain the epidemiological  
115 patterns of infectious diseases and provide valuable information for the formulation and evaluation of  
116 decision-making in disease preventive(7). This study also estimated the basic and effective  
117 reproduction number of the COVID-19, as well as the peak time and size of the epidemic with a  
118 dynamic model, and simulate the impact of various intervention strategies, including travel restrictions  
119 and voluntary quarantine.

120

## 121 **METHODS**

### 122 *Data source*

123 **Reported data.** Number of confirmed cases per day from 16<sup>th</sup> January 2020 to 16<sup>th</sup> February 2020(8, 9)  
124 reported by the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (Only cases that tested  
125 positive for nucleic acid were included), the Provincial Health Commissions of China, and government  
126 reports from Hong Kong, Macao, Taiwan and other countries. Our data also include the daily numbers  
127 of cured cases and deaths. The demographic data is from the Statistical Yearbook of the National  
128 Bureau of Statistics and from the Provincial Bureau of Statistics.

129

### 130 *The Models*

131 According to the current epidemic situation and the intervention strategies, the following SEIR  
132 mathematical models were constructed. **The Wuhan Model** was based on the epidemic situation in  
133 Wuhan from 16 January 2020 to 16 February 2020 and reflected the changes of the infections in the  
134 city. It included seven population groups for the seven stages in the progression of the COVID-19.  
135 According to the characteristics of the disease, when a susceptible person (Susceptible, S) effectively  
136 encounters a person that is infected with the COVID-19, S would be infected with the virus (Exposed,  
137 E) and would progress to infectious stage. The infected individual might exhibit symptomatic infection  
138 (I) or asymptomatic infection (U), and might cause an infection of super-spreading event ( $I_{sse}$ ); they  
139 might get medical care (Treatment, T), and then mostly were shifted to the recovery group (Recovery,  
140 R). Notably, the exposed individuals were infected, and had the ability to infect other susceptible  
141 individuals. The  $I_{sse}$  means those infections can cause three or more generations of infection. The flow  
142 diagram for this model is shown in Suppl. Fig 1. **The National Model (except for Wuhan region)** was

143 based on the Wuhan Model, aiming to predict the changes of the Coronavirus infection in other parts of  
144 China; the flow diagram for this model is shown in Suppl. Fig 2.

145

146 Each model was based on three hypotheses: i) people who had visited or had a contact history with the  
147 Seafood Wholesale Market were quarantined, and they did not travel to other parts of the country; ii) I,  
148 E and U had the same ability to infect others, while those causing  $I_{sse}$  had a greater ability to do so; iii)  
149 the daily confirmed cases was diagnosed in hospitals.

150

### 151 *Estimation of epidemiological parameters*

152 In the models, the detection rate was a proportion of confirmed cases from those who were infected. In  
153 Wuhan model, we assumed that the detection rate from 16 to 25 January was 10%, the detection rate  
154 has been improving since 25 January, with a fixed increment each day. Until the detection rate risen to  
155 75%, the detection rate would not change with time any more. And the detection rate in National model  
156 (except Wuhan) were from 0% to 75%, The same as quarantine rate in Wuhan (from 10% to 85%) and  
157 National model (except Wuhan) (from 5% to 80%)

158

159 In the National Model, parameter a, b were the initial proportion of I group and  $I_{sse}$  group, respectively.  
160 We used parameter a, b to recalculate the values of  $\mu_1$  (the proportion of U group),  $\mu_2$  (the proportion of  
161 I group),  $\mu_3$  (the proportion of  $I_{sse}$  group). And finally fitted results showed that the proportion of  
162 asymptomatic patients,  $\mu_2$ , in the population was  $0.0828 \cdot a / (0.0828 \cdot a + b + a)$ . The same as Wuhan model,  
163 we used the least square estimate to estimate the  $\mu_1$  (the proportion of U group). In the National Model,  
164 Wuhan data was used to calculate the p of various periods (p stands for the proportion of the latent  
165 population in the outflow population of Wuhan).

166

167 In the National Model, we assumed that the quarantine rate was 5% on January 16, with a fixed  
168 increment every day, and that this rate did not change with time once it reached 80%, after 20 days. We  
169 used public data to calculate the proportions of the outflow and inflow population from January 16 to  
170 January 23(10), then allocated the number of daily outflows in Wuhan, based on this proportion. All  
171 parameters used in the model are shown in *Table 1*.

172 According to the incubation proportion p of the daily outflow population from January 16 to January 23

173 estimated by the Wuhan model and the proportion of daily migration population from Wuhan to other  
174 cities, we calculated the cumulative number of people entering each city in the incubation period within  
175 8 days. The risk index is calculated by the product of the cumulative number of people in the  
176 incubation period (until February 10, 2020) and the resident population of each city.

177

### 178 ***Basic reproductive number ( $R_0$ )***

179 The basic reproduction number  $R_0$  represents the expected number of secondary cases produced by an  
180 initial infectious individual, in a completely susceptible population. The calculation for determining  $R_0$   
181 was done by using the R software(11).

182

### 183 ***Data Availability***

184 The data in this article are all public data obtained from the National Health Commission of the  
185 People's Republic of China.

### 186 ***Code availability***

187 The code is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

188

## 189 **RESULTS**

### 190 ***Simulation of the Wuhan Model***

191 Diagnosis data (open source) from Wuhan for the COVID-19 from January 16, 2020 to February 16,  
192 2020 were used for the modeling. Figure 1 shows that the Wuhan Model fits well the trend of the  
193 number of recent confirmed cases in Wuhan: it predicts that the peak of confirmed cases in Wuhan will  
194 be 1,718, and would occur around February 6. The peak of the number of asymptomatic infections  
195 appears on February 6, while the number of  $I_{sse}$  and symptomatic infections would reach their maximal  
196 numbers on February 3, with peak daily number for the three types of infections being 1,017, 419 and  
197 12,418, respectively (Figure 2). And the number of confirmed cases would reach the single digit on  
198 March 27 in Wuhan.

199

200 We established models for various scenarios, as shown in Suppl. Figure 3. The smaller the outflow of  
201 the population, the greater the daily number of new confirmed cases and the real time inpatients in  
202 Wuhan. Thus, if the outflow of the population is 6 million, the daily number of newly confirmed cases

203 in Wuhan would reach 860. Similarly, a dynamic model was applied to analyze the daily increase in the  
204 number of newly confirmed cases in Wuhan. Suppl. Figure 4 shows that the higher the early detection  
205 rate, the greater the number of confirmed cases, and the earlier the peak appears.

206

207 When the outflow population is kept constant and the quarantine rate increases gradually, the number  
208 of newly confirmed cases decreases. To predict the impact of various quarantine rates, we set isolate  
209 rate=10% as the baseline number of cases; when setting isolate rate=20%, it reduced to 1,159,720  
210 inpatients cases on the day of peak numbers, a decrease of 11.18%; isolate rate=30% would reduce the  
211 number of inpatients cases to 933,070 (Figure 3).

212

213 In the Wuhan Model, we set a function related to the parameter  $m$  and time  $t$  as follows: before January  
214 23, 2020,  $m$  infected people contacted the Seafood Wholesale Market, while the zoonotic infective  
215 cases no longer occurred thereafter due to the closure of that Market. The Model simulated various  
216 scenarios to assess the impact on the epidemic situation of an increase in the force of infection by 1, 1.5,  
217 2, 2.5, and 3 times. By predicting the impact of the number of zoonotic infective cases, we set 43 as the  
218 number of baseline cases. Doubling the number  $m$  (to 86) increased the number of cases by 1408 on the  
219 peak day, and enhanced the confirmed cases by approximately 9.10% on that day, while augmenting  $m$   
220 to triple the baseline increased the confirmed cases by approximately 22.58% (Suppl. Figure 5).

221

### 222 *Simulation of the National Model (except Wuhan)*

223 Figure 4 shows the fitting of the nationwide mathematical modeling. Our Model rationally fitted the  
224 trend of the daily numbers of newly confirmed cases in other parts of China, and predicted that the  
225 peak number of confirmed cases would occur around February 3, while the highest number of  
226 confirmed cases would reach 1,756 per day. The peak arrival time of the simulation data was consistent  
227 with that of the real data.

228

229 Figure 5 shows that the peaks of asymptomatic infections and  $I_{sse}$ , and the real time inpatients and  
230 symptomatic infections would appear on January 30 and January 29, February 10 and January 29,  
231 respectively, with peak number 766, 438, 14,008 and 13,006, respectively. The COVID-19 cases would  
232 gradually disappear by the end of April. The number of confirmed cases would reach the single digit on



233 March 19 in the entire country (not including the Wuhan area).

234

235 Suppl. Figure 6 shows the positive correlation between population inflow and case numbers per day.

236 When the population inflow is 6 million, the number of new cases per day reaches a peak of 1,838,

237 while inflow become 3 million, the number would be 1,539. An increase of 16.2% over the peak would

238 occur when the population inflow was 6 million. We also found that the change in the inflow

239 population was relatively insensitive to the population flow.

240

241 By predicting the impact of various quarantine rates on the number of cases each day, we observed that

242 the peak decreased gradually, and the number of new and cumulative confirmed cases per day had a

243 clear downward trend with the gradual increase of the quarantine rate; when the inflow population

244 remained constant, every 5% increase in quarantine rate reduced the numbers of the cumulative

245 confirmed cases, on average, by 313 cases on the peak day. Furthermore, setting the quarantine rate at

246 45% would reduce the number by 939 cases on the peak day compared to when the quarantine rate was

247 set at 30% (Figure 6). When the detection rate gradually increased, the peak gradually moved forward,

248 and the number of newly confirmed patients showed a significant downward trend. Also, that every 0.2

249 increase in the detection rate leads to an advance of 1-2 days at the peak (Suppl. Figure 7).

250

251 Figure 7 shows that the risk index of COVID-19 risk spread by the outflow population of Wuhan to

252 different cities. The top 5 cities ranked by the risk index are Huanggang, Xiaogan, Jingzhou,

253 Chongqing and Xianyang. And The number of incubators from Wuhan to these cities on the 16th to the

254 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2020 were 474, 513, 249, and 40, 145 cases, respectively. The risk index of 15 cities was

255 consistent with the actual cumulative number of confirmed cases ( $r=0.88$ ,  $p=3.8*10^{-8}$ , and the actual

256 cumulative number of confirmed cases were calculated until February 10, 2020).

257

258 We have estimated the basic reproduction number  $R_0$  from 19 January to 16 February 2020 in Wuhan

259 and the whole country (except Wuhan). Figure10 showed that  $R_0$  declined with time as well as with

260 implementation of different policies, from 5.75 to on 19 January to 1.69 on 16 February in Wuhan, and

261 from 6.22 to 1.67 in the entire country (not including the Wuhan area)

262

263

## 264 **DISCUSSION**

265 Based on the COVID-19 cases data from 16 January 2020 to 16 February 2020, the data showed that  
266  $R_0$  declined with time as well as with implementation of different policies, from 5.75 to 1.69 in Wuhan  
267 and from 6.22 to 1.67 in the entire country (except Wuhan), which was consistent with other studies(12,  
268 13) but we first estimate the trends. Although  $R_0$  for the COVID-19 was declined on February 16 in  
269 China, it was still higher in China (1.66; 95% CI 1.86–1.46), compared to the range of the  $R_0$  for  
270 SARS epidemic(12, 14), and for MERS(12, 14). On the other hand, such a high number for  $R_0$  was  
271 consistent with the opinion that the virus had gone through at least three-to-four generations of  
272 transmission and suggested that there might be a possibility of aerosol transmission as SARS did(15),  
273 though whether there was aerosol transmission needs further investigation.

274

275 Nowcasting and forecasting are of crucial importance for public health planning and control, both  
276 domestically and internationally. Our models evaluated the epidemic situation in Wuhan and in other  
277 parts of China separately, with the consideration of various intervention measures. The results of our  
278 modeling suggest that Wuhan have reached a peak in the number of confirmed cases around 6 February,  
279 with the maximum number of confirmed cases at 1,718 per day, while the peak of the number of  
280 confirmed individuals in the whole country has already occurred around February 3 and will reach  
281 single digit on March 27 in Wuhan and March 19 in in the whole country except Wuhan. In addition,  
282 our study predicts that the number of inpatients in Wuhan reached a peak of 13,030 on 14 February, and  
283 begin to decline, which can provide certain basis for hospital bed arrangement.

284

285 Model fitting for the newly confirmed cases per day gave a number that was lower than the actual  
286 number, and the peak number of newly confirmed infected cases per day in the model fitting of the  
287 entire country except Wuhan was also lower than the actual number – these discrepancies may be due  
288 to underreporting, and delayed diagnosis during the early stages of the epidemic. The underreporting  
289 and insufficient diagnosis might be due to less nucleic acid test kits, false negative result of the  
290 testing(16), and asymptomatic patients(3). Due to the recent change of more availability of test kits and  
291 greater efforts of various government departments, the detection capacity has continuously improved,  
292 leading to a sharp rise in patients' numbers compared to the models' estimates.

293

294 Current interventions, including extended vacations and self-quarantine at home, aim to isolate  
295 high-risk populations. We have analyzed the different quarantine rates, to determine how this variation  
296 changes the epidemic trend. Assuming that the major cities in the country have not taken the first level  
297 response, the isolation rate is not as high as it is currently, we reduced the quarantine rate and simulate  
298 the situation that if the country has not taken the first level response in Wuhan. When the quarantine  
299 rate was 10%, the peak value of newly confirmed cases would be 1,305,713 in Wuhan; when the  
300 quarantine rates became 20%, 30% and 40%, the peak value of newly confirmed cases would reach  
301 1,159,720, 933,070 and 588,814 in Wuhan respectively. The scenario suggested that Wuhan's  
302 mandatory isolation and first-level response had played a great role in the stop of epidemic spreading.  
303 Many cities in the country had started the first level response. We reduce the isolation rate in the  
304 National model to the scenario that the first level response was not launched. When the quarantine rates  
305 are 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, the peak value of newly confirmed cases will reach 3,532, 3,151, 2,753, and  
306 2,593 in the entire country. And if the quarantine rate is less than 30%, the new confirmed cases will  
307 grow explosively. Comparing to the current situation with a maximal quarantine rate of 80% in the  
308 National Model, it adds up 837 confirmed cases each day at least, which shows the quarantine  
309 intervention and first level response measures taken by some cities in China are indispensable policies  
310 and show positive effect on the control of the COVID-19. The Return-to-Work tide is approaching,  
311 especially in major cities like Shanghai and Shenzhen, upgraded measures (*e.g.*, escalatory quarantine,  
312 mask wearing when going out, and sit apart when taking vehicles) will be particularly crucial for the  
313 blockage of the COVID-19. We assumed that the isolation rate in Wuhan will reach 85% and 80% in  
314 the whole country except Wuhan. However, if we further improve the isolation rate, the epidemic will  
315 end ahead of time.

316

317 A proportion of the first generation of infected people had visited the Seafood Market in Wuhan(4).  
318 Due to the existence of asymptomatic infections and the possibility of missed diagnoses, rather than  
319 analyzing just the number of people who have been to the Seafood Market, we have instead analyzed  
320 the number of people who have contact history with the Seafood Market; when this alternative situation  
321 is taken into consideration, the greater the number of people who visited the Seafood Market in the  
322 early stage the bigger is the number of people infected, with a higher peak value. However, this

323 relationship is not sensitive. While people at the Market were found and isolated and were no longer  
324 contagious, the scale of transmission of this first generation of virus might be larger than that of other  
325 infected individuals.

326

327 This study primarily focused on the situation that can be judged from the appearance of some infection  
328 symptoms, such as fever, dry cough, etc(17). According to the latest research results of Dr. Zhong  
329 Nanshan, they proved the presence of another type of infected people – those who are asymptomatic  
330 infections at COVID-19 diagnosis(3). According to the results of the Wuhan Model and National  
331 model(excluded Wuhan), there are indeed asymptomatic cases with peak values 1,071 in Wuhan (766  
332 in the other parts of China) and  $I_{sse}$  cases with peak values 419 cases in Wuhan (438 in the other parts  
333 of China), asymptomatic cases are hidden virus carrier, finding them is extremely difficult but this  
334 population will increase in number rapidly, which is particularly challenging in terms of prevention and  
335 control. The only effective intervention for asymptotically infected people is to prevent close  
336 personal contacts. Concurrently, the number of real-time COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan has reached a  
337 peak of 13,030 on February 14 before it decreases (14,008 on February 10 in the other parts of China),  
338 which can provide some basis for the arrangement of hospital beds. The model further shows that the  
339 COVID-19 cases will gradually disappear by the end of April 2020, both in Wuhan and the other parts  
340 of China. The number of confirmed cases would decrease to less than 10 on March 27 in Wuhan and  
341 March 19 in the other parts of China.

342

343 During the current epidemic outbreak, the public should be reminded not only to practice at-home  
344 quarantine, but also to pay attention to personal protection, *e.g.* wearing masks, and reducing  
345 communicating with other people in person, especially after the stage of high level response (travel  
346 restricts, the postponement of school semesters, *etc.*) . As the potential long incubation period of the  
347 COVID-19, the effects of public health measures, such as to place someone and his/her close contacts  
348 under medical quarantine at both the hospital and community, would also depend on the swift and  
349 accurate diagnosis – any delay will make the public to pay a high cost(18).

350

351 Our study has several limitations. First, there is no enough data to provide accurate information about  
352 quarantine rates and detection rates at each stage of the epidemic, as the denominator could also be

353 underestimated due to limited medical source for treatment, false positive testing kits. However, we  
354 have adjusted for the initial values of the parameters in the models based on the available survey data.  
355 Second, based on the changes in prevention and control modes and societal efforts at each stage, we  
356 have estimated the functions of the quarantine and detection rates in relation to time, in order to  
357 simulate the actual conditions as closely as possible. In addition, we were unable to obtain some  
358 relevant data that may be very important to the study, such as the exposure and possible infection rates  
359 of healthcare workers nationwide(19). Instead, we use the estimation for exposure and infection rate of  
360 that population from a survey (unpublished data) based on a big specialized hospital in Wuhan.

361

## 362 **CONCLUSIONS**

363 China's prevention and control measures have made significant inroads into controlling the epidemic of  
364 COVID-19, but the complete control has not yet to be achieved. This study found self-quarantine at  
365 home should be strictly observed in the future, and that the quarantine level to be maintained at a  
366 relatively high level to prevent the possibility of a second outbreak of the epidemic. When the accuracy  
367 and sensitivity has been improved substantially, *e.g.*, by using CT scan instead of depending only on  
368 testing kits, the continued success may still rely on the community screening in order not to miss any  
369 and effectively cut the transmission chain from those with mild symptoms. Furthermore, professional  
370 medical care personnel should supervise centralized quarantine at certain quarantine sites, to better  
371 monitor and manage infected peoples and prevent further expansion of infection and improve the health  
372 of the patients. Given the high rate of infectivity of this new coronavirus, and there is still a possibility  
373 of the virus being spread globally, the countries other China also need to mobilize enough medical  
374 supplies to deal with this massive outbreak based on local risk assessments.

375

## 376 **Author contributions**

377 J.P., Z.L., Y.Y. and W.W. designed the study. J.P., Z.L., Y.Y. M.L, and Y.W. collected COVID-19  
378 incidence data and gained insight into the biology and natural history of the virus. J.P., Z.L., H.K. and  
379 W.W. developed the model and obtained the related parameters. Y.Y. revised and improved the model,  
380 W.D. revised the language, J.P., Z.L., and M.L drafted the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed  
381 and approved the final version of the manuscript.

382

383 **Acknowledgments**

384 We thank Dr. Yang Liu from London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Faculty of  
385 Epidemiology and Population Health, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology for modeling  
386 support. This study is sponsored by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1216424) and Shanghai  
387 Sailing Program (Grant No. 17YF142600).

388

389 **Competing interests**

390 The authors declare no competing interests.

391


392

393 **References**

394

- 395 1. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus—China, Disease outbreak news :  
396 Update. <https://www.who.int/csr/don/12-january-2020-novel-coronavirus-china/en/> [Accessed  
397 28 Jan 2020].
- 398 2. Wuhan municipal health commission.  
399 <http://wjw.wh.gov.cn/front/web/showDetail/2020011109035> [Accessed 28 Jan 2020].
- 400 3. Guan W-j, Ni Z-y, Hu Y, Liang W-h, Ou C-q, He J-x, et al. Clinical characteristics of 2019  
401 novel coronavirus infection in China. medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.06.20020974.
- 402 4. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical  
403 characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a  
404 descriptive study. Lancet (London, England). 2020. Epub 2020/02/03.
- 405 5. Xinhua News.  
406 <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/s3574/202002/5476aaf6e16e4e79abe7d12a317b59fc.shtml>.  
407 [Accessed 28 Jan 2020].
- 408 6. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) situation reports.  
409 [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200206-sitrep-17-nCoV.pdf?sfvrsn=17f0dca\\_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200206-sitrep-17-nCoV.pdf?sfvrsn=17f0dca_2) [Accessed 28 Jan 2020].
- 411 7. Rock K, Brand S, Moir J, Keeling MJ. Dynamics of infectious diseases. Reports on  
412 progress in physics Physical Society (Great Britain). 2014;77(2):026602. Epub 2014/01/22.
- 413 8. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China.  
414 [http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/xgxzbd/gzbd\\_index.shtml](http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/xgxzbd/gzbd_index.shtml) [Accessed 28 Jan 2020].
- 415 9. Health Commission of Hubei Province <http://wjw.hubei.gov.cn/bmdt/ztl/fkxxgzbdgrfyyq/>  
416 [Accessed 28 Jan 2020].
- 417 10. Baidu migration map. <http://qianxi.baidu.com>. [Accessed 28 Jan 2020].
- 418 11. Aaron A. King. Introduction to model parameter estimation(October 30, 2017). Available  
419 at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.
- 420 12. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and  
421 international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling  
422 study. Lancet (London, England). 2020. Epub 2020/02/06.
- 423 13. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in  
424 Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. The New England journal of  
425 medicine. 2020. Epub 2020/01/30.
- 426 14. Breban R, Riou J, Fontanet A. Interhuman transmissibility of Middle East respiratory  
427 syndrome coronavirus: estimation of pandemic risk. Lancet (London, England).  
428 2013;382(9893):694-9. Epub 2013/07/09.
- 429 15. Cheng VCC, Wong SC, To KKW, Ho PL, Yuen KY. Preparedness and proactive infection  
430 control measures against the emerging Wuhan coronavirus pneumonia in China. The Journal  
431 of hospital infection. 2020. Epub 2020/01/22.

- 432 16. Jin YH, Cai L, Cheng ZS, Cheng H, Deng T, Fan YP, et al. A rapid advice guideline for the  
433 diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia  
434 (standard version). *Military Medical Research*. 2020;7(1):4. Epub 2020/02/08.  
435 17. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138  
436 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China.  
437 *Jama*. 2020. Epub 2020/02/08.  
438 18. Dennis Lo YM, Chiu RWK. Racing towards the development of diagnostics for a novel  
439 coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Clinical chemistry*. 2020. Epub 2020/02/08.  
440 19. The L. Emerging understandings of 2019-nCoV. *Lancet* (London, England).  
441 2020;395(10221):311. Epub 2020/01/28.  
442  
443  
444  
445




446

447 **Figure 1. Prediction of the number of new confirmed cases per day.**

448 The brown line shows the actual number of newly confirmed cases every day in Wuhan until February  
449 16; the blue line shows the results of model fitting.

450





451

452 **Figure 2. Estimation and prediction of asymptomatic infections, symptomatic infections and super**  
453 **spreading event infections in Wuhan city.**

454 A: Estimation and prediction of daily asymptomatic infections in Wuhan. B: Estimation and prediction of daily  
455 super-spreading event in Wuhan. C: Estimation and prediction of the real time inpatients. D: Estimation and  
456 prediction of the occurrence of new infections per day. The time scale predicted by the model is from 16 February  
457 to 24 April 2020.


458

459

460

461

462



463

464

465

466


467

468

469

**Figure 3. Epidemic forecasts for Wuhan under different scenarios of quarantine rate.**

**A and B** predict the impact of different various quarantine rates on the real time inpatients and the number of newly confirmed cases per day.



470

471 **Figure 4. Estimation and prediction of newly confirmed cases in China (except Wuhan).**

472 The brown line shows the actual number of newly confirmed cases each day in the cities in China  
473 (except Wuhan). The blue line shows the result of model fitting, with the model applied to predict the  
474 number until 24 April 2020.

475

476

477

478

479

480

481


482

483

484

485

486



487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498


499

500

501

**Figure 5. Estimation and prediction of asymptomatic infections, super-spreading event infections, total hospital cases and symptomatic infections in China (except Wuhan).**

**A:** Estimation and prediction of new asymptomatic infections per day in China (except Wuhan). **B:** Estimation and prediction of new super-spreading event infections per day in China (except Wuhan). **C:** Estimation and prediction of the real time inpatients. **D:** Estimation and prediction of new infections per day among people in China (except Wuhan).




502

503 **Figure 6. Epidemic forecasts for China (except Wuhan) under different scenarios of quarantine**  
504 **rate.**

505 **A and B** are used to predict the impact of different quarantine rates on real time inpatients and new  
506 confirmed cases per day using national (except Wuhan) models.

507


508



509

510 **Figure 7. Comparison of the risk index and cumulative number of confirmed cases.**

511 According to the incubation proportion  $p$  of the daily outflow population from January 16 to January 23  
 512 estimated by the Wuhan model and the proportion of daily migration population from Wuhan to other  
 513 cities, we calculated the cumulative number of people entering each city in the incubation period within  
 514 8 days. The risk index is calculated by the product of the cumulative number of people in the  
 515 incubation period and the resident population of each city. And we compare the risk index with the  
 516 cumulative number of confirmed cases ( $r=0.88$ ,  $p=3.8 \times 10^{-8}$ , and the actual cumulative number of  
 517 confirmed cases are calculated until February 10, 2020).  
 518



519

520 **Figure 8. The change of basic reproduction number  $R_0$ .**

521 The blue line in the figure represents the estimated value of  $R_0$  and its change with time, and the gray

522 line represents the 95% confidence interval of  $R_0$ . **A:**  $R_0$  and its change with time in China. **B:**  $R_0$  and

523 its change with time in Wuhan. **C:**  $R_0$  and its change with time in the entire country except Wuhan

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535 **Supplementary information**

536

537

538

Supply. Table 1. Parameters of Wuhan dynamic model

Parameter	Description	Value	Source
d	Transition rate of latent individuals to infections	1/7	Public data
$\gamma_1$	Transition rate from hospitalization to recovery	1/14	Public data
$\gamma_2$	Transition rate of asymptotical infections to recovery	1/7	Assumed
$\beta_1$	Transmission coefficient of I, U, and E	0.4098	Least square method
$\beta_2$	Transmission coefficient of $I_{sse}$	1.0245	Least square method
$\beta_3$	Transmission coefficient of South China Seafood Market	3.8369	Least square method
$\lambda$	Transition rate of infectious individuals to quarantined infections	1/4.95	Public data
$\mu_1$	The proportion of U compartment	0.08	Least square method
$\mu_2$	The proportion of I compartment	0.89	Public data
$\mu_3$	The proportion of $I_{sse}$ compartment	0.03	Public data
Rate_quarantine(t)	Quarantined rate of individuals	Change with time	Assumed
Rate_detection(t)	detected rate of infection individuals	Change with time	Assumed
Rate_emigration(t)	emigrated rate of susceptible individuals and exposed individuals	Change with time	Assumed
$\alpha_1$	Death rate of COVID-19 cases in Wuhan city per day	0.0530	Reported data(8, 9)

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570




571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578

Supply. Table 2. Parameters of National dynamic model except Wuhan

Parameter	Description	Value	Source
$d$	Transition rate of latent individuals to infections	1/7	Public data
$\gamma_1$	Transition rate from hospitalization to recovery	1/14	Public data
$\gamma_2$	Transition rate of asymptotical infections to recovery	1/7	Assumed
$\beta_1$	Transmission coefficient of I, U, and E	0.1604	Least square method
$\beta_2$	Transmission coefficient of $I_{sse}$	0.401	Least square method
$\lambda$	Transition rate of infectious individuals to quarantined infections	1/3.8	Public data
$\mu_1$	The proportion of U compartment	0.0742	Least square method
$\mu_2$	The proportion of I compartment	0.8957	Public data
$\mu_3$	The proportion of $I_{sse}$ compartment	0.0301	Public data
Rate_quarantine(t)	Quarantined rate of individuals	Change with time	Assumed
Rate_detection(t)	detected rate of infection individuals	Change with time	Assumed
Rate_emigration(t)	emigrated rate of susceptible individuals and exposed individuals	Change with time	Assumed
$\alpha_2$	Death rate of COVID-19 cases in cities of China (excepted Wuhan city) per day	0.0081	Reported data(8, 9)

579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595



596

597

**Suppl. Figure 1. Flow diagram of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 model for Wuhan.**

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623


624

625

626

627

628



629

630

**Suppl. Figure 2. Flow diagram of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 model for the Nation (except Wuhan)**

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663


664

665

666

667

668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680




681

682 **Suppl. Figure 3. Prediction of new conformed cases and total cases diagnosed in hospital per day**  
683 **in different A values.**

684 The dynamic model for analyzing different situations of A, where A represents the total outflow  
685 population of Wuhan from 16 January to 23 January. We used public data to calculate the proportion of  
686 the outflow population (outflow population rate per day are 9%,9%,10%,10%,12%,15%,18%,17%)

687 from 16 January to 23 January 2020 in Wuhan, then allocated the number of daily outflows in Wuhan,  
688 based on this proportion. For this figure, we assumed the number of daily outflows at different levels  
689 and observed the number of new confirmed cases as well as the cumulative number of confirmed cases  
690 of the 2019 novel coronavirus. Blue, orange, gray, and yellow lines represent a total of 3,000,000,  
691 4,000,000, 5,000,000 and 6,000,000 people, respectively, having flowed out of Wuhan in 8 days.  
692



693

694 **Suppl. Figure 4. Prediction of new confirmed cases and total cases per day in hospitals, under**  
695 **different detection rate**

696 A and B are used to predict the influence of different detection rates on the number of real time  
697 inpatients and the number of new confirmed cases per day, using the Wuhan Model.  
698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709


710

711

712

713

714  
715




716

717 **Suppl. Figure 5. Epidemic forecasts for Wuhan under different scenarios of the number of**  
718 **infections in the Huanan (Southern China) Seafood Wholesale Market.**

719 The dynamic model for analyzing different situations of m, where m represents the number of  
720 confirmed cases who have been to Hainan seafood market. A and B show the prediction of the impact  
721 of the COVID-19 virus on the number of real time inpatients and newly confirmed cases per day,  
722 respectively. Under the stable outflow population, the number of newly confirmed cases per day as well  
723 as the cumulative confirmed cases have an upward trend, with an increase in the number of initial  
724 infections.


725  
726



727

728 **Suppl. Figure 6. Epidemic forecasts for China (except Wuhan) under different scenarios of inflow**  
729 **population.**

730 We used a dynamic model to analyze various situations of **A**, where **A** represents the total population  
731 flowing from Wuhan to all parts of the country during the eight days from 16 January to 23 January  
732 2020. We used public data to calculate the proportion of the population outflow from Wuhan, from 16  
733 to 23 January, and then allocated the proportion of daily population inflow from Wuhan to all parts of  
734 the country according to this proportion. This supplementary figure shows changes in the number of  
735 newly confirmed patients and the cumulative number of inpatients per day, assuming different levels of  
736 daily outflow population, which respectively represent the inflow population of 3,000,000, 4,000,000,  
737 5,000,000 and 6,000,000.  
738



739

740 **Suppl. Figure 7. Epidemic forecasts for China (except Wuhan) under different scenarios of**  
741 **detection rate.**

742 A and B are used to predict the influence of different detection rates on the number of real time  
743 inpatients and the number of new confirmed cases per day, using the National Model for the whole  
744 country (except Wuhan).

745

746

747