Transmission and epidemiological characteristics of Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Pneumonia (NCP): preliminary evidence obtained in comparison with 2003-SARS

Rongqiang Zhang[1] Hui Liu[1], Fengying Li[2], Bei Zhang[1], Qiling Liu[1], Xiangwen Li[1*], Limei Luo[3#]

1: School of Public Health, Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Xianyang 712046, P. R. China.

2: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of Xianyang, 712046, P. R. China.

3: Shangdong Provincial Maternity and Childcare Hospital, Jinan, China

*** Corresponding author:**

Xiangwen Li

School of Public Health, Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Xianyang 712046, P. R. China. Tel: 86-029-38185219; Fax: +86-029-38185219

Co- corresponding author

E-mail address: Zhangrqxianyang@163.com

Running title: Epidemiological characteristics of 2019-nCoV

Fund Project: Research Project from Health Commission of Shaanxi Provincial Government (2018A017), Research Project from Education Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government (19JS015), Subject Innovation Team of Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine (132041933).

Word count: 3000 words

[Abstract] Objectives Latest epidemic information of Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Pneumonia (NCP) was collected and a detailed statistical analysis was carried out to make comparison with 2003-SARS in order to provide scientific reference for the prevention and control of 2019-nCoV. **Methods** The information of

NCP and 2003-SARS from websites of NHCPRC and the World Health Organization was collected, and then the transmission dynamics of the two kinds of infectious diseases were analyzed. The information of 853 confirmed NCP patients obtained from the website of health committees of 18 provinces. A descriptive epidemiological analysis method was employed to carefully analyze the epidemic characteristics. Subsequently, the NCP epidemic data in Wuhan and other inland regions of China was analyzed separately and compared. A multivariate function model was constructed based on the confirmed NCP case data. **Results** The growth rate of new cases and deaths of NCP were significantly faster than those of 2003-SARS. The number of confirmed cases in Wuhan and other inland areas both showed increasing trends. 853 confirmed NCP cases aged 1 months to 94 years and the average age was (45.05 ± 17.22) years. The gender ratio (M: F) was 1.12: 1. **Conclusions** The fatality rate of NCP is lower than that of 2003-SARS and the cure rate is higher. The age of NCP patients is mainly concentrated in the 30-50 years old (60.61%). The harm of the first-generation NCP patients is higher than that of secondary cases.

[Key words] Transmission, epidemiological characteristics, 2019-nCoV, SARS

On December 8th, 2019, the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (NHCPRC) of the People's Republic of China received a case report of an unexplained pneumonia patient. Subsequently, NHCPRC appointed an expert group to arrive in Wuhan to guide the epidemic management. On January 7, the pathogen of this unexplained infected pneumonia was identified as a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) [1]. On February 7th, 2020, a total of 31774 cases of 2019-nCoV patients were diagnosed and reported in 31 provinces (autonomous regions, municipalities) and Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (XPCC)[2]. At the same time, China's Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Taiwan, Macau and Japan, the United States, Vietnam, Singapore, Nepal, France, Canada, South Korea, Thailand, Malaysia, etc, all have 2019-nCoV reports. The rapid spread of 2019-nCoV has brought a huge impact on the health of Chinese residents, economic development, and even social stability.

Within the last week, Chinese governments had taken a series of comprehensive measures to prevent and control the Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Pneumonia (NCP), such as closing the traffic of Wuhan to minimize the spread of confirmed cases, doctors and nurses across the country and around the world to assist Wuhan. Scientists have also actively researched and explored the etiology, genomics, transmission dynamic of 2019-nCoV and made due contributions to the prevention and control of the spread of 2019-nCoV infection. However, from the perspective of the epidemic of NCP, its number of confirmed cases is still increasing rapidly, and the number of deaths has also increased significantly. Actively exploring and studying the transmission characteristics and epidemiological characteristics of NCP will greatly help to curb its spread. It has been reported that the epidemiological and clinical characteristics of NCP show some similarities to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in 2003 (2003-SARS) [3-4]. Whether the effective control of 2003-SARS can provide direction for the prevention and control of NCP, there is still no reported evidence. In the present study, we collected relevant latest epidemic data of NCP and a detailed statistical analysis was carried out and the results were compared with 2003-SARS in order to explore and provide scientific reference for the prevention and control of NCP.

Materials and Methods

Diagnosis and Definition of NCP Patients

A confirmed NCP patients was defined as a case with respiratory specimens that tested positive for the 2019-nCoV by at least one of the following three methods: isolation of 2019-nCoV or at least two positive results by real-time reverse-transcription-polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) assay for 2019-nCoV or a genetic sequence that matches 2019-nCoV[5].

Epidemic Trends of NCP

The data of confirmed NCP cases from January 10th, 2020 to February 7th, 2020 and 2003-SARS cases from March 26th to May 26th, 2003 in China's inland regions (data from websites of NHCPRC and the World Health Organization) was collected and then the epidemic trends of the both infectious diseases were analyzed to

understand the transmission dynamics of them.

Epidemiological Characteristics of NCP

The basic information of NCP patients reported in inland areas of China (Beijing, Shaanxi Province, Sichuan Province, etc.) was collected, including age, gender, and contact history (consist of having been to Hubei or living in Hubei, contacting confirmed cases, contacting people who were from Hubei and unclear). The data was collected from the website of the health and health committees of the above provinces. A descriptive epidemiological analysis method was employed to carefully analyze the epidemiological characteristics of NCP. However, only several provincial administrations released the baseline information of NCP confirmed patients, so the number of confirmed cases with detailed baseline information was far less than the total. Finally, 853 NCP confirmed patients from 18 provinces, whose baseline information was available, were included into our analysis.

Comparison of NCP in Wuhan and Regions except Wuhan

2019-nCoV originated in Wuhan, China. In the early stage of NCP outbreak, there may be cases of underreporting or concealment in Wuhan, which may make it difficult to objectively reflect the true epidemic characteristics of NCP. Therefore, the NCP epidemic data in Wuhan and other inland regions of China was analyzed separately and compared to obtain more objective NCP epidemic knowledge.

Results

Overall epidemic trends of NCP

As of 24:00 on February 7th, NHCPRC has received a total of 31774 confirmed NCP cases in in 31 provinces (autonomous regions, municipalities) and Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (XPCC), 6401 severe cases, 722 deaths, and 2050 discharged patients. There were 27657 suspected cases. The spatial trend of the NCP outbreak was showed in Figure 1. The temporal trend of the cumulative number of NCP and 2003-SARS patients was showed in Figure 2.

Epidemic trends and characteristics of NCP and 2003-SARS

As shown in Figure 3, the growth rate of new confirmed cases and deaths of NCP were significantly faster than those of 2003-SARS in the first month after the

outbreaks of both infection diseases, while the number of cured patients was significantly less than that of 2003-SARS, suggesting that NCP showed a faster transmission and a longer treatment.

Trends in Confirmed Cases and Fatality Rate of NCP of Wuhan and Regions except Wuhan of China's Inland

Both the numbers of confirmed cases in Wuhan and other inland areas showed increasing trends. From January 24th, 2020, the number of confirmed cases in areas other than Wuhan in inland China began to exceed Wuhan (Figure 4A); the fatality rate in Wuhan (1.52% -6.64%) was significantly higher than that of inland areas of China except for Wuhan (0% -2.64%) (Figure 4B).

Epidemiological Characteristics of NCP patients

As of 17:00 on February 7th, 2020, the basic information of 853 confirmed NCP cases was collected on the official websites of 18 provincial and municipal health committees. 853 confirmed NCP cases were aged 1 months to 94 years and the average age was (45.05 ± 17.22) years; 853 confirmed NCP cases included 450 males (52.75%) and 403 females (47.25%), the gender ratio (M: F) was 1.12: 1 (Figure 5, Table 1).

In the 853 confirmed NCP patients, 199 cases reported their contact history, in which 172 patients (86.43%) had a history of having been to Hubei or living in Hubei, 19 patients (9.55%) had a history of contacting confirmed cases, 8 patients (4.02%) had a history of contacting people who were from Hubei (Figure 6).

Discussion

In 2002, a case of SARS appeared in Guangdong Province, China, and then spread to Southeast Asia and all of the world [6]. The SARS outbreak comprehensively tested that the public health system of China was very vulnerable in front of the prevention and control of infectious diseases. Subsequently, the Chinese government implemented a series of reforms in the public health field, such as reorganization of the CDC, training public health professionals, and establishing the disease information system covering the whole country, the establishment of a complete reporting system for infectious diseases and an excellent mechanism for handling

public health emergencies, etc. After a development for 17 years, a complete public health system has been established in China and great progress has been made in handling public health emergencies such as infectious disease epidemics.

After the outbreak of NCP in 2020, the Chinese government adopted comprehensive measures to handle its spread. The people from China and the world also provided generous assistance to Wuhan, including actively treating the confirmed patients, donated medical supplies such as masks, etc., which have been played important roles in effectively curbing the spread of NCP. The main process of NCP in China was shown in Figure 7. Meanwhile, governments across China were also actively adopting strict measures. The appropriate performance of the Chinese government and local governments at all levels to deal with NCP was due to the experience accumulated in the SARS disposal process and the great development of public health system in the past 17 years.

The NCP epidemic has brought a significant impact on the health and normal lives of the residents and the social and economic development of China. In addition, it was catching up with the Chinese Lunar New Year, and the above adverse effects are even more prominent. However, until now, the etiology, epidemiology, and pathogenesis of NCP are unclear, which has made it difficult to effectively contain the epidemic. Under this background, the latest data of NCP epidemic was collected to conduct a detailed analysis, and compared with the relevant characteristics of 2013-SARS. The aim of our present study is to make positive contributions to help stop the NCP epidemic and to restore China's normal social order as soon as possible.

Although many details such as the source of the virus and the ability to spread among people are still unknown, an increasing number of cases that have confirmed the human-to-human transmission of the virus. Studies have shown that the interpersonal transmission ability of NCP corresponds to SARS, but its doubling time (6 to 7 days) is shorter than that of SARS (about 9 days) [1, 7], and the passage interval of NCP is also relatively short. The second generation of NCP cases unrelated to Wuhan has gradually appeared, which has also contributed to a sharp increase in short-term confirmed cases, resulting in a serious situation of NCP prevention and

control, and thus it is now very difficult to predict the epidemic trend.

Age and comorbidities (such as diabetes or heart disease) are independent predictors of poor SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV outcomes. The spread of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV occurred to a large extent through super-transmission events [6-7]. Currently, there are data suggesting that there may be super-transmission events in NCP transmission, and the entire range of interpersonal transmission capabilities of NCP remains unknown [8-9]. In addition to the fragility of medical institutions in the face of the new coronavirus epidemic, the risk of infection complications among hospital populations is also greatly increased [10-11]. What we need to do now is to continue to strengthen prevention and control measures, actively strengthen patient admission, diagnosis, and treatment.

Our results showed the following epidemiological characteristics related to NCP:

1) The overall epidemic of NCP is similar to that of 2003-SARS, but the total number of confirmed cases is higher than that of 2003-SARS. The fatality rate is significantly lower than that of 2003-SARS in the same period, and the cure rate is significantly higher than that of 2003-SARS, which suggests that the prognosis for NCP is good.

2) The age of NCP patients is mainly concentrated in the 30-50 years old (60.61%), and the male are more susceptible than the female (M: F 1.12: 1). This may be related to the fact that the adults at this age group are more active in social activities than other age groups.

3) The results showed that that the fatality rate of NCP in Wuhan (1.52% -6.64%) was significantly higher than that in other regions (0%-2.64%). Most of the confirmed cases in Wuhan were the First-generation infections, and the other regions may exist more secondary cases. The information above provided evidence that the harm of the first-generation NCP cases is significantly higher than that of secondary cases (including second- and third-generation cases).

Acknowledgments

The present study was funded by Research Project from Health Commission of Shaanxi Provincial Government (2018A017), Research Project from Education

Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government (19JS015), Subject Innovation Team of Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine (132041933).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

R. Z. and X. L. planned the study.

R. Z., H. L., F. L. B. Z., Q. L. and L. L. performed the data analysis, wrote and modified the manuscript.

R. Z. submitted the study.

Figure legends:

Figure 1. The spatial trend of the NCP outbreak.

Figure 2. Comparison of the epidemics between NCP and 2003-SARS.

Figure 3. Comparison of trends in confirmed cases and fatality rate of NCP between Wuhan and regions except Wuhan (not include the data of Hongkong, Macao, and Taiwan; Days for NCP were January 10th from February 7th, 2020; Days for 2003-SARS were March 26th from April 13th, 2003).

Figure 4. Trends in confirmed cases and fatality rate of NCP of Wuhan and regions except Wuhan of China's inland

Figure 5. Population pyramid plot of 853 confirmed NCP patients.

Figure 6. Contact history of 199 confirmed NCP patients.

Figure 7. The main process of the early stages of NCP outbreak in China.

Table legends:

Table 1. Characteristics of age distribution of 853 NCP patients n(%)

Reference

1. WHO. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) SITUATION REPORT - 5 25 JANUARY 2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200125-sitrep-5-2019-ncov.pdf?sfvrsn=429b143d_4. 2020.

2. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, Update on pneumonia outbreak of new coronavirus infection. 28th January, 2020. Available at: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/yqtb/202001/1c259a68d81d40abb939a0781c1fe237.shtml.

3. Qun Li, Xuhua Guan, Peng Wu, etc. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med, 2020 Jan 29[Online ahead of print].

4. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019 [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jan 24]. N Engl J Med. 2020;10.1056/NEJMoa2001017. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001017.

5. Lin C, Ye R, Xia YL. A meta-analysis to evaluate the effectiveness of real-time PCR for diagnosing novel coronavirus infections. Genet Mol Res. 2015;14(4):15634–15641. Published 2015 Dec 2. doi:10.4238/2015.December.1.15.

6. Venkataraman T, Frieman MB. The role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling in SARS coronavirus-induced pulmonary fibrosis. Antiviral Res. 2017;143:142–150. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.03.022.

7. World Health Organization. SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome). 2019. accessed 25th January, 2020 https://www.who.int/ith/diseases/sars/en/.

8. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jan 24]. Lancet. 2020;S0140-6736(20)30183-5. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.

9. Hui DS, I Azhar E, Madani TA, et al. The continuing 2019-nCoV epidemic threat of novel coronaviruses to global health - The latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jan 14]. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;91:264–266. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.01.009.

10. Lu H, Stratton CW, Tang YW. Outbreak of Pneumonia of Unknown Etiology in Wuhan China: the Mystery and the Miracle [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jan 16]. J Med Virol. 2020;10.1002/jmv.25678. doi:10.1002/jmv.25678.

11. Venkataraman T, Frieman MB. The role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling in SARS coronavirus-induced pulmonary fibrosis. Antiviral Res.

2017;143:142–150. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.03.022.


A
Jan. 23rd, 2020
Xinjiang
Heilongjiang
Jiling
Liaoning
Neimenggu
Beijing
Tianjin
Hebei
Shanxi
Shandong
Qinghai
Gansu
Shaanxi
Henan
Jiangsu
Anhui
Shanghai
Xizang
Sichuan
Hubei
Chognqing
Zhejiang
Hunan
Jiangxi
Guizhou
Fujian
Yunnan
Taiwan
Guangxi
Guangdong
Hainan
0~
5~
10~
20~
30~


B
Jan. 29th, 2020
Xinjiang
Heilongjiang
Jiling
Liaoning
Neimenggu
Beijing
Tianjin
Hebei
Shanxi
Shandong
Qinghai
Gansu
Shaanxi
Henan
Jiangsu
Anhui
Shanghai
Xizang
Sichuan
Hubei
Chognqing
Zhejiang
Hunan
Jiangxi
Guizhou
Fujian
Yunnan
Taiwan
Guangxi
Guangdong
Hainan
0~
5~
20~
100~
300~


C
Feb. 7th, 2020
Xinjiang
Heilongjiang
Jiling
Liaoning
Neimenggu
Beijing
Tianjin
Hebei
Shanxi
Shandong
Qinghai
Gansu
Shaanxi
Henan
Jiangsu
Anhui
Shanghai
Xizang
Sichuan
Hubei
Chognqing
Zhejiang
Hunan
Jiangxi
Guizhou
Fujian
Yunnan
Taiwan
Guangxi
Guangdong
Hainan
0~
50~
200~
1000~
10000~


A
2003-SARS (n)
9000
8000
7000
6000
5000
4000
3000
2000
1000
0
2003.3.26
2003.3.28
2003.3.31
2003.4.2
2003.4.4
2003.4.7
2003.4.9
2003.4.11
2003.4.14
2003.4.16
2003.4.18
2003.4.21
2003.4.23
2003.4.25
2003.4.28
2003.4.30
2003.5.2
2003.5.5
2003.5.7
2003.5.9
2003.5.12
2003.5.14
2003.5.16
2003.5.19
2003.5.21
2003.5.23
2003.5.26
New cases
Death
Cure
Total


B
NCP(n)
40000
35000
30000
25000
20000
15000
10000
5000
0
2020.1.10
2020.1.11
2020.1.12
2020.1.13
2020.1.14
2020.1.15
2020.1.16
2020.1.17
2020.1.18
2020.1.19
2020.1.20
2020.1.21
2020.1.22
2020.1.23
2020.1.24
2020.1.25
2020.1.26
2020.1.27
2020.1.28
2020.1.29
2020.1.30
2020.1.31
2020.2.1
2020.2.2
2020.2.3
2020.2.4
2020.2.5
2020.2.6
2020.2.7
New cases
Deaths
Cures
Total


A
New confirmed cases (n)
2003-SARS
NCP
B
New confirmed cases (%)
2003-SARS
NCP
C
Death (n)
2003-SARS
NCP
D
Fatality rate (%)
2003-SARS
NCP
E
Cure(n)
2003-SARS
NCP
F
Cure (%)
2003-SARS
NCP


A
Confirmed NCP cases (n)
25000
20000
15000
10000
5000
0
2020/1/10
2020/1/11
2020/1/12
2020/1/13
2020/1/14
2020/1/15
2020/1/16
2020/1/17
2020/1/18
2020/1/19
2020/1/20
2020/1/21
2020/1/22
2020/1/23
2020/1/24
2020/1/25
2020/1/26
2020/1/27
2020/1/28
2020/1/29
2020/1/30
2020/1/31
2020/2/1
2020/2/2
2020/2/3
2020/2/4
2020/2/5
2020/2/6
2020/2/7
2020/2/8
2020/2/9
Wuhan
Regions except Wuhan
B
Fatality rate (%)
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
1/10/20
1/11/20
1/12/20
1/13/20
1/14/20
1/15/20
1/16/20
1/17/20
1/18/20
1/19/20
1/20/20
1/21/20
1/22/20
1/23/20
1/24/20
1/25/20
1/26/20
1/27/20
1/28/20
1/29/20
1/30/20
1/31/20
2/1/20
2/2/20
2/3/20
2/4/20
2/5/20
2/6/20
2/7/20
2/8/20
2/9/20
Wuhan
Regions except Wuhan


Male
Female
Age (y)
Age (y)
100
80
60
40
20
0
40
30
20
10
0
10
20
30
40
Confirmed NCIP cases (n)


Unclear
31%
Having been to Hubei or living in Hubei
60%
Contacting confirmed cases
6%
Contact people who were from Hubei
3%


2019.12.8
First unknown viral pneumonia patient occurred in Wuhan
2020.1.1
Closure of the Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market market
2020.1.10 24:00
Wuhan reported preliminary diagnosis of 41 novel coronavirus cases.
2020.1.13
First case was reported in Thailand.
2020.1.16
First case reported in Japan.
2020.1.21
Confirmed cases occurred in 13 provinces in China's inland.
2020.1.23 10:00
Wuhan closed city traffic.
2020.1.28
A total of 5,974 cases of 2019-nCoV patients were diagnosed and reported in China's inland.
2019.12.31
Experts from National Health Commission arrived in Wuhan.
2020.1.7
Novel coronavirus was isolated.
2020.1.12
WHO named as "2019 new coronavirus" (2019-nCoV).
2020.1.15
The second died case reported.
2020.1.20
Confirmed cases occurred in 4 provinces.
2020.1.22
Confirmed cases occurred in 25 provinces.
2020.1.24
Confirmed cases occurred in 30 provinces in China's inland.

Table 1. Characteristics of age distribution of 853 NCP patients n(%)

Age group (Y)	Male	Female	Total
0-	7(26.92)	19(73.08)	26
10-	18(62.07)	12(37.93)	29
20-	48(54.55)	40(45.45)	88
30-	109(55.90)	86(44.10)	195
40-	101(59.76)	69(40.24)	169
50-	75(49.02)	78(50.98)	153
60-	56(42.42)	76(57.58)	132
70-	26(57.78)	19(42.22)	45
80-	9(64.29)	5(35.71)	14
90-	1(50.00)	1(50.00)	2