Title:

Epidemiological identification of a novel infectious disease in real time: Analysis of

the atypical pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China, 2019-20

Sung-mok Jung[a,1], Ryo Kinoshita[a,1], Robin N. Thompson[b,c,1], Katsuma Hayashi[a],

Natalie M. Linton[a], Yichi Yang[a], Andrei R. Akhmetzhanov[a], Hiroshi Nishiura[a,*]

[a]Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Kita 15 Jo Nishi 7 Chome, Kitaku,

Sapporo, 0608638, Japan

[b]Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe

Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

[c]Christ Church, University of Oxford, St Aldates, Oxford OX1 1DP, UK

[1] Sung-mok Jung, Ryo Kinoshita, and Robin N. Thompson contributed equally.

* Corresponding author at: Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Kita 15

Jo Nishi 7 Chome, Kita-ku, Sapporo-shi, Hokkaido 060-8638, Japan.

E-mail address: nishiurah@med.hokudai.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Objective: Virological tests indicate that a novel coronavirus is the most likely

explanation for the 2019-20 pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China. We demonstrate that

non-virological descriptive characteristics could have determined that the outbreak is

caused by a novel pathogen in advance of virological testing.

Methods: Characteristics of the ongoing outbreak were collected in real time from two

medical social media sites. These were compared against characteristics of ten existing

pathogens that can induce atypical pneumonia. The probability that the current outbreak

is due to "Disease X" (i.e., previously unknown etiology) as opposed to one of the

known pathogens was inferred, and this estimate was updated as the outbreak

continued.

Results: The probability that Disease X is driving the outbreak was assessed as over

32% on 31 December 2019, one week before virus identification. After some specific

pathogens were ruled out by laboratory tests on 5 Jan 2020, the inferred probability of

Disease X was over 59%.

Conclusions: We showed quantitatively that the emerging outbreak of atypical

pneumonia cases is consistent with causation by a novel pathogen. The proposed

approach, that uses only routinely-observed non-virological data, can aid ongoing risk

assessments even before virological test results become available.

Keywords: Epidemic; Causation; Bayes' theorem; Diagnosis; Prediction; Statistical

model

INTRODUCTION

A cluster of cases of atypical pneumonia with unknown etiology in Wuhan, China attracted global attention at the end of 2019 (Wuhan Municipal Health Commission, China, 2019; World Health Organization, 2020). An impressive series of rapid virological examinations ruled out common pneumonia-causing viruses such as influenza viruses, adenoviruses, and the coronaviruses associated with Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) (Wuhan Municipal Health Commission, China, 2019; Normile, 2020a, 2020b; World Health Organization, 2020). As of 12 January 2020, the causative agent is suspected to be a coronavirus of non-human origin (European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020; Normile, 2020b).

While examination of the viral genome is critical for identifying the pathogen, information made publicly available in real time describing clinical characteristics and other outbreak-related factors can also allow experts to consider the etiology and thereby differential diagnoses. For instance, most cases shared a history of visiting or working at a seafood market in Wuhan (Wuhan Municipal Health Commission, China, 2020), where exposure to the novel coronavirus is suspected to have occurred with no evidence of direct human-to-human transmission (World Health Organization, 2020), leading us to believe that the cluster of cases was due to "Disease X" (i.e., an infectious disease of previously unknown viral etiology). However, rigorous quantitative assessment of the chance that the disease is in fact Disease X has not previously been undertaken. The present study addresses this, demonstrating that non-virological information can lead to an objective classification of Disease X, using a simple statistical model that exploits the well-known Bayes' theorem.

METHODS

As the outbreak unfolded, we calculated in real-time the probability that the pathogen responsible for the atypical pneumonia was novel (Disease X), or whether instead the outbreak was generated by a previously known pathogen that can cause pneumonia. Our analysis began on 30 December 2019, when the Wuhan Municipal Health Commission announced that there had been a surprisingly large number of atypical pneumonia cases. At that time, we assumed the causative agent could have been one of seven known viral or three known bacterial diseases, along with the chance that it was instead Disease X. We tracked two of the most active medical social media sites, i.e., ProMED (ProMED, 2020) and Flutracker (Flutracker, 2020), that reported the non-virological characteristics of the outbreak, including atypical pneumonia, other clinical characteristics, and exposure factors, as it progressed. These characteristics do not necessarily represent the features that were causing disease, but are instead basic observations from the ongoing outbreak. Given these characteristics, we then calculated the probability that the ongoing outbreak is due to a known disease or unknown Disease X. On the first day of calculation (i.e. 30 December 2019), the only explanatory factors we included was atypical pneumonia, which was common to all enumerated diseases. Our analysis represents simple logical deductions from the limited data that were available during the outbreak in a quantitative manner and was updated to reflect new information about the outbreak as it became available in real time.

Table 1 shows the information compiled about the current outbreak, and the dates on which each of these characteristics were discovered. Each characteristic listed was assigned a value of zero or one, denoting whether or not the characteristic of listed outbreak, not individual cases, was likely for the emerging outbreak, and the equivalent

values for outbreaks of previously observed pathogens were also noted. We make two

assumptions to use and un-use a part of the input exposure characteristics: (i) previously

known disease outbreaks are all based on empirically observed notion (and do not

include the new exposure data (i.e., exposure at a wet market), that is specific to novel

coronavirus in Wuhan, which may be non-informative to other outbreaks for the

calculation) and (ii) all exposure characteristics are known for all previously known

outbreaks, incorporating all factors enumerated. Also, once pathogens were ruled out as

the causative agent of the current outbreak, they were removed from our analysis: for

example, highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) (H5N1) was confirmed not to be

the causative agent by laboratory testing on 3 January. Hence, we omitted this pathogen

from our analysis from 3 January 2020 onwards.

To assess the probability that the emerging outbreak was caused by a variant of

a known pathogen, we first calculated the distance between the set of characteristics of

the ongoing outbreak and those of previously known pathogens. The distance between

the characteristics of the ongoing outbreak and cases due to pathogen j is denoted by d_j.

We assumed that the probability that the outbreak is due to a variant of pathogen j

decreases exponentially with distance d_j. Then, by Bayes' theorem,

$$Pr(\text{disease } j \mid \text{observed characteristics}) = \frac{Pr(\text{observed characteristics} \mid \text{disease } j\,)q_j}{\sum_i Pr(\text{observed characteristics} \mid \text{disease } i)q_i}, \qquad (1)$$

in which the sum in the denominator is over all possible diseases i (i.e. each of the

columns of Table 1, including the column describing the current outbreak). The

constants q_i are *a priori* probabilities that the outbreak is due to pathogen i (Nishiura et

al., 2012; Ejima et al., 2014). We set uninformative priors for all pathogens considered,

so that q_i was simply the reciprocal of the number of pathogens being considered

(including Disease X) on each date in our analysis. We initially estimated the distance

between observed characteristics of the outbreak and each known candidate pathogen

using the Hamming distance (i.e., the sum of squares differences between the entries in

the columns of Table 1 corresponding to the Disease X and the candidate pathogen).

Then, we assumed that the probability that the outbreak is driven by disease j is

governed by a negative exponential function

116               $\Pr(\text{observed characteristics} \mid \text{disease } j) \propto \exp(-d_j),$ (2)

where d_j is the calculated Hamming distance.

We also repeated our analysis using an alternative measure of the distance

between observed characteristics of the outbreak and each known candidate pathogen,

namely the Euclidean distance (i.e. the square root of the Hamming distance). In each

case, we assumed that the importance of each characteristic had an identical weight in

our analysis, so that a simple quantitative assessment could be obtained in a

probabilistic manner without the need for subjective judgement.

Combining equations (1) and (2), and assuming that q_i is identical over i, we

have:

126               $Pr(\text{disease } j \mid \text{observed characteristics}) = \dfrac{\exp(-d_j)}{\sum_i \exp(-d_i)}$

127   The probability that the outbreak is driven by Disease X corresponds to the

128   distance $d_X = 0$, and represents a risk score taking values between the reciprocal of the

129   number of candidate pathogens including Disease X itself and one:

130            $Pr(\text{Disease X} \mid \text{observed characteristics}) = \dfrac{1}{1 + \sum_{i \neq X} \exp(-d_i)}.$ (3)

Supposing that there are n known pathogens responsible for the atypical

pneumonia, the probability of observing Disease X without any information is identical

with the probability of observing other listed pathogen (i.e., $1/(1+n)$) and as pathogens

are ruled out by laboratory testing, the identical probability increases (i.e., 1/11 until 2

Jan 2020, 1/7 from 3 Jan 2020 and 1/5 from 5 Jan 2020 in current outbreak). In

addition, if the probability of observing Disease X according to equation (3) takes a

value close to the probability of observing other candidate pathogens, the overall

probability that the outbreak is due to a novel pathogen should be interpreted as being

low. A result of significant practical importance, however, is when the probability of

observing Disease X is close to one or much larger than the probability corresponding

to each previously observed candidate pathogen. In that case, all candidate pathogens

are not similar to the causative agent of the ongoing outbreak, and so the outbreak is

likely to be due to a novel pathogen.

We converted the probability of disease X into the equivalent percentage value

(so that, for example, a result of 0.8 in equation (1) is assumed to mean an 80%

probability) and refer to the percentage value as the "probability of Disease X"

hereafter.

RESULTS

We show temporal changes in estimates of the probability that the ongoing outbreak is

driven by each candidate pathogen in Figure 1. Because the only information on 30

December 2019 was that cases displayed symptoms of pneumonia, the distance between

ongoing outbreak and known ten diseases was all zero, and thus, all eleven candidate

pathogens initially showed an identical probability of 9.1% (i.e., 1/11). Additional

characteristics became known the following day (i.e., 31 December 2019), and

consequently, the inferred probability that the outbreak was driven by a novel pathogen

increased substantially to 58.6% and 36.9% for Hamming and Euclidean distance

metrics, respectively. When the exposure characteristic (i.e. exposure at a wet market),

that is specific to ongoing outbreak were excluded from the analyses, the probability of

observing Disease X given observed characteristics is as high as 48.7% and 32.6% for

Hamming and Euclidean distance.

Later in the outbreak, adenoviruses, HPAI (H5N1 and H7N9) and other

influenza viruses were ruled out on 3 January 2020, leading the probability of Disease X

being assessed as 90.7% and 57.2% for Hamming and Euclidean distance metrics, when

all factors were considered as characteristic. Excluding the wet market exposure, the

probability of Disease X was 78.2% and 50.6% for Hamming and Euclidean distance

metrics, respectively. SARS- and MERS-associated coronaviruses were ruled out as the

causative agent on 5 January 2020, leading to a very high estimate for the probability

that the outbreak is caused by a novel pathogen once all information had been collected.

As of 12 January 2020, the probability of Disease X is estimated to be 92.5% and 65.5%

using the model considering all the factors, while the model excluding the characteristic

of exposure at the wet market indicated that the probability of Disease X is assessed as

81.8% and 59.1% for Hamming and Euclidean distance models, respectively

DISCUSSION

In this analysis, we have shown quantitatively that the ongoing outbreak of

pneumonia cases in Wuhan has almost certainly been caused by a novel pathogen. This

was demonstrated using a series of clinical, occupational, and behavioral observations

extracted from fragmented reports describing the cases as these reports became

available in real time (European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020;

Wuhan Municipal Health Commission, China, 2020). Although virological

investigation is the gold standard for pathogen identification and a novel coronavirus

has now been identified from some of the cases, such laboratory-based outcomes can

only be obtained after successfully sequencing the novel virus, which can be a lengthy

process. It still remains for the microbiological causal link to be established, for instance

by ensuring that Koch's postulates are met (e.g., as seen in a study of Zika virus (Krauer

et al., 2017)). In the ongoing outbreak, the provisional identification of a novel

coronavirus was performed on 7 January 2020 and announced formally on 9 January

2020 (World Health Organization, 2020). We have shown that non-virological

information can indicate that the cause of the outbreak is likely to be a novel pathogen,

and that this conclusion could have been obtained before virological test results were

announced. Disease X was inferred to be very likely on all dates from 31 December

2019 onwards—the date on which descriptions of outbreak characteristics began to

emerge.

When sufficient clinical details of cases (e.g., complete blood cell counts) are

available, the number of causative pathogens considered can be limited to a reasonable

number. In this instance, atypical pneumonia combined with reduced white blood cell

counts and the lack of response to antibiotics indicated that the pathogen was consistent

with viral rather than bacterial infection. With such information, collecting non-

virological data can lead to a convenient quantification of the probability of Disease X,

while awaiting the results of virological tests. We believe that the proposed approach

can greatly improve the ongoing risk assessment practices across the world.

It is critically important to discuss two issues that the definition of variables in

Table 1 has involved. First, a critical underlying assumption is that Table 1 reasonably

represents outbreak characteristics of ongoing and previously known outbreaks. The

representation does not reflect observation from all confirmed cases nor epidemiological

findings from a case control study (e.g. statistically significant risk factor). Rather, zeros

and ones in the table were defined in a phenomenological manner. Depending on

readers, the defined nominal values can be different from what it was shown in Table 1

and ours is only for the exposition using a typical Table 1 that authors came up. Second,

as we have shown, there are multiple combinations of characteristic data to be used.

Namely, as an exposure to a wet market for known disease outbreaks other than HPAI

was not necessarily derived from empirical observation, the fairness of an assumption

that the majority of cases of those known disease outbreaks were asked not to have

visited a wet market would be a subject for debate.

In the past, descriptive outbreak information has been used to produce sensitive

outbreak case definitions, and causative agents have been pinpointed without using

statistical methods in combination with epidemiological observations. In the present

study, we have shown that such assessments can be made quantitatively using a simple

statistical model, allowing for comparison of the likelihood of causative agents among

all possible candidates. When outbreak characteristics are shared and updated in real-

time (Table 1), these data can contribute to narrow down the possible range of causative

agents. In the case of the outbreak in Wuhan, our calculation of the probability that each

pathogen is the causative agent indicates that virologically excluding the possibility of

influenza viruses, adenoviruses and known virulent coronaviruses associated with

SARS and MERS on 3 and 5 January 2020 can be regarded as an "unsurprising"

finding.

As important limitations, the precision and credibility of input data, and the

method for calculating the distance between candidate diseases and the observed

outbreak, must be refined in the future. First, our proposed approach used very limited

data in Table 1 for logical quantification of the probability that each pathogen was the

causative agent. However, with more clinical data, the dataset of characteristics could

be replaced by continuous frequencies (e.g. the frequencies of cases experience

coughing and difficulty in breathing) rather than binary variables, and then the proposed

method could even be used for screening suspected cases. Second, with such data it

would also be possible to model the likelihood of a pathogen in equation (1) not by

arbitrarily measuring the distance but by using classification models using regression or

more sophisticated machine learning approaches. Third, the erroneous input of incorrect

information may be a challenge in real time analyses, although this did not appear to be

an issue during the course of our analysis of the outbreak in Wuhan. However, it must

be considered that the veracity of the source of information for such an analysis could

have an impact on the resulting probability calculations. Fourth, the estimated

probability that an outbreak is driven by a novel pathogen might be slightly over- or

underestimated due to limited information about the mode of transmission and small

numbers of observed cases. Of note, we believe that without 100% specificity of

bacterial pathogens linked to the ongoing outbreak, excluding bacterial pathogens as

candidate cannot be ensured, while the chance that the current outbreak is due to

bacterial may be less suspected over time with partial clinical evidence. Nevertheless,

the large number of characteristics that could be considered for the outbreak in Wuhan

suggests that estimation was not beset in this study. Finally, we had to restrict ourselves

to assume that the priori probability of all outbreak (q_i) is identical. However, since the

priori probability of observing the outbreak driven by a Disease X is completely

unknown, we believe that this assumption can be plausible in this practice.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the future improvements to our statistical modelling framework that

are required, this short study has demonstrated clearly that the ongoing outbreak of

pneumonia cases in Wuhan is consistent with causation by a novel pathogen, "Disease

X." Analyses of the type conducted in this study can greatly support virological and

genetic efforts to characterize the causal agent of this and future outbreaks, with the

benefit that such analyses can be carried out extremely quickly.

Author's contributions

Sung-mok Jung: Data collection, formal analysis, model formulation, writing. Ryo

Kinoshita: Data collection, formal analysis, visualization, writing. Robin N. Thompson:

Data collection, model formulation, investigation, writing. Katsuma Hayashi: Data

collection, visualization, writing. Natalie M. Linton: Data collection, model

formulation, writing. Andrei R. Akhmetzhanov: Data collection, model formulation,

writing. Yichi Yang: Data collection, writing. Hiroshi Nishiura: Conceptualization,

model formulation, supervision, fund raising, validation, writing.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Rebecca Spriggs for help devising the statistical approach. R.N.T.

would like to thank Christ Church (Oxford) for funding via a Junior Research

Fellowship. H.N. received funding from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and

Development (AMED) [grant number: JP18fk0108050]; the Japan Society for the

Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI [grant numbers, H.N.: 17H04701, 17H05808,

18H04895 and 19H01074; R.K.: 18J21587], the Inamori Foundation, and the Japan

Science and Technology Agency (JST) CREST program [grant number: JPMJCR1413].

REFERENCES

Ejima K, Aihara K, Nishiura H. Probabilistic differential diagnosis of Middle East

respiratory syndrome (MERS) using the time from immigration to illness onset

among imported cases. Journal of Theoretical Biology. 2014;346:47–53.

European Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pneumonia cases possibly

associated with a novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China.

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/pneumonia-cases-possibly-

associated-novel-coronavirus-wuhan-china, 2020 (accessed 14 Jan 2020).

Flutracker. https://flutrackers.com/forum/forum/china-other-health-threats/china-

emerging-diseases-other-health-threats/821830-china-41-diagnosed-viral-pneumonia-

coronavirus-cases-in-wuhan-hubei-province-december-30-2019-1-fatal-case-739-

screened-so-far-including-419-hcw, 2020, (accessed 14 Jan 2020).

ProMED. https://promedmail.org/, 2020, (accessed 14 Jan 2020).

Krauer F, Riesen M, Reveiz L, Oladapo OT, Martínez-Vega R, Porgo TV, et al. Zika

Virus Infection as a Cause of Congenital Brain Abnormalities and Guillain–Barré

Syndrome: Systematic Review. von Seidlein L, editor. PLoS Med.

2017;14(1):e1002203.

Nishiura H, Mizumoto K, Ejima K, Zhong Y, Cowling B, Omori R. Incubation period

as part of the case definition of severe respiratory illness caused by a novel

coronavirus. Euro Surveill. 2012;17(42).

Normile D. Novel human virus? Pneumonia cases linked to seafood market in China stir

concern. Science: New York. doi:10.1126/science.aba7672, available from:

https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/01/novel-human-virus-pneumonia-cases-

linked-seafood-market-china-stir-concern, 2020a (accessed 14 Jan 2020).

Normile D. Mystery virus found in Wuhan resembles bat viruses but not SARS,

Chinese scientist says. Science: New York. doi:10.1126/science.aba8542, available

from: https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/01/mystery-virus-found-wuhan-

resembles-bat-viruses-not-sars-chinese-scientist-says, 2020b (accessed 14 Jan 2020).

World Health Organization. WHO Statement Regarding Cluster of Pneumonia Cases in

Wuhan, China. World Health Organization: China.

https://www.who.int/china/news/detail/09-01-2020-who-statement-regarding-cluster-

of-pneumonia-cases-in-wuhan-china, 2020 (accessed 14 Jan 2020).

Wuhan Municipal Health Commission. Wuhan Municipal Health Commission's

briefing on the current pneumonia epidemic.

http://wjw.wuhan.gov.cn/front/web/showDetail/2019123108989, 2019 (accessed 14

Jan 2020).

Wuhan Municipal Health Commission, Wuhan Municipal Health and Health

Committee's Report on Unexplained Viral Pneumonia.

http://wjw.wuhan.gov.cn/front/web/showDetail/2020010309017, 2020 (accessed 14

Jan 2020)

Figure legend

Figure 1. Real-time estimation of the probability that the ongoing pneumonia

outbreak is driven by each candidate pathogen, given available information at

different timepoints. The probability that the outbreak is due to an unknown pathogen

(Disease X) increases as more information becomes available, since the unknown

pathogen can be seen to exhibit characteristics dissimilar to those observed in previous

outbreaks, and since known pathogens are ruled out by laboratory results. Arrows

indicate new information available on each date. Results are shown for different metrics

describing the distance between characteristics of the ongoing outbreak and each

candidate pathogen, and by knowledge (inclusion or exclusion) of exposure

characteristics of Disease X (i.e. Work/visited a wet market), specifically: A. Hamming

distance (the sum of squares difference between the entries in the columns of Table 1

corresponding to the ongoing outbreak and the candidate pathogen considered) with wet

market exposure; B. Euclidean distance (the square root of the Hamming distance) with

wet market exposure; C Hamming distance without wet market exposure; D Euclidean

distance without wet market exposure. Dashed grey line shows the probability without

considering any information except atypical pneumonia (i.e. equal

probability=1/(1+number of candidate pathogens)). Note that the probability of some

diseases is identical, for example, SARS and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* has equal

probability from 30 Dec to 4 Jan, and Legionellosis and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* has

equal probability from 30 Dec to 12 Jan (Details in Supplementary material 1).

 Tables

341 **Table 1. Characteristics of outbreaks driven by pneumonia-causing pathogens, with respect to the current outbreak in Wuhan,**

342 **China.**

Category	Characteristic	Current outbreak		Viral outbreaks							Bacterial outbreaks		
		Disease X	Date info shared	SARS*	MERS**	HPAI*** (H5N1)	HPAI*** (H7N9)	Other influenza viruses	Adenoviruses	Hantaviruses	*Chlamydia pneumoniae*	*Mycoplasma pneumoniae*	Legionellosis
Clinical	Atypical pneumonia	1	30-Dec	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Clinical	CT (pulmonary infiltrates)	1	31-Dec	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
Clinical	Low white blood cell counts	1	31-Dec	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Clinical	No response to antibiotics	1	31-Dec	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Clinical	Frequent human transmission	0	31-Dec	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
Clinical	Substantial lethal cases	0	31-Dec	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Travel/Occupation	Worked/visited a wet market	1	31-Dec	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Travel/Occupation	Worked/visited a hospital	0	31-Dec	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Travel/Occupation	Visited Middle East countries	0	31-Dec	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Travel/Occupation	Visited hot spring or contact with potable water	0	31-Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Zoonotic	Contact with camels	0	31-Dec	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zoonotic	Contact with parrots/wild birds	0	31-Dec	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Zoonotic	Contact with rodents	0	31-Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

343 *Severe acute respiratory syndrome; **Middle East respiratory syndrome; ***Highly pathogenic avian influenza. Zeros represent characteristics that are unlikely

344 for outbreaks for that pathogen, and ones represent characteristics that occur. Dates and characteristics for the ongoing outbreak were obtained from two online

345 information systems [5,6], and information for other pathogens was summarised from the pathogen-specific pages on the WHO and CDC websites.