Epidemic doubling time of the COVID-19 epidemic by Chinese province

Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, MPH[1]; Gerardo Chowell, PhD[1]; Chi-Hin Cheung, MS; Dongyu Jia, PhD; Po-Ying Lai, MS; Yiseul Lee, MPH, Manyun Liu, MS; Sylvia K. Ofori, MPH; Kimberlyn M. Roosa, MPH; Lone Simonsen, PhD; Cecile G. Viboud, PhD; Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD

[1] These authors contribute equally as co-first authors

Author affiliations: Georgia Southern University (K. Muniz-Rodriguez, D. Jia, M. Liu, S. K. Ofori, I. C.-H. Fung); Georgia State University (G. Chowell, Y. Lee, K. M. Roosa); Independent researcher (C.-H. Cheung); Boston University (P.-Y. Lai), Roskilde University (L. Simonsen), The National Institutes of Health (G. Chowell, C. Viboud)

Email addresses: km11200@georgiasouthern.edu (K. Muniz-Rodriguez); gchowell@gsu.edu (G. Chowell) westerpants@gmail.com (C.-H. Cheung); djia@georgiasouthern.edu (D. Jia); pylai@bu.edu (P.-Y. Lai); ylee97@student.gsu.edu (Y. Lee); ml16842@georgiasouthern.edu (M. Liu); so01935@georgiasouthern.edu (S. K. Ofori); kroosa1@student.gsu.edu (K. M. Roosa); lsimonsen2@gmail.com (L. Simonsen); viboudc@mail.nih.gov (C. G. Viboud); cfung@georgiasouthern.edu (I. C.-H. Fung)

Please address correspondence to Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA. +1 912 478 5079. Email: cfung@georgiasouthern.edu

Genre: Research Letter (Max. 800 words)

Current word count: 805.

Abstract: n=50; Word limit = 50

The COVID-19 epidemic doubling time was analyzed by Chinese province (January 20-February 9, 2020). The overall mean doubling time ranged from 1.3 to 3.4 days, with an estimate of 2.5 days for Hubei. Epidemic doubling times increased as epidemic progressed, suggesting that social distancing measures were slowing down the epidemic.

To the editor:

Our ability to estimate the basic reproduction number of emerging infectious diseases is often hindered by the paucity of information about the epidemiological characteristics and transmission mechanisms of new pathogens (1). Alternative metrics could synthesize real-time information about the extent to which the epidemic is expanding over time. Such metrics would be particularly useful if they rely on minimal and routinely collected data that capture the trajectory of an outbreak (2).

Epidemic doubling times characterize the sequence of intervals at which the cumulative incidence doubles (3). Here we analyze the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles and the evolution of the doubling times of the COVID-19 epidemic in mainland China (4). We use province-level data from January 20 (when nationwide reporting began) through February 9, 2020. See Technical Appendix 1 for a sensitivity analysis based on a longer time period. If an epidemic is growing exponentially with a constant growth rate r, the doubling time remains constant and equals to $(\ln 2)/r$. An increase in the epidemic doubling time indicates a slowdown in transmission if the underlying reporting rate remains unchanged (Technical Appendix 2 and Figure S1).

Daily cumulative incidence data were retrieved from provincial health commissions' websites (Technical Appendix 3). Data were double-checked against the cumulative national total published by the National Health Commission (5), data compiled by the Centre for Health Protection, Hong Kong, when available (6) and by John Hopkins University (7). Whenever discrepancies arose, provincial government sources were deemed authoritative. Tibet was excluded from further analysis because there had only been one case in the study period, and doubling time could not be calculated. See Technical Appendix 1 for data.

From January 20 through February 9, the mean doubling time of cumulative incidence ranged from 1.3 (Hunan and Jiangsu) to 3.4 days (Hainan). In Hubei, it was estimated as 2.5 days. This corresponds to an estimated effective reproductive number of 3.3, assuming a mean incubation period of

6.4 days (8), and a mean duration from onset of symptoms to isolation of 0.7 days (9). See Technical Appendix 2 for scenario analysis of various parameter values. The cumulative incidence doubled 6 times in Hubei. The mean doubling time in mainland China except Hubei was 1.7 days. Provinces with a mean doubling time <2d included Anhui, Guangxi, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Henan, Hunan, Inner Mongolia, Jiangxi, Shandong, Shanxi, Sichuan, and Zhejiang (Figures 1 and S2).

As the epidemic progressed, it took longer for the cumulative incidence in mainland China (except Hubei) to double itself, which indicated an overall sub-exponential growth pattern outside Hubei (Figure S3). In Hubei, the doubling time decreased and then increased. A gradual increase in the doubling time could reflect the effect of social distancing measures and intra-and-inter-provincial travel restrictions imposed across China since the implementation of quarantine of Wuhan on January 23 (10).

Our estimates of doubling times are shorter than recent estimates of 7.4 days (95% CI, 4.2-14) (4), 6.4 days (95% CrI, 5.8-7.1) (11), and 7.1 days (95% CI, 3.0-20.5) (12) respectively. Li et al. covered cases reported by January 22 (4). Wu et al. statistically inferred case counts in Wuhan by internationally exported cases as of January 25 (11). Volz et al. identified a common viral ancestor on December 8, 2019 using Bayesian phylogenetic analysis and fitted an exponential growth model to provide the epidemic growth rate (12). Our estimates are based on cumulative reported numbers of confirmed cases by date of reports by province from January 20 through February 9.

Our study is subject to limitations, including the underreporting of confirmed cases as reported by Chinese media (13). One reason for underreporting is underdiagnosis, due to lack of diagnostic tests, healthcare workers, and other resources. Further, underreporting is likely heterogeneous across provinces. As long as reporting remains constant over time within the same province, the calculation of doubling times remains reliable; however, this is a strong assumption. Growing awareness of the epidemic and increasing availability of diagnostic tests might have strengthened reporting over time, which could have artificially shortened the doubling time. Nevertheless, apart from Hubei and Guangdong (first case reported on January 19), nationwide reporting only began on January 20, and at this point, Chinese

authorities had openly acknowledged the magnitude and severity of the epidemic. Our study did not differentiate between local and imported cases, given the limitations of publicly available data. The proportion of imported cases was likely to be significant for provinces with fewer number of cases; their short doubling times in the study period could simply reflect rapid detection of imported cases.

To conclude, we analyzed the progression of epidemic doubling time of COVID-19 by Chinese province (January 20 through February 9, 2020). The mean doubling time of cumulative incidence in Hubei was 2.5 days. The overall trend of increasing doubling time by province likely reflects the impact of social distancing measures.

First author(s) biography

Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, MPH, is a doctoral student at the Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, Georgia Southern University. Her research interests include infectious disease epidemiology, digital epidemiology and disaster epidemiology.

Gerardo Chowell, PhD, is Professor of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, and Chair of the Department of Population Health Sciences at Georgia State University School of Public Health. As a mathematical epidemiologist, Prof Chowell studies the transmission dynamics of emerging infectious diseases, such as Ebola, MERS and SARS.

Acknowledgement

GC acknowledges support from NSF grant 1414374 as part of the joint NSF-NIH-USDA Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Diseases program. ICHF acknowledges salary support from the National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (19IPA1908208). This article is not part of ICHF's CDC-sponsored projects.

Disclaimer

This article does not represent the official positions of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, or the United States Government.

References

1. Anderson RM, May RM. Infectious diseases of humans. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1991.
2. Drake JM, Bakach I, Just MR, O'Regan SM, Gambhir M, Fung IC-H. Transmission Models of Historical Ebola Outbreaks. Emerging Infectious Disease journal. 2015;21(8):1447.
3. Vynnycky E, White RG. An Introduction to Infectious Disease Modelling. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.
4. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020 Jan 29.
5. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. 2020 [cited Feb 2, 2020]; Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/
6. Centre for Health Protection, Department of Health, The Government for the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. 2020 [cited Feb 2, 2020]; Available from: https://www.chp.gov.hk/en/index.html
7. John Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering. 2019 Novel Coronavirus COVID-19 (2019-nCoV) Data Repository by Johns Hopkins CSSE. 2020 [cited Feb 13, 2020]; Available from: https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19
8. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(5):10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.5.2000062.
9. Liu T, Hu J, Kang M, Lin L, Zhong H, Xiao J, et al. Transmission dynamics of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). bioRxiv. 2020:Version 1.
10. Du Z, Wang L, Cauchemez S, Xu X, Wang X, Cowling BJ, et al. Risk for transportation of 2019 novel coronavirus disease from Wuhan to other cities in China. Emerging Infectious Disease journal. 2020;26(5):in press.
11. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. Lancet. 2020 Jan 31.
12. Volz E, Baguelin M, Bhatia S, Boonyasiri A, Cori A, Cucunubá Z, et al. Report 5: Phylogenetic analysis of SARS-CoV-2. 2020 [cited Feb 15, 2020]; Available from: https://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/medicine/sph/ide/gida-fellowships/Imperial-College---COVID-19---genetic-analysis-FINAL.pdf
13. Fang G, Li S, Liu Y, Xin N, Ma K. People beyond the statistics: Died of "normal pneumonia"? [统计数字之外的人：他们死于“普通肺炎”？]. Caijing Zachi [财经杂志] (Finance and Ecomomics Magazine) 2020 [cited Feb 13, 2020]; Available from: https://web.archive.org/web/20200213190623/http://www.sohu.com/a/370032279_120094087

Figure legend

Figure 1. The mean doubling time (Panel A) and the number of times the COVID-19 epidemic cumulative incidence has doubled (Panel B) by province in mainland China, from January 20 through February 9, 2020.


Mean doubling time of COVID-19 by province
1.31 - 1.60
1.61 - 1.80
1.81 - 2.0
2.01 - 2.40
2.41 - 2.90
2.91 - 3.40
Heilongjiang
Inner Mongolia
Jilin
Liaoning
Beijing
Tianjin
Xinjiang
Gansu
Ningxia Hui
Hebei
Shanxi
Shandong
Qinghai
Shaanxi
Henan
Jiangsu
Anhui
Shanghai
Tibet
Hubei
Sichuan
Chongqing
Zhejiang
Hunan
Jiangxi
Guizhou
Fujian
Yunnan
Guangxi
Guangdong
Hainan


Heilongjiang
Inner Mongolia
Jilin
Liaoning
Xinjiang
Gansu
Beijing
Tianjin
Ningxia Hui
Hebei
Shanxi
Shandong
Qinghai
Shaanxi
Henan
Jiangsu
Anhui
Tibet
Hubei
Shanghai
Sichuan
Chongqing
Zhejiang
Hunan
Jiangxi
Guizhou
Fujian
Yunnan
Guangxi
Guangdong
Hainan
Number of times the COVID-19 outbreak has doubled by province
0
3 - 4
5 - 6
7 - 8
9 - 10

Epidemic doubling time of the COVID-19 outbreak by Chinese province

Technical Appendix 1

Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, MPH[1]; Gerardo Chowell, PhD[1]; Chi-Hin Cheung, MS; Dongyu Jia, PhD; Po-Ying Lai, MS; Yiseul Lee, MPH, Manyun Liu, MS; Sylvia K. Ofori, MPH; Kimberlyn M. Roosa, MPH; Lone Simonsen, PhD; Cecile G. Viboud, PhD; Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD

[1] These authors contribute equally as co-first authors

Author affiliations: Georgia Southern University (K. Muniz-Rodriguez, D. Jia, M. Liu, S. K. Ofori, I. C.-H. Fung); Georgia State University (G. Chowell, Y. Lee, K. M. Roosa); Independent researcher (C.-H. Cheung); Boston University (P.-Y. Lai), Roskilde University (L. Simonsen), The National Institutes of Health (G. Chowell, C. G. Viboud)

Please address correspondence to Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA. +1 912 478 5079. Email: cfung@georgiasouthern.edu

Technical appendix 1

Additional information on our motivation, scope and methods

Motivation. R_0 is a widely used indicator of transmission potential in a totally susceptible population and is driven by the average contact rate and the mean infectious period of the disease (1). Yet, it only characterizes transmission potential at the onset of the epidemic and varies geographically for a given infectious disease according to local healthcare provision, outbreak response, as well as socioeconomic and cultural factors. Furthermore, estimating R_0 requires information about the natural history of the infectious disease. Thus, our ability to estimate reproduction numbers for novel infectious diseases is hindered by the dearth of information about their epidemiological characteristics and transmission mechanisms. More informative metrics could synthesize real-time information about the extent to which the epidemic is expanding over time. Such metrics would be particularly useful if they rely on minimal data on the outbreak's trajectory.

Scope and definition. We restricted our analysis to mainland China in this paper. A 'province' herein encompasses three different types of political sub-divisions of mainland China, namely, a province, a directly administered municipality (Beijing, Chongqing, Shanghai, and Tianjin) and an autonomous region (Guangxi, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, Tibet, and Xinjiang). Our analysis does not include the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and the Macau Special Administrative Region, which are under the effective rule of the People's Republic of China through the so-called 'One Country, Two Systems' political arrangements. Likewise, our analysis does not include Taiwan, which is *de facto* governed by a different government (the Republic of China).

Data apart from epidemic data. Provincial demographic, transportation and socioeconomic data were obtained from the National Bureau of Statistics of China (2) and other sources (see Table S1).

Additional information on our results and discussion

Multiple linear regression analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.2 (R Core Team). Significance level was a priori decided to be $\alpha = 0.05$.

Demographic, transportation and socioeconomic factors. We performed multiple linear regression models with the latest doubling time, mean doubling time and the slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles as the dependent variables, respectively. We included population density, average temperature in January, average household size, subnational Human Development Index in all models. We included passenger traffic and provincial capital's distance from Wuhan for railway (models group A) and highway (models group B), respectively. For models of the dependent variables mean doubling time and slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles we observed some statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) association between some independent variables and each of the dependent variables; however, we deemed the association practically negligible (Table S2, S3).

Sensitivity analysis

We performed a sensitivity analysis by expanding our data analysis to the data since January 31, 2019, when Hubei first reported a cluster of pneumonia cases with unexplained etiology that turned out to be COVID-19. The only difference between the sensitivity analysis and the main analysis is the inclusion of Hubei and Guangdong data from December 31, 2019, through January 19, 2020, because nationwide reporting started on January 20, 2020. The only differences in results were found for Hubei and Guangdong, with the mean doubling time being 3.9 and 1.8 respectively (Figures S4, S6), and the cumulative incidence in Hubei and Guangdong, each doubled ten times from December 31, 2019, through February 9, 2020 (Figures S5, S7). The first doubling time of Hubei (Figure S5) was high, reflecting that real-time data was unavailable before mid-January. It was only by January 17, 2020, onwards when data reporting become increasingly transparent and timely.

In our sensitivity analysis, we performed the same multiple regression models previously described, with the latest doubling time, the mean doubling time, and the slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles as dependent variables. We included population density, average temperature in January, average household size, subnational Human Development Index in all models. We included passenger traffic and provincial capital's distance from Wuhan for railway and highway, respectively (Table S1). For the models with the slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles as a dependent variable, we found some statistically significant associations between some independent variables and the dependent variable; however, we deemed the association practically negligible (Tables S2 and S3).

Table S1. The demographic and transportation variables that had been considered for linear regression models:

	Regression models		
Variable	**Group 1**	**Group 2**	**Reference**
Railway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)	x		(2)
Highway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)		x	(2)
Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Railway) (km)	x		(2)
Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Highway) (km)		x	(2)
Population Density (10000 persons per km^2)	x	x	(3)
Average Temperature for January (°C)	x	x	(4)
Average Household size (2018)	x	x	(5)
(Subnational) Human development index (HDI)	x	x	(6)

Notes: The following data have been studied in preliminary studies but not in our models presented herein: Resident population (year-end) (10000 persons), Urban population (year-end) (10000 persons), Rural population (year-end) (10000 persons), Passenger Traffic (10000 persons), Passenger-Kilometers (100 million passenger-km), Passenger-Kilometers of Railways (100 million passenger-km), Passenger-Kilometers of Highway (100 million passenger-km) (2).

Table S2. Main analysis linear regression models with slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles as a dependent variable

Dependent Variables	Independent Variables	Model 1 Coefficient (95% CI)	Model 2 Coefficient (95% CI)
Slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles	Railway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)	-1.781E-05 (-3.721E-05, 1.599E-06)	
	Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Railway) (km)	2.239E-04 (6.718E-05, 3.806E-04)**	
	Highway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)		-2.590E-06 (-7.621E-06, 2.442E-06)
	Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Highway) (km)		2.347E-04 (1.347E-05, 4.560E-04)*
	Population Density (10000 persons per km^2)	-1.465E-05 (-2.157E-04, 1.864E-04)	2.743E-05 (-2.158E-04, 2.706E-04)
	Average Temperature for January (°C)	7.460E-03 (-6.542E-03, 2.146E-02)	-3.255E-03 (-1.837E-02, 1.186E-02)
	Average Household size (2018)	5.053E-01 (-1.724E-02, 1.028E+00)	7.268E-01 (1.082E-01, 1.345E+00)*
	(Subnational) Human development index (HDI)	4.002E+00 (5.649E-01, 7.439E+00)*	3.319E+00 (-7.569E-01, 7.396E+00)
Mean doubling time	Railway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)	-2.577E-05 (-6.424E-05, 1.270E-05)	
	Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Railway) (km)	3.333E-04 (2.259E-05, 6.440E-04)*	
	Highway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)		-1.159E-06 (-1.227E-05, 9.953E-06)
	Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Highway) (km)		3.039E-04 (-1.847E-04, 7.925E-04)
	Population Density (10000 persons per km^2)	-4.503E-05 (-4.436E-04, 3.536E-04)	-1.094E-06 (-5.382E-04, 5.360E-04)
	Average Temperature for January (°C)	2.117E-02 (-6.585E-03, 4.893E-02)	5.662E-03 (-2.771E-02, 3.903E-02)
	Average Household size (2018)	3.903E-01 (-6.457E-01, 1.426E+00)	7.027E-01 (-6.635E-01, 2.069E+00)
	(Subnational) Human development index (HDI)	4.880E+00 (-1.933E+00, 1.169E+01)	4.439E+00 (-4.564E+00, 1.344E+01)

Note. ***p<0.05; **p<0.01.**

Table S3. Sensitivity analysis linear regression models with slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles as a dependent variable

		Model 1	Model 2
Dependent Variables	**Independent Variables**	**Coefficient (95% CI)**	**Coefficient (95% CI)**
Slope of the doubling time over the number of times the cumulative incidence doubles	Railway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)	-1.665E-05 (-5.374E-05, 2.045E-05)	
	Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Railway) (km)	3.804E-04 (8.085E-05, 6.800E-04)*	
	Highway Passenger Traffic (10000 persons)		-2.541E-06 (-1.074E-05, 5.661E-06)
	Provincial Capital's Distance from Wuhan (Highway) (km)		3.915E-04 (3.084E-05, 7.523E-04)*
	Population Density (10000 persons per km^2)	8.176E-05 (-3.026E-04, 4.661E-04)	1.067E-04 (-2.898E-04, 5.031E-04)
	Average Temperature for January (°C)	5.228E-03 (-2.154E-02, 3.199E-02)	-6.022E-03 (-3.066E-02, 1.861E-02)
	Average Household size (2018)	5.837E-01 (-4.152E-01, 1.583E+00)	8.349E-01 (-1.736E-01, 1.843E+00)
	(Subnational) Human development index (HDI)	3.518E+00 (-3.051E+00, 1.009E+01)	3.385E+00 (-3.261E+00, 1.003E+01)

Note. ***p<0.05; **p<0.01.**

Table S4A. Confirmed cases of the novel coronavirus outbreak by province in mainland China extracted from official government sources used for the sensitivity analysis

	Dec	January																		
Locations[1]	**31**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**	**14**	**15**	**16**	**17**	**18**	**19**
Mainland China (Excluding Hubei) (Sum of provincial reports)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Mainland China (Including Hubei) (Sum of provincial reports)	27	0	0	44	0	59	0	0	0	0	41	41	41	41	41	41	45	62	121	199
Mainland China (Including Hubei) (Sum by NCH) [2]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hubei	27	NR	NR	44	NR	59	NR	NR	NR	NR	41	41	41	41	41	41	45	62	121	198
Guangdong																				1

Note. NA, not applicable. NR, not reported. [1]Observations were collected directly from government official sites from each province in mainland China. If a press release included data reported at midnight and early morning, they were considered to belong to the previous day the data was reported. [2]Official national tally of cumulative case count of confirmed cases was first published by the National Health Commission of China on January 21, 2020 for January 20, 2020 (7).

Table S4B. Confirmed cases of the novel coronavirus outbreak by province in mainland China extracted from official government sources used for the main analysis and sensitivity analysis

	January											
Locations[1]	**20**	**21**	**22**	**23**	**24**	**25**	**26**	**27**	**28**	**29**	**30**	**31**
Mainland China (Excluding Hubei) (Sum of provincial reports)	26	71	145	291	585	923	1321	1802	2386	3126	3885	4637
Mainland China (Including Hubei) (Sum of provincial reports)	296	446	589	840	1307	1975	2744	4516	5940	7712	9691	11790
Mainland China (Including Hubei) (Sum by NCH)[2]	291	440	571	830	1287	1975	2744	4515	5974	7711	9692	11791
Hubei	270	375	444	549	729	1052	1423	2714	3554	4586	5806	7153
Anhui	0	1	9	15	39	60	70	106	152	200	237	297
Beijing	5	10	14	26	36	49	68	80	91	111	132	156
Chongqing	0	5	9	27	57	75	110	132	147	165	206	238
Fujian	0	0	1	5	10	18	35	59	82	101	120	144
Gansu	0	0	0	2	4	7	14	19	24	26	29	35
Guangdong	14	26	32	53	78	98	146	188	241	311	393	520
Guangxi	0	0	2	13	23	33	46	51	58	78	87	100
Guizhou	0	0	0	3	5	5	7	9	9	12	15	29
Hainan	0	0	4	8	11	20	27	33	40	46	49	57
Hebei	0	0	1	2	8	13	18	33	48	65	82	96
Heilongjiang	0	0	1	4	9	15	21	30	37	43	59	80
Henan	0	1	5	9	32	83	128	168	206	278	352	422
Hunan	0	1	4	9	43	69	100	143	221	277	332	389
Inner Mongolia	0	0	0	1	2	7	11	13	16	18	20	23
Jiangsu	0	0	1	9	18	31	47	70	99	129	168	202
Jiangxi	0	2	3	7	18	36	48	72	109	162	240	286
Jilin	0	0	1	3	4	4	6	8	9	14	14	17
Liaoning	0	0	2	4	12	19	22	30	36	41	45	60
Ningxia	0	0	1	2	3	4	7	11	12	17	21	26
Qinghai	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	6	6	8	9
Shaanxi	0	0	0	0	7	15	22	46	56	63	87	101
Shandong	0	1	1	1	21	39	63	87	87	145	178	202
Shanghai	2	9	16	20	33	40	53	66	80	101	128	153
Shanxi	0	0	1	1	6	9	13	20	27	35	39	47
Sichuan	0	2	5	15	28	44	69	90	108	142	177	207
Tianjin	0	2	4	5	8	10	14	23	25	27	32	32
Tibet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Xinjiang	0	0	0	2	3	4	5	10	13	14	17	18
Yunnan	0	1	1	2	5	11	19	26	51	70	80	91
Zhejiang	5	10	27	43	62	104	128	173	296	428	537	599

Note. [1]Observations were collected directly from government official sites from each province in mainland China. If a press release included data reported at midnight and early morning, they were considered to belong to the previous day the data was reported. [2]Data was collected of press releases of the National Health Commission of China (7).

Table S4C. Confirmed cases of the novel coronavirus outbreak by province in mainland China extracted from official government sources used for the main analysis and sensitivity analysis

	February								
Locations[1]	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**
Mainland China (Excluding Hubei) (Sum of provincial reports)	5396	6031	6910	7646	8352	9049	9614	10098	10507
Mainland China (Including Hubei) (Sum of provincial reports)	14470	17208	20432	24324	28017	31161	34567	37198	40138
Mainland China (Including Hubei) (Sum by NCH)[2]	14380	17205	20438	24324	28018	31161	34546	37198	40171
Hubei	9074	11177	13522	16678	19665	22112	24953	27100	29631
Anhui	340	408	480	530	591	665	733	779	830
Beijing	183	212	228	253	274	297	315	326	337
Chongqing	262	300	337	366	389	411	426	446	468
Fujian	159	179	194	205	215	224	239	250	261
Gansu	40	51	55	57	62	67	71	79	83
Guangdong	604	683	797	870	944	1018	1075	1120	1131
Guangxi	111	127	139	150	168	172	183	195	210
Guizhou	38	46	56	64	69	77	89	96	99
Hainan	152	70	79	89	100	111	122	128	136
Hebei	104	113	126	135	157	171	195	206	218
Heilongjiang	95	118	155	190	227	277	295	307	331
Henan	493	566	675	764	851	914	981	1033	1073
Hunan	463	521	593	661	711	772	803	838	879
Inner Mongolia	27	34	35	42	46	50	52	54	58
Jiangsu	236	271	308	341	373	408	439	468	492
Jiangxi	333	391	476	548	600	661	698	740	771
Jilin	23	31	42	54	59	65	69	78	80
Liaoning	64	73	74	81	89	94	99	105	108
Ningxia	28	31	34	34	40	43	45	45	49
Qinghai	9	13	15	17	18	18	18	18	18
Shaanxi	116	128	142	165	173	184	195	208	213
Shandong	225	246	270	298	343	379	407	435	466
Shanghai	177	193	208	233	254	269	281	292	295
Shanxi	56	66	74	81	90	96	104	115	119
Sichuan	231	254	282	301	321	344	363	386	405
Tianjin	45	48	60	67	69	81	88	90	94
Tibet	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Xinjiang	21	24	29	32	36	39	42	45	49
Yunnan	99	109	117	122	128	135	138	140	141
Zhejiang	661	724	829	895	954	1006	1048	1075	1092

Note. [1]Observations were collected directly from government official sites from each province in mainland China. If a press release included data reported at midnight and early morning, they were considered to belong to the previous day the data was reported. [2]Data was collected of press releases of the National Health Commission of China (7).

Table S5. Doubling times for provinces in mainland China for the main analysis

		Mainland China (Except Hubei)	Hubei	Anhui	Beijing	Chongqing	Fujian	Gansu	Guangdong	Guangxi	Guizhou	Hainan
Mean doubling time		1.7138	2.5333	1.5156	3.0750	2.0900	2.1925	2.6800	2.5583	1.8467	3.2000	3.4000
Times doubled	1	0.57	2.91	0.12	1	1.05	0.25	1	1.33	0.18	2.5	1
	2	0.88	2.16	0.25	1.5	0.56	0.5	1.14	1.79	0.36	3.5	1.55
	3	0.98	1.5	0.5	1.8	0.82	0.85	1.26	2.17	0.76	1.64	2.28
	4	1	2.17	1.17	2.7	1.71	1.15	4.1	2.38	1.6	2.56	4.24
	5	1.3	3.03	0.66	4.14	3.58	1.07	5.9	2.76	3.4	5.8	7.93
	6	1.98	3.43	1.7	7.31	4.82	1.39		4.92	4.78		
	7	2.55		2.07			3.12					
	8	4.45		2.84			9.21					
	9			4.33								
	10											
		Hebei	Heilongjiang	Henan	Hunan	Inner Mongolia	Jiangsu	Jiangxi	Jilin	Liaoning	Ningxia	Qinghai
Mean doubling time		1.7457	1.8225	1.7820	1.3156	1.9420	1.3213	1.6875	2.4717	2.0000	2.2660	2.3750
Times doubled	1	1	0.33	0.25	0.33	1	0.12	1.25	0.5	1	1	0.33
	2	0.33	0.67	0.5	0.67	0.4	0.25	0.84	2.5	0.5	2	0.67
	3	0.67	0.8	1	0.8	0.85	0.5	0.72	2	1.07	1.25	4
	4	1.6	1.36	0.55	0.4	2.75	0.9	0.96	3.66	2.76	2.55	4.5
	5	1.33	2.12	0.7	0.47	4.71	1.29	1.89	2.43	4.67	4.53	
	6	2.01	2.95	0.62	1.13		1.67	1.69	3.74			
	7	5.28	3.04	1.38	1.85		2.23	1.99				
	8		3.31	2.69	1.97		3.61	4.16				
	9			3.57	4.22							
	10			6.56								
		Shaanxi	Shandong	Shanghai	Shanxi	Sichuan	Tianjin	Tibet	Xinjiang	Yunnan	Zhejiang	
Mean doubling time		2.4433	1.5513	2.3043	1.8000	1.7243	2.7140	NA	3.0000	2.1429	1.6657	
Times doubled	1	1.33	2.05	0.28	1.2	0.66	1	NA	2	2	1	
	2	2.24	0.1	0.57	0.4	0.64	2		1.6	0.66	0.58	
	3	3.76	0.19	1.15	1.06	0.77	2.22		3.06	0.84	1.23	
	4		0.41	1.92	1.76	1.18	4.78		5.34	1.12	1.61	
	5		0.86	2.92	2.2	1.55	3.57			1.61	2.29	
	6		1.43	3.16	4.18	2.78				1.45	1.47	
	7		2.66	6.13		4.49				7.32	3.48	
	8		4.71									

NA: Not applied, as there had only been 1 case in Tibet as of February 9, 2020.

Table S6. Doubling times for provinces in mainland China for the sensitivity analysis

		Mainland China (Except Hubei)	Hubei	Anhui	Beijing	Chongqing	Fujian	Gansu	Guangdong	Guangxi	Guizhou	Hainan
Mean doubling time		1.290	3.921	1.516	3.075	2.090	2.193	2.680	1.810	1.847	3.200	3.400
Times doubled	1	0.04	16.52	0.12	1	1.05	0.25	1	0.07	0.18	2.5	1
	2	0.08	1.25	0.25	1.5	0.56	0.5	1.14	0.16	0.36	3.5	1.55
	3	0.15	1.48	0.5	1.8	0.82	0.85	1.26	0.3	0.76	1.64	2.28
	4	0.33	2.57	1.17	2.7	1.71	1.15	4.1	0.63	1.6	2.56	4.24
	5	0.53	2.59	0.66	4.14	3.58	1.07	5.9	1.84	3.4	5.8	7.93
	6	0.71	1.82	1.7	7.31	4.82	1.39		1.44	4.78		
	7	0.93	1.65	2.07			3.12		2.18			
	8	0.99	2.94	2.84			9.21		2.59			
	9	1.01	3.27	4.33					2.72			
	10	1.48	5.12						6.17			
	11	2.17										
	12	2.86										
	13	5.49										
		Hebei	Heilongjiang	Henan	Hunan	Inner Mongolia	Jiangsu	Jiangxi	Jilin	Liaoning	Ningxia	Qinghai
Mean doubling time		1.746	1.823	1.782	1.316	1.942	1.321	1.688	2.472	2.000	2.266	2.375
Times doubled	1	1	0.33	0.25	0.33	1	0.12	1.25	0.5	1	1	0.33
	2	0.33	0.67	0.5	0.67	0.4	0.25	0.84	2.5	0.5	2	0.67
	3	0.67	0.8	1	0.8	0.85	0.5	0.72	2	1.07	1.25	4
	4	1.6	1.36	0.55	0.4	2.75	0.9	0.96	3.66	2.76	2.55	4.5
	5	1.33	2.12	0.7	0.47	4.71	1.29	1.89	2.43	4.67	4.53	
	6	2.01	2.95	0.62	1.13		1.67	1.69	3.74			
	7	5.28	3.04	1.38	1.85		2.23	1.99				
	8		3.31	2.69	1.97		3.61	4.16				
	9			3.57	4.22							
	10			6.56								
		Shaanxi	Shandong	Shanghai	Shanxi	Sichuan	Tianjin	Tibet	Xinjiang	Yunnan	Zhejiang	
Mean doubling time		2.443	1.551	2.304	1.800	1.724	2.714	NA	3.000	2.143	1.666	
Times doubled	1	1.33	2.05	0.28	1.2	0.66	1	NA	2	2	1	
	2	2.24	0.1	0.57	0.4	0.64	2		1.6	0.66	0.58	
	3	3.76	0.19	1.15	1.06	0.77	2.22		3.06	0.84	1.23	
	4		0.41	1.92	1.76	1.18	4.78		5.34	1.12	1.61	
	5		0.86	2.92	2.2	1.55	3.57			1.61	2.29	
	6		1.43	3.16	4.18	2.78				1.45	1.47	
	7		2.66	6.13		4.49				7.32	3.48	
	8		4.71									

NA: Not applied, as there had only been 1 case in Tibet as of February 9, 2020.

Figure S2. The mean doubling time (days) and the number of times the reported cumulative incidence doubles by province within mainland China from January 20, 2020 through February 9, 2020. Each point represents a province except the point representing "mainland China (except Hubei)" that is the aggregate of all other provinces in mainland China, except Hubei. Doubling time for Tibet is not available, because there had only been only 1 confirmed case in Tibet as of February 9, 2020.



Figure S3. The doubling time (days) each time the reported cumulative incidence doubles and the number of times the reported cumulative incidence doubles by province within mainland China from January 20, 2020 through February 9, 2020. Each panel represents a province except for panel 2 that is the aggregate of all other provinces in mainland China, except Hubei. Doubling time for Tibet is not available, because there had only been 1 confirmed case in Tibet as of February 9, 2020.



Figure S4. Sensitivity analysis: The mean doubling time (days) and the number of times the reported cumulative incidence doubles by province within mainland China from December 31, 2019 through February 9, 2020. Each point represents a province except for "mainland China (except Hubei)" that is the aggregate of all other provinces. Doubling time for Tibet is not available, because there had only been 1 confirmed case in Tibet as of February 9, 2020.



Figure S5. Sensitivity analysis: The doubling time (days) each time the reported cumulative incidence doubles and the number of times the reported cumulative incidence doubles by province within mainland China from December 31, 2019 through February 9, 2020. Each point represents a province except for panel 2 that is the aggregate of all other provinces in mainland China (except Hubei). Doubling time for Tibet is not available, because there had only been 1 confirmed case in Tibet as of February 9, 2020.



Figure S6. Sensitivity analysis: The mean doubling time of 2019-nCoV by province in mainland China, from December 31, 2019 through February 9, 2020.



Figure S7. Sensitivity analysis: The number of times the 2019-nCoV outbreak has doubled by province in mainland China, from December 31, 2019 through February 9, 2020.



Authors' contributions

Project management: Dr. Gerardo Chowell, Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung and Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez

Manuscript writing: Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung and Dr. Gerardo Chowell

Manuscript editing and data interpretation: Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, Dr. Gerardo Chowell, Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, Dr. Lone Simonsen, and Dr. Cecile Viboud

MATLAB code: Dr. Gerardo Chowell

Doubling time calculation using MATLAB and presentation of results: Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, Dr. Gerardo Chowell and Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung

Statistical analysis in R: Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez

Data management and quality check of epidemic data entry: Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung

Entry of epidemic data for countries and territories outside mainland China (including Hong Kong, Macao and Taiwan): Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez and Ms. Sylvia K. Ofori

Entry of epidemic data for provinces in mainland China: Ms. Manyun Liu (from the early reports, up to Jan 24, 2020 data), Ms. Po-Ying Lai (since Jan 25, 2020 data to today), Mr. Chi-Hin Cheung (since Jan 27, 2020 data to today), and Ms. Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez and Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung (whenever there is a back-log).

Retrieval of epidemic data from official websites (downloading and archiving of China's national and provincial authorities' press releases): Ms. Manyun Liu and Dr. Dongyu Jia

Retrieval of statistical data from the official website of National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China: Mr. Chi-Hin Cheung

Retrieval of publicly available statistical data from various sources: Ms. Yiseul Lee, Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung

Map creation: Ms. Kimberlyn M. Roosa

Literature review assistance: Ms. Sylvia K. Ofori

Technical Appendix 2: Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, Dr. Gerardo Chowell

Technical Appendix 3: Ms. Manyun Liu, Dr. Isaac Chun-Hai Fung

References

1. Anderson RM, May RM. Infectious diseases of humans. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1991.
2. Statistical data, National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China. 2020 [cited Feb 2, 2020]; Available from: http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/
3. Population.City, China, Administrative Units. 2020 [cited Feb 3, 2020]; Available from: http://population.city/china/adm/
4. World Weather Online. 2020 [cited Feb 3, 2020]; Available from: https://www.worldweatheronline.com/
5. CEIC. China Population: No of Person per Household. 2020 [cited Feb 3, 2020]; Available from: https://www.ceicdata.com/en/china/population-no-of-person-per-household
6. Smits J, Permanyer I. The Subnational Human Development Database. figshare. Collection. 2019 [cited Feb 3, 2020]; Available from: https://springernature.figshare.com/collections/The_Subnational_Human_Development_Database/4353632
7. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. 2020 [cited Feb 2, 2020]; Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/

Epidemic doubling time of the COVID-19 outbreak by Chinese province

Technical Appendix 2

Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, MPH[1]; Gerardo Chowell, PhD[1]; Chi-Hin Cheung, MS; Dongyu Jia, PhD; Po-Ying Lai, MS; Yiseul Lee, MPH, Manyun Liu, MS; Sylvia K. Ofori, MPH; Kimberlyn M. Roosa, MPH; Lone Simonsen, PhD; Cecile G. Viboud, PhD; Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD

[1] These authors contribute equally as co-first authors

Author affiliations: Georgia Southern University (K. Muniz-Rodriguez, D. Jia, M. Liu, S. K. Ofori, I. C.-H. Fung); Georgia State University (G. Chowell, Y. Lee, K. M. Roosa); Independent researcher (C.-H. Cheung); Boston University (P.-Y. Lai), Roskilde University (L. Simonsen), The National Institutes of Health (G. Chowell, C. G. Viboud)

Please address correspondence to Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA. +1 912 478 5079. Email: cfung@georgiasouthern.edu

Technical Appendix 2: Mean doubling time and effective reproductive number

Doubling time calculation and its relationship with growth rate of an epidemic

As the epidemic grows, the times at which cumulative incidence doubles are given by t_{d_i} such that $2C(t_{d_i}) = C(t_{d_{i+1}})$ where $t_{d_o} = 0$, $C(t_{d_o}) = C_0$, and i = 0,1,2,3, …, n_d where n_d is the total number of times cumulative incidence doubles (Figure S1). The actual sequence of "doubling times" are defined as follows (Figure S1):

$$d_j = \Delta t_{d_j} = t_{d_j} - t_{d_{j-1}} \text{ where } j = 1,2,3, \ldots, n_d.$$

Doubling time calculation was conducted using MATLAB R2019b (Mathworks, Natick, MA).

If we assume homogeneous mixing (equal probability of acquiring infection through contacts) and exponential growth, then, $C(t_2) = C(t_1)\exp(rt)$, and therefore, $\ln(C(t_2)/C(t_1)) = rt$. When $C(t_2)/C(t_1) = 2$ and thus t is the doubling time, i.e. $t = t_d$, $\ln 2 = rt_d$. Therefore, the doubling time, t_d, equals to $(\ln 2)/r$. See Vynnycky and White (1), panel 4.1, p.74 for further explanation.

Connecting the mean doubling time and effective reproductive number

We explored a number of scenarios using parameter values extracted from recent literature, to calculate the effective reproductive number, R, based on our study's estimated mean doubling time from January 20 through February 9, 2020. Instead of basic reproductive number R0, R was estimated because social distancing measures have been introduced to many parts of China, since the quarantine of Wuhan on January 23, 2020. Our goal is to provide some indications of the uncertainty around the effective reproductive number R.

We reviewed parameter values from selected epidemiological literature on COVID-19, listed in Table S7. We chose the mean doubling time of Hubei (the epicenter), and those of Hunan and Jiangsu (lowest), and Hainan (highest) for our scenario analysis.

Part 1. We made the assumption that the incubation period could be taken as a proxy for pre-infectious period (also known as latent period). We explored a base case scenario in which a mean incubation period (D_1) of 6.4 days estimated by Backer, Klinkenberg and Wallinga (2) based on 88 cases with known travel history to or from Wuhan, and a mean duration from onset of symptoms to isolation (D_2) of 0.7 day estimated by authors from Guangdong Provincial CDC using data of cases after January 19, 2020, in a study using 153 cases confirmed by January 23, 2020. We explored an alternative scenario of using the Guangdong CDC's data with $D_1 = 4.8$ and $D_2 = 0.7$.

Assuming that the pre-infectious period and the infectious period follow the exponential distribution, we used the following equation for R0 in Vynnycky and White (1), Table 4.1, Equation 4.13, to calculate R:

R0 = (1 + growth rate ✕ duration of pre-infectious period)

✕ (1 + growth rate ✕ duration of infectious period)

In mathematical notation, $R0 = (1+rD_1)(1+rD_2)$

Base case scenario. If $D_1 = 6.4$ and $D_2 = 0.7$, the effective reproductive number, R, in Hubei was 3.3, corresponding to a mean doubling time of 2.5 from January 20 through February 9, 2020. In Hunan and Jiangsu, with a mean doubling time of 1.3, the R was 6.1, while in Hainan, with a mean doubling time of 3.4, the R was 2.6 (Table S8).

Guangdong CDC scenario. If $D_1 = 4.8$ and $D_2 = 0.7$, the R in Hubei was 2.8. In Hunan and Jiangsu, the R was 4.9, while in Hainan , the R was 2.3 (Table S8).

Part 2. We used the serial interval (T_S) of 7.5 days from Li et al. (3) and explored the scenarios where the ratio between the infectious period and the serial interval, f_r, is 0.5, 0.75 and 1.

We used the following R0 equation in Vynnycky and White (1) (Table 4.1 Equation 4.16) to calculate R:

R0 = 1 + growth rate × serial interval + ratio between the infectious period and the serial interval × (1 - ratio between the infectious period and the serial interval) × (growth rate × serial interval)^2

In mathematical notation, $R0 = 1 + rT_S + f_r(1-f_r)(rT_S)^2$

Scenario 1. If half of the serial interval of 7.5 days is infectious, $f_r = 0.5$, the effective reproductive number, R, in Hubei was 4.2, corresponding to a mean doubling time of 2.5 days from January 20 through February 9, 2020. In Hunan and Jiangsu, with a mean doubling time of 1.3 days, the R was 9.0, while in Hainan, with a mean doubling time of 3.4 days, the R was 3.1 (Table S8).

Scenario 2. If three-quarters of the serial interval of 7.5 days is infectious, $f_r = 0.75$, the R in Hubei was 3.9. In Hunan and Jiangsu, the R was 8.0, while in Hainan, the R was 3.0 (Table S8).

Scenario 3. If the entire serial interval of 7.5 days is infectious, $f_r = 1$, the R in Hubei was 3.1. In Hunan and Jiangsu, the R was 5.0, while in Hainan, the R was 2.5 (Table S8).

Conclusions. The effective reproductive number, R, of COVID-19 in Hubei was around 3.3 in our base case scenario, with a range of 3.1 to 4.2 in scenarios based on different assumptions and data sources. For provinces with a short mean doubling time, such as Hunan and Jiangsu, R could be as high as 6.1 while in provinces with a long mean doubling time, such as Hainan, R could be as low as 2.6.

Figure S1. Illustration of the concept of doubling time using a hypothetical data set. Panel A presents the exponential increase of the cumulative reported cases over time and its number at each time when the case number doubled. Panel B presents the doubling time at each time when the cumulative incidence doubles.



Table S7. Epidemiological parameters of COVID-19 from selected publications.

Authors and affiliations	Case data and time range	Mean incubation period (days) and data source	Mean duration from onset of symptoms to hospitalization and/or isolation (days) and data source	Mean serial interval (days) and data source	Basic or effective reproductive number and estimation method
Backer, Klinkenberg and Wallinga, 2020(2), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the Netherlands	Data of 88 cases reported between January 20 and 28 by provincial health commissions with known travel history to and/or from Wuhan, of whom 63 were Wuhan residents traveling elsewhere and 25 were visitors staying in Wuhan for limited period of time.	6.4 (95% credible interval: 5.6 to 7.7) ranging from 2.1 to 11.1 (2.5th to 97.5th percentile) based on a Weibull distribution. Calculation based on all 88 cases. 4.5 (95% credible interval: 3.7 to 5.6), if restricted to the 25 visitors to Wuhan with a closed exposure window.	Not reported.	Not reported.	Not reported.
Ki and Task Force for 2019-nCoV, 2020 (4), The Korean Society for Preventive Medicine & Korean Society of Epidemiology	The 24 cases in the Republic of Korea, with date of symptom onset from January 10, 2020 to February 6, 2020. By generation of transmission, 15 were (imported) index cases, 6 were 1st generation and 3 were 2nd generation.	3.6 (median, 4), ranging from 1 to 9. Calculation was based on 22 symptomatic cases.	Mean duration from onset to quarantine or isolation, 4.0, ranging from 0 to 12. Calculation was based on 22 symptomatic cases.	4.6, ranging from 3 to 9. Calculation was based on all 24 cases.	Effective reproductive number: 0.47 (Poisson 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.90; binomial 95% CI, 0.24 to 0.71) across two generations of transmission.
Li et al., 2020 (3), China CDC and the University of Hong Kong	Data of the first 425 confirmed cases reported by China CDC, January 22, 2020. They analyzed epidemic growth rate using cases with illness onset between December 10, 2019 and January 4, 2020.	5.2 days (95% confidence interval [CI], 4.1 to 7.0). Calculation was based on data from 10 cases only.	Mean duration from onset to hospital admission: 12.5 (95% CI, 10.3 to 14.8) among 44 cases with illness onset before January 1; 9.1 (95% CI, 8.6 to 9.7) among 189 patients with illness onset between January 1 and 11.	7.5±3.4 (95% CI, 5.3 to 19). Calculation was based on data from 5 clusters of cases.	Basic reproductive number: 2.2 (95% CI, 1.4 to 3.9) A transmission model with zoonotic infections were fitted to onset dates that were not linked to the Hunan Seafood Market to derive the basic reproductive number.
Liu et al., 2020 (5), Guangdong Provincial CDC	Data of confirmed cases as of January 23, 2020, including the date of exposure, symptom onset and diagnosis. Data from Guangdong Province were extracted from medical records and epidemiological investigations. Data of cases in other locations were extracted from official websites of health authorities of different jurisdictions.	4.8±2.6 (ranging from 2 to 11) Calculation was based on data from only 16 cases who had travelled to Wuhan and stayed for no more than three days.	Mean duration from symptom onset to isolation: 2.9±3.0; decrease from 6.7 among cases before January 9, 2020 to 0.7 among cases after January 19, 2020. Calculation was based on data from 153 cases.		Effective reproductive number: 2.90 (95% CI, 2.32 to 3.63) using exponential growth assumptions, and 2.92 (95% CI, 2.28 to 3.67) using maximum likelihood.

Table S8. Estimates of the effective reproductive number, R, of COVID-19 from January 20 through February 9, 2020, based on mean doubling time estimated for Hunan and Jiangsu (lowest), Hubei (epicenter) and Hainan (highest), using parameter values from different sources.

	Hunan and Jiangsu	**Hubei**	**Hainan**
Mean doubling time from Jan 20 to Feb 9, 2020 as estimated in our study	1.3	2.5	3.4
Growth rate = ln 2 / doubling time	0.5	0.3	0.2
Part 1. Using equation $R0 = (1+rD_1)(1+rD_2)$			
Base case scenario: Mean incubation period of 6.4 days (2) and mean duration from onset of symptoms to isolation of 0.7 day (5).			
R	6.1	3.3	2.6
Guangdong CDC scenario: Mean incubation period of 4.8 days (5) and mean duration from onset of symptoms to isolation of 0.7 day (5).			
R	4.9	2.8	2.3
Part 2. Using equation $R0 = 1 + rT_S + f_r(1-f_r)(rT_S)^2$			
Using the serial interval of 7.5 days from Li et al. (3)			
Ratio between duration of infectious period and serial interval, f_r			
Scenario 1. If $f_r = 0.5$,			
R	9.0	4.2	3.1
Scenario 2. If $f_r = 0.75$,			
R	8.0	3.9	3.0
Scenario 3. If $f_r = 1$,			
R	5.0	3.1	2.5

All numbers are rounded to 1 decimal place.

References

1. Vynnycky E, White RG. An Introduction to Infectious Disease Modelling. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.
2. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(5):10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.5.2000062.
3. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020 Jan 29.
4. Ki M, nCo VT. Epidemiologic characteristics of early cases with 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) disease in Republic of Korea. Epidemiology and health. 2020 Feb 9:e2020007.
5. Liu T, Hu J, Kang M, Lin L, Zhong H, Xiao J, et al. Transmission dynamics of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). 2020.

Epidemic doubling time of the COVID-19 outbreak by Chinese province

Technical Appendix 3

Kamalich Muniz-Rodriguez, MPH[1]; Gerardo Chowell, PhD[1]; Chi-Hin Cheung, MS; Dongyu Jia, PhD; Po-Ying Lai, MS; Yiseul Lee, MPH, Manyun Liu, MS; Sylvia K. Ofori, MPH; Kimberlyn M. Roosa, MPH; Lone Simonsen, PhD; Cecile G. Viboud, PhD; Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD

[1] These authors contribute equally as co-first authors

Author affiliations: Georgia Southern University (K. Muniz-Rodriguez, D. Jia, M. Liu, S. K. Ofori, I. C.-H. Fung); Georgia State University (G. Chowell, Y. Lee, K. M. Roosa); Independent researcher (C.-H. Cheung); Boston University (P.-Y. Lai), Roskilde University (L. Simonsen), The National Institutes of Health (G. Chowell, C. G. Viboud)

Please address correspondence to Isaac Chun-Hai Fung, PhD, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA. +1 912 478 5079. Email: cfung@georgiasouthern.edu

Technical Appendix 3: Websites of national and provincial health commissions in mainland China

Health commission	URL	Notes
National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China	http://www.nhc.gov.cn	
Provincial health commissions		
Anhui	http://wjw.ah.gov.cn/	
Beijing	http://wjw.beijing.gov.cn/	
Chongqing	http://wsjkw.cq.gov.cn/	
Fujian	http://fjwsjk.fjsen.com/	
Gansu	http://wsjk.gansu.gov.cn/	
Guangdong	http://wsjkw.gd.gov.cn/	
Guangxi	http://wsjkw.gxzf.gov.cn/	
Guizhou	http://www.gzhfpc.gov.cn/	
Hainan	http://wst.hainan.gov.cn/	
Hebei	http://www.hebwst.gov.cn/	Our team members found it often inaccessible from Statesboro, GA, USA.
Heilongjiang	http://wsjkw.hlj.gov.cn/	
Henan	http://www.hnwsjsw.gov.cn/	
Hubei	http://wjw.hubei.gov.cn/	
Hunan	http://wjw.hunan.gov.cn/	
Inner Mongolia	http://wjw.nmg.gov.cn/	
Jiangsu	http://wjw.jiangsu.gov.cn/	
Jiangxi	http://hc.jiangxi.gov.cn/	
Jilin	http://www.jl.gov.cn/	
Liaoning	http://www.shenyang.gov.cn/	
Ningxia	http://wsjkw.nx.gov.cn/index.htm	
Qinghai	https://wsjkw.qinghai.gov.cn/	
Shaanxi	http://sxwjw.shaanxi.gov.cn/	
Shandong	http://wsjkw.shandong.gov.cn	Our team members found it persistently inaccessible from Statesboro, GA, USA.
Shanghai	http://www.shanghai.gov.cn/	
Shanxi	http://wjw.shanxi.gov.cn/	
Sichuan	http://wsjkw.sc.gov.cn/	
Tianjin	http://www.tj.gov.cn/	
Tibet	http://wjw.xizang.gov.cn/	
Xinjiang	http://www.xjhfpc.gov.cn/	
Yunnan	http://ynswsjkw.yn.gov.cn/	
Zhejiang	https://www.zjwjw.gov.cn	

Notes: If our team was unable to directly retrieve the press release from a provincial health commissions, we will use mainland Chinese media reports that directly reported on the provincial health commissions' announcements. Please note that mainland Chinese media are controlled by the Chinese Communist Party and they could not deviate from the government's announcements.