Nomogram for the prediction of in-hospital incidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome in patients with acute pancreatitis

Ning Ding[1], Cuirong Guo[2], Yuchai Huang[2], Changluo Li[2], Yang Zhou[1], Guifang Yang[1], Xiangping Chai[1]

[1] Department of Emergency Medicine, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University; Emergency Medicine and Difficult Diseases Institute, Central South University.

[2] Department of Emergency Medicine, Changsha Central Hospital of University of South China

Corresponding author: Xiangping Chai, Department of Emergency Medicine, the Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, 139 Renmin Road, Changsha, Hunan, 410011, China. Tel: (+86) 731-8529-5079; Fax: (+86) 731-8529-5079, Email: chaixiangping@csu.edu.cn

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to formulate and validate an individualized predictive nomogram for in-hospital incidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in patients with acute pancreatitis(AP).

Design: It was a retrospective cohort study.

Setting: Departments of Emergency Medicine of two university-affiliated tertiary hospitals , Changsha , China.

Participants: From January 2017 to December 2018, 779 individuals with AP were included in this study.

Primary outcome measures: The in-hospital incidence of ARDS was assessed.

Results: AP patients were randomly distributed into primary cohort(n=560)and validation cohort(n=219). Based on the primary cohort, risk factors were identified by logistic regression model and a nomogram was performed. Five independent factors including white blood counts(WBC),prothrombin time(PT),albumin(ALB),serum creatinine(SCR) and triglyceride (TG) were associated with in-hospital incidence of ARDS in AP patients. A nomogram was constructed based on the five independent factors with primary cohort of AUC 0.821 and validation cohort of AUC 0.822. Calibration curve analysis indicated that the predicted probability was in accordance

with the observed probability in both primary and validation cohorts.

Conclusions: The study developed an intuitive nomogram with easily available laboratory parameters for the prediction of in-hospital incidence of ARDS in patients with AP. The incidence of ARDS for an individual patient can be fast and conveniently evaluated by our nomogram.

Key words: Acute pancreatitis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, nomogram

Strengths and limitations of this study:

This is the first study to develop a nomogram for predicting the in-hospital incidence of ARDS in AP patients.

For each AP patient, our nomogram enables physicians to directly and conveniently calculate a numeric probability of ARDS.

There might be patient selection biases owing to retrospective nature of our study.

Word Count:2254(excluding abstract and references)

1. Introduction

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is one of common illnesses of the gastrointestinal system, of which some can develop into severe acute pancreatitis (SAP) with poor prognosis[1]. SAP is known to be characterized by persistent organ disfunction or pancreatic necrosis, of which the mortality varies from 10% to 50%[2].

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) , as a syndrome of bilateral pulmonary infiltrates and inflammation associated with increased permeability of lung epithelium and vascular endothelium[3], occurs in around one-third of SAP patients [4]and accounts for over 50% of deaths in SAP[5]. Research evidence shows that ARDS, to a certain extent, could be preventable, and clinical prognosis may be improved due to

appropriate interventions in early phase of ARDS[6]. Therefore, it is significant for the early prediction of in-hospital ARDS incidence in patients with AP.

Nomogram, as a statistical model created on the basis of different clinical and biological variables, is widely utilized for the prediction of complication , prognosis and survival in different disorders[7-8]. And It is also beneficial for physicians to make individualized treatment plans.

However, there hasn't been any reports on nomogram for the early prediction of ARDS in patients with AP. In this study, we analyzed the association between routine laboratory parameters and the incidence of ARDS in AP. Furthermore, we formulated an easily applicable nomogram which included several routine laboratory parameters for predicting in-hospital incidence of ARDS in AP patients and validated its predictive capability and accuracy in an independent cohort.

2. Methods

Patients

This study was a retrospect cohort study. All patients with AP admitted to the Changsha Central Hospital of University of South China and the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University between January 2017 and December 2018 were included. Inclusion criteria were set as follows: age ≥18 and confirmed diagnosis of AP. Excluded criteria were set as follows: more than 72 hours after onset of symptoms, recurrent pancreatitis, COPD, malignant tumors, chronic kidney disease, acute or chronic heart failure , pregnancy and HIV/ AIDS or presence of other immune-deficiency disorders. The study was approved by institutional review board of the two hospitals mentioned above. Due to retrospective characteristics of the study, informed consent was waived.

Patient and public involvement

Anonymous patient data were applied in this study. Patients and the public were not included in the design or planning of the study.

Definitions

A patient was diagnosed with AP when at least two of the following criteria were encountered：(1) symptom of abdominal pain, (2) the level of serum lipase or amylase increased at least three times more than the normal threshold , and (3) image findings of AP on abdominal ultrasonic and/or CT scan[2].

According to Berlin definition of ARDS[9], the diagnosis of ARDS was made by an acute hypoxemia, a decrease in the PaO2/FiO2 index below 300 mmHg and bilateral lung infiltration on X-ray/CT scan that was not illuminated totally by fluid overload or cardiac failure.

Data collection

Data collected included personal characteristics and laboratory findings while patients were admitted in ≤24h.Collected clinical data were included : age ,gender, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, alcohol, etiology(alcohol, hyperlipidemia , biliary),systolic blood pressure(SBP),diastolic blood pressure(DBP),heart rate (HR),white blood cell count(WBC),neutrophil （N） ,lymphocyte （L） , hematocrit (HCT),platelet(PLT),fasting blood sugar(FBS),prothrombin time(PT), activated partial thromboplastin time(APTT),albumin(ALB),alanine aminotransferase (ALT),blood urea nitrogen(BUN),serum creatinine (SCR),amylase(AMS), lipase(LPS), lactate dehydrogenase(LDH), calcium, high density lipoprotein, (HDL), low density lipoprotein(LDL), triglyceride(TG). NLR is defined as the ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes. The scores of sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA), bedside index of severity in acute pancreatitis (BISAP),and Ranson were calculated to assess

the severity of AP patients in terms of personal characteristics, vital signs and laboratory findings (Table 1) .

Clinical outcomes were length of stay（LOS）in ICU and hospital, and in-hospital incidence of ARDS. (Table 1).

Statistical analysis

Statistical results were expressed in mean ± standard deviation for normal data, while for non-normal data, interquartile range (IQR)and median were employed. Categorical data were expressed as percentage and number. Student t-test or Rank sum test was applied for comparisons between ARDS and non-ARDS groups. Chi-square or Fishers exact test was applied for categorical data.

The original database was randomly distributed into the primary and validation cohort by 7:3 ratio. The primary cohort was applied to develop the model by multivariable logistic regression and the validation cohort was applied to validate the model. The area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) was utilized for evaluating the accuracy of the model.

A nomogram was performed on the basis of logistic regression model and we proportionally converted each regression coefficient in the model to a scale of 0 to 100point. The total points were calculated by adding the point of each independent variable, which predicted the individualized incidence of ARDS. The nomogram was validated in the primary and validation cohort by the bootstrap validation method. The calibration curve was applied to evaluate the consistency between nomogram and observation probability.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 26) and R software (http://www.R-project.org). Statistically significant was defined with a P value of less than 0.05.

3 **Results**

General Characteristics of all patients

A total of 817 patients with diagnosis of AP were initially identified and 38 were excluded according to the excluded criteria. Finally, a total of 779 patients were included to analyze in the study.(Figure 1)

The general characteristics of the patients were showed in Table 1. There were 728 patients in the non-ARDS group and 51 in the ARDS group, with an incidence rate of about 6.55%. Proportion of males in ARDS group was 82.35% while 69.92% in non-ARDS group. Age at the onset, past medical history(diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary heart disease) , etiology(alcohol, biliary), SBP and DBP were not significantly different between patients with and without ARDS. Etiology (hyperlipidemia) and heart rate were significantly different between two groups (both $p < 0.05$).

Laboratory tests and clinical outcomes

For ARDS group, ALB was significant lower than that in non-ARDS group(P=0.049). Other laboratory tests such as WBC(P<0.001),N(P<0.001),L(P=0.025), NLR(P=0.001) , HCT(P=0.006),FBG(P<0.001),PT(P<0.001),APTT(P<0.001),BUN(P=0.017),SCR (P=0.012), LDH(P <0.001),calcium(P=0.024),sodium（P=0.001）and TG(P=0.003) were significantly higher in the ARDS group than that in the non-ARDS group.

Scores of SOFA, Ranson and BISAP (all P<0.001) were significantly higher in the ARDS group than that in the non-ARDS group. LOS in ICU and hospital (both P <0.001)were also significantly higher in the ARDS group.

Baseline characteristics of primary and validation cohort

The baseline characteristics of patients in the primary cohort(n=560)and validation cohort(n=219) are shown in Table 2. Proportion of males in primary and validation cohorts were respectively 68.57% and 76.25%(P=0.378). The main etiologies of AP in primary cohort were hyperlipidemia(40.17%),alcohol(19.64%) and biliary (18.21%),while in validation cohort the etiologies were hyperlipidemia (39.72%), biliary(23.74%) and alcohol(17.8%). The incidence of ARDS were respectively 6.446% and 6.829% in two groups(P=0.418).

Except serum amylase, lipase and ALT, there were no significant difference between the primary and validation cohort in other laboratory tests.

Logistic regression

The model with five independent variables were identified by multivariate logistic regression analysis in primary cohort (Table 3): WBC (Odds Ratio(OR)=1.115,95%CI : 1.031-1.206,P=0.0064),PT(OR=1.412,95%CI:1.147-1.74,P=0.0011),ALB(OR= 0.925, 95%CI:0.863-0.993,P=0.0302),SCR(OR=1.007,95%CI:1.001-1.015,P=0.0348)and TG (OR=1.086, 95%CI:1.045-1.129, P<0.001).

Nomogram

Nomogram was performed on the basis of the significantly independent and predictive variables identified by multivariate logistic regression. WBC ,PT ,ALB, SCR and TG were included in the predictive nomogram, which was a graphical version of the statistical model that illuminated the link between the predictive variables and the probability of in-hospital incidence of ARDS (Figure 2) .The higher the score calculated in the nomogram, the higher the likelihood of incidence of ARDS. For example, clinical data of two patients in our study were analyzed. First patient with PT of 12.8(32points), WBC of 38.48(32points), TG of 13.6 (10points), ALB of 46(30points) and SCR of 166 (8 points) scored a total of 112 points. Therefore, the patient had approximate over 50% probability of developing into ARDS in hospital.

Actually, he was transferred to ICU due to ARDS. Another patient with PT of 11.1(27points), WBC of 16.65(13points), TG of 26.95(15points), ALB of 47.6 (28points)and SCR of 53(3 points) scored a total of 86 points. The in-hospital incidence of ARDS was less than 0.1 and the patient recovered totally in less than one week without ARDS.

Validation of the predictive accuracy of nomogram in primary and validation cohort

In primary cohort, the nomogram displayed a good accuracy in evaluating the risk of ARDS in AP patients with an AUC=0.821（95%CI:0.756-0.886） (Figure 3A), which was more than 0.8 demonstrating a good discrimination[10]. The calibration curve showed that the predicted probability was in accordance with the observed probability(Figure 3B).

In validation cohort, the nomogram also verified its accuracy with an AUC=0.823 （95%CI:0.707-0.937）(Figure 4A). The calibration curve also demonstrated that there was relatively close consistency between the predicted probability and the observed probability(Figure 4B).

4 Discussion

AP patients varied in severity of disease, clinical course and outcomes. 20-30% of AP developed into severe complications such as acute kidney injury and ARDS[2]. ARDS associated with high mortality was the common complication in AP[11]. Early identification of ARDS in AP patients may contribute to identifying the patients who need to be monitored and ameliorating the clinical outcomes[12].

The aim of this study was to formulate and validate an individualized predictive nomogram for in-hospital incidence of ARDS in AP patients. In the study, we analyzed and evaluated the predictive capability of routine laboratory parameters by logistic regression. We found several different predictors incorporating WBC, PT,

SCR, ALB and TG that were independently associated with the increased risk of ARDS. Therefore, predictive nomogram was constructed.

In our study, WBC counts and neutrophil counts in AP patients with ARDS were significantly higher than those without ARDS. Evidence showed that WBC as a biomarker associated with systemic inflammatory response could be potential predictors in various disorders such as cerebral vascular disease, cancer and pulmonary dysplasia[13-15]. In both gallstone AP and hypertriglyceridemia induced AP, WBC was also an effective indicator for predicting severity and prognosis, which was consistent with our study[16]. This phenomenon can be partly explained by that the etiology including gallstone and hypertriglyceridemia accounted for over 50% AP patients in our study. Due to the increased WBC and neutrophils in circulation, their toxic mediators can lead to lung tissue injury with an increased permeability of lung epithelium and endothelium, which finally results in respiratory failure such as ARDS[17].

The dynamic changes of coagulation such as prolonged PT and APTT were identified risk factors and related to the worse outcome of AP[18]. Several studies have illuminated that systemic inflammation reaction and coagulative dysfunction played a significant role in the development of AP, which may lead to pancreatic necrosis, acute kidney injury and ARDS[19-20].

Elevated SCR as an indicator of acute kidney injury (AKI) was associated with pancreatic necrosis, organ failure and mortality in AP as well[21-22].Early change especially in the first 24 hours after admission in SCR level was an effective predictor of disease severity in AP[23]. In the early phase of AP, inflammatory mediators and hypoperfusion in kidney contributed to the progress of AKI, which on the contrary also increased inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and aggravated lung injury[24].

In the ARDS group of our study, levels of serum albumin were significantly lower than those without ARDS. Hypoalbuminemia has been found to be linked with the

morbidity and mortality in many different disorders such as sepsis and AKI[25-26].In AP patients, partly due to decreased enteral energy intake and increased tissue protein catabolism , low serum albumin was an independent indicator of worse clinical outcome[27-28]. The lower the level of serum albumin, the higher the risk of persistent organ failure[29]. Albumin as an osmotic pressure maintainer may improve hemodynamic stabilization and decrease alveolar- capillary permeability and inflammatory reaction which can ameliorate oxygenation in patients with ARDS[30].

Hypertriglyceridemia is one of the risky factors of AP as well as in cerebral cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality[31-32]. Some previous reports revealed that the incidence of hypertriglyceridemia induced AP varied from 10-30% in different countries [33-35]. Evidence showed that an increased triglyceride (TG)level was related to the severity and clinical outcomes of AP, including pancreatic necrosis, organ failure, ICU admission, and mortality[36]. Research illuminated that TG of excess amounts in circulation were hydrolyzed into high levels of free fatty acids(FFA) by pancreatic lipase[37] . FFA can impair vascular endothelium in microcirculation and result in an increment in viscosity which may cause hypoperfusion, inflammation, tissue ischemia, and organ dysfunction eventually[38].

As far as we know, this is the first study to develop a nomogram for predicting the in-hospital incidence of ARDS in AP patients. For each AP patient, our nomogram enables physicians to directly and conveniently calculate a numeric probability of ARDS. It also helps physicians for clearly communicating with a patient via individual nomogram evaluation and making individualized treatment plans.

In our study, there are still several limitations. First, the study was not a multicenter study. Caution must be used, when applying the proposed nomogram to a larger population. Second, there might be patient selection biases owing to retrospective nature of our study. Third, due to relative small sample, we didn’t divide patients with ARDS into several subgroups such as mild, moderate and severe. Further studies should be carried out by expanding the sample size.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, the study developed an intuitive nomogram with easily available laboratory parameters for the prediction of in-hospital incidence of ARDS in patients with AP. The incidence of ARDS for an individual patient can be fast and conveniently evaluated by our nomogram.

Acknowledgments

No

Funding

This manuscript was supported by the Sanitation and Health Committee Foundation of Hunan Province, China (20200075).

Availability of data and materials

Datasets used and/or analyzed in the present study were availed by the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author contributions

The manuscript writing and patient's data recording were done by Ning Ding. Cuirong Guo, Yuchai Huang, Changluo Li , Yang Zhou and Guifang Yang assisted in information collection. Xiangping Chai analyzed and interpreted the patients' general indices. The final manuscript was read and ratified by all authors.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by institutional review board of Changsha Central Hospital of University of South China and the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University. Due to retrospective characteristics of the study , informed consent was waived.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Disclosure Statement

There are no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

1.Tenner S, Baillie J, Dewitt J, etal. American College of Gastroenterology Guideline: Management of Acute Pancreatitis. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2013; 9 (108):1400-1415

2.Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, et al.; Acute Pancreatitis Classification Working Group. Classification of acute pancreatitis--2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. *Gut*. 2013;62:102-111.

3.Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):788–800.

4. Fei Y, Gao K, Li WQ. Prediction and evaluation of the severity of acute respiratory distress syndrome following severe acute pancreatitis using an artificial neural network algorithm model . *Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg*. 2019;23:359-364

5.Dombernowsky T, Kristensen MØ, Rysgaard S,etal. Risk factors for and impact of respiratory failure on mortality in the early phase of acute pancreatitis. *Pancreatology*. 2016;(16):756 – 760.

6.Reilly JP, Christie JD. Is it possible to prevent ARDS? .*JAMA* ,2016;315(22):2403–2405.

7.Giammauro B, Zenichi M, Carlo S, etal. Development of a nomogram to predict outcome after liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in Child-Pugh B cirrhosis. *J. Hepatol*. 2020;72(1):75-84.

8.Giorgio G, Guillaume P, Massimo V ,etal. A novel nomogram to identify candidates for extended pelvic lymph node dissection among patients with clinically localized prostate cancer diagnosed with magnetic resonance imaging- targeted and systematic biopsies. *Eur Urol* .2018;75(3):506-514

9.ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. *JAMA*.2012;307:2526–2533.

10.Zhang ZH. Model building strategy for logistic regression: purposeful selection. *Ann Transl Med*. 2016;4(6):111.

11.Ravshan AI, Anvar SA, Sardor KI, etal. Acute respiratory distress-syndrome in the general complications of severe acute pancreatitis. *Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg.* 2019;23:359-364 .

12.Fan E, Brodie D, Slutsky AS. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: advances in diagnosis and treatment. *JAMA*. 2018;319(7):698–710.

13.Liu S, Liu XQ, Chen SY, etal. Neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio predicts the outcome of intracerebral hemorrhage :A meta-analysis. *Medicine.* 2019; 98 (26):e16211

14.Tamuro H, Yojiro H, Yuka O, etal. Significance of the 7th postoperative day neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in colorectal cancer. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*.2020, 35(1):119-124

15.Shun YY, Chen C, Zhang XX, etal. High neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is an early predictor of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Frontiers in pediatrics*. 2019;12(7):464

16.Huang L, Chen CY, Yang LJ ,etal. Neutrophil□to□lymphocyte ratio can specifically predict the severity of hypertriglyceridemia□induced acute pancreatitis compared with white blood cell . *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2019;33(4):e22839

17.Rachel LZ, Michael AM. What drives neutrophils to the alveoli in ARDS?. *Thorax*. 2017;72(1): 1–3.

18.Liu CN, Zhou XF, Ling LQ, etal. Prediction of mortality and organ failure based on coagulation and fibrinolysis markers in patients with acute pancreatitis:A retrospective study . *Medicine*.2019;98(21):e15648

19.Erdem A, Selim D, Erdem K □ etal. Alterations of platelet function and coagulation parameters during acute pancreatitis. *Blood Coagulation and Fibrinolysis*. 2013;24 (3):243-246

20.Cécile G, Eve GD, Van G,etal. Assessment of D-dimers for the early prediction of complications in acute pancreatitis. *Pancrease*.2016, 45 (7):980-985

21.Georgios IP, Venkata M, Dhiraj Y, etal. Increased serum creatinine is associated with pancreatic necrosis in acute pancreatitis. *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2010;7(105):1452-1453

22.Wan JH, Shu WQ, He WH, etal. Serum creatinine level and APACHE-II score within 24h of admission are effective for predicting persistent organ failure in acute pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology Research and Practice* .2019; 43(4):824-829

23.Lipinski M, Rydzewski A, Rydzewska G. Early changes in serum creatinine level and estimated glomerular filtration rate predict pancreatic necrosis and mortality in acute pancreatitis: creatinine and eGFR in acute pancreatitis. *Pancreatology.* 2013;13(3):207–211

24.Eric JS . Updates in the management of acute lung injury: A focus on the overlap Between AKI and ARDS. *Advances in Chronic Kidney Disease*. 2013;20(1): 14-20

25.Holder L, Gupta N, Lulaj E,et al. Predictors of early progression to severe sepsis or shock among emergency department patients with non-severe sepsis. *International Journal of Emergency Medicine.* 2016;9(1):1-11

26.Wiedermann CJ, Wiedermann W, Joannidis M. Causal relationship between hypoalbuminemia and acute kidney injury. *World Journal of Nephrology.* 2017; 6 (4):176-187.

27.Hong WD, Lin SH, Maddalena Z, etal. Serum albumin is independently associated with persistent organ failure in acute pancreatitis. *Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*. 2017; 2017: 5297143.

28.Yue W, Liu Y, Ding W, et al. The predictive value of the prealbumin-to-fibrinogen ratio in patients with acute pancreatitis. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2015,69(10): 1121–1128

29.Shoukang L , Yushun Z , Mengjiao L,et al. Serum albumin, a good indicator ofpersistent organ failure in acute pancreatitis. *BMC Gastroenterol*.2017, 17(1):59

30.Uhlig C, Silva PL, Deckert S, etal. Albumin versus crystalloid solutions in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta- analysis. *Critical Care*. 2014;18(1):R10.

31.Carr RA, Rejowski BJ, Cote GA, etal. Systematic review of

hypertriglyceridemia-induced acute pancreatitis: a more virulent etiology? . *Pancreatology*. 2016;16:469–476.

32.Børge GN .Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: new insights from epidemiology, genetics, and biology. *Circulation research.* 2016;19, 547-562

33.Charlesworth A, Steger A, Crook MA. Acute pancreatitis associated with severe hypertriglyceridaemia; a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg.* 2015;23:23–27.

34.Ari L, Matti T, Antonio T, et al. 2019 WSES guidelines for the management of severe acute pancreatitis. *World Journal of Emergency Surgery*. 2019;14:27

35.Zhu Y, Pan X, Zeng H, et al. A study on the etiology, severity, and mortality of 3260 patients with acute pancreatitis according to the revised Atlanta classification in Jiangxi, China over an 8-year period. *Pancrease.* 2017;46:504–509.

36.Murphy MJ, Sheng X, MacDonald TM, etal. Hypertriglyceridemia and acute pancreatitis. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(2):162-164.

37.Ewald N, Hardt PD, Kloer HU. Severe hypertriglyceridemia and pancreatitis: presentation and management. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* 2009;20(6): 497-504.

38.Valdivielso P, Ramírez-Bueno A, Ewald N. Current knowledge of hypertrigly-ceridemic pancreatitis. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2014;25(8):689-694.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow diagram of patients enrollment and study design.

Figure 2. Nomogram indicating the risk of ARDS in AP. **Abbreviations**: white blood cell count(WBC), prothrombin time(PT), albumin(ALB), serum creatinine(SCR), triglyceride(TG).

Figure 3. Validation of nomogram in primary cohort. (A) Discrimination: AUC of the ROC curve was 0.821 (95%CI: 0.756-0.886). (B) Nomogram calibration curve. The blue line indicates perfect prediction by an ideal model. The orange line indicates actual performance of the model.

Figure 4. Validation of nomogram in validation cohort. (A) Discrimination: AUC of the ROC curve was 0.823 (95%CI: 0.707-0.937). (B) Nomogram calibration curve.

The blue line indicates perfect prediction by an ideal model. The orange line indicates actual performance of the model.

Table 1 General characteristics of 779 patients with AP

	non-ARDS(n=728)	ARDS(n=51)	P-value
Demographics			
Male, n (%)	509(69.92%)	42(82.35%)	0.059
Age, median(IQR)(years)	45(36-55)	41(35-51)	0.617
Diabetes mellitus, n(%)	111(15.25%)	8(15.69%)	0.933
Hypertension, n(%)	134(18.41%)	9(17.65%)	0.892
Coronary heart disease, n(%)	23(3.16%)	2(3.92%)	0.766
Etiology			0.409
Alcohol, n(%)	137(18.82%)	12(23.53%)	
Hyperlipidemia, n(%)	283(38.87%)	29(56.86%)	0.011
Biliary, n(%)	148(20.33%)	6(11.76%)	0.138
Vital signs			
SBP, median (IQR)(mmHg)	130(121-142)	132(120-144)	0.342
DBP, median (IQR)(mmHg)	81(75-89.75)	82(75-90)	0.919
HR, median(IQR)(beats/min)	80(74-88)	99(80-116)	<0.001
Clinical outcomes			
LOS in hospital , median(IQR)(days)	7(5-9)	12(8-19)	<0.001
LOS in ICU, median (IQR)(days)	0(0-0)	6(4-8)	<0.001
Laboratory findings			
WBC, median (IQR)(^10^9/l)	12.32(9.27-15.15)	15.425(12.36-18.20)	<0.001
N, median (IQR)(^10^9/l)	9.76(6.65-12.51)	12.46(9-14.84)	<0.001
L, median (IQR)(^10^9/l)	1.49(1.01-2.16)	1.19(0.8-2.02)	0.025

NLR, median (IQR)	6.25(3.61-10.41)	11.24(6.20-19.92)	0.001
HCT, median (IQR)	0.43(0.40-0.47)	0.46(0.41-0.48)	0.006
PLT, median (IQR)(^10^9/l)	209(167-250)	211(174-253.25)	0.808
FBG, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	7.4(6.4-9.5)	9.8(7.77-13.45)	<0.001
PT, median (IQR)(s)	11.4(10.7-12.2)	12.75(11.7-14.42)	<0.001
APTT, median (IQR)(s)	27.8(24.3-31.75)	32.1(26.52-36.12)	<0.001
ALB, median (IQR)(g/l)	43.7(40.2-46.9)	39.9(36.2-46.6)	0.049
ALT, median (IQR)(u/l)	33(20-71.12)	40(22.9-87)	0.683
BUN, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	4.7(3.67-5.99)	4.9(4.33-6.61)	0.017
SCR, median (IQR)(umol/l)	66.95(54.22-77)	76(59-123)	0.012
AMS, median (IQR)(u/l)	310.5(113.25-954.75)	369(114-1150)	0.932
LPS, median (IQR)(u/l)	385(124-859.5)	404(221-876)	0.570
LDH, median (IQR)(u/l)	228(180-304.75)	398(219-543)	<0.001
Calcium, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	2.4(2.3-2.5)	2.34(2.07-2.51)	0.024
HDL, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	0.92(0.65-1.3)	0.61(0.46-0.91)	0.089
LDL ,median (IQR)(mmol/l)	2.18(1.39-2.96)	1.48(1.09-2.438)	0.928
TG, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	5.59(1.43-17.77)	17.16(2.56-26.69)	0.003
SOFA	0(0-1)	2(1-3)	<0.001
RANSON	1(0-2)	4(2-5)	<0.001
BISAP	1(0-2)	2(2-3)	<0.001

Notes： Statistical results were expressed in mean ± standard deviation for normal data ,while for non-normal data, interquartile range (IQR)and median were employed. Categorical data were expressed as percentage and number. Student t-test or Rank sum test was applied for comparisons between ARDS and non-ARDS groups. Chi-square or Fishers exact test was applied for categorical data.

Abbreviations: NO, number; ICU, Intensive care unit; LOS, length of stay; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; WBC, white blood cell count; N, neutrophil; L, lymphocyte; HCT, hematocrit; PLT, platelet; FBS, fasting blood sugar; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; SCR, serum creatinine; AMS, amylase; LPS, lipase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; TG, triglyceride; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; BISAP, bedside index of severity in acute pancreatitis; NLR is defined as the ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes.

Table 2 Comparison primary cohort and validation cohort

	Primary cohort (n=560)	Validation cohort (n=219)	P-value
Demographics			
Male, n (%)	384(68.57%)	167(76.25%)	0.378
Age, median(IQR)(years)	45(36-55)	45(36-57)	0.094
Diabetes, n (%)	89(15.89%)	30(13.69%)	0.001
Hypertension, n (%)	106(18.92%)	37(16.89%)	0.133
Coronary heart disease, n(%)	22(3.92%)	3(1.36%)	0.04
Etiology			
Alcohol, n (%)	110(19.64%)	39(17.80%)	0.02
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	225(40.17%)	87(39.72%)	0.081
Biliary, n (%)	102(18.21%)	52(23.74%)	0.148
Vital signs			
SBP, median (IQR)(mmHg)	130(120-142)	132(122-142)	0.76
DBP, median (IQR)(mmHg)	81(74-90)	82(75-89)	0.258
HR, median (IQR)(beats/min)	80(74-90)	80(75-90)	0.418
ARDS, n (%)	36(6.446%)	15(6.829%)	0.416
Laboratory findings			
WBC, median (IQR)(^109/l)	12.49(9.42-15.4)	12.55(9.43-15.52)	0.13
N, median (IQR)(^109/l)	9.91(6.82-12.76)	10.16(6.83-12.58)	0.517
L, median (IQR)(^109/l)	1.44(0.98-2.1)	1.50(1.04-2.27)	0.445
NLR, median (IQR)	6.74(3.68-11.41)	6.21(3.72-9.62)	0.606
HCT, median (IQR)	0.43(0.4-0.46)	0.44(0.41-0.48)	0.073
PLT, median (IQR)(^109/l)	208(167-248)	212(170.25-254.75)	0.222
FBG, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	7.5(6.4-9.7)	7.7(6.4-10)	0.094
PT, median (IQR)(s)	11.5(10.8-12.3)	11.4(10.7-12.3)	0.268
APTT, median (IQR)(s)	28.1(25.2-32.5)	27.15(23.72-31.2)	0.147
ALB, median (IQR)(g/l)	43.5(40-46.8)	43.7(40-46.9)	0.072
ALT, median (IQR)(u/l)	33(20-68)	38(21.15-81.25)	0.986
BUN, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	4.7(3.7-5.99)	4.905(3.76-6.42)	0.175
SCR, median (IQR)(umol/l)	66(54-57)	69(57.85-79.8)	0.06
AMS, median (IQR)(u/l)	323(113.5-946)	284(116.25-1200.35)	0.001
LPS, median (IQR)(u/l)	398.5(132.75-862.25)	369(126.75-868.25)	0.004
LDH, median (IQR)(u/l)	230(180.75-313)	233(184.5-328)	0.217
Calcium, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	2.39(2.29-2.49)	2.41(2.29-2.51)	0.827
HDL, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	0.91(0.63-1.28)	0.905(0.58-1.29)	0.193
LDL, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	2.15(1.33-2.9)	2.115(1.40-3.12)	0.191
TG, median (IQR)(mmol/l)	5.88(1.43-18.6)	5.635(1.53-21.00)	0.699

Abbreviations: NO, number; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; WBC, white blood cell count; N, neutrophil; L, lymphocyte; HCT, hematocrit; PLT, platelet; FBS, fasting blood sugar; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; SCR, serum creatinine; AMS, amylase; LPS, lipase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; TG, triglyceride; NLR is defined as the ratio of neutrophils to lymphocytes.

Table 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis of primary cohort

Variables						95% CI for Odds Ratio	
	B	S.E.	Wald	P value	Odds Ratio	Lower	Upper
WBC	10.90%	4.00%	7.447	**0.0064**	1.115	1.031	1.206
PT	34.56%	10.63%	10.576	**0.0011**	1.412	1.147	1.740
ALB	-7.70%	3.55%	4.700	**0.0302**	0.925	0.863	0.993
SCR	0.75%	0.36%	4.455	**0.0348**	1.007	1.001	1.015
TG	8.26%	1.96%	17.721	**<0.001**	1.086	1.045	1.129

Abbreviations: white blood cell count(WBC), prothrombin time(PT), albumin(ALB), serum creatinine (SCR), triglyceride(TG).



Figure 1 Flow diagram of patients enrollment and study design.



Figure 2 Nomogram indicating the risk of ARDS in AP

Abbreviations: white blood cell count（WBC）, prothrombin time(PT), albumin(ALB), serum creatinine (SCR), triglyceride(TG).



(A) (B)

Figure 3 Validation of nomogram in primary cohort . (A) Discrimination: AUC of the ROC curve was 0.821 (95%CI: 0.756-0.886). (B) Nomogram calibration curve. The blue line indicates perfect prediction by an ideal model. The orange line indicates actual performance of the model.



A B

Figure 4 Validation of nomogram in validation cohort. (A) Discrimination: AUC of the ROC curve was 0.823 (95%CI: 0.707-0.937). (B) Nomogram calibration curve. The blue line indicates perfect prediction by an ideal model. The orange line indicates actual performance of the model.