

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

1 **A Cohort Study: Evaluating Self-Efficacy in Adolescents Attending a** 2 **Tailored Youth-Informed Breastfeeding Program**

3 *Christina M. Cantin RN, MScN, PNC(C)

4 Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

5 Champlain Maternal Newborn Regional Program, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

6

7 Wendy E. Peterson RN, PhD, PNC(C)

8 School of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

9

10 Amisha Agarwal, MSc

11 Clinical Research Unit, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario,
12 Canada

13

14 Jemila S. Hamid, PhD

15 Clinical Research Unit, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Ontario

16 School of Epidemiology and Population Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario

17

18 Bianca Stortini MD, FRCSC

19 CIUSSSS de l'Estrie - CHUS, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

20 Département d'obstétrique-gynécologie, Faculté de Médecine et des Sciences de la Santé, Uni-
21 versité de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

22

23 Nathalie Fleming MD, FRCSC

24 University of Ottawa, Faculty of Medicine, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

25 Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

26 The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

27

28 *Corresponding author

29 2305 St. Laurent Blvd, Suite 300A

30 Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8

31 613-737-2660 ext. 3246

32 ccantin@cmnrrp.ca

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

33 Funding Acknowledgement

34 The Innovative Youth-Informed Breastfeeding Program was developed and delivered with funds
35 provided by the Government of Ontario and the support of the Best Start Resource Centre at
36 Health Nexus.

37

38 Acknowledgements

39 We would like to acknowledge the contributions of the following individuals in the development
40 of the Innovative Youth-Informed Breastfeeding Program: Jill Behn, Beverley Croft, Cathryn
41 Fortier, Julie Gagnier, Maria Gareau, Marianne Gervais, Kim Ledoux, Nancy MacNider, and
42 Nicole Olive.

43

44 Declaration of conflicting interests

45 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

46

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

47 ABSTRACT

48 Adolescents (≤ 19 years of age) have lower rates of breastfeeding (BF) compared to older moth-
49 ers. BF self-efficacy (SE), defined as a mother's confidence in her ability to breastfeed her in-
50 fant, has been identified as an important factor influencing BF outcomes. An innovative youth-
51 informed BF program for young women was designed and implemented, which included staff
52 training, a prenatal BF class and BF peer support. The objective of this cohort study was to eval-
53 uate the effectiveness of the program in improving young mother's BF SE.

54 Participants were pregnant adolescents recruited from a large urban non-profit social service
55 outreach centre. The Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Scale-Short Form (BSES-SF) was administered
56 to participants before and after participating in the BF program. BSES-SF scores were summed
57 to determine a composite score and compared descriptively using mean score. Un-aggregated,
58 item-by-item, comparison of pre- vs post-BF program scores were also compared to examine
59 improvements in SE. A total of 20 adolescent mothers (mean age = 16.6) attended the BF pro-
60 gram. An increase in the total BSES-SF score was observed based on descriptively comparing
61 the mean pre vs post intervention.

62 Prenatal education and peer support adapted to the needs of adolescent mothers was associated
63 with increased BSES-SF. These results are promising given that clients attending programs at
64 this agency have low-income, low educational attainment, variable family support, housing in-
65 stability, and are at-risk for not breastfeeding. Future studies with larger cohort are required to
66 further validate and establish generalizability, as well as to determine the effect on BF duration
67 rates.

68

69

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

70 **Introduction**

71 Adolescents, defined as women less than 20 years of age, have a lower rate of breastfeed-
72 ing (BF) and traditional BF support given to older mothers may not meet the needs of pregnant
73 and parenting youth (Best Start Resource Centre, 2015a; Sipsma, Jones, & Cole-Lewis, 2015;
74 Sipsma, Magriples, Divney, Gordon, Gabzdyl, & Kershaw, 2013). Given that the benefits of BF
75 are well known, the World Health Organization recommends exclusive BF up to 6 months of
76 age, with continued BF along with complementary foods up to 2 years of age or beyond (World
77 Health Organization, 2020). The benefits of BF can be even more significant for the young
78 mother. As a large proportion of young mothers come from a low socio-economic environment,
79 the financial and health savings can be important advantages as well (Sipsma et al., 2015). Other
80 BF benefits include increased intrapartum interval (lactational amenorrhea), decreased incidence
81 of infant infections, enhanced maternal-infant bonding, and reduced risk of postpartum depres-
82 sion. Finally, because of the higher rates of postpartum depression and violence in young moth-
83 ers, BF can enhance mother-infant bonding and thus be a cornerstone for young mothers to help
84 create safer and loving families (Sipsma et al., 2015).

85 BF initiation rates among Canadian women have increased significantly in the last dec-
86 ades. In 1965, less than 25% of mothers breastfed compared to 89% in 2011, however, only 26%
87 of the women who initiated BF in 2011-2012 continued to breastfeed their infants exclusively for
88 6 months (Gionet, 2013; Health Canada, 2015). Public health reports and studies have identified
89 that adolescent mothers (15-19 years) have lower rates of BF initiation, BF at time of hospital
90 discharge and BF continuation compared to adult women (Best Start Resource Centre, 2015a;
91 Sipsma et al., 2015; Sipsma et al., 2013). Higher rates of initiation and continuation have been
92 reported when young women received BF education and counselling (Durham Region Health

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

93 Department, 2015; Fleming, Ng, Osborne, Biederman, Ill, Dy, ... Walker, 2013; Fleming, Tu, &
94 Black, 2012; Sipsma et al., 2013).

95 The majority of existing interventions to increase BF rates target adult women. The stud-
96 ies show that education and support given by laypeople or professionals is effective in increasing
97 BF duration (Sipsma et al., 2015). Compared to adults, Ontario adolescents have a 27% lower
98 rate of exclusive BF at hospital discharge (Fleming et al., 2013). Furthermore, a large retrospec-
99 tive population-based cohort study in Ontario, consisting of 22,023 adolescent women less than
100 20 years of age, revealed that only half (48.8%) *exclusively* breastfed their infant at time of hos-
101 pital discharge (Leclair, Robert, Sprague, & Fleming, 2015). Nevertheless, none of the existing
102 interventions focus on adolescent mothers.

103 Adolescent mothers encounter multiple challenges which contribute to the low rates of
104 intention, initiation and duration of BF. These challenges include: limited access to the social
105 determinants of health (poverty, food and housing insecurity); negative effect on maternal confi-
106 dence and attitudes by lower educational levels, low BF intention/confidence, possible negative
107 attitude towards BF; high risk behaviours in pregnancy (smoking and substance use); higher
108 rates of mood disorders and violence in pregnancy; lack of accessible support and adolescent
109 friendly services (Apostolakis-Kyrus, Valentine, & DeFranco, 2013; Nesbitt, Campbell, Jack,
110 Robinson, Piehl, & Bogdan, 2012; Sipsma et al 2013). In a retrospective population based-cohort
111 study, Apostolakis-Kyrus and colleagues found that the most significant factors contributing to a
112 lack of BF initiation in adolescent mothers was unmarried status and socioeconomic disad-
113 vantage.¹¹

114 Adolescents are also faced with simultaneously experiencing issues of adolescence and
115 motherhood: integrating new parental roles and romantic relationships, struggles with self-

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

116 esteem and self-image and loss of former peer groups (Sipsma et al., 2015). Hence, the interven-
117 tions to increase BF rates in young mothers need to be tailored to this population in order to yield
118 positive outcomes (Leclair et al., 2015; Sipsma et al., 2013). Indeed, tailored pre-and postnatal
119 care may have a pivotal role to improve BF education, intention, initiation and duration in this
120 population (Fleming et al., 2012; 2013; Sipsma, 2013). BF peer support has been identified as a
121 promising strategy to reach and support populations, such as young mothers, with lower rates of
122 BF (Best Start Resource Centre, 2015a; 2015b). Peer support provides a low cost, non-medical
123 intervention by caring, passionate and non-judgmental women with BF experience (Best Start
124 Resource Centre, 2015c).

125 Self-efficacy has been found to be an important factor associated with BF duration and
126 exclusivity. Studies of adolescent mothers demonstrate that BF self-efficacy (SE), both pre- and
127 postnatally, is an important factor influencing BF outcomes (Dennis, Heaman, & Mossman,
128 2011; Mossman, Heaman, Dennis, & Morris, 2008). BF SE is defined as the mother's confidence
129 in her ability to breastfeed her infant (Dennis et al., 2011). Adolescents with low post-natal BF
130 SE are nearly 4 times more likely to quit BF prior to 28 days postpartum (Mossman et al., 2008).

131 Informed by these research findings and guided by our own experience working with ad-
132 olescents (Ontario Ministry of Health and Long Term Care, 2014), we designed and implement-
133 ed an innovative youth-informed BF program in 2015 (Best Start Resource Centre, 2017), which
134 combines three evidence-based interventions to support young women and improve their BF
135 outcomes: staff training in BF best practices, a revision of prenatal BF curriculum to be youth-
136 friendly, and a new BF peer support group.

137 The objective of this research was to conduct a cohort study to evaluate the effectiveness
138 of the tailored BF program in improving BF SE. Our hypothesis was that adolescent mothers

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

139 would demonstrate increased BF SE after attending one prenatal BF class and /or four BF peer
140 support sessions.

141 **Methods**

142 **Design**

143 A prospective pre and post cohort design was used, and a survey was administered before
144 and after the intervention. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Ottawa Research
145 Ethics Board (#H02-15-09B) for this cohort study.

146

147 **Setting**

148 The study was conducted in a Canadian non-profit social service agency that provides a
149 full range of services for young pregnant women, young mothers and fathers (<25 years), and
150 their children (<3 years of age). This agency supports young women to have a healthy pregnancy
151 and birth, and helps young parents gain the knowledge and skills to raise healthy and happy chil-
152 dren. There is a residence for up to 10 pregnant and five postpartum clients and their babies, as
153 well as an outreach centre which offers a one-stop location for health and social programming
154 and services.¹⁹ Women attending programs at this agency in general have low-income, low edu-
155 cational attainment, variable family support, and housing instability. Consequently, the majority
156 of clients receives social assistance and relies on supports such as the food bank and the clothing
157 bin.

158

159 **Participants**

160 The targeted study participants were adolescents (19 years of age or less) currently regis-
161 tered as clients at the agency described above. The following inclusion criteria was used 1) cur-

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

162 rently pregnant or parenting, 2) attending a prenatal BF class or the BF peer support program,
163 and 3) could read and write in English. Participants older than 19 years of age were excluded
164 from our analysis. Participants were recruited at the beginning of the prenatal BF class or at be-
165 ginning of the first BF peer support session they attended. Recruitment was done between No-
166 vember 2015 and October 2016 by verbal invitation by agency staff at selected programs, and by
167 posting flyers in the common areas of the agency. Participants were eligible to participate in the
168 study if they met the inclusion criteria.

169 **Intervention**

170 In 2015, we developed the *Youth-Informed Breastfeeding Program* to address a gap in BF
171 support. This program included staff training, a revision of prenatal BF class curriculum and the
172 creation of a new BF peer support program. The staff training consisted of a two-hour session
173 focused on evidence-based BF support for young mothers. A public health nurse, who was an
174 International Board Certified Lactation Consultant (IBCLC), provided this training which in-
175 cluded an oral presentation, interactive discussion and case studies. This was offered on two sep-
176 arate days to allow all staff at the agency to participate in the training, regardless of their role
177 (e.g. receptionist, administrators, program coordinators, residence staff etc.).

178 The prenatal BF class was one session in a series of eight prenatal classes on various top-
179 ics (the name of the program is *Pregnancy Circle*). The 2-hour class was facilitated by a public
180 health nurse from a local public health agency, which received the Baby-Friendly Initiative Des-
181 ignation in May 2013 and thus the curriculum was consistent with the Baby Friendly Initiative
182 Standards.^{20, 21} This class was available to pregnant youth and their partners or support persons.
183 The curriculum was revised to reflect the realities of young mothers such as their single status,

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

184 their attendance at school versus employment, and common social situations that would be rele-
185 vant to youth.

186 The weekly BF peer support sessions (1.5 hours) were co-facilitated by a program coor-
187 dinator from the agency where the study was being conducted and a youth leader who received
188 peer-mom leader training (the name of the program is *Little Milk Miracles*). There were four
189 sessions that covered a range of topics under the following themes: getting off to a good start, BF
190 in the early days, troubleshooting common concerns, and continuing to BF. Childcare, transpor-
191 tation and a healthy snack were provided as this facilitated young mothers' participation in the
192 program. Prenatal or postnatal youth could attend the peer support sessions.

193 Peer-mom leader training consisted of four hours of training which was delivered over
194 two sessions by the program coordinator and a public health nurse, who was an IBCLC. The
195 training consisted of interactive discussions and case studies which enabled participants to re-
196 view basic BF information and to better understand the role and expectations of peer-mom lead-
197 ers as well as learn about available community resources. Peer-mom leaders were selected based
198 on having 4 months or more breastfeeding experience, possessing qualities conducive to the role
199 (i.e. approachable, positive, supportive), and a satisfactory police record check.

200

201 **Measurements**

202 To measure BF SE, we developed a pre and post questionnaire. The pre-questionnaire in-
203 cluded twelve demographic questions: age, marital status, employment status, obstetrical history,
204 parenting status, current pregnancy status (if pregnant, # of weeks), BF education received, pre-
205 vious BF experience (yes/no, if yes for what duration), concerns related to BF, aware-
206 ness/knowledge of community resources, how they heard about the program, and reason they
207 came to the program.

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

208 The Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Short Form scale (BSES-SF) was used in both the pre
209 and post questionnaire as it has been validated for use with adolescent mothers (Dennis et al.,
210 2011; Mossman et al., 2008). The BSES-SF is a 14-item self-report instrument measuring BF
211 confidence. Each item is a 5-point Likert-type scale question where 1 indicates "not at all confi-
212 dent" and 5 indicates "always confident".

213

214 **Data Collection**

215 Data collection occurred between November 2015 and October 2016. Participants who
216 consented to participate in the study were recruited from the Pregnancy Circle prenatal classes
217 and/or the Little Milk Miracles peer-support program. *Prenatal BF class*: Participants completed
218 the pre-intervention questionnaire prior to attending the BF class and they completed the post-
219 intervention questionnaire at the end of the two-hour class. *BF Peer-support*: Participants com-
220 pleted the pre-interventions questionnaire at the beginning of the first BF peer support session
221 they attended and they completed the post-intervention questionnaire after attending four ses-
222 sions. Participants were given approximately 15 minutes to complete the paper-based surveys.

223

224 **Statistical Analysis**

225 Demographic variables for the study participants were summarized using descriptive sta-
226 tistics, where mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) were
227 used for continuous outcomes. Frequency and percentages were used for categorical variables.
228 Important concerns about breastfeeding and reasons for attending the program were summarized
229 in a similar manner. For all participants with complete data (i.e. all questionnaire items answered
230 pre and post), total scores were aggregated by summing values across all questions and mean

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

231 composite scores were calculated for both pre- and post-intervention data. This was done at an
232 overall level with data from both the prenatal and peer-support group combined; we also per-
233 formed analysis for each intervention group (prenatal class and peer-support group) separately.
234 Comparisons between pre- and post-intervention measurements were made descriptively, by
235 comparing mean and median values between the groups. Pre- and post-intervention scores for
236 each question was also analyzed descriptively and visually to evaluate improvements in selected
237 items related to young mothers' BF confidence after attending the BF program. All statistical
238 analyses were conducted in the R statistical software, version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017).

239

240

Results

241 Full demographic data are provided in Table 1. In total, 20 adolescents 19 years of age
242 and under participated in the study, of whom 90% (N = 18) said they were pregnant. The mean
243 age of participants was 16.6 (SD= 1.3) years and most reported that they had a partner/boyfriend
244 (N=12; 60%). The majority of the participants had never been pregnant before (n=11; 57.9%)
245 and therefore few had BF experience (n=2; 10.5%).

246 [Insert Table 1 here]

247

248 The participants identified a variety of concerns (Table 2) which included: BF in public
249 (n=7; 35%), being unable to start BF (n=6; 30%), not knowing what is normal with respect to BF
250 (n=6; 30%), and not having enough information about BF (n=6; 30%). Not surprisingly, the ma-
251 jority of participants identified wanting to learn more about BF (n=17; 85%) as their main reason
252 for attending the program (Table 2).

253 [Insert Table 2 here]

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

254

255 Complete pre/post pairs of data were available for only 7 of the participants (attending ei-
256 ther the prenatal class and/or four sessions of the peer support group). The overall composite
257 BFSE-SF mean and standard deviation for pre-score was 47.7 (6.5) and for the post-score was
258 52.9 (SD=7), indicating an improvement overall (Table 3). There was only one participant at-
259 tending the *Little Milk Miracles* mom-to-mom peer support program who provided scores for all
260 the questions. The pre- and post-intervention score for this individual was 54 and 55, respective-
261 ly. Statistical comparisons were not made due to the small sample size.

262 [Insert Table 3 here]

263

264 Scores for individual BSES-SF items before and after the BF program are presented in
265 Figures 1 and 2. Generally, the shifting of the color bars to right post-intervention indicate a
266 higher proportion of participants that are confident (or very confident). For example, the second
267 graph in Figure 1, "I think that I can always determine that my baby is getting enough" indicates
268 that pre-intervention, 29% of the study population were "not at all/ not very confident"; 43%
269 were "sometimes confident"; and 29% were "confident/very confident". Post-intervention these
270 proportions changed to 43% were "sometimes confident" and 57% were "confident/very confi-
271 dent".

272 Data were also collected on clients up to 24 years old given this is the population the
273 agency serves. The same analysis was done including data from these individuals (N=32) and the
274 results were consistent with participants 19 years and younger.

275 [Insert Figure 1 here]

276 [Insert Figure 2 here]

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

277

Discussion

278 This cohort study showed that adolescent mothers in Eastern Ontario, enrolled in a tai-
279 lored youth-informed BF program, had increased BF SE. A validated tool, the BSES-SF, was
280 used to evaluate the impact of the program which is a strength of this study. The findings are
281 clinically relevant as it appears that participation in the BF program was associated with a
282 change from low to high BF SE. To our knowledge, this is the only prospective study exploring
283 BF SE of participants attending a youth-focused BF program. Previous researchers have identi-
284 fied a BSES-SF cutoff score of 52 or greater demonstrates high confidence. Determining BSES-
285 SF scores is important because it predicts BF initiation, duration and exclusivity (Dennis et al.,
286 2011; Mossman et al., 2008). The overall pre vs post comparison of composite BSES-SF scores
287 indicates that there was an improvement in the young mothers' SE after attending the program,
288 indicating that the BF program improved the mothers' knowledge, confidence and satisfaction in
289 BF. The only item that did not demonstrate an overall improvement was BF without using for-
290 mula as a supplement. This is an area that warrants further investigation as it is not known
291 whether participants had intended to combination feed or had encountered challenges resulting in
292 supplementation with formula.

293 Two strengths of this program is that it provides anticipatory guidance and timely sup-
294 port. Pregnant adolescents need to understand the basic mechanisms of breastfeeding (i.e. milk
295 production is triggered by stimulation at the breast and emptying of the breast; colostrum chang-
296 es to transitional milk in the first few days, and then mature milk over the first week after birth;
297 newborns have small stomachs and therefore do not require large volumes of colostrum/milk;
298 feedings are frequent in the early weeks after birth as breastmilk is easily digestible, etc.). By
299 understanding “what is normal”, adolescents will be reassured that BF is proceeding as expected

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

300 and perhaps they will not be tempted to supplement with formula. Hinic (2016) reported that
301 BSES-SF scores were greater in women with previous BF experience and lowest in women who
302 received in-hospital supplementation. Given that most adolescents are unlikely to have BF expe-
303 rience, anticipatory guidance and timely support received from peers and professionals is critical;
304 our peer support program effectively provides both.

305 Nesbitt et al. (2012) identified, from their qualitative study of 16 adolescent mothers
306 (aged 15-19), five influencers on continued BF including social support and knowledge. The au-
307 thors suggest that professionals can engage with adolescent mothers in both the prenatal and
308 postnatal period to assess for potential barriers to BF, to share evidence-based information, and
309 to provide support and BF skill development. The inclusion of positive social support networks
310 was also emphasized as an important component of BF support. It is evident that comprehensive
311 BF support is required, particularly for populations with low rates of BF.

312 This study has the following limitations: Firstly, our sample was small and limited to
313 young women in Eastern Ontario who were attending a tailored program with co-located ser-
314 vices; it is possible that adolescents in other communities do not have access to this type of pro-
315 gram. Although adolescents would have similar sociodemographic realities, the results may not
316 be generalizable to other populations.

317 Secondly, the BF peer support program was new and as such it was challenging to recruit
318 participants and retain them in the study for four weeks. There were only 7 complete data sets
319 and this limited the analysis that could be completed. Adolescents are difficult to engage in ser-
320 vices and retain as participants in research studies due to their transient nature. Further explora-
321 tion of the non-respondents (post intervention) is required to determine if they had characteristics
322 that made them inherently different than the participants who answered both surveys. This in-

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

323 formation would potentially provide valuable insight into defining the targeted support required
324 for adolescents who are at-risk for not BF. Lastly, it is not known if increases in BSES-SF scores
325 were associated with improved BF outcomes such as longer BF duration as this was not meas-
326 ured in the current study.

327 **Implication for Practice, Policy and Research**

328 The challenge for policy makers and health care service organizations is to determine the
329 ideal interventions to promote optimal population health. In the case of breastfeeding, the inter-
330 ventions are known. In their recent Cochrane review, McFadden et al. (2017) outlined the char-
331 acteristics of effective BF support for healthy, term mother-baby dyads: predictability, sched-
332 uled, and ongoing. These characteristics enhance BF support by allowing mothers to anticipate
333 when help will be available. Additionally, the authors emphasized that support should be tailored
334 to the setting and the needs of the population. It is important to note that “support may be offered
335 either by professional or lay/peer supporters, or a combination of both” and that “strategies that
336 rely mainly on face-to-face support are more likely to succeed with women practicing exclusive
337 breastfeeding” (McFadden et al., 2017, p. 3). These are all characteristics of our BF program.
338 Furthermore, it is important to note that this program takes place within a one-stop, youth out-
339 reach agency which offers a full range of programs and services such as a medical care, as well
340 as multiple social and educational programs such as prenatal classes, parenting classes and the
341 new BF program (St. Mary’s Home, 2020). Childcare and transportation assistance is available
342 which can significantly facilitate attendance and participation of at-risk adolescents. These at-
343 tributes likely contributed to the success of this program.

344 The US Preventive Services Task Force, in their updated evidence report and systematic
345 review on primary care intervention to support BF, confirmed that BF support interventions are

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

346 associated with an increase in the rates of any BF as well as exclusive BF (Patnode, et al., 2016).
347 Recommendations from this review emphasize the importance of providing interventions during
348 pregnancy and after birth including: promoting the benefits of BF, providing practical advice and
349 direct support on how to breastfeed, and providing psychological support. In our tailored pro-
350 gram, the prenatal BF class addresses the first recommendation and the peer support group ac-
351 complishes all of the recommendations.

352 Sipsma and colleagues (2015), in their systematic review of BF promotion among ado-
353 lescent mothers, identified that a combination of education and counseling provided by a lacta-
354 tion consultant–peer counselor team significantly improved both BF initiation and duration. This
355 is consistent with the systematic reviews of breastfeeding support among adults (Lumbiganon,
356 Martis, Laopaiboon, Festin, Ho, & Hakimi, 2012; McFadden et al., 2017; Patnode, Henninger,
357 Senger, Perdue, Whitlock, 2016; Renfrew, McCormick, Wade, Quinn, & Dowswell, 2012). The
358 findings from our cohort study are promising as they indicated an improved SE of adolescent
359 mothers attending the tailored evidence-based BF program (which included antenatal education
360 and peer support). These types of programs need to be adequately funded if the suboptimal BF
361 rates are to be improved.

Conclusion

362
363 Prenatal education and peer support adapted to the needs of adolescents appears to be associated
364 with increased BSES-SF scores. Identifying mothers with low BF SE can enable health care pro-
365 fessionals to implement targeted interventions with the goal of achieving longer BF rates in this
366 at-risk population. Given the promising results of this cohort study, further research with a larger
367 cohort is warranted to determine if participation in the youth-informed BF program is associated

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

368 with improved outcomes such as increased rate of BF intention, initiation and duration in adoles-
369 cents.
370

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

- 371 **References**
- 372 Apostolakis-Kyrus, K., Valentine, C., & DeFranco, E. (2013). Factors associated with breast-
- 373 feeding initiation in adolescent mothers. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 163(5), 1489-1494.
- 374 Best Start Resource Centre (2015a). *Populations with lower rates of breastfeeding: A summary*
- 375 *of findings*. Toronto, ON: Author.
- 376 Best Start Resource Centre (2015b). Fact sheet #4. *Breastfeeding peer support programs: An ef-*
- 377 *fective strategy to reach and support populations with lower rates of breastfeeding*. Re-
- 378 trieved from [http://www.beststart.org/resources/breastfeeding/B18-](http://www.beststart.org/resources/breastfeeding/B18-E_Breastfeeding_factsheet_4_rev.pdf)
- 379 [E_Breastfeeding_factsheet_4_rev.pdf](http://www.beststart.org/resources/breastfeeding/B18-E_Breastfeeding_factsheet_4_rev.pdf)
- 380 Best Start Resource Centre (2015c). Developing and sustaining peer support programs. Toronto,
- 381 ON: Author. Retrieved from
- 382 [http://www.beststart.org/resources/breastfeeding/B10_BF_Peer_Support_Programs_ENG](http://www.beststart.org/resources/breastfeeding/B10_BF_Peer_Support_Programs_ENG_final.pdf)
- 383 [_final.pdf](http://www.beststart.org/resources/breastfeeding/B10_BF_Peer_Support_Programs_ENG_final.pdf)
- 384 Best Start Resource Centre (2017). Breastfeeding community projects. 2015 - Round 2 breast-
- 385 feeding community projects. Retrieved from [http://en.beststart.org/services/partnerships-](http://en.beststart.org/services/partnerships-and-projects/breastfeeding-community-project)
- 386 [and-projects/breastfeeding-community-project](http://en.beststart.org/services/partnerships-and-projects/breastfeeding-community-project)
- 387 Dennis, C.-L., Heaman, M., & Mossman, M. (2011). Psychometric testing of the breastfeeding
- 388 self-efficacy scale-short form among adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 49, 265-
- 389 271.
- 390 Durham Region Health Department (2015, June). Infant feeding surveillance system. Focused
- 391 report on infant feeding among adolescent mothers. Durham, ON: Author. Retrieved
- 392 from
- 393 http://www.durham.ca/departments/health/health_statistics/IFSSadolescentMothers.pdf

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

- 394 Fleming, N. A., Tu, X., & Black, A. Y. (2012). Improved obstetrical outcomes for adolescents in
395 a community-based outreach program: A matched cohort study. *Journal of Obstetrics*
396 *and Gynaecology of Canada*, 34(12), 1134-1140.
- 397 Fleming, N., Ng, N., Osborne, C., Biederman, S., Ill, A. S., Dy, J., ... Walker, M. (2013). Ado-
398 lescent pregnancy outcomes in the province of Ontario: A cohort study. *Journal of Ob-*
399 *stetrics and Gynaecology of Canada*, 35(3), 234-245.
- 400 Gionet, L. (2013, November). *Health at a glance-Breastfeeding trends in Canada*. Retrieved
401 from Statistics Canada: [http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-624-x/2013001/article/11879-](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-624-x/2013001/article/11879-eng.pdf)
402 [eng.pdf](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-624-x/2013001/article/11879-eng.pdf)
- 403 Health Canada. (2015, August 18). *Nutrition for healthy term infants: Recommendations from*
404 *birth to six months*. Retrieved October 15, 2016, from Health Canada: [http://www.hc-](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/nutrition/infant-nourisson/recom/index-eng.php#a3)
405 [sc.gc.ca/fn-an/nutrition/infant-nourisson/recom/index-eng.php#a3](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/nutrition/infant-nourisson/recom/index-eng.php#a3)
- 406 Hinic, K. (2016). Predictors of breastfeeding confidence in the early postpartum period. *Journal*
407 *of Obstetric, Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing*, 45(5), 649-660. doi:
408 10.1016/j.jogn.2016.04.010
- 409 Leclair, E., Robert, N., Sprague, A. E., & Fleming, N. (2015). Factors associated with breast-
410 feeding initiation in adolescent pregnancies: A cohort study. *Journal of Pediatric and*
411 *Adolescent Gynecology*, 28(6), 516-521.
- 412 Lumbiganon, P., Martis, R., Laopaiboon, M., Festin, M. R., Ho, J. J., & Hakimi, M. (2012). An-
413 tenatal breastfeeding education for increasing breastfeeding duration. *Cochrane Database*
414 *of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD006425.
415 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006425.pub3.

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

- 416 McFadden, A., Gavine, A., Renfrew, M. J., Wade, A., Buchanan, P., Taylor, J. L., ... MacGilli-
417 vray, S. (2017). Support for healthy breastfeeding mothers with healthy terms babies.
418 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD001141. DOI:
419 10.1002/14651858.CD001141.pub5.
- 420 Mossman, M., Heaman, M., Dennis, C.-L., & Morris, M. (2008). The influence of adolescent
421 mothers' breastfeeding confidence and attitudes on breastfeeding initiation and duration.
422 *Journal of Human Lactation*, 24(3), 268-277.
- 423 Nesbitt, S. A., Campbell, K. A., Jack, S. M., Robinson, H., Piehl, K., & Bogdan, J. C. (2012).
424 Canadian adolescent mothers' perceptions of influences on breastfeeding decisions: a
425 qualitative descriptive study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 12.
- 426 Ontario Ministry of Health and Long Term Care (2014). Transforming Ontario's health care sys-
427 tem: 2013 Minister's medal winners. Individual champion recipient: Dr. Nathalie Flem-
428 ing, Young Parent Outreach Centre. Retrieved from
429 http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/transformation/minister_medal_2013.aspx
- 430 Ottawa Public Health (2013). BFI Expo 2013. Public health panel- Ottawa Public Health. Re-
431 trieved from [http://www.bfontario.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/BFI-Expo-2013-](http://www.bfontario.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/BFI-Expo-2013-Public-Health-Panel-Ottawa-Public-Health.pdf)
432 [Public-Health-Panel-Ottawa-Public-Health.pdf](http://www.bfontario.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/BFI-Expo-2013-Public-Health-Panel-Ottawa-Public-Health.pdf)
- 433 Patnode, C. D., Henninger, M. L., Senger, C. A., Perdue, L. A., & Whitlock, E. P. (2016). Prima-
434 ry care intervention to support breastfeeding. Updated evidence report and systematic re-
435 view for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Journal of the American Medical Asso-*
436 *ciation*, 316(16), 1694-1705.
- 437 R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
438 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.r-project.org/>

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

- 439 Renfrew, M. J., McCormick, F. M., Wade, A., Quinn, B., & Dowswell, T. (2012). Support for
440 healthy breastfeeding mothers with healthy term babies. *Cochrane Database of Systemat-*
441 *ic Reviews, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD001141. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001141.pub4.*
- 442 St. Mary's Home (2020). About us. Retrieved from <http://stmaryshome.com>
- 443 Sipsma, H. L., Jones, K. L. & Cole-Lewis, H. (2015). Breastfeeding among adolescent mothers:
444 A systematic review of interventions from high-income countries. *Journal of Human*
445 *Lactation, 31(2), 221-229.*
- 446 Sipsma, H., Magriples, U., Divney, A., Gordon, D., Gabzdyl, E., & Kershaw, T. (2013). Breast-
447 feeding behavior among adolescents: Initiation, duration, and exclusivity. *Journal of*
448 *Adolescent Health, 53(3), 394-400.*
- 449 World Health Organization. (2020). Maternal Newborn Child and Adolescent Health:
450 Breastfeeding. Retrieved from
451 http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/topics/newborn/nutrition/breastfeeding/en
452 Accessed February 16, 2018.
- 453

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

454 Table 1. Demographics (N=20)

Characteristic	n (%) or mean (SD) & range
Age (years)	16.6 (1.3) [15, 19]
Marital status	
Married	0 (0)
Single	7 (35)
Have a partner/boyfriend	12 (60)
Living with a partner/boyfriend	1 (5)
Employment	
Go to school	18 (90)
Work	1 (5)
Stay at home mom	1 (5)
Other	2 (10)
Number of pregnancies in the pastⁱ	
0	11 (57.9)
1	7 (36.8)
2	1 (5.3)
Number of childrenⁱ	0.2 (0.4) [0, 1]
Presently pregnant (yes)	18 (90)
Previous experience breastfeedingⁱ (yes)	2 (10.5)

455 ⁱN=19, 1 record missing data

456

457

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

458 Table 2. Important concerns about breastfeeding and reasons for attending the program (N=20)

Characteristic*	n (%)
Important concerns about breastfeeding	
Being unable to start breastfeeding	6 (30)
Being unable to keep breastfeeding for as long as I want	4 (20)
Feeling pressured to breastfeed	0 (0)
Not receiving support from family or friends	1 (5)
Breastfeeding in public	7 (35)
Not knowing where to go to get help with breastfeeding problems	2 (10)
Not knowing what is normal	6 (30)
Not having enough information about breastfeeding	6 (30)
Other	0 (0)
Reason to come to the program	
I want more information about breastfeeding	17 (85)
I need help with my breastfeeding problems	0 (0)
I want to meet and learn from other breastfeeding mothers	5 (25)
I am looking for more breastfeeding resources in the community	3 (15)
Other	2 (10)

459 *participants could select more than one answer

460

461 Table 3. Pre vs post overall BSES-SF score in all those who have complete data (N= 7)


Descriptive statistic*	N	Pre Score	Post Score
Overall			
Mean (SD), range	7	46.7 (6.5) [39.0, 54.0]	52.9 (7.0) [39.0, 60.0]
Median (IQR)		50.0 (40.5, 51.5)	54.0 (51.5, 57.0)
Pregnancy Circle prenatal class only			
Mean (SD), range	5	44.6 (6.5) [39.0, 53.0]	52.6 (8.4) [39.0, 60.0]
Median (IQR)		42.0 (39.0, 50.0)	54.0 (51.0, 59.0)
Attended both			
Mean (SD), range	1	50.0 (NA) [50.0, 50.0]	52.0 (NA) [52.0, 52.0]
Median (IQR)		50.0 (50.0, 50.0)	52.0 (52.0, 52.0)

462 *Due to limited sample size, cannot calculate p-value.

463

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

464 Figure 1. Breastfeeding Self- Efficacy Scale Items related to Knowledge




465

466

INCREASING BREASTFEEDING SELF-EFFICACY

467 Figure 2. Breastfeeding Self- Efficacy Scale Items related to Coping and Satisfaction



468

469

470

471

472

473

474