Long-Term Persistence of IgG Antibodies in SARS-CoV Infected 1 **Healthcare Workers** 2

3

- Xiaoqin Guo^{1,#}, Zhongmin Guo^{2,#}, Chaohui Duan^{3,#}, Zeliang Chen^{1#}, Guoling Wang¹, Yi Lu⁴, Mengfeng 4
- 5 Li⁵, Jiahai Lu^{1,*}
- 6
- 7 ¹ One Health Center, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510080, P. R.
- 8 China
- 9 ² Animal Experiment Center, Sun Yat-sen University, 510080, P. R. China
- 10 ³ Clinical Laboratory, The Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou,
- 11 510080, P. R. China
- ⁴ Department of Health Law, Policy and Management, School of Public Health, Boston University, 12
- 13 USA.
- ⁵ Department of Microbiology, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, 510080, P. R. 14
- China 15
- 16
- 17 # the authors contributed equally to this work
- * Corresponding author. lujiahai@mail.sysu.edu.cn for Jiahai Lu. 18
- 19
- 20

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

- 21
- 22

23 ABSTRACT

24 BACKGROUND

The ongoing worldwide outbreak of the 2019-nCoV is markedly similar to the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak 17 years ago. During the 2002-2003 SARS outbreak, healthcare workers formed a special population of patients. Although virus-specific IgG play important roles in virus neutralization and prevention against future infection, limited information is available regarding the long term persistence of IgG after infection with SARS-like coronavirus.

30 METHODS

A long-term prospective cohort study followed 34 SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers from a hospital with clustered infected cases during the 2002-2003 SARS outbreak in Guangzhou, China, with a 13-year follow-up. Serum samples were collected annually from 2003-2015. Twenty SARS-CoV-infected and 40 non-infected healthcare workers were enrolled in 2015, and their serum samples were collected. All sera were tested for IgG antibodies with ELISA using whole virus and a recombinant nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV, as a diagnostic antigen.

37 RESULTS

Anti SARS-CoV IgG was found to persist for up to 12 years. IgG titers typically peaked in 2004,
declining rapidly from 2004-2006, and then continued to decline at a slower rate. IgG titers in

- 40 SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers remained at a significantly high level until 2015. Patients
- 41 treated with corticosteroids at the time of infection were found to have lower IgG titers than those
- 42 without.

43 CONCLUSIONS

- 44 IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV can persist for at least 12 years. The presence of SARS-CoV IgG
- 45 might provide protection against SARS-CoV and other betacoronavirus. This study provides valuable
- 46 information regarding humoral immune responses against SARS-CoV and the 2019-nCoV.
- 47
- 48 Keywords: SARS-CoV; IgG antibodies; Cohort Study
- 49

50 1. INTRODUCTION

51 On December 31, 2019, an outbreak of coronavirus causing infections in Wuhan, China, was officially 52 reported. The outbreak rapidly spread to several provinces of China and other countries. Currently, the 53 ongoing outbreak in China caused by a new coronavirus, named 2019-nCoV by WHO, is becoming a 54 global crisis. Notably, the 2019-nCoV is highly similar to the severe acute respiratory syndrome 55 coronavirus (SARS-CoV), which is characterized by high mortality and infectivity. Therefore, information on SARS-CoV will be valuable for the prevention and control of the current outbreak. 56 57 SARS was first confirmed in Guangdong, China in 2002-2003, and subsequently became a pandemic, spreading rapidly to 31 countries and regions, causing panic and a global public health crisis.¹⁻³ In 58 59 total, 8,422 SARS cases were reported to the World Health Organization (WHO) with a case fatality 60 rate of 10.88% (916/8,422); of these total cases, 5,327 cases and 349 deaths were reported from 29 61 provinces of China. Guangdong was among the most affected provinces, with 1,511 reported cases, 22.90% (346/1,511) of which occurred in healthcare workers.³⁻⁵ During emergency disease control, 62 63 frontline healthcare workers, including physicians, nurses, and other healthcare workers, who were exposed to the virus while treating and caring for SARS patients in the early stages of the outbreaks, 64 were mainly affected. During the later stages of the outbreak, although precautions to prevent the 65 infection were enhanced, infection of healthcare workers still occurred.^{6,7} No natural SARS-CoV 66 infections have been identified since the last confirmed case in May 2004.⁸ The reasons why SARS 67 caused a transient epidemic and was experienced as an isolated event, remain unelucidated.⁹ There are 68

concerns that SARS-CoV will re-emerge in the future; consequently, studies are underway to
determine the mechanisms through which SARS-CoV interacts with the host immune system, crosses
host barriers, and other related aspects.

72 SARS-CoV was initially identified as having occurred through interspecies transmission from small carnivores (e.g., palm civets and raccoon dogs) to humans in 2003.¹⁰ Recently, studies indicate that 73 74 SARS-CoV may have originated from bats. Bat coronavirus isolates have been shown to be able to recognize both human and bat ACE2 receptors.¹¹ Coronaviruses can exploit numerous cellular 75 receptors and potentially cause various illnesses such as respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases.¹² 76 77 Particularly, the spike protein is an important viral determinant of virus-receptor interaction, 78 interspecies transmission, and host tropism, and its mutation can facilitate cross-species infection and human-to-human transmission.¹³ Another novel coronavirus similar to SARS-CoV, the middle-east 79 respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), emerged in the Middle East in 2012. MERS-CoV 80 has been shown to be transmissible from camels to humans, highlighting the ongoing threat posed by 81 SARS-CoV or other SARS-like viruses.^{14,15} 82

SARS-CoV IgG antibodies have neutralization activities and provide protection against infections.
Presence of IgG antibodies against virus and nucleocapsid protein represents protective immune
responses. While some previous studies reported longitudinal profiles of SARS IgG antibodies for up
to 5 years,¹⁶⁻²⁰ there has been a lack of knowledge on humoral immune responses for longer durations.
In our current study, we conducted a 13-year follow-up study on a cohort of SARS-CoV-infected
healthcare workers for analyzing the presence and persistence of antibodies against SARS-CoV.

89 2. METHODS

90 2.1 STUDY SITE AND PARTICIPANT ENROLLMENT

91 The study site was a teaching hospital and the main center for treating clustered SARS cases in 92 2002-2003 in Guangzhou, China. The hospital employed 4,797 staff, possessed 2,140 annual open 93 beds, and treated over 3.2 million patients annually. During the outbreak (from February to March, 94 2003), a total of 95 healthcare workers, out of all the staff in this hospital, were diagnosed with SARS 95 according to the diagnostic criteria promulgated by the Health Bureau of Guangdong (published on 96 February 3, 2003), and 34 of these cases were enrolled in this study. The majority of the participants 97 were aged between 20 and 30, in 2003, and 94.11% (32/34) of them were females (Table S1). The 98 enrollment criteria included clinical diagnosis of SARS-CoV infection in a healthcare worker treated 99 in the above mentioned hospital, the date of onset being prior to April 16, 2003, and an estimated 100 long-term follow-up of more than 9 years.

101 The sera used in this study is derived sample of the annual physical examination of the SARS-CoV 102 infected healthcare workers. Antibody surveillance is a part of the examination. The annual physical 103 examination has been reviewed and supported by the hospital ethics review committee. Written 104 consent was signed at the first examination.

105 2.2 SAMPLE COLLECTION

A total of 362 serum samples was collected. This included samples collected at the time of hospital
admission in 2003, from all study participants, and yearly sample collection, when the participants
attended their annual phyical examinations (April to June from 2004 through 2015). Eighty samples

109	were missing in the follow-up (Table S2): there was an interruption of sample collection in 2012 or
110	2013 due to an unexpected absence of the investigators in charge of sample collections; samples of 6
111	subjects were not collected in 2014 (Patient No. 29 - 34) and 2015 (Patient No. 26 - 28, 31-33)
112	respectively, for failing to arrange a physical examination or due to resignation. In addition, serum
113	samples from another 20 subjects, from the 95 SARS cases, were collected in 2015 (Table S3).
114	Another 40 non-SARS infected healthcare workers from the same hospital were enrolled and serum
115	samples were collected, as the control group, to verify the end result data of the 34
116	SARS-CoV-infected healthcare cohort in 2015 (Table S4).

117 2.3 DETECTION AND QUANTIFICATION OF IgG ANTIBODIES

Serum IgG antibodies were detected with a SARS-CoV IgG antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent 118 119 assay (ELISA) Kit (BGI-GBI Biotech Co. Ltd., Beijing, China), which used the whole SARS-CoV as 120 the detection antigen. Briefly, the serum samples were added at a 1:10 diluion and incubated in 121 pre-coated plates. After the processes of washing, reacting with HRP-labeled goat anti-human IgG, 122 color developing, and stopping the reaction, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a plate 123 reader. The IgG antibodies against nucleocapsid protein were detected with a recombinant SARS-CoV N199 antigen assay developed in our laboratory as described previously²¹. Indirect ELISA was 124 125 performed to detect and quantify antibodies (at 1:10 dilution) against SARS-CoV N199 in the serum samples, as previously described by Guo et al²¹. 126

127 2.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

128 Boxplots and heatmaps were used to show the temporal trends and variations in the antibody levels. 129 Non-linear exponential decay models were used to estimate the average decay rates of the antibody 130 level. A non-parametric Mann Whitney U test was used to compare the IgG values of samples from 131 SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers with those from the control in 2015. The test was also used to 132 compare the anti-SARS-CoV IgG titers from SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers who were 133 treated with or without corticosteroids in 2003. We used the Kappa test to estimate the consistence of 134 the results of a positive rate for both methods in the samples of the SARS-CoV-infected healthcare 135 worker group from 2003 to 2005 and non-infected healthcare worker group in 2015. Missing values 136 were replaced with estimated values from exponential decay models. Additionally, we conducted a 137 comparison for the decay trend of the data with and without the missing value replacements.

138 3. RESULTS

139 3.1 PROFILES OF IgG AGAINST SARS-CoV FROM 2003 TO 2015

ELISA detection results showed that the IgG levels peaked in 2004, declined quickly from 2004 to 2006, and further decreased at a slower rate to a mean OD_{450nm} value of 0.286 (against whole virus) and 0.330 (against N199) in 2015 (Figure 1, A and B). IgG levels against SARS-CoV varied between individuals, with the OD_{450nm} range from 1.162 to 0.48 for IgG against whole virus, and 2.374 to 0.581 for IgG against N199. Notably, two healthcare workers, who were diagnosed as SARS-CoV-infected patients, were negative for IgG against both the whole virus and N199, over the

entire study period (2003 – 2015), suggesting a possibility of misdiagnosis during the initial
admission in 2003; therefore, they were excluded from further statistical analyses (Figure 1, C and D).
The IgG levels against whole virus in 4 SARS infected healthcare workers increased notably after
2004, two of which also showed an increase in IgG antibodies against N199, while their levels in
other SARS infected healthcare workers decreased continuously during the following years (Figure 1,

151 C and D).

152 In the 13-year follow-up cohort, 80 out of 442 (18.10%) serum samples were lost. We used the 153 exponential regression of curve estimation method to estimate the missing values (Figure 1). Next, we 154 adopted the exponential decay models to define antibody decay, and the results indicated that the 155 antibody titers exhibited an overall declining trend in IgG against both whole virus and N199 (Figure 156 2, A and B). A similar decay trend inf IgG titers was found, although the missing values were not 157 replaced in the model (Figures S1 and S2). Furthermore, the proportion of study participants with IgG 158 titer against whole virus (> cutoff value) was 81.25% (26/32) in 2003, peaked at 100.00% (32/32) in 159 2007, and decreased to 69.23% (18/26) in 2015 (Figure 2C). For IgG antibody against N199, the 160 initial positive (higher than the cutoff value) was 59.38% (19/32) in 2003, peaked at 87.50% (28/32) 161 in 2005, and finally decreased to 19.23% (5/26) in 2015 (Figure2D). The proportion of both the IgGs 162 exhibited a reduced trend, and the higher level (>2 cutoff value) declined annually until it reached 26.92 % (IgG against whole virus) and 0.00% (IgG against N199) in 2015 (Figure 2, C and D). 163 164 Two healthcare workers, patient No. 11 and No. 26, who had previously been diagnosed with

165 SARS-CoV infection, were identified not to have detectable levels of IgG against N199 over the

- 166 entire study period (2003-2015). Anti-N199 IgG titers for patient No. 33 were also negative for all the
- samples collected except the ones collected in 2005. The IgG titer against the whole virus in these
- 168 patients were weakly positive.

169 3.2 DIFFERENCES IN ANTI-SARS-CoV IgG TITERS OF DIFFERENT

170 SARS-CoV-INFECTED GROUPS

171 To analyze the general IgG distributions, subjects were divided into 2 groups: group 1 (n=46),

172 including SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers enrolled in the original cohort (n=26) and

an additional group of SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers who were enrolled in 2015

174 (n=20), and group 2 (n=40) of non-infected healthcare worker, selected as the control. The

175 IgG against whole virus was above the cutoff value, and the IgG titers were significantly

176 higher in group 1 than in group 2 (p < 0.001; Figure 3A). A similar trend was observed for

177 IgG against N199 titers, as group 1 had significantly higher IgG titers than group 2 (p=0.034;

178 Figure 3B). Moreover, in group 1 the positive rate of IgG binding to whole virus and N199

179 was 58.70% and 28.26% with statiscical significance (p < 0.005), respectively.

180 Kappa test was used to estimate the consistency between the methods for testing IgG. The 181 consistency test was mainly focused on the period from 2003-2005, as the IgG titers remained 182 stable during this period. Over this period, only 10 of the 102 cases showed inconsistent 183 results between the two detection methods, and the Kappa coefficient was 0.712, suggesting a 184 good general consistence between the results obtained through the whole virus and N199 185 ELISA methods. Similarly, in the control group, only 5 of the 40 cases displayed inconsistent 186 results, and the Kappa coefficient was -0.42, also indicating a general data consistency 187 between the two methods (Table S5).

188 3.3 THE EFFECT OF CORTICOSTEROID TREATMENT ON IgG ANTIBODY

189 RESPONSES

190 During the outbreak, some SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers received corticosteroid 191 treatment, while others did not. The cohort was divided into two groups to assess the effect of 192 corticosteroid use on IgG titers in 2003. Of the 32 SARS-CoV-infected patients (with 2 cases 193 excluded as suspected to have been misdiagnosed), medical records were available for 27 194 patients, and the records showed that 17 of these patients were, and the rest were not, treated 195 with corticosteroids during the initial care for SARS in the 2002-2003 outbreak (Table S6). In 196 SARS patients with corticosteroids treatment and those not treated with corticosteroids, the 197 IgG against the whole virus was 0.35 ± 0.24 and 0.58 ± 0.29 , respectively (p=0.03; Figure 198 4A), and the IgG against N199 was 0.56 ± 0.40 and 0.72 ± 0.51 , respectively. Although IgG 199 against N199 of patients not treated with corticosteroids were higher than those treated with 200 corticosteroids, the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 4B; p=0.17).

4. DISCUSSIONS

202 SARS was first confirmed in Guangdong, China in 2003, and subsequently became a 203 pandemic as it spread rapidly to other countries and regions. Cases from Guangdong 204 accounted for nearly 20% of reported cases and approximately 17% of reported healthcare 205 worker cases worldwide. Healthcare workers, in particular, were exposed to an extremely 206 high risk in combating SARS due to limited knowledge of the etiology and other natures of 207 SARS outbreak. Presently, 17 years after the last SARS outbreak, a new SARS-CoV-like 208 virus, 2019-nCoV, a new member of the betacoronavirus, is causing large scale outbreak in

209 China. Till January 21, 2010, 440 confirmed cases have been reported in China, and several 210 cases have been reported outside China, including the USA, Japan, and Thailand. 211 Unfortunately, a large cluster of healthcare worker infections was officially reported on 212 January 20, 2020 at an early stage of this outbreak. Since information regarding this new 213 betacoronavirus outbreak remains largely unknown, evidence and knowledge from 214 SARS-CoV may provide important clues, aiding our responses to the outbreak.

215 IgG antibodies are proven to be important for virus neutralization and immunological 216 protection. As the first sampling of sera was performed during the early stage of SARS, it was 217 not possible to determine the maximum antibody titer, as this would be expected to occur approximately 4 months after the onset of the outbreak.¹⁹ Knowledge of the persistence and 218 219 level of antibodies against SARS-CoV, particularly neutralizing antibodies, is important for 220 evaluating the immune protection duration and vaccine efficacy. Neutralizing antibodies are 221 normally tested by viral neutralization tests; however, as manipulation involving live 222 SARS-CoV is prohibited in China in the interest of preventing laboratory-acquired SARS 223 infection and potential outbreaks, an alternative approach was employed to detect specific 224 IgG antibodies using SARS-CoV whole virus as capture antigens, which has been suggested in previous studies to correlate with neutralizing activity¹⁶. Moreover, the SARS-CoV N199 225 226 antigen was used to detect its specific antibody, which has been demonstrated to be specific in clinical diagnosis and SARS-CoV reservoir screening.²¹ We therefore adopted the testing of 227 228 IgG against N199, combined with the titer analysis of IgG against the whole virus, to 229 comprehensively evaluate the SARS antibody duration.

We found that the SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers who were treated with corticosteroids, such as prednisone and methylprednisolone, exhibited lower IgG titers during the early stages of the disease than those who were not treated with corticosteroids (although not significantly for IgG against N199). However, no differences were found between the two groups after 2003, suggesting that corticosteroids may suppress the early production of SARS-CoV IgG antibodies.

236 In our cohort, we found that two healthcare workers might have been misdiagnosed with 237 SARS, as the IgG antibodies against both the whole virus and N199 antigen was persistently 238 lower than the cutoff value, for these two patients. Notably, all the cases enrolled in this study 239 were admitted to the hospital between February and March, 2003, and that all the diagnoses 240 made before WHO's announcement that SARS-CoV was the causative agent of SARS on April 16, 2003¹, were based on the epidemiological features, clinical symptoms, and regular 241 242 laboratory blood tests, because serological and etiological diagnostic methods were not 243 available. Specifically, atypical pneumonia, as it was commonly known prior to adoption of the SARS nomenclature, could also be caused by other pathogens.²² Based on the results of 244 245 our current 13-year serological follow-up study, it is possible that the two healthcare workers 246 might have been misdiagnosed. Thus, it warrants further investigation to clarify whether these 247 two subjects were non-responders who did not develop anti-SARS-CoV antibodies at all or 248 simply represented misdiagnosed cases. In the latter scenario, the number of SARS cases in 249 the early stage of 2002-2003 SARS outbreak may need to be reassessed. Presently, for the 250 2019-nCoV outbreak, nucleic acid-based assay and particular real time PCR, are the

mainstream methods for etiological diagnosis. However, the sensitivity and specificity of
these assays need careful evaluation, because any false positive or negative results might be
harmful to the subjects.

254	During the SARS outbreak, the typical SARS patients mainly presented with antibodies
255	positive for SARS-CoV, fever, and symptoms of respiratory tract infections. Additionally,
256	there was a small group of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-nfected subjects, who only presented
257	with antibodies positive for SARS-CoV, but showed an absence of clinical symptoms. ²³⁻²⁵ . In
258	this study, we found that four subjects had an abnormal increase in the titers of SARS-CoV
259	antibodies during the follow-up. In contrast, the titers of SARS-CoV antibodies in most of the
260	other healthcare workers appeared to decrease slowly. It is unclear if these four healthcare
261	workers were reinfected with SARS-CoV or other coronaviruses with no signs or symptoms.
262	Thus, further investigation is needed to clarify whether these healthcare workers elicited IgG
263	response by SARS-CoV or other coronaviruses. In the former situation, the novel mechanism
264	of IgG generation without the clinical SARS symptoms needs to be elucidated.

Although IgG against SARS-CoV declined from 2003 to 2015 gradually, IgG levels in SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers were significantly higher than those in the non-infected healthcare workers control group in 2015. The infected cases enrolled into the study in 2015, exhibited detectable IgG against whole virus, and the positive rate was ~60%. For IgG against N199, the positive rate was ~30% in 2015. Moreover, results of the exponential decay curve revealed that IgG antibodies against the whole virus were positive throughout the 13-year follow-up, while IgG antibodies against N199 were negative only in

272 2015.

273	Collectively, based on our results, we can infer that the IgG against SARS-CoV can persist at
274	least for 12 years. Presently, we are unaware whether the persistent IgG antibodies possess
275	virus neutralization activities and can provide complete protection against SARS-CoV
276	infection or cross protection against the new betacoronavirus, 2019-nCoV. From previous
277	studies, we predicted that the presence of IgG antibodies could at least provide a partial
278	protection against coronavirus infection. These data will be valuable for better understanding
279	the immune mechanisms and vaccine development strategies against SARS-CoV and
280	2019-nCoV, therefore being helpful for responses against the ongoing coronavirus outbreak.
281	

282

284	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
285	We thanks collaborators participating in sample collection during the cohort study. This work
286	is supported by Guangdong Province Key Area R & D Plan Project (2018B020241002).
287	
288	
289	
290	AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION
291	
292	J. Lu designed the study, X. Guo, Z. Guo performed the ELISA tests, Z. Duan collected samples, Z.
293	Chen analyzed the data and revised the manuscript. G. Wang, Y. Lu and M. Li participated in data
294	analysis.
295	
296	
297	
298	

299 References

- 300 Peiris JS, Yuen KY, Osterhaus AD, Stohr K. The severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J 1. 301 Med 2003;349:2431-41.
- 302 Drosten C, Gunther S, Preiser W, et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with 2. 303 severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1967-76.
- 304 Xu C, Wang J, Wang L, Cao C. Spatial pattern of severe acute respiratory syndrome in-out flow 3. 305 in 2003 in Mainland China. BMC Infect Dis 2014;14:721.
- 306 Al-Ahdal MN, Al-Qahtani AA, Rubino S. Coronavirus respiratory illness in Saudi Arabia. J Infect 4. 307 Dev Ctries 2012;6:692-4.
- 308 Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Prevalence of IgG antibody to SARS-associated coronavirus in 5. 309 animal traders--Guangdong Province, China, 2003. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report 310 2003;52:986-7.
- 311 6. Hsin DH, Macer DR. Heroes of SARS: professional roles and ethics of health care workers. J 312 Infect 2004;49:210-5.
- 313 Chen WQ, Lu CY, Wong TW, et al. Anti-SARS-CoV immunoglobulin G in healthcare workers, 7. 314 Guangzhou, China. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:89-94.
- 315 Organization WH. China's latest SARS outbreak has been contained, but biosafety concerns 8. 316 remain - Update 7, 18 May 2004. 2007.
- 317 9. Kondro W. Canadian officials watch SARS-like mystery bug. Lancet 2003;362:714.
- 318 10. Guan Y, Zheng BJ, He YO, et al. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS 319 coronavirus from animals in southern China. Science 2003;302:276-8.
- 320 11. Ge XY, Li JL, Yang XL, et al. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that 321 uses the ACE2 receptor. Nature 2013;503:535-8.
- 322 12. Fields B, Knipe D, Howley P. Fields virology. 5th. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer 323 Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007.
- 324 13. Li F, Li W, Farzan M, Harrison SC. Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding 325 domain complexed with receptor. Science 2005;309:1864-8.
- 326 14. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Isolation of a novel 327 coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. N Engl J Med 2012;367:1814-20.
- 328 15. Memish ZA, Cotten M, Meyer B, et al. Human infection with MERS coronavirus after exposure 329 to infected camels, Saudi Arabia, 2013. Emerg Infect Dis 2014;20:1012-5.
- 330 16. Liu L, Xie J, Sun J, et al. Longitudinal profiles of immunoglobulin G antibodies against severe 331 acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus components and neutralizing activities in recovered patients.
- 332 Scandinavian journal of infectious diseases 2011;43:515-21.
- 333 17. Liu W, Fontanet A, Zhang PH, et al. Two-year prospective study of the humoral immune response 334 of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. J Infect Dis 2006;193:792-5.
- 335 18. Xie SY, Zeng G, Xia SC, et al. A three-year follow-up study on sera specific antibody in severe 336 acute respiratory syndrome cases after the onset of illness. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 337 2007;28:343-5.
- 338 19. Cao WC, Liu W, Zhang PH, Zhang F, Richardus JH. Disappearance of antibodies to 339 SARS-associated coronavirus after recovery. N Engl J Med 2007;357:1162-3.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.12.20021386; this version posted February 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- 340 20. Wang H, Jiang S, Wang E, Zhou X, Li Y, Zhao M. Follow-up study on serological detection of
- 341 anti-SARS-CoV IgG/IgM antibody and its significance. Infectious Disease Information 2009:235-7.
- 342 21. Guo ZM, Lu JH, Han WY, et al. Comparison of effectiveness of whole viral, N and N199 proteins,
- 343 by ELISA for the rapid diagnosis of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Chinese Medical 344 Journal 2007;120:2195-9.
- 345 22. Liu YN, Tian Q, Hu H, et al. Misdiagnostic analysis of clinically diagnosed severe acute respiratory syndrome after following up 197 convalescent patients. Chin Med J (Engl) 346 347 2005;118:1487-9.
- 348 23. Wilder-Smith A, Teleman MD, Heng BH, Earnest A, Ling AE, Leo YS. Asymptomatic SARS 349 coronavirus infection among healthcare workers, Singapore. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:1142-5.
- 350 24. Woo PC, Lau SK, Tsoi HW, et al. Relative rates of non-pneumonic SARS coronavirus infection 351 and SARS coronavirus pneumonia. Lancet 2004;363:841-5.
- 352 25. Ip M, Chan PK, Lee N, et al. Seroprevalence of antibody to severe acute respiratory syndrome
- 353 (SARS)-associated coronavirus among health care workers in SARS and non-SARS medical wards.
- 354 Clin Infect Dis 2004;38:e116-8.
- 355 26. Deng W, Wang Y, Liu Z, Cheng H, Xue Y. HemI: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. PLoS One 356 2014;9:e111988.

357

358



362 363

360



366 (A) The levels of IgG antibodies using SARS-CoV whole virus as antigen for ELISA detection. The 367 boxplot displays the IgG titers of the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. The whiskers extend to the most 368 extreme data point <1.5 times the inter-quartile range. The cutoff value (red lines) was defined at 0.19 369 according to the manufacturer's instructions for the SARS-CoV whole virus ELISA kit. Eighty samples 370 lost during follow up were estimated for their reading values using exponential regression of curve 371 estimation method (The same as below). (B) Levels of IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV N199. The 372 cutoff value (red lines) was calculated as follows: cutoff = mean of OD_{450nm} from 52 general population 373 serum samples +3×standard deviations, which gave a value of 0.40. (C) The dynamics of IgG against 374 SARS-CoV whole virus of each individual, from 2003 to 2015, illustrated by heatmap (HemI 1.0, 375 Heatmap Illustrator).²⁶ (D) The dynamics of IgG against SARS-CoV N199 of each individual from

2003 to 2015.



381 Figure 2. Population profiles of IgG against SARS-CoV whole virus and N199 from 2003 to 2015 382 (A) Predicted mean (red line) with 95% confidence limits (blue lines) of IgG against whole virus in the 383 cohort from 2003 to 2015. Eighty specimens lost during follow up were estimated for the missing 384 values using the exponential regression of curve estimation method (The same as Panel B). (B)

385 Predicted mean with 95% confidence limits of IgG against N199 in the cohort from 2003 to 2015. (C) 386 Proportions of different ranges of IgG against SARS-CoV whole virus in the SARS-CoV-infected 387 healthcare workers. (D) Proportions of different ranges of IgG against SARS-CoV N199 in 388 SARS-CoV-infected healthcare workers.

389





391

392 Figure 3. Distributions and differences of IgG in SARS-infected and non-infected healthcare 393 workers (control)

394 (A) The distributions and differences of IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV whole virus between all 395 SARS-CoV-infected (n=46) and non-infected healthcare workers (control) enrolled in 2015 (n=40). 396 The boxplot displays the IgG titers of the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. The whiskers extend to the 397 most extreme data point <1.5 times the inter-quartile range. The red line represents the cutoff value 398 (0.19). (B) The distributions and differences of IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV N199 between all 399 the SARS-CoV-infected (n=46) and non-infected healthcare worker controls enrolled in 2015 (n=40). 400 The red line is the cutoff value (0.40). P value of < 0.05 (two-tai) is considered to be statistically 401 significant (*). 402



- <1.5 times the inter-quartile range. The red line represents the cutoff value (0.19, Figure 4A; 0.40,
- Figure 4B), P value of < 0.05 (two-tai) is considered to be statistically significant (*).

- 416
- 417

Supplementary Appendix 418





Figure S1. Temporal changes in IgG antibodies against SARS-CoV whole virus 420

and N199 in the SARS-infected healthcare workers (80 predicted missing values 421

422 excluded)



424	the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. The whiskers extend to the most extreme data point <1.5
425	times the inter-quartile range. The cutoff value (red line) was defined as a value of 0.19 according
426	to the manufacturer's instruction of the SARS-CoV whole virus ELISA kit. (B) Levels of IgG
427	antibodies against SARS-CoV N199. The cutoff value (red line) was calculated as follows: cutoff
428	= mean of OD_{450nm} from 52 general population serum samples+3X standard deviations, which
429	gave a value of 0.40. (C and D) dynamics of IgG titers against SARS-CoV whole virus (C) or
430	SARS-CoV N199 (D) of each individual from 2003 to 2015 by the method described above.
431	Gray blanks represent the serum samples lost.

- 432
- 433





Figure S2. Predicted means of titers of IgG against SARS-CoV whole virus and

N199 during 2003 - 2015 (80 predicted missing values excluded)

Predicted means (red lines) and actual values (green solid circles) with 95% confidence limits

(blue lines) of IgG titers against the whole virus (A) and N199 antigen (B) in the cohort from 2003

to 2015.