

1 Title

2 Perceived facilitators and barriers among physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons to pre-  
3 operative home-based exercise with *one* exercise-only in patients with severe knee  
4 osteoarthritis: A qualitative interview study nested in the QUADX-1 trial

5

6 Rasmus Skov Husted<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Thomas Bandholm<sup>1,2</sup>, Michael Skovdal Rathleff<sup>3,4</sup>, Anders  
7 Troelsen<sup>5</sup> and Jeanette Kirk<sup>1,2</sup>

8

9 <sup>1</sup>Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark

10 <sup>2</sup>Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C), Department of  
11 Physical and Occupational Therapy, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre,  
12 Denmark

13 <sup>3</sup>Research Unit for General Practice in Aalborg, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg  
14 University, Aalborg, Denmark

15 <sup>4</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy and Physiotherapy, Aalborg University Hospital,  
16 Aalborg, Denmark

17 <sup>5</sup>Clinical Orthopedic Research Hvidovre (CORH), Department of Orthopedic Surgery,  
18 Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark

19 \*Corresponding author: [rasmus.skov.husted@regionh.dk](mailto:rasmus.skov.husted@regionh.dk)

20

21

22

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## 23 Abstract

### 24 Aim

25 Clinical guidelines recommend non-surgical treatment before surgery is  
26 considered in patients eligible for knee replacement (KR). Surgical treatment is provided by  
27 orthopedic surgeons and exercise therapy is provided by physical therapists. This study aimed  
28 to investigate key stakeholder perspectives on pre-operative, home-based exercise therapy  
29 with *one* exercise-only in patients eligible for KR.

### 30 Methods

31 This qualitative study is embedded within the QUADX-1 randomized trial that  
32 investigates a model of coordinated non-surgical and surgical treatment for patients eligible  
33 for KR. Physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons working with patients with knee  
34 osteoarthritis in their daily clinical work were interviewed (one focus group and four single  
35 interviews) to explore their perceived facilitators and barriers related to pre-operative home-  
36 based exercise therapy with *one* exercise-only in patients eligible for KR. Interviews were  
37 analyzed using content analysis.

### 38 Results

39 From the content analysis three main themes emerged: 1) *Physical therapists'*  
40 *and orthopedic surgeons' ambivalence in their professional roles*, 2) *Orthopedic surgeons*  
41 *view on exercise*, and 3) *Coordinated non-surgical and surgical care*.

### 42 Conclusion

43 We found that the pre-operative exercise intervention created ambivalence in the  
44 professional role of both the physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons. The physical  
45 therapists were skeptical towards over-simplified exercise therapy. The orthopedic surgeons  
46 were skeptical towards the potential lack of (long-term) effect of exercise therapy in patients

- 47 eligible for KR. The consequence of these barriers and ambivalence in the professional role is
- 48 important to consider when planning implementation of the model of coordinated non-
- 49 surgical and surgical treatment.
- 50 Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov, ID: NCT02931058.

## 51 Introduction

52

53           Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is a growing challenge for the health care system and  
54 more knee replacements (KR) are performed each year to treat the condition with an  
55 estimated increase of 69% from 2012 to 2050 in the United States (1,2). A key feature of knee  
56 OA is knee pain which is often associated with decreased quality of life, physical activity and  
57 muscle strength. Due to pain and physical impairments it may increase the risk of sick leave  
58 and early retirement (3,4). Traditionally, patients eligible for KR are provided highly  
59 specialized surgical treatment to help overcome their knee OA-related pain and concomitant  
60 symptoms (5,6). Patients, not eligible for KR, can be referred to non-surgical treatments such  
61 as exercise therapy and weight loss (7). Both international and national guidelines  
62 recommend non-surgical treatment (i.e. exercise therapy and weight loss) before surgery is  
63 considered (7,8). Despite this, it is estimated that up to 25% of patients could be  
64 inappropriately receiving KR prior to the recommended non-surgical treatment (9).

65           Exercise therapy is generally provided by physical therapists and most often  
66 consists of different exercises (an exercise program) which can be supplemented with other  
67 treatment modalities, such as e.g. manual therapy (10–12). This supervised and group-based  
68 organization of treatment enables physical therapists to interact and to engage themselves to a  
69 great extent in the treatment of their patients, creating a strong physical therapist-patient  
70 relationship (13). This high level of engagement is possible because physical therapists spend  
71 a relatively long time with the patients during an exercise session (13). This is in contrast to  
72 orthopedic surgeons who only have limited time with patients in their out-patient clinic to  
73 assess the need for surgical treatment (13,14).

74 Improving the coordination of treatment across health care sectors is vital in  
75 order to improve outcomes for patients with knee OA (15) – and it can be achieved. For  
76 example, rehabilitation exercise therapy after KR is coordinated across health care sectors in  
77 current clinical practice. This is not the case for the treatment of patients with knee OA who  
78 are potential candidates for KR. Optimally, orthopedic surgeons should refer patients  
79 potentially eligible for KR to initial non-surgical treatment (e.g. exercise therapy) in their  
80 municipality - according to guideline recommendations (7,8) and then re-evaluate the need for  
81 surgical treatment by shared decision making, based on changes in symptoms (16). In order to  
82 organize a coordinated care pathway like this, stakeholder involvement is fundamental (17).  
83 Involving stakeholders will help identify and manage facilitators and barriers related to the  
84 coordinated care pathway under study (18). In the care of patients with knee OA, the  
85 stakeholders in daily clinical work are the orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists. Thus,  
86 their views and thoughts on a new care initiative are highly important if this one initiative is  
87 to be effectively implemented and adopted in clinical practice (19).

88 Previous reports investigating facilitators and barriers among orthopedic  
89 surgeons suggest a number of challenges in the use of non-surgical treatment in patients with  
90 knee OA (15,20). For example, “No effect of physical therapy when there is an obvious loss  
91 of cartilage” and “Lack of visibility into physical therapies” were associated with decline in  
92 referrals to physical therapy and reported as a barriers (15). In the way that surgery is  
93 considered important for the profession of orthopedic surgery (5), exercise therapy is  
94 considered important for the profession of physical therapy (13,21). However, a study in  
95 patients with shoulder pain, suggests that physical therapists have barriers concerning  
96 simplified exercise interventions (21), that is, interventions with a minimal number of  
97 exercises and limited consultation time between the physical therapist and patient. The above  
98 implies that orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists may have barriers concerning

99 coordinated non-surgical care in the form of simplified pre-operative exercise therapy in  
100 patients eligible for KR. Context-specific screening for facilitators and barriers is important to  
101 help facilitate implementation in clinical practice and optimize coordination of treatment  
102 (22,23).

103

## 104 Aim

105

106 The aim of this study was to identify perceived facilitators and barriers – among  
107 orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists – towards coordinated non-surgical and surgical  
108 treatment of patients with severe knee osteoarthritis using pre-operative home-based exercise  
109 therapy with *one* exercise.

110

## 111 Methods

112

### 113 Context: The QUADX-1 trial

114

115 This qualitative study is an embedded part of a “parent” randomized trial (the  
116 QUADX-1 trial) investigating the dose-response relationship of pre-operative exercise  
117 therapy prior to potential KR in patients with severe knee OA (24). The trial employs a model  
118 of coordinated non-surgical and surgical treatment where orthopedic surgeons re-evaluate  
119 patients’ need for surgery following exercise in the municipality. In the Danish health care  
120 system, non-surgical treatment is performed in the municipalities and surgical treatment is

121 performed at the hospitals. To ensure coherent care pathways with high quality for patients,  
122 cross-sector coordination of treatment is essential. In the QUADX-1 trial, the patients exercise  
123 unsupervised at home for twelve weeks after an initial exercise instruction by a physical  
124 therapist. At four and eight weeks, the patients have follow-up consultations with the physical  
125 therapist. The project is designed as an intervention trial with concurrent gathering of  
126 information for clinical applicability and implementation (the present qualitative study), also  
127 referred to as a hybrid design I (25). The exercise intervention consists of *one* muscle  
128 strengthening exercise – seated knee-extensions using an elastic exercise band as resistance.  
129 This *one* specific exercise was chosen based on the concept of “less is more”, as it was  
130 considered pragmatic and simple. That is, it is easy to set up at home, easy to remember how  
131 to perform, requires little intellectual effort and is easy to master. Further, an exercise  
132 intervention comprising *one* exercise was chosen as compliance to home-based exercise is  
133 reported to be poor (26–29) and an intervention of one exercise could increase adherence.  
134 Additional details on the QUADX-1 trial and the *one* knee-extension exercise is available in  
135 the published open access trial protocol (24).

136

## 137 Design

138

139 This qualitative study involves the analysis of data collected during interviews  
140 with the two main stakeholders: orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists. Interview is a  
141 recognized qualitative method for obtaining in-depth knowledge about stakeholder’s feelings,  
142 experiences and attitudes (30,31). We performed interviews to understand the perceived  
143 barriers and facilitators among the orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists regarding this  
144 novel coordination of surgical and non-surgical treatment prior to the beginning of the parent

145 trial. The qualitative study is reported according to the Standards for Reporting Qualitative  
146 Research: A Synthesis of Recommendations (SRQR) checklist (32) (Appendix 1).

147

## 148 [Study setting](#)

149

150 The study was carried out in Denmark, where the health care system is publicly  
151 funded from taxes, enabling the Danish welfare state to provide free treatment for all citizens.  
152 The orthopedic department where this study was performed is an integrated part of the  
153 hospital and has more than 45,000 ambulatory visits and around 7,000 operations are  
154 performed every year. All municipalities have rehabilitation centers where patients are  
155 referred to outpatient rehabilitation subsequent to treatment at the hospital, for example KR.

156

## 157 [Recruitment and study participants](#)

158

159 We recruited six physical therapists from three municipalities and four  
160 orthopedic surgeons from one hospital involved in the QUADX-1 trial (24). All participants  
161 had to be involved in the QUADX-1 trial, as the intervention under study was not  
162 implemented in routine clinical practice. Thus, the six physical therapists and four orthopedic  
163 surgeons represents all possible participants. Participants were contacted by the primary  
164 investigator and interview moderator (RSH) by e-mail with an invitation to participate in the  
165 interviews. RSH sent the invitations because he would be conducting the interviews. All  
166 invited participants accepted. All eligible physical therapists had daily clinical work with  
167 patients diagnosed with knee OA and rehabilitation following KR. All eligible orthopedic  
168 surgeons had daily clinical work with patients potentially eligible for KR due to knee OA

169 symptoms. A random sample of patients participating in the QUADX-1 trial were also  
170 interviewed about their perceptions of facilitators and barriers towards coordinated non-  
171 surgical and surgical treatment using pre-operative home-based exercise therapy with *one*  
172 exercise. This work is as yet unpublished.

173

#### 174 Interviews: Focus group and single interviews

175

176 We aimed to use focus group interviews for all participants as the purpose of the  
177 interviews was to explore the perceived facilitators and barriers and associated feelings,  
178 experiences and attitudes of the health care professionals on the coordinated non-surgical and  
179 surgical treatment investigated in the QUADX-1 trial. Focus group methodology is  
180 considered an appropriate method for this purpose because participants can freely express and  
181 discuss their experiences as well as listen to the experiences of the other participants. It is  
182 therefore particularly suitable to collect data on social groups, interactions, interpretations and  
183 norms (30,31,33). We completed the focus group interview with the physical therapists as  
184 planned, but it proved practically impossible with the orthopedic surgeons due to very tight  
185 work schedules. As a compromise, we conducted single interviews instead because this  
186 method is suitable for producing in-depth data on a particular phenomenon or topic (34). Both  
187 the focus group interview and the single interviews were guided by semi-structured interview  
188 guides with open-ended questions (Appendix 2 and 3). A “funnel approach” was used at all  
189 interviews starting with broad open-ended questions followed by probing and sensitizing  
190 questions aiming to elicit deeper and more detailed information (30).

191

## 192 Procedures

193

194 The interviews took place before the first patient was enrolled in the QUADX-1  
195 trial to ensure no experience with the trial among the participants. Thus, the interviews only  
196 relate to their preconceptions and not later experiences during the trial. The interviews took  
197 place in meeting rooms at a hospital in the Greater Copenhagen area, Denmark. The two  
198 interview guides were developed in an iterative process by RSH, TB and JK informed by  
199 literature and clinical experience to steer the interviews towards the phenomena of interest.  
200 Before the interviews, the two interview guides were piloted by RSH and JK in two single  
201 interviews with health care professionals to revise poorly formulated questions after which  
202 they were re-piloted. The focus group interview lasted two hours (including two breaks) and  
203 the single interviews lasted between 30 and 40 minutes. At the focus group interview, the  
204 moderator (RSH) facilitated the dialogue while JK observed the interview and took notes of  
205 topics important to pursue. RSH and JK went through these notes in the two breaks in the  
206 focus group interview and adjusted the interview to ensure that these topics were included  
207 (e.g. topics not pursued by RSH due to preconception as a physical therapist). The single  
208 interviews were conducted by RSH and at the first single interview, JK observed and took  
209 notes of important topics, which were used to qualify the following interviews. Following  
210 every interview, RSH and JK listened to the audio file and adjusted the interview guide based  
211 on new important topics. The interviews were recorded with a digital voice-recorder (Philips  
212 Voice Tracer LFH0882) and afterwards transcribed verbatim by RSH.

213

## 214 Data analysis

215

216 Fully transcribed interviews were brought together into one text constituting the  
 217 unit of analysis. Before analyzing the interviews, RSH read the data material through several  
 218 times to obtain a sense of the whole. The transcribed interviews were analyzed by RSH and  
 219 JK using inductive thematic analysis to group the data into sub-themes and themes (35). The  
 220 analytical process involved 1) dividing the text into meaning units, 2) condensing meaning  
 221 units, 3) abstracting and coding the condensed meaning units, 4) sorting codes based on  
 222 similarities and differences, 5) sorting codes into sub-themes and themes. Tentative sub-  
 223 themes/themes were discussed by RSH, TB and JK through a process of reflection and  
 224 discussion. These discussions facilitated an iterative process in which RSH and JK re-  
 225 analyzed meaning units and codes and re-coded the data based inputs from the discussions  
 226 (36). Themes were then revised and agreed on to strengthen the validity of the results. 6)  
 227 Finally, the latent content (underlying meaning) of the sub-themes was formulated into  
 228 themes (35). The final themes were discussed and agreed upon by all members of the research  
 229 team (Table 1). Through this process, it was possible for RSH to put his preconception in  
 230 dialogue with the text (fusion of horizons). Thus, the understanding of physical therapists and  
 231 orthopedic surgeons perceived facilitators and barriers towards coordination of surgical and  
 232 non-surgical treatment gradually changed (37).

233

Meaning unit	Condensed meaning unit: description close to the text	Condensed meaning unit: interpretation of the underlying meaning	Sub-theme	Theme
Physical therapist 2, focus group interview: <i>“Well, I have been thinking. They (the patients) come for instruction in only <u>one</u> exercise and we are not supposed to consider all the other things. Eh, all the questions they might</i>	The physical therapists are only supposed to give instructions in <u>one</u> exercise. Not consider other questions or	The physical therapists want to examine the patients for other disorders and not only provide instruction for <u>one</u> exercise.	Professional role as a physical therapist is simplified.	Physical therapists’ ambivalence in their professional role.

<p><i>have regarding other painful areas of their body, whatever the reason. I have certainly been thinking that I wanted to examine them more closely in general and in relation to their knee OA. Yes, now it's only this one exercise they get."</i></p>	<p>disorders the patients might have.</p>			
---	---	--	--	--

234 Table 1: Example of inductive thematic analysis to group data into sub-themes and themes as  
235 described by Graneheim and Lundman (35).

236

## 237 Ethics

238

239 The study was performed according to the Helsinki Declaration (38).

240 Participants were informed that participation in the interviews was voluntary and that they  
241 could withdraw consent at any time during the interview. All invited participants were  
242 allowed a minimum of 24 hours to consider participation. All participants were informed  
243 about anonymity and confidentiality and gave oral consent to participate prior to the  
244 interviews. All participants are pseudo-anonymized and reported data are de-identified (no  
245 mentioning of names, age or gender). Data were stored on a file drive secured by log-in. The  
246 study has been approved by The National Committee on Health Research Ethics (Protocol  
247 no.: H-16025136).

248

## 249 Results

250

251 The thematic analysis showed three main themes: 1) *Physical therapists' and orthopedic*  
252 *surgeons' ambivalence in their professional roles*, 2) *Orthopedic surgeons view on exercise*,

253 and 3) *Coordinated non-surgical and surgical care*. These themes emerged from the thematic  
 254 analysis and contain nine sub-themes. These sub-themes were; 1) *Supporting patient self-*  
 255 *management is a physical therapy core skill*, 2) *Professional role as a physical therapist is*  
 256 *simplified*, 3) *Skepticism towards one home-based exercise*, 4) *Must believe in exercise as*  
 257 *treatment for patients with severe knee OA*, 5) *Patient preferences*, 6) *Skepticism towards*  
 258 *(long-term) effect of exercise*, 7) *Different purposes of referring a patient to exercise*, 8)  
 259 *Orthopedic surgeons’ skepticism to the content of the exercise treatment they refer to* and 9)  
 260 *Responsibilities in coordinated care and engagement in the care pathway*. The themes and  
 261 sub-themes represent different facilitators and barriers among orthopedic surgeons and  
 262 physical therapists towards home-based pre-operative exercise in patients eligible for KR.  
 263

No.	Themes	Sub-themes
1	Physical therapists’ and orthopedic surgeons’ ambivalence in their professional roles	Supporting patient self-management is a physical therapy core skill  Professional role as a physical therapist is simplified  Skepticism towards one home-based exercise  Must believe in exercise as treatment for patients with severe knee OA  Patient preferences
2	Orthopedic surgeons view on exercise	Skepticism towards (long-term) effect of exercise  Different purposes of referring a patient to exercise
3	Coordinated non-surgical and surgical care	Orthopedic surgeons’ skepticism to the content of the exercise treatment they refer to  Responsibilities in coordinated care and engagement in the care pathway

264 Table 2: Themes and associated sub-themes from the inductive thematic analysis.

265

266 Theme 1 – Physical therapists’ and orthopedic surgeons’ ambivalence in their  
267 professional roles

268  
269 Among the physical therapists’ and orthopedic surgeons’ different sub-themes  
270 relate to how coordinated non-surgical and surgical care with home-based exercise therapy  
271 with *one* exercise creates ambivalence in their professional roles. Ambivalence is defined as a  
272 condition that occurs when you have two conflicting feelings or attitudes at the same time.  
273 With ambivalence you will have difficulty making decisions, as all solutions seem equally  
274 good or equally bad (39).

275  
276 Physical therapists

277 Exercise therapy is a central treatment modality in the physical therapy  
278 profession, not least in the treatment of patients with knee OA. Most exercise therapy is  
279 organized in group sessions where physical therapists monitor and adjust treatment closely,  
280 allowing a high degree of engagement in the treatment. However, in the interviews it turned  
281 out that the physical therapists recognize the importance of supporting patient self-  
282 management to complement supervised exercise therapy.

283  
284 Sub-theme 1: Supporting patient self-management is a physical therapy core skill

285  
286 One sub-theme that emerged from the focus group interview was that the  
287 physical therapists are conscious about the importance of educating and providing patients  
288 with tools to self-manage their condition. In patients with chronic conditions (e.g. knee OA)  
289 self-management is especially important if the effect of treatment is to be sustained following

290 supervised exercise. Exercise is likely a life-long treatment in patients with knee OA making  
291 provision of supervised exercise unrealistic, again underlining the importance of patient self-  
292 management. The following excerpt illustrates the physical therapists' attitude towards this:

293 *“We are focused on this (self-management) right from the beginning. At the*  
294 *same time, we tell them (the patients) that rehabilitation is not finished after an interim*  
295 *exercise program in the municipality and that it is necessary to continue exercising to get the*  
296 *full benefit.”* (Physical therapist 2, focus group interview).

297

298 The physical therapists mention the importance of ensuring patient adherence to  
299 exercise and teaching patients how to adjust their treatment (exercise) properly in line with  
300 their symptoms. Different pedagogic approaches were discussed between the physical  
301 therapists to achieve this. Typically, this is a process going from a lot of supervision and  
302 guidance towards less and less as the patients become independent. One physical therapist  
303 expresses:

304 *“I think that one of my greatest tasks, together with the patient, is to make the*  
305 *patient independent so that they are able to manage without me when they have finished*  
306 *supervised treatment... I think this one of the most important tasks. It is something we focus*  
307 *on right from the moment they come through the door.”* (Physical therapist 1, focus group  
308 interview).

309

310 As the physical therapists are aware of this, they embrace this skill and express  
311 that it is important to give patients a sense of responsibility for their own treatment and to  
312 teach them principles of self-management of their condition. In this way, even though they  
313 distance themselves from the patients, they keep some control over the patient's treatment.

314

315 Sub-theme 2: Professional role as a physical therapist is simplified

316

317 In the present trial, the diagnosis and treatment are already defined, meaning  
318 that the physical therapists do not need to clinically examine the patients. They can go right  
319 ahead and instruct the patients on how to do the *one* exercise at home. The role of the physical  
320 therapist becomes (somewhat) predefined and pedagogical compared to more traditional  
321 clinical practice with frequent adjustments to treatment. This was mentioned as unusual  
322 practice by the physical therapists:

323 *“Well, this limitation I have been given and the desire to carry out an*  
324 *examination, and other things which you now have to avoid, is an unusual role that you have*  
325 *to get used to.”* (Physical therapist 3, focus group interview).

326

327 The limited face-to-face contact between patient and physical therapist where  
328 they only see each other three times over the course of three months, gives rise to several  
329 concerns among the physical therapists. They express concern about the quality (and thus  
330 effectiveness) of the exercise (treatment) when it is primarily home-based as they are not  
331 there to ensure high quality in the exercises and provide timely adjustments. Related to this is  
332 a concern about the limited number of predefined consultations with the possibility of  
333 supervising and adjusting the exercise. A physical therapist explained:

334

335 *“Well, I have been thinking. They (the patients) come for instruction in only one*  
336 *exercise and we are not supposed to consider all the other things. All the questions they might*  
337 *have regarding other painful areas of their body, whatever the reason. I have certainly been*

338 *thinking that I wanted to examine them more closely in general and in relation to their knee*  
339 *OA. Yes, now it's only this one exercise they get.”* (Physical therapist 2, focus group  
340 interview).

341 The predefined and advisory role with a limited number of consultations  
342 challenges and simplifies their professional role and, thus, becomes a potential barrier.

343  
344 Despite the above-mentioned barriers regarding simplified home-based exercise,  
345 there was broad consensus among the physical therapists that it is good to have two treatment  
346 options (supervised and home-based). A physical therapist said:

347 *“Yes, and it is not as if you can say it is good for everyone. It is good for some*  
348 *yes. And it is good for those who don't want to be absent from work or who would like to stay*  
349 *in their holiday house, and therefore cannot come to the gym. And where we can also see that*  
350 *the exercise is done satisfactorily. But it is definitely not for everyone”.* (Physical therapist 1,  
351 focus group interview).

352  
353 This discussion substantiates that not all patients are candidates for home-based  
354 exercise according to the physical therapists, which also supports the option of a stratified  
355 treatment approach (two treatment options). The possibility of two treatment options and thus  
356 a better chance of providing treatment suiting individual patient preferences becomes a  
357 potential facilitator.

358

359 Sub-theme 3: Skepticism towards one home-based exercise

360

361 The physical therapists express concern regarding exercise treatment with *one*  
362 exercise as they think it is a rigid treatment limiting usage of their professional skills. One  
363 physical therapist expressed:

364 “*Well, everything depends on this one exercise you know.*” (Physical therapist  
365 6, focus group interview).

366

367 The physical therapists discussed the possibility of changing the exercise (e.g. if  
368 performed with low quality) or add more exercises based on the patient’s symptom response  
369 and preferences. The physical therapists explained that this is how “normal” clinical practice  
370 is carried out and an integrated part of the physical therapists’ professional work. This was  
371 shown in statements such as this:

372 “*Well, often something (an exercise) is effective, but if you find out that it is not,*  
373 *then you know that you have other exercises which would be effective, and you might well add*  
374 *these to the exercise program.*” (Physical therapist 6, focus group interview).

375

376 A home-based single exercise intervention without the option of exercise  
377 adjustment or the addition of other exercises becomes a barrier to physical therapists because  
378 of their professional self-image.

379 However, they also discuss two facilitators related to the *one* home-based  
380 exercise approach. Providing patients with *one* exercise keeps the intellectual effort required  
381 to a minimum while also taking less time to complete. The physical therapists describe the

382 exercise as tangible, which could increase patient ownership of the exercise, potentially  
383 leading to improved exercise adherence and thereby, treatment effect. One physical therapist  
384 stated:

385 *“I think it is a very tangible exercise they (the patients) have to go home and do,*  
386 *and it is also easy. And I think this must be good for the patient.... That there are not so many*  
387 *questions when they get home. How was I was supposed to do the exercise?... I think this*  
388 *actually is a strength with the exercise.”* (Physical therapist 1, focus group interview).

389  
390 In continuation of this, the physical therapists also mention that the *one* exercise  
391 could be very important:

392 *“We only give them one exercise, they don’t have anything else. I think this is*  
393 *the reason they get it done... Also, the fact that they have one exercise. This makes it very*  
394 *important.”* (Physical therapist 3, focus group interview).

395  
396 In summary, a single exercise home-based intervention creates a dilemma  
397 among the physical therapists. On the one hand the physical therapists perceive *the*  
398 *importance of providing patients with tools for self-management, the advantage of having two*  
399 *treatment options to meet patient preferences and the potential advantages of providing*  
400 *patients with only one exercise* as facilitators for implementing the one exercise. These factors  
401 support the simplified treatment approach among the physical therapists and their view on  
402 their professional role. On the other hand, the physical therapists believe that the simplified  
403 treatment approach *simplifies their professional role, limits contact time with patients and*  
404 *providing only one exercise limits use of professional skills.* This challenge the physical  
405 therapists creating ambivalence in their professional role.

406

407 Orthopedic surgeons

408

409 All the orthopedic surgeons are aware that clinical guidelines recommend non-  
410 surgical treatment (e.g. exercise therapy and weight loss) in all patients before surgical  
411 treatment is considered. An orthopedic surgeon explains:

412 “...the guidelines state that the patient must be offered conservative treatment  
413 before surgery.” (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

414

415 However, the decision of treatment is more complex than simply referring all  
416 patients without preceding non-surgical treatment to e.g. exercise therapy. The clinical  
417 experience and expertise of the orthopedic surgeons and patient preferences also affect the  
418 treatment decision.

419

420 Sub-theme 4: Must believe in exercise as treatment for patients with severe knee OA

421

422 Despite the guideline recommendations, it is the general opinion among the  
423 interviewed orthopedic surgeons that some patients should not try out exercise treatment but  
424 should be offered surgery right away because of the severity of their symptoms, associated x-  
425 ray and lack of effect of e.g. analgesic treatment. The orthopedic surgeons state that it would  
426 be a waste of resources to do anything else than offer surgery, because they believe that no  
427 other treatment is effective enough in relieving the patient’s symptoms. If a patient with

428 severe symptoms is referred to exercise treatment, the orthopedic surgeons are convinced that  
429 the patient will come back without feeling better. One orthopedic surgeon gave an example:

430 *“If, you have a patient, let’s say, an 80-year-old male with a really bad varus-*  
431 *knee with bone-on-bone in all three compartments and receiving strong pain medication.*  
432 *Then sometimes you might think, “it is really stupid to refer him to exercise for three months”*  
433 *because it would be a waste of time.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

434

435 Referring patients to exercise challenges the orthopedic surgeon’s professional  
436 role and self-image, as it becomes ambivalent to refer patients to exercise, as the guidelines  
437 recommend, when they doubt the effectiveness of the treatment. This was evidenced in  
438 statements like the one below:

439 *“Well, for me as a professional, we must at least believe a little in its (exercise)*  
440 *effectiveness before we refer patients to it, when we have the other alternative (surgery).”*  
441 (Orthopedic surgeon 2, single interview).

442

443 This opinion of offering surgical treatment initially without preceding (non-  
444 effective) non-surgical treatment is not the general view on exercise among the orthopedic  
445 surgeons. Only in patients with very strong, long-lasting symptoms. The decision to refer  
446 patients for exercise treatment is easy when the orthopedic surgeon does not believe that  
447 surgical treatment is warranted, that is, in patients with mild-to-moderate OA. One orthopedic  
448 surgeon explained:

449 *“Patients with knee pain, mild to moderate osteoarthritis, who haven’t tried*  
450 *conservative treatment are eligible for exercise.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 3, single interview).

451

452                   If symptoms progress, surgical treatment might be needed (8). Generally, when  
453 assessing the indication for surgery, the orthopedic surgeons agree that knowledge about the  
454 effect of exercise treatment provides clinically-relevant information aiding the decision to  
455 proceed with surgery or not. One situation discussed by the orthopedic surgeons was that if  
456 exercise treatment improves symptoms, then surgery might not (yet) be warranted. Contrary,  
457 lack of treatment effect from exercise could strengthen the argument for surgical treatment.  
458 One orthopedic surgeon stated:

459                   *“I can certainly tell you this. If the patient’s symptoms are unchanged and they*  
460 *are still in so much pain that the indication for surgery is there, then there is no doubt that*  
461 *surgery is the right treatment. If they have had no effect from exercise.”* (Orthopedic surgeon  
462 3, single interview).

463

#### 464 Sub-theme 5: Patient preferences

465

466                   A number of points made by the orthopedic surgeons demonstrate the  
467 complexity of referring patients to exercise therapy. Patient characteristics can also play a  
468 role. The orthopedic surgeons expressed concern in referring older patients with no history of  
469 exercising to an exercise intervention, as they were unsure if the patients would adhere or be  
470 motivated. This was shown in statements like the following:

471                   *“Well, some of them are not used to exercise. There are a lot of patients in this*  
472 *age-group who are not used to exercise. They have never done it. Nowadays plenty of people*  
473 *exercise, also in their 40’s and 50’s. But in that generation now in their 60’s, many of them*  
474 *have never exercised. I think this makes it more difficult for them, mentally.”* (Orthopedic

475 surgeon 3, single interview).

476

477 The orthopedic surgeons also expressed concern for patients still active in the  
478 labor market who do not want a prolonged treatment (e.g. because of pressure from their  
479 employer or the risk of losing their job). The orthopedic surgeons could be inclined to suggest  
480 surgery as they see this as the treatment with the most certain course (time, effect, risks). On  
481 the contrary, the effect of exercise is smaller than that of surgery in most cases and the  
482 possibility of a positive treatment outcome more uncertain. This suggests that a patient who is  
483 still active in the labor market could be offered surgical treatment regardless of prior non-  
484 surgical treatment. An orthopedic surgeon explains:

485 *“If you take a hard look at it, with a patient whose job is at risk and who needs*  
486 *to return quickly to the labor market, then it nevertheless plays a role for him, whether you*  
487 *choose a treatment with a success rate of 80-90%, which is a “high risk, high reward”*  
488 *treatment (surgery), or you choose to postpone surgery for three months with a treatment*  
489 *(exercise) that might or might not be effective with a lower success rate, with no*  
490 *complications. If you are retired, you are more likely to say: “I would like to try (exercise)*  
491 *because I’m not worried”. But if you know you will be fired then it is another matter and you*  
492 *would like the operation here and now.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

493

494 Another example was that of patients who are not motivated for treatment with a  
495 more unsure outcome and possibly extended overall treatment time. One orthopedic surgeon  
496 said:

497 *“Most of the patients not motivated for exercise are those still active in the labor*  
498 *market who need to return to their job and thus need a quicker solution.”* (Orthopedic surgeon

499 1, single interview).

500

501                   Regardless of any treatment, surgical or non-surgical, a fundamental criterion  
502 for treatment success is the patient’s motivation for the treatment. Generally, motivation  
503 seems to transcend all characteristics that might affect the treatment of choice, making it a  
504 relevant point in all patients. One orthopedic surgeon explains:

505                   *“It is a question of whether the patients actually do it (exercise). How compliant*  
506 *they are. Because, you can easily send the patients home and say they should exercise. The*  
507 *question is, however, whether they actually do it. That is the problem”* (Orthopedic surgeon 3,  
508 single interview).

509

510                   Thus, motivation is an important patient characteristic for the orthopedic  
511 surgeons, as they do not want to refer patients to a treatment that they are not going to adhere  
512 to due to lack of motivation. This would be a waste of everyone’s time and resources.

513

## 514 Theme 2: Orthopedic surgeons’ view on exercise

515

516                   Among the interviewed orthopedic surgeons there is a variation in the views and  
517 opinions regarding exercise and the applicability of this in patients with severe knee OA. This  
518 variation could influence the treatment offered to patients depending on which orthopedic  
519 surgeon they consult.

520

521 Sub-theme 1: Skepticism towards (long-term) effect of exercise

522

523 The orthopedic surgeons consider exercise to be an integrated part of the  
524 treatment options they use in their clinical work. Exercise is widely accepted as a treatment  
525 option in patients with mild to moderate knee OA. However, there is considerable skepticism  
526 among orthopedic surgeons towards exercise as a treatment modality for patients with severe  
527 knee OA. The main skepticism is related to a lack of belief in the effectiveness of this  
528 treatment. One orthopedic surgeon stated:

529 *“Well, no, I am a little in doubt of how effective it (exercise) is. Patients on*  
530 *painkillers with a lot of pain who clearly have arthritis and that type of thing, I don’t really*  
531 *believe that any of them can avoid surgery.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 2, single interview).

532

533 This skepticism is greater when it comes to long-term effects of exercise. The  
534 orthopedic surgeons know that surgery is very effective (when successful) in the long-term.  
535 On the contrary, exercise, if effective at all, is only effective when maintained. The following  
536 excerpt illustrates the orthopedic surgeon’s attitude towards this:

537 *“I question whether it is at all fair (to refer patients with severe knee OA to*  
538 *exercise). I think of this when they (the patients) have a lot of discomfort and pain and*  
539 *osteoarthritis and you know it helps a lot of patients with knee arthroplasty. I also think it*  
540 *might well be that exercise helps, but I seriously doubt that the effect will be long-term. I*  
541 *don’t have anything to base this on, but ....”* (Orthopedic surgeon 2, single interview).

542

543 Further, the orthopedic surgeons are skeptical towards patient adherence to  
544 exercise. An orthopedic surgeon can easily refer a patient to exercise if he/she deems this to

545 be the best treatment option at the time. However, referring a patient to exercise does not  
546 mean that the patient complies with this, potentially making the referral a waste of time. This  
547 focus on patient adherence to prescribed exercise harmonizes well with the physical  
548 therapists' emphasis on adherence. An orthopedic surgeon explains:

549 *“But there are some patients who say, “I don't want to exercise”. That is, “I*  
550 *have heard about it and I don't want to exercise, I would like an operation”. You can take the*  
551 *horse to water, but you can't make it drink [provided as an analogy]. It might be that I refer*  
552 *the patient to exercise and that I insist on it. But if the patient comes back three months later*  
553 *and says, “I have not been exercising, now I would like an operation”, then the*  
554 *recommendations have been met, but you have wasted three months of both his and my time.”*  
555 (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

556

557 Lack of belief in the effectiveness of exercise, doubt about the long-term effects  
558 of exercise and uncertainty about patient's adherence to exercise create skepticism in the  
559 orthopedic surgeons, and these become barriers to referring patients to exercise.

560

561 Sub-theme 2: Different purposes of referring a patient to exercise

562

563 The single interviews showed that there is large variation in how exercise is  
564 used as a treatment modality among the orthopedic surgeons. Some of the orthopedic  
565 surgeons use exercise therapy as a treatment and assess the result on knee-related symptoms,  
566 while others use exercise therapy as a means of assessing patient resources and motivation for  
567 exercise or to provide them with a “breathing space”, where they can consider the possibility  
568 of surgery. This was evident from statements like this:

569                   “...when you get the impression that the patient is not completely aware of what  
570 it (surgery) involves, then exercise provides a breathing space and it might also help...”  
571 (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

572

573                   Also, a patient who is not adhering to exercise prior to surgery, could have  
574 difficulties completing the necessary rehabilitation following surgery. This could make the  
575 orthopedic surgeon reconsider the decision of whether surgery is the right treatment for the  
576 patient. At the same time, exercise therapy is a “safe” treatment (low risk of complications)  
577 that could reduce symptoms, and at the same time the patient is not rushed into a decision  
578 about surgery. One orthopedic surgeon explains:

579                   “So, for me it (exercise) is also a means to see if we should use surgery. But  
580 sometimes it is just as much a means to evaluate their motivation. Because if they don’t want  
581 to exercise at all then their expectations following the operation must be re-assessed. So, I  
582 also use it (exercise) as an analysis of their personality. Also, in relation to exercise following  
583 surgery.” (Orthopedic surgeon 4, single interview).

584

585                   Another view on exercise is that it is a treatment where the patient “can be  
586 parked” until surgery is needed. From this perspective, exercise is used in a less constructive  
587 and inclusive way, and more as a practical solution that can be used until the patient is ready  
588 for surgical treatment. One orthopedic surgeon explained:

589                   “*It (exercise) can be an advantage. If I don’t think they are candidates for*  
590 *surgery, then I “park” them out there (to exercise).*” (Orthopedic surgeon 3, single interview).

591

592 A general point made by all the orthopedic surgeons is that exercise comes with  
593 a low risk of complications and is therefore worth trying when there is uncertainty concerning  
594 whether to proceed with surgery. The citation below illustrates this:

595 *“It is worth a try to postpone surgery three months and try exercise. It might*  
596 *help, and it might not...It also worth a try if you can avoid surgery and the associated*  
597 *complications.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

598  
599 Assessing the effect of exercise on knee-related symptoms, evaluating patient  
600 resources, and providing patients with a “breathing space” to consider the treatment option of  
601 surgery all become facilitators for referring a patient to exercise.

602  
603 Essentially, the orthopedic surgeons act as gate-keepers for the different  
604 treatment options. The decision of whether patients eligible for KR are referred to exercise  
605 prior to potential surgery relies heavily on the preferences of the orthopedic surgeon. An  
606 orthopedic surgeon stated:

607 *“That is probably the biggest influence we have. To select the patients.”*  
608 (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

609  
610 In summary, results from our single interviews with the orthopedic surgeons  
611 show that adhering to clinical guideline recommendations - and at the same time using  
612 clinical expertise and considering patient preferences - creates a professional dilemma among  
613 the orthopedic surgeons. On the one hand, facilitators such as *using exercise as a means to*  
614 *examine patient’s motivation for rehabilitation, providing patients with a low-risk-of-*

615 *complications treatment while considering the option of surgery and knowledge of the effect*  
616 *of exercise can help guide the decision of surgery* support the use of exercise as a treatment  
617 modality among orthopedic surgeons for patients with severe knee OA. On the other hand,  
618 barriers among the orthopedic surgeons towards referring patients with severe knee OA to  
619 exercise were *skepticism towards the effect of exercise and especially the long-term effect in*  
620 *patients with severe knee OA and the dilemma of referring patients to exercise who are not*  
621 *motivated for this treatment modality*. These barriers challenge the orthopedic surgeons  
622 creating ambivalence in their professional role.

623

### 624 Theme 3: Coordinated non-surgical and surgical care

625

626 Orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists are preoccupied with different  
627 aspects of coordinated non-surgical and surgical care. The orthopedic surgeons focus on what  
628 kind of treatment they refer to, while the physical therapists focus more on the care pathway  
629 as a whole.

630

631 Sub-theme 1: Orthopedic surgeons' skepticism to the content of the exercise treatment they  
632 refer to

633

634 A general skepticism concerning referring patients to exercise is that the orthopedic  
635 surgeons experience a large variation in the type of exercise intervention the patients are  
636 offered following referral. An orthopedic surgeon explains:

637           *“But you hear a lot of different things. Some have had very good exercise treatment*  
638 *while others have had massage for three months, which might be nice, but I doubt that it helps*  
639 *with their osteoarthritis symptoms.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

640  
641           Another barrier to referring patients to exercise is the time required to inform patients  
642 about exercise. An orthopedic surgeon says:

643           *“But there’s a lot of information in this (referral to exercise). It is a lot easier to*  
644 *schedule the patients for surgery. It requires a lot more to information to send them (the*  
645 *patients) to training.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 4, single interview).

646  
647           An advantage of referring patients to the QUADX-1 exercise intervention is that the  
648 orthopedic surgeons know exactly what the intervention comprises. An orthopedic surgeon  
649 explains:

650           *“The advantage of this (the QUADX-1 intervention) is that you know what you*  
651 *get. We cannot refer directly to other exercise treatments where we know the content.”*  
652 (Orthopedic surgeon 1, single interview).

653  
654   Sub-theme 2: Responsibilities in coordinated care and engagement in the care pathway

655  
656           When an orthopedic surgeon refers a patient to non-surgical treatment then “the  
657 surgeon’s work is done”. All practicalities related to coordinating treatment across sectors  
658 should be managed by secretaries, according to the orthopedic surgeons. An orthopedic  
659 surgeon says:

660           *“Well, I just assess the patient and refer them to exercise and they get a new*  
661 *appointment three months later. Then I don’t do anything more.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 3,  
662 single interview).

663           And

664           *“The secretary has to keep track of it (the referral to exercise in the municipality). I*  
665 *should do as little as possible in that respect.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 3, single interview).

666

667           Once an orthopedic surgeon has referred a patient to exercise then the physical  
668 therapist has responsibility for the treatment. An orthopedic surgeon explains:

669           *”In principle it is the responsibility of the physical therapist that the exercise*  
670 *intervention is completed.”* (Orthopedic surgeon 3, single interview).

671

672           The physical therapists are positive towards coordinated non-surgical and surgical  
673 treatment as they believe the patients are provided with an altogether better care pathway  
674 when exercise is tried before the decision for surgery is made. This was shown in statements  
675 like the following:

676           *“I like the idea - that the patient isn’t told at the first consultation that “you need a*  
677 *knee replacement” – that exercise is tried and then the need for surgery is re-evaluated. That*  
678 *is, “this (exercise) worked for me”, or “this did not work for me”. I think this is a reasonable*  
679 *care pathway.”* (Physical therapist 4, focus group interview).

680

681           The physical therapists also believe that patients would appreciate such a coordinated  
682 care pathway and would feel confident that all treatment options have been explored. Further,

683 in such a coordinated care setting the patients would experience that the health care  
684 professionals at the hospital and in the municipality are communicating and working together.  
685 A physical therapist explains:

686 *“...I think that the individual patient will feel that they have been taken good care of...  
687 That all treatment options have been tried out and that they have had a good care pathway...  
688 Also, in relation to communication, that they (the patients) experience that we and they (the  
689 orthopedic surgeons at the hospital) are ‘on the beat’. That it is a transparent care pathway.”*  
690 (Physical therapist 6, focus group interview).

691  
692 The physical therapists see another advantage of the coordinated care. Patients that  
693 postpone surgery and continue with exercise can be helped in the municipality to maintain  
694 their exercise program and can be guided to local gyms and other activities. A physical  
695 therapist explains:

696 *“If all care and treatment took place at the hospital, then it could be even more  
697 difficult (for the patients) to continue (to exercise) in a local gym... The municipalities are  
698 less institutionalized compared to hospitals, and we have better knowledge of the local  
699 exercise options. I think this is an advantage.”* (Physical therapist 2, focus group interview).

700  
701 In summary, the orthopedic surgeons express frustration with variation in the  
702 treatment provided for the patients when they refer them to exercise in the municipality which  
703 becomes a barrier to referring patients to exercise. The physical therapists are positive in  
704 respect of the coordinated care pathway as they believe this will mean that patients are  
705 provided with quality care. This becomes a facilitator for coordinated non-surgical and  
706 surgical treatment.

707

## 708 Discussion

709

710 This study applied a thematic analysis to identify facilitators and barriers among  
711 orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists towards a coordinated care pathway of non-  
712 surgical and surgical treatment of severe knee osteoarthritis using pre-operative home-based  
713 exercise with *one* exercise. Three interrelated themes were found important for whether  
714 coordinated surgical and non-surgical care of home-based exercise therapy with *one* exercise  
715 was perceived as a facilitator or barrier: 1) Physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons'  
716 ambivalence in their professional roles, 2) Orthopedic surgeons' view on exercise, and 3)  
717 Coordinated non-surgical and surgical care. How coordinated non-surgical and surgical care  
718 with home-based exercise therapy with *one* exercise challenges both professions and create  
719 ambivalence in their professional roles will be discussed first. Then the orthopedic surgeons'  
720 view on exercise and finally the two professions different focus in coordinated non-surgical  
721 and surgical care will be discussed.

722 For the physical therapists, exercise therapy is a central treatment modality  
723 characterizing their profession (10,12). Traditionally, exercise therapy is provided at  
724 supervised group sessions allowing physical therapists to monitor treatment closely and adjust  
725 accordingly. Reimbursement or self-payment of lifelong supervised exercise is not realistic  
726 for most patients making self-management critical. The Osteoarthritis Research Society  
727 International (OARSI) recommend patient self-management in their guidelines for non-  
728 surgical treatment of patients with knee OA (7). Providing patients with tools for self-  
729 management enables patients to adjust home-based exercise and activities of daily living  
730 accordingly (knee pain and symptoms) thereby increasing the chance of maintained

731 successful treatment (40,41). The physical therapists interviewed in the present study  
732 recognize the importance of providing patients with tools to self-manage their condition and  
733 acknowledge the benefits of home-based exercise therapy. Interestingly, this aspect of  
734 educating patients in self-management is to some degree in conflict with the physical  
735 therapists' engaged treatment approach and strong physical therapist-patient relationship.  
736 Through self-management education, physical therapists educate patients to manage their  
737 condition without supervision from a physical therapist, making themselves expendable. Their  
738 acceptance could be explained by their professional role and the concomitant ethical  
739 responsibility to do what is best for the patient (10). This acknowledgement of supporting  
740 self-management in patients becomes a facilitator for potential clinical implementation of the  
741 simplified treatment approach.

742 Both professions are educated to become autonomous professional practitioners  
743 (10,12,42). The sociologist Wilensky defines (healthcare) professionals as: *professionals*  
744 *make inferences; they treat individual clients, make specific decisions, analyze specific cases,*  
745 *or give specific advice on the basis of learned, abstract insights* (43), meaning that a  
746 professional has acquired standardized skills enabling them to apply knowledge and treat  
747 cases (e.g. patients) (44). Without this premise, anyone could perform professional work (43).  
748 With this perspective, it is understandable why the physical therapists experience home-based  
749 exercise therapy with *one* exercise as a challenge to their professional role. Their normal  
750 practice consists of going from a lot of supervision and guidance towards less, as the patients  
751 become more independent. Even though the physical therapists distance themselves from the  
752 patients, they keep some control over the patient's treatment (13,14). In the single exercise  
753 model, this practice is replaced with only one supervised session after which the patient alone  
754 is responsible for the exercise therapy. Home-based exercise therapy with *one* exercise limits  
755 the physical therapists' possibility to advise and adjust treatment along the way, which means

756 that they do not have the same control over the treatment as usual. The experience of loss of  
757 control challenges their profession role and becomes a barrier for implementing home-based  
758 exercise therapy with *one* exercise.

759 “The modern professional role” as described by Abbott (45) further supports the  
760 physical therapists’ skepticism towards a less engaged and simplified professional role and  
761 treatment approach. Abbott views professions as knowledge systems and describes  
762 professional work in three parts: 1) classification of problems combined with information on  
763 the specific case and professional expertise, 2) translation of professional expertise to  
764 potential solutions for the individual case and 3) to reason and specify the solution into one  
765 treatment. In the profession of physical therapy, the first part is comparable to information  
766 from a patient’s medical history, which is supplemented with a clinical examination, while the  
767 second and third part overlap in the process of clinical reasoning and treatment (10,12). In this  
768 perspective, a simplified treatment approach could limit physical therapists’ use of their  
769 professional knowledge and expertise. This challenges the physical therapists, as it confronts  
770 their professional skills, clinical reflection and reasoning, which become less important as the  
771 treatment has been defined beforehand by others (10). This is in line with previously reported  
772 barriers among physical therapists towards home-based exercise therapy with *one* exercise,  
773 i.e. “too simplistic” and “restricted intervention, wanted to add manual therapy as a treatment  
774 option” and “the role of on-going support” (21).

775 When an orthopedic surgeon considers surgery for knee OA for a patient who  
776 has *not* tried exercise therapy, has strong knee OA symptoms and meets the clinical indication  
777 for surgery (i.e. state of the joint, pain and functional disability), then the orthopedic  
778 surgeons’ professional role is challenged. According to clinical guidelines (7,8), the patient  
779 should be referred to exercise therapy to see if this treatment is effective in reducing  
780 symptoms. This could conflict with the orthopedic surgeon’s opinion of what would be the

781 right treatment, for example due to a skepticism towards the long-term effectiveness of  
782 exercise. The orthopedic surgeon could argue that surgery should be offered right away since  
783 the indication for surgery is met regardless of whether the patient has tried non-surgical  
784 treatment or not. In this situation, the preferences of the orthopedic surgeon become a barrier  
785 for adherence to clinical guidelines (including referral to exercise therapy).

786           Other challenges for the orthopedic surgeons are their role as agents for the  
787 healthcare system. An agent is understood as “one who chooses as the patients themselves  
788 would choose if they possessed the information that the orthopedic surgeon does” (46).  
789 Orthopedic surgeons are specialists in orthopedic surgery, and on the one hand they must  
790 adhere to clinical guideline recommendations of, for example, exercise therapy. On the other  
791 hand, they have to offer the treatment they professionally believe is the correct treatment for  
792 that patient at that time (fulfills patient preferences), for example, surgery. Orthopedic  
793 surgeons are members of the medical profession, operating under the ‘medical pledge’, and  
794 are thus primarily taking care of the patient’s preferences rather than what clinical guidelines  
795 prescribe (The World Medical Association, Inc, 2005). Thereby, they appear not only to be  
796 agents but to be double agents. That is, they are obliged to follow clinical guideline  
797 recommendations and at the same time take the patient’s preferences into account while also  
798 using their clinical expertise. Further, they also need to ensure that a certain number of  
799 patients are referred to surgery as the department is economically dependent on the number of  
800 surgeries completed. This double agent position can become a barrier for referring patients to  
801 exercise therapy. These barriers may not only be relevant for the present study. They may  
802 provide new targets for future implementation studies of current guidelines concerning the  
803 non-surgical treatment of patients considered for surgery in general.

804

805                   Another central finding from the study is how orthopedic surgeons expressed  
806 skepticism towards (long-term) effect of exercise as a treatment modality in patients with  
807 severe knee OA. This skepticism becomes a barrier for referring patients to exercise therapy  
808 and a facilitator for referring patients directly to surgery. This finding is supported by data  
809 from a study where, if an orthopedic surgeon had a “lack of belief in physical therapy when  
810 there is an obvious loss of cartilage” this was associated with fewer referrals to physical  
811 therapy (15). Some orthopedic surgeons question the long-term effect of exercise therapy,  
812 which may relate to how they are used to thinking about intervention and effect, that is, they  
813 are used to long-lasting effects of their single intervention (surgery). A one-time intervention  
814 with exercise therapy (one single session) will have no long-lasting effect without being  
815 supplemented with more sessions (47,48). This is no different from administering a single  
816 dose of insulin to a diabetic, where a long-lasting effect is not expected unless supplemented  
817 with further doses of insulin (49). What also makes the insulin-analogy relevant is that the  
818 intervention requires behavioral changes in patients, which is also the case for patients with  
819 knee OA, who do not necessarily exercise regularly (50). Taken together, different  
820 professions may have different opinions and understandings of the short- and long-term  
821 effects of the different interventions that they use to treat patients.

822                   Despite skepticism towards the effect of exercise, the orthopedic surgeons  
823 recognize the value of exercise as a treatment modality in their clinical work. For patients in  
824 doubt of whether to undergo surgery, a less invasive treatment option is welcomed while the  
825 patients consider the option of surgery and associated risks. This recognition of exercise as an  
826 option to provide patients with a non-invasive treatment while considering surgery and as a  
827 means to supplement the indication for surgery becomes a facilitator for referring patients to  
828 exercise.

829                   The recognition of exercise among the orthopedic surgeons also relates to  
830 different considerations when referring patients to exercise. Social support and health beliefs  
831 besides disease severity are important in the decision of which treatment to proceed with (42).  
832 Gossec et al. found that severity of pain and functional disability could not distinguish  
833 between those who were or were not recommended for KR in patients with radiographically  
834 verified hip or knee OA (51). Similarly, Skou et al. found similar pain levels in patients  
835 deemed eligible and not eligible for KR (52). The decision concerning referral to exercise  
836 relies heavily on the preferences of the orthopedic surgeon, that is, whether exercise is used to  
837 assess the treatment effect on knee OA-related symptoms, assessing patient resources and  
838 motivation, or as a “breathing space” where the patient can consider the possibility of surgery.  
839 Referral practice among orthopedic surgeons has previously been reported to vary depending  
840 on the level of professional experience (53). Essentially, the orthopedic surgeons act as gate-  
841 keepers for the different treatment options, and this role as gate-keeper becomes both a  
842 facilitator and a barrier depending on the purpose for referring or not referring patients to  
843 exercise.

844                   In respect of the coordinated care, the main barrier expressed by the orthopedic  
845 surgeons was the variation in treatment received by patients in the municipality, as previously  
846 reported (15). An advantage of the QUADX-1 intervention for the orthopedic surgeons was  
847 that they knew what the content of the exercise intervention was. In relation to the  
848 coordinated care pathway the orthopedic surgeons mainly focus on their own role, e.g.  
849 scheduling patients for surgery or referring to non-surgical care. Conversely, the physical  
850 therapists focus more on the care pathway as a whole. It becomes a facilitator for the physical  
851 therapists to contribute to the coordinated care pathway by providing exercise before the  
852 decision on surgery is taken. Optimized coordination of non-surgical and surgical care  
853 provides patients with a more comprehensive care pathway exhausting non-surgical treatment

854 options before potential surgery. This may change the care pathway for some patients with  
855 postponed surgery, while shortening surgical waiting time for other patients in greater need of  
856 surgical care.

857           In summary, the model of coordinated non-surgical (home-based exercise  
858 therapy with *one* exercise) and surgical treatment challenges both the physical therapists and  
859 the orthopedic surgeons and creates an ambivalence in their professional roles in different  
860 ways. This ambivalence causes mixed feelings and sometimes conflicting interest in the two  
861 professions about how to handle home-based exercise therapy with *one* exercise as evidenced  
862 by the different facilitators and barriers in the two professions. Thus, even though home-based  
863 exercise therapy with *one* exercise is described and discussed as a standardized and practically  
864 simple intervention (24) the results from the study show that the intervention is perceived as  
865 complex in the context of clinical interprofessional coordination of treatment (54,55). The  
866 intervention is assigned a situated meaning from the two professions and is not a uniform  
867 object shown by the different facilitators and barriers. This is important knowledge for future  
868 implementation of the intervention, where it is not uncommon to think of the implementation  
869 as standardized leading to a "one size fits all" implementation approach. When implementing  
870 the intervention, it must be considered "complex", where several implementation strategies  
871 must be used (a multifaceted approach) (56). Further, the intervention must be adapted to both  
872 professions, that is, the situated meaning and the facilitators and barriers the physical  
873 therapists and orthopedic surgeons experience.

874

## 875 **Strengths and limitations**

876

877           This study has both strengths and limitations which should be considered when  
878 interpreting the findings. One of the main strengths is that all potential participants were  
879 included for the interviews. That is, all physical therapists and eligible orthopedic surgeons  
880 (supervisors and co-authors deemed not eligible due to conflict of interest) involved in the  
881 QUADX-1 trial participated in the interviews. Further, the study involves both groups of  
882 healthcare professionals that coordinate treatment for patients with severe knee OA in their  
883 daily clinical practice (i.e. physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons). The study is reported  
884 according to the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research: A Synthesis of  
885 Recommendations (SRQR) checklist (57), which we believe strengthens the transparency and  
886 validity of the study.

887           The main limitations are that despite including all potential participants for the  
888 interviews, we only interviewed six physical therapists and four orthopedic surgeons. It is  
889 unknown if additional participants would have added new perspectives or further supported  
890 the findings of the study, that is, a higher degree of data saturation. The use of two different  
891 interview approaches (focus group- and single interviews) could have introduced bias. By  
892 only applying single interviews for the orthopedic surgeons, we missed the interactions  
893 created during focus group interviews. Conversely, in single interviews the participant is not  
894 at risk of being overwhelmed or ignored by more dominant participants. Though interview  
895 data from the orthopedic surgeons was collected on an individual basis the same themes were  
896 present among the interviewed orthopedic surgeons (34). The primary investigator's (RSH)  
897 professional background is physical therapy. His preconception of both the physical therapy  
898 and orthopedic surgery professions could have affected his conducting of interviews, data  
899 analysis and interpretation of the results. To accommodate this, all steps were discussed with  
900 and approved by co-authors (TB and JK) during the process and the final results were  
901 approved by all co-authors (i.e. physical therapists, an orthopedic surgeon and a nurse).

902

## 903 Conclusion

904

905 We found that both physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons were challenged  
906 by coordinated non-surgical and surgical treatment of patients with severe knee osteoarthritis  
907 using pre-operative home-based exercise therapy with *one* exercise as evidenced by the  
908 identified facilitators and barriers. The intervention created ambivalence in the professional  
909 role of both the physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons. The physical therapists were  
910 skeptical about over-simplified exercise therapy but positive towards patient self-  
911 management. The orthopedic surgeons were skeptical about the potential lack of a long-term  
912 effect of exercise therapy in patients with severe knee OA but acknowledged exercise therapy  
913 as an alternative treatment option in daily clinical practice. This ambivalence in the  
914 professional role is important to consider when planning implementation of the intervention  
915 as it may appear simple but is regarded as complex.

916

917

## 918 Acknowledgements

919

920 We gratefully thank all participating physical therapists and orthopedic surgeons  
921 for their contribution to the present study.

922

923

924 **Supporting information captions**

925

926 S1 Appendix 1 – SRQR Checklist

927 S2 Appendix 2 - Interview guide physiotherapists

928 S3 Appendix 3 - Interview guide orthopedic surgeons

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

## 940 References

- 941 1. Inacio MCS, Paxton EW, Graves SE, Namba RS, Nemes S. Projected increase in total  
942 knee arthroplasty in the United States – an alternative projection model. *Osteoarthritis*  
943 *Cartilage*. 2017 Nov;25(11):1797–803.
- 944 2. Pedersen A, Mehnert, Odgaard A, Schrøder HM. Existing data sources for clinical  
945 epidemiology: The Danish Knee Arthroplasty Register. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2012 May;125.
- 946 3. Hubertsson J, Petersson IF, Thorstensson CA, Englund M. Risk of sick leave and  
947 disability pension in working-age women and men with knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum*  
948 *Dis*. 2012;annrheumdis–2012.
- 949 4. Roos EM, Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)-  
950 validation and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. *Health Qual Life*  
951 *Outcomes*. 2003;1(1):17.
- 952 5. Carr AJ, Robertsson O, Graves S, Price AJ, Arden NK, Judge A, et al. Knee  
953 replacement. *The Lancet*. 2012;379(9823):1331–1340.
- 954 6. Hawker G, Wright J, Coyte P, Paul J, Dittus R, Croxford R, et al. Health-Related Quality  
955 of Life after Knee Replacement: Results of the Knee Replacement Patient Outcomes  
956 Research Team Study\*. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1998 Feb;80(2):163–73.
- 957 7. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, Arden NK, Berenbaum F, Bierma-Zeinstra  
958 SM, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis.  
959 *Osteoarthr Cartil OARS Osteoarthr Res Soc*. 2014 Mar;22(3):363–88.
- 960 8. Sundhedsstyrelsen - Knæartrose. Nationale kliniske retningslinjer og faglige  
961 visitationsretningslinjer. 1st ed. Kbh.; 2012. 1–80 p.
- 962 9. Cobos R, Latorre A, Aizpuru F, Guenaga JI, Sarasqueta C, Escobar A, et al. Variability  
963 of indication criteria in knee and hip replacement: an observational study. *BMC*  
964 *Musculoskelet Disord* [Internet]. 2010 Dec [cited 2018 Aug 30];11(1). Available from:  
965 <http://bmc-musculoskeletal-disord.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2474-11-249>
- 966 10. Higgs J, Refshauge, K, Ellis E. Portrait of the physiotherapy profession. *J Interprof Care*.  
967 2001 Jan;15(1):79–89.
- 968 11. PubMed.com Medical Subject Heading. Exercise Therapy (MeSH-term) [Internet]. 2019  
969 [cited 2019 May 29]. Available from:  
970 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/?term=exercise+therapy>
- 971 12. World Confederation for Physical Therapy W. Policy statement. Description of physical  
972 therapy. World Confederation for Physical Therapy; 2015.
- 973 13. Miciak M, Mayan M, Brown C, Joyce AS, Gross DP. The necessary conditions of  
974 engagement for the therapeutic relationship in physiotherapy: an interpretive description  
975 study. *Arch Physiother*. 2018 Dec;8(1).

- 976 14. Klaber Moffett JA, Richardson PH. The influence of the physiotherapist-patient  
977 relationship on pain and disability. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 1997 Jan;13(1):89–96.
- 978 15. Hofstede SN, Marang-van de Mheen PJ, Vliet Vlieland TPM, van den Ende CHM,  
979 Nelissen RGHH, van Bodegom-Vos L. Barriers and Facilitators Associated with Non-  
980 Surgical Treatment Use for Osteoarthritis Patients in Orthopaedic Practice. Coles JA,  
981 editor. *PLOS ONE.* 2016 Jan 22;11(1):e0147406.
- 982 16. Slover J, Shue J, Koenig K. Shared Decision-making in Orthopaedic Surgery. *Clin*  
983 *Orthop Relat Res.* 2012 Apr;470(4):1046–53.
- 984 17. Klesges L, Estabrooks P, Dzewaltowski D, Bull S, Glasgow R. Beginning with the  
985 application in mind: Designing and planning health behavior change interventions to  
986 enhance dissemination. *Ann Behav Med.* 2005;Apr 1;29(2):66–75.
- 987 18. Glasgow R. What Types of Evidence are Most Needed to Advance Behavioral  
988 Medicine? *Ann Behav Med.* 2008;Feb;35(1):19–25.
- 989 19. Trompette J, Kivits J, Minary L, Cambon L, Alla F. Stakeholders’ perceptions of  
990 transferability criteria for health promotion interventions: a case study. *BMC Public*  
991 *Health [Internet].* 2014 Dec [cited 2018 Aug 19];14(1). Available from:  
992 <http://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1134>
- 993 20. Bunzli S, Nelson E, Scott A, French S, Choong P, Dowsey M. Barriers and facilitators to  
994 orthopaedic surgeons’ uptake of decision aids for total knee arthroplasty: a qualitative  
995 study. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7(11):e018614.
- 996 21. Littlewood C, Mawson S, May S, Walters S. Understanding the barriers and enablers to  
997 implementation of a self-managed exercise intervention: a qualitative study.  
998 *Physiotherapy.* 2015 Sep;101(3):279–85.
- 999 22. Nelson AE, Allen KD, Golightly YM, Goode AP, Jordan JM. A systematic review of  
1000 recommendations and guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis: The Chronic  
1001 Osteoarthritis Management Initiative of the U.S. Bone and Joint Initiative. *Semin*  
1002 *Arthritis Rheum.* 2014 Jun;43(6):701–12.
- 1003 23. Bhattacharyya O, Reeves S, Zwarenstein M. What Is Implementation Research?:  
1004 Rationale, Concepts, and Practices. *Res Soc Work Pract.* 2009 Sep;19(5):491–502.
- 1005 24. Husted RS, Troelsen A, Thorborg K, Rathleff MS, Husted H, Bandholm T. Efficacy of  
1006 pre-operative quadriceps strength training on knee-extensor strength before and shortly  
1007 following total knee arthroplasty: protocol for a randomized, dose-response trial (The  
1008 QUADX-1 trial). *Trials.* 2018 Dec;19(1).
- 1009 25. Curran GM, Bauer M, Mittman B, Pyne JM, Stetler C. Effectiveness-implementation  
1010 Hybrid Designs: Combining Elements of Clinical Effectiveness and Implementation  
1011 Research to Enhance Public Health Impact. *Med Care.* 2012 Mar;50(3):217–26.
- 1012 26. Campbell R, Evans M, Tucker M, Quilty B, Dieppe P, Donovan JL. Why don’t patients  
1013 do their exercises? Understanding non-compliance with physiotherapy in patients with  
1014 osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2001;55(2):132–138.

- 1015 27. McLean SM, Burton M, Bradley L, Littlewood C. Interventions for enhancing adherence  
1016 with physiotherapy: A systematic review. *Man Ther.* 2010 Dec;15(6):514–21.
- 1017 28. Picorelli AMA, Pereira LSM, Pereira DS, Felício D, Sherrington C. Adherence to  
1018 exercise programs for older people is influenced by program characteristics and personal  
1019 factors: a systematic review. *J Physiother.* 2014 Sep;60(3):151–6.
- 1020 29. Ravaud P. Management of osteoarthritis (OA) with an unsupervised home based  
1021 exercise programme and/or patient administered assessment tools. A cluster randomised  
1022 controlled trial with a 2x2 factorial design. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2004 Mar 17;63(6):703–8.
- 1023 30. Kitzinger J. Chapter 3. Focus groups. In: *Qualitative Research in Health Care.* 3rd  
1024 edition. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2006.
- 1025 31. Krueger RA, Casey MA. *Focus Groups. A Practical Guide For Applied Research.* 3rd  
1026 Edition. Sage Publications, Inc.; 2000.
- 1027 32. O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. Standards for Reporting  
1028 Qualitative Research: A Synthesis of Recommendations. *Acad Med.* 2014  
1029 Sep;89(9):1245–51.
- 1030 33. Halkier B. Focus groups as social enactments: integrating interaction and content in the  
1031 analysis of focus group data. *Qual Res.* 2010 Feb;10(1):71–89.
- 1032 34. Crabtree BF, Miller WL. *Depth Interviewing.* In: *Doing Qualitative Research.* Second  
1033 Edition. 1999.
- 1034 35. Graneheim U., Lundman B. Qualitative content analysis in nursing research: concepts,  
1035 procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2004  
1036 Feb;24(2):105–12.
- 1037 36. Morse JM, Barrett M, Mayan M, Olson K, Spiers J. Verification Strategies for  
1038 Establishing Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *Int J Qual Methods.* 2002  
1039 Jun;1(2):13–22.
- 1040 37. Dahlager L, Fredslund F. Hermeneutisk analyse – og forståelse og for-forståelse. In:  
1041 *Forskningsmetoder i folkesundhedsvidenskab.* 4th ed. Kbh.: Munksgaard Danmark;  
1042 2011.
- 1043 38. WMA. World Medical Association. WMA Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical Principles  
1044 for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. [Internet]. 2017. Available from:  
1045 Available at: <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>. Accessed November 15,  
1046 2017  
1047
- 1048 39. *Oxford Dictionary of English* (3 ed.). Oxford University Press; 2010.
- 1049 40. Ravaud P, Flipo R-M, Boutron I, Roy C, Mahmoudi A, Giraudeau B, et al. ARTIST  
1050 (osteoarthritis intervention standardized) study of standardised consultation versus usual  
1051 care for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee in primary care in France: pragmatic  
1052 randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2009 Feb 23;338(feb23 1):b421–b421.

- 1053 41. Hurley MV, Walsh NE, Mitchell H, Nicholas J, Patel A. Long-term outcomes and costs  
1054 of an integrated rehabilitation program for chronic knee pain: A pragmatic, cluster  
1055 randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2012 Feb;64(2):238–47.
- 1056 42. Mandl LA. Determining who should be referred for total hip and knee replacements. *Nat*  
1057 *Rev Rheumatol.* 2013 Jun;9(6):351–7.
- 1058 43. Wilensky HL. The Professionalization of Everyone? *Am J Sociol.* 1964 Sep;70(2):137–  
1059 58.
- 1060 44. Mintzberg H. *Structure in fives.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1983.
- 1061 45. Abbott A. *The system of professions: An essay on the division of expert labor.* Chicago:  
1062 University of Chicago Press.; 1988.
- 1063 46. Folland S, Goodman A, Stano M. *The Economics of Health and Health Care [Internet].*  
1064 New York: Routledge; 2016. Available from:  
1065 [http://www.ssu.ac.ir/cms/fileadmin/user\\_upload/Daneshkadaha/dbehdasht/modiryat\\_kha](http://www.ssu.ac.ir/cms/fileadmin/user_upload/Daneshkadaha/dbehdasht/modiryat_kha_tamat_behdashti/kotob_farsi/The_economics_of_health_and_health_care-_Sherman_Folland.pdf)  
1066 [tamat\\_behdashti/kotob\\_farsi/The\\_economics\\_of\\_health\\_and\\_health\\_care-](http://www.ssu.ac.ir/cms/fileadmin/user_upload/Daneshkadaha/dbehdasht/modiryat_kha_tamat_behdashti/kotob_farsi/The_economics_of_health_and_health_care-_Sherman_Folland.pdf)  
1067 [\\_Sherman\\_Folland.pdf](http://www.ssu.ac.ir/cms/fileadmin/user_upload/Daneshkadaha/dbehdasht/modiryat_kha_tamat_behdashti/kotob_farsi/The_economics_of_health_and_health_care-_Sherman_Folland.pdf)
- 1068 47. Graves JE, Pollock ML, Leggett SH, Braith RW, Carpenter DM, Bishop LE. Effect of  
1069 Reduced Training Frequency on Muscular Strength. *Int J Sports Med.* 1988;9(5):316–9.
- 1070 48. Tucci JT, Carpenter DM, Pollock ML, Graves JE, Leggett SH. Effect of reduced  
1071 frequency of training and detraining on lumbar extension strength. *Spine.*  
1072 1992;17(12):1497–501.
- 1073 49. Schaper NC, Nikolajsen A, Sandberg A, Buchs S, Bøgelund M. Timing of Insulin  
1074 Injections, Adherence, and Glycemic Control in a Multinational Sample of People with  
1075 Type 2 Diabetes: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *Diabetes Ther.* 2017 Dec;8(6):1319–29.
- 1076 50. Farr JN, Going SB, Lohman TG, Rankin L, Kastle S, Cornett M, et al. Physical activity  
1077 levels in patients with early knee osteoarthritis measured by accelerometry. *Arthritis*  
1078 *Rheum.* 2008 Sep 15;59(9):1229–36.
- 1079 51. Gossec L, Paternotte S, Maillefert JF, Combescure C, Conaghan PG, Davis AM, et al.  
1080 The role of pain and functional impairment in the decision to recommend total joint  
1081 replacement in hip and knee osteoarthritis: an international cross-sectional study of 1909  
1082 patients. Report of the OARSI-OMERACT Task Force on total joint replacement.  
1083 *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2011 Feb;19(2):147–54.
- 1084 52. Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, Rathleff MS, Arendt-Nielsen L, Rasmussen S, et al.  
1085 Total knee replacement and non-surgical treatment of knee osteoarthritis: 2-year  
1086 outcome from two parallel randomized controlled trials. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2018  
1087 Sep;26(9):1170–80.
- 1088 53. Dusik CJ, Buckley RE, Robertson-More C. Orthopedic surgeon perspectives on  
1089 appropriate referral of trauma patients to physical therapy (PT). *Arch Orthop Trauma*  
1090 *Surg.* 2013 May;133(5):603–8.

- 1091 54. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and  
1092 evaluating complex interventions: The new Medical Research Council guidance. *Int J*  
1093 *Nurs Stud.* 2013 May;50(5):587–92.
- 1094 55. Hawe P, Shiell A, Riley T. Complex interventions: how “out of control” can a  
1095 randomised controlled trial be? *BMJ.* 2004 Jun 26;328(7455):1561–3.
- 1096 56. Grimshaw JM, Shirran L, Thomas R, Mowatt G, Fraser C, Bero L, et al. Changing  
1097 Provider Behavior: An Overview of Systematic Reviews of Interventions. *Med Care.*  
1098 2001 Aug;39:II-2-II-45.
- 1099 57. O’Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. Standards for Reporting  
1100 Qualitative Research: A Synthesis of Recommendations. *Acad Med.* 2014  
1101 Sep;89(9):1245–51.
- 1102