

Improving health care worker's compliance with traceability by recording the nursing process at the point of care using a personal digital assistant with a barcode.

Olga Florea Msc RN^{1,2}, Jean Charles Dufour MD PhD³, Chloe Magnin MSc^{1,3}, Philippe Brouqui MD PhD^{1,2*} & Sophia Boudjema PhD, RN^{1,2}

¹ Aix Marseille Université, IRD, MEPHI, IHU-Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France

² AP-HM, IHU-Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France

³ Aix Marseille Université, AP-HM, INSERM, IRD, SESSTIM, Hop Timone, BioSTIC, Marseille, France.

Key Words: electronic records, nursing records, personal digital assistant, adverse event, hospital-acquired infection, blood catheter, urinary catheter, traceability

* **Corresponding author:** Philippe Brouqui, IHU Méditerranée Infection, 19-21 bd Jean Moulin, 13005, Marseille, France. E-mail: philippe.brouqui@univ.amu.fr

Tel 33 6 07 79 82 74

Words text : 2358 / Abstract 146

Authors' contributions: Olga Florea designed the study and wrote the paper, S Boudjema, P Brouqui, and JC Dufour wrote the protocol, C Magnin performed the data analysis, JC Dufour brought his expertise in medical informatics, JC Dufour, S Boudjema and P Brouqui revised the manuscript.

Statement on conflicts of interest: P Brouqui is one of the founders of the Medihandtrace® SAS Startup that owns the copyright of the PSR software.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the healthcare personnel of the medical unit for their commitment and participation in this study.

Funding: This study was funded in part by ANR-15-CE36-0004-01 entitled MHT and ANR, IHU Méditerranée Infection 10-IAHU-03.

ABSTRACT

Background: Adverse events are serious and frequent complications most often linked to the quality of nursing care.

Purpose : We evaluated the compliance to traceability of nursing care at bedside using the Patient Smart Reader[®], a personal digital assistant with a barcode.

Methods: We compared paper record forms, specific computer software in the hospital information system and the Patient Smart Reader[®].

Results: The Patient Smart Reader enhanced the recording of 90% of nursing care surveyed. Regarding the insertion of blood catheters, compliance rates increased from 44.19 % to 100%, and blood catheter monitoring has risen from 29.64% to 80.74%. Urinary catheter monitoring and insertion recording increased from 10.23% to 55.43% and from 16.67% to 100 % respectively.

Conclusions: Providing to caregivers a nursing record system using barcoded implements at the point of care in real time significantly improved traceability of the nursing care.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Adverse event (AE) is any unexpected events that follow any act or action performed or prescribed
3 by a health professional. Adverse events are widespread and have a significant cost, it was
4 estimated that the total costs of preventable AEs in the USA was in between \$17.1 billion and \$29
5 billion annually ¹. The two most frequent classes of AE, postoperative infections and pressure
6 ulcers, accounted for the largest annual costs (6.5 billion USD) ². The prevalence of AE can vary
7 from 7 to 40 %, but it is important to note that they could be avoided in more than half of cases
8 and that 27.6% are related to negligence and 76.8% to inappropriate nursing care ^{2 3}. In intensive
9 care units (ICU), AE is associated with a more extended hospital stay ⁴. In Canadian hospitals, a
10 study reported 20.8% of AE-related deaths and the authors estimated that the death rate due to
11 AE in Canada as a whole would be > 38,000 annually ⁵. The expected goal in caring for patients is
12 to provide low mortality, low morbidity, and a low readmission ratio after 30 days, with a better
13 quality of life. The Institute of Medicine suggests that improving data collection and analysis of
14 direct patient care would enhance patient safety ⁶. According to the “knowing how to prevent”
15 adage, traceability of care is likely to be a significant component of the surveillance and
16 prevention of AE, suggesting that better traceability should lower AE and their consequences. The
17 use of the 'Check List' in anesthesia demonstrated a significant reduction in mortality ⁷. It is
18 suggested that as many as 70% of adverse events could be avoided if the right information about
19 the right patient is available at the right time and the health information exchange makes this
20 possible. In agreement with the recommendations of most national authorities, the care provided
21 by healthcare workers (HCW) is currently registered in the patient's hospital Electronic Health
22 Record (EHR). The quality of nursing care is related to the execution of the nursing process, which
23 should be adequately documented ⁸. The transfer from paper-based to electronic documentation
24 is commonly available worldwide nowadays. Whether computerized or not, the act is, however,

25 most often recorded manually and outside of the location of the nursing care (the patient's room),
26 giving place to forgetfulness and inaccuracy. While many studies in the world evaluate the impact
27 of the EHR on nursing care quality, few evaluate specifically the pertinence of a bedside care
28 recorder for the quality of traceability. Comparison of PDA records at the bedside with paper
29 formats suggests that PDA used at bedside is reliable, allowing fewer errors, easy to use, and
30 advocated by interviewers^{9,10}. To improve the quality of nursing care records, the nursing care act
31 should be made in real time, meaning that the bedside solution would be promoted. Some studies
32 report point of care nursing record evaluations^{11,12} but quantitative analysis for compliance of
33 traceability of nursing care records has not yet been reported in prospective comparative case-
34 control protocol.

35 This researcher developed a personal digital assistant solution with a barcode to trace nursing care
36 at the patient's bedside in real time that was named the Patient's Smart Reader® (PSR). The study
37 aim to evaluate the impact of such tool on compliance of HCW's with recording nursing care in the
38 care unit by comparing successively different nursing care recording tools. The hypothesis was
39 that recording care at bedside in real time, will improve the compliance to traceability of nursing
40 care.

41 **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

42 The study was conducted in the infectious and tropical diseases medical unit of the university
43 hospital in Marseille, France, which consisted of 17 beds. For purposes of our research, we
44 decided to divide the unit into two distinct parts, nine beds (for cohort A) and eight beds (for
45 cohort B). All voluntary HCW (doctors, nurses, nursing assistants, and housekeeping personnel) of
46 the unit were included in the study.

47 **Recorder systems**

48 When an HCW provides nursing care to the patient, he/she must track it on the nursing care
49 record. The validity of this information has to be certified with the time and date of the nursing
50 care and with the signature of the HCW. We compared different traceability systems that were
51 used in patient medical records. The oldest one was a dedicated paper sheet form. This sheet of
52 paper was placed into the paper-based patient's medical record. The second was introduced in
53 2013 in our institute and was a specific software, Pharma® (version 5.8.70602.1 300) that allows
54 the traceability of medical prescriptions and drugs administered, but also provides some patient's
55 parameters such as urine strip, temperature and weight. The third, introduced at the beginning of
56 2016 in our institute, was an EHR (Axigate® version: 5.6.1P9) embedded in the Hospital
57 Information System (HIS). This EHR allows the registration of some other parameters such as
58 peripheral venous catheter insertion and monitoring, urinary catheter insertion and monitoring,
59 inpatient booklet providing, and isolation monitoring. Finally, the last system, introduced in June
60 2016, was the Patient Smart Reader (PSR), installed in 8 patient rooms (Cohort B).
61 The PSR system is a Personal Digital Assistant with a bar code scanner allowing for bedside
62 recording of nursing care (<https://vimeo.com/205512348>) . With the PSR, HCW can record, at the
63 patient's bedside and in real time, nursing care such as urinary or peripheral venous catheter
64 insertion and monitoring, by using barcoded implements, or can directly record various
65 parameters such as body temperature, blood pressure or pain directly onto the PSR. The PSR
66 provides automatic reminders of controls or alerts. For example, recording the insertion of
67 peripheral venous catheter triggers monitoring every 8 hours automatically. The information
68 collected is transmitted to a server that ensures the storage and facilitates the analysis of data.
69 Reports and alerts were generated by the server and displayed on the main screen available in the
70 staff room, as well as on the PSR.

71 **Data collection**

72 First, through a before/after study, we retrospectively compared the compliance of data reported
73 on single sheets from April 2012 to March 2013 (period 1), to that collected into both Pharma®
74 software and paper sheets from March 2013 to April 2014 (period 2). Then, we compared in a
75 prospective cohort study, from June 2016 to January 2017, the compliance to traceability using
76 our institutional available systems ; paper sheets plus Pharma® software and EHR (Cohort A)
77 against the PSR alone (Cohort B). For this purpose, HCW were asked to use only the PSR in the
78 eight equipped rooms to record care.

79 **Data analysis**

80 We used descriptive statistics, and we described data compliance in terms of frequencies and
81 percentages. Compliance to traceability (as defined by variable recorded / variable to be
82 recorded) of nursing care using different tools (paper sheet versus paper sheet and Pharma
83 software or any kind of recording systems versus PSR) were analyzed. Differences in compliance
84 obtained were defined significant when $p < 0.05$ (Chi-square test). Similarly, relative risk and 95%
85 confidence interval was reported.

86 **Variables studied**

87 It was decided to monitor the compliance in traceability of 10 variables selected for their public
88 health impact on the safety of care, or their mandatory systematic nature. Some of these acts are
89 mandatory for all patients (welcome inpatient booklet, urine strip, weight once per hospital stay
90 upon patient entrance and body temperature three times a day, and catheter monitoring when
91 appropriate), but blood cultures, blood and urinary catheter insertion and isolation monitoring
92 were prescribed by a medical doctor.

93 **Inclusion / exclusion criteria**

94 All patients with a length of stay less to 5 days were excluded from the study. The choice of 5 days
95 has been set to allow a sufficient amount of time in the hospital setting in which a catheter can be
96 prescribed and other nursing care to be needed.

97 **Ethics**

98 Patients were informed of the study upon admission to the unit. They were informed that this did
99 not affect their medical care. The study was approved by our independent ethics committee under
100 N° 2018-011.

101 **RESULTS:**

102 Medical records for 1532 patients were reviewed, and 732 files were excluded from the study
103 because the length of stay was less than five days. Finally, 800 files were considered suitable for
104 analysis of 18,455 opportunities to assess compliance.

105 Table 1 summarized the compliance to traceability of the 10 variables between paper forms
106 (period 1; 407 files) and paper forms plus Pharma software (period 2; 393 files). The paper forms
107 reported a low compliance to traceability rate; the highest was blood catheter monitoring
108 (59.07%) and temperature (58.67%). The other were : weight (55.28 %), welcome inpatient
109 booklet (46.93%), blood culture (43.66%), blood catheter insertion (32.51%) and isolation
110 monitoring (31%). Urine strip was traced in 13.51% of cases only, and urinary catheter monitoring
111 showed a meager compliance ratio (0.62%). Following the introduction of the Pharma® software, a
112 significant increase of the compliance to tracability of nursing care records was observed for
113 urinary strips which increased from 13.51% to 19.85% ($p=0.02$), and temperature from 58.67 % to
114 87.72 % ($p<0.0001$). Regarding the other variables, no significant changes were observed, except a
115 slight but significant decrease in compliance with blood catheter monitoring (59.07% to 56.31%
116 $p=0.014$).

117 In the prospective cohort study, a total of 341 files were collected, 148 records were excluded
118 from the study according to exclusion criterion, and 193 records were included, 101 in the cohort
119 (A) and 92 in the cohort (B), thus representing 6,073 opportunities submitted for analysis.
120 The use of PSR alone improve significantly the compliance to traceability of all nursing care
121 records but urinary catheter insertion.
122 Among the essential findings according to relative risk and 95% confidence interval, blood
123 catheter insertion increased from 44.19% to 100% of prescribed insertion, and blood catheter
124 monitoring has risen from 29.64% to 80.74% of catheters to be monitored. Two other items
125 improved significantly, the body temperature from 53.12% to 80.81%, and the isolation
126 monitoring from 26.57% to 43.85% of prescribed isolation (Table 2).
127 Since then, the system has been deployed in the unit, allowing continuous and real-time
128 monitoring of nursing files. Two years after the completion of the study, the average compliance
129 to traceability of nursing care records of some mandatory items such temperature, pulse, blood
130 pressure, and pain was 91.7% (Week 7 February 2019), suggesting that changes in the behavior of
131 healthcare workers have been sustained.

132 **DISCUSSION**

133 Reviews of PDA usage in health care indicate that they are widely used, functional, and useful for
134 documentation. That PDAs might improve decision making, reduce medical errors, and enhance
135 learning for students and professionals^{13, 14}.

136 A nursing record system allows the care that has been planned or provided to individual patients
137 by nurses or other caregivers to be recorded. Several studies have already compared paper-based
138 and electronic computerized nursing records, which suggest that electronic systems are preferred
139^{15 16}. Nursing record systems may be an effective way of influencing nurse practice . However, with
140 the use of these technologies, nurses are expected to change the way they document patient care

141 by shifting from paper forms to electronic systems. While electronic medical records
142 documentation in presence of the patient likely impact nurse patient relation-ship ¹⁷, the bedside
143 recording with a barcode in our experience allow maintaining this relation. Wang et al. in their
144 study reported that the overall quality of documentation content for the nursing process was not
145 better in the electronic system than in the paper-based system ¹⁸. Interestingly, in our study, the
146 addition of a new nursing record electronic system such as Pharma[®] has improved the traceability
147 of body temperature and urine strip recording, but inversely, has significantly decreased the
148 compliance to blood catheter monitoring. However, in our study, the lack of significant
149 improvement in urinary catheter insertion traceability with the PSR compare to any type of
150 records reported in table 2, is likely related to the study power.

151 To avoid information transmission oversights and improve the compliance to traceability of
152 nursing care, it was suggested that care recording should be done during the care at bedside.
153 Moreover, the fight against cross-transmission of pathogens in hospitals, resulting in hospital
154 acquired infection, explains the choice of a dedicated PDA for each bedroom. Among the
155 weaknesses of our study, the randomization was not feasible, because of health care organization
156 which do not allow a random assignement of patients.

157 To the best of our knowledge, there is no other study reporting the quantitative evaluation of
158 point of care nursing records. The advantage of recording at the point of care is twofold, being
159 safer from an hygiene point of view (one PDA per room) and avoiding the loss of information
160 between the bedroom and the nearest computer. Moreover, the data collected by the PSR can be
161 directly transferred to the care plan in the patient's electronic files of the hospital information
162 system. We evaluate ten variables because of their mandatory character, but the PSR contains up
163 to 80 variables and allows for decision-making. For instance, once a nurse has registered the

164 introduction of a peripheral venous catheter, the system asks her if the catheter can be removed
165 each shift (8 hours).

166 Post operative infection, central and peripheral venous catheter related blood stream infections
167 represent more than half of the cost of all AE². For this reason we focused our study on variables
168 mostly associated with hospital acquired infection rather than drug prescription or falls, which are
169 the two other most frequent AE reported in the literature. Unfortunately, as the research
170 question was focused on the compliance of traceability of nursing care, the adverse events were
171 not recorded.

172 In conclusion, providing to caregivers a nursing record system using barcoded implements (PSR)
173 at the point of care in real time significantly improved traceability of the nursing care.

Reference List

- (1) de Vries EN, Ramrattan MA, Smorenburg SM, Gouma DJ, Boermeester MA. The incidence and nature of in-hospital adverse events: a systematic review. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2008;17(3):216-223.
- (2) Schwendimann R, Blatter C, Dhaini S, Simon M, Ausserhofer D. The occurrence, types, consequences and preventability of in-hospital adverse events - a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2018;18(1):521.
- (3) D'Amour D, Dubois CA, Tchouaket E, Clarke S, Blais R. The occurrence of adverse events potentially attributable to nursing care in medical units: cross sectional record review. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2014;51(6):882-891.
- (4) Ahmed AH, Giri J, Kashyap R et al. Outcome of adverse events and medical errors in the intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Med Qual* 2015;30(1):23-30.
- (5) Baker GR, Norton PG, Flintoft V et al. The Canadian Adverse Events Study: the incidence of adverse events among hospital patients in Canada. *CMAJ* 2004;170(11):1678-1686.
- (6) L T.Kohn, J M.Corrigan, M S.Donaldson. *To Err is Human: building a safer health system*. Washington DC: National Academic Press US; 2000.
- (7) de Vries EN, Prins HA, Crolla RM et al. Effect of a comprehensive surgical safety system on patient outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363(20):1928-1937.
- (8) da CC, Linch GFDC. Implementation of Electronic Records Related to Nursing Diagnoses. *Int J Nurs Knowl* 2018.
- (9) Seebregts CJ, Zwarenstein M, Mathews C et al. Handheld computers for survey and trial data collection in resource-poor settings: development and evaluation of PDACT, a Palm Pilot interviewing system. *Int J Med Inform* 2009;78(11):721-731.

- (10) Zalon ML, Sandhaus S, Valenti D, Arzamasova U. Using PDAs to detect cognitive change in the hospitalized elderly patient. *Appl Nurs Res* 2010;23(3):e21-e27.
- (11) Horng S, Goss FR, Chen RS, Nathanson LA. Prospective pilot study of a tablet computer in an Emergency Department. *Int J Med Inform* 2012;81(5):314-319.
- (12) Caligtan CA, Carroll DL, Hurley AC, Gersh-Zaremski R, Dykes PC. Bedside information technology to support patient-centered care. *Int J Med Inform* 2012;81(7):442-451.
- (13) Hudson K, Buell V. Empowering a safer practice: PDAs are integral tools for nursing and health care. *J Nurs Manag* 2011;19(3):400-406.
- (14) Mickan S, Tilson JK, Atherton H, Roberts NW, Heneghan C. Evidence of effectiveness of health care professionals using handheld computers: a scoping review of systematic reviews. *J Med Internet Res* 2013;15(10):e212.
- (15) Adereti CS, Olaogun AA. Use of Electronic and Paper-based Standardized Nursing Care Plans to Improve Nurses' Documentation Quality in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital. *Int J Nurs Knowl* 2019;30(4):219-227.
- (16) Urquhart C, Currell R, Grant MJ, Hardiker NR. Nursing record systems: effects on nursing practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;(1):CD002099.
- (17) Misto K, Padula C, Bryand E, Nadeau K. Nurses' Perception of the Impact of Electronic Documentation on the Nurse-Patient Relationship. *J Nurs Care Qual* 2019;34(2):163-168.
- (18) Wang N, Yu P, Hailey D. The quality of paper-based versus electronic nursing care plan in Australian aged care homes: A documentation audit study. *Int J Med Info*

Table 1: Compliance to traceability of 10 variables between paper forms (period 1) and paper forms plus Pharma software (period 2)

Nursing care Variables	Period 1 - 407 files			Period 2 - 393 files			RRR (95% CI)	p-value
	to record	recorded	Compliance (%)	to record	recorded	Compliance (%)		
Blood catheter insertion	243	79	32.51%	227	82	36.12%	1.11 (0.77-1.58)	0.4669 (NS)
Blood catheter monitoring	4041	2387	59.07%	3717	2093	56.31%	0.95 (0.88-1.02)	0.01484
Urinary catheter insertion	18	3	16.67%	22	5	22.73%	1.36 (0.28-6.49)	0.7089 (NS)
Urinary catheter monitoring	2434	15	0.62%	2237	18	0.80%	1.30 (0.65-2.59)	0.5531 (NS)
Blood cultures	142	62	43.66%	96	44	45.83%	1.04 (0.65-1.67)	0.8433 (NS)
Temperature	6105	3582	58.67%	5895	5171	87.72%	1.49 (1.41-1.58)	< 0.0001
Urine strip	407	55	13.51%	393	78	19.85%	1.46 (1.01-2.13)	0.02086
Isolation monitoring	4251	1318	31.00%	3507	1037	29.57%	0.95 (0.86-1.04)	0.1792 (NS)
Weight	407	225	55.28%	393	239	60.81%	1.10 (0.87-1.38)	0.1302 (NS)
Welcome booklet	407	191	46.93%	393	186	47.33%	1.0 (0.78-1.28)	0.9662 (NS)

Table 2: Compliance to traceability of 10 variables between Any Type of Records* (Cohort A) and PSR (Cohort B)

Nursing care Variables	Cohort A - 101 files			Cohort B- 92 files			RRR (95% CI)	p-value
	To traced	Traced	Compliance (%)	To traced	Traced	Compliance (%)		
Blood catheter insertion	43	19	44.19%	31	31	100.00%	2.26 (1.08-4.71)	< 0.0001
Blood catheter monitoring	631	187	29.64%	244	197	80.74%	2.72 (2.12-3.49)	< 0.0001
Urinary catheter insertion	6	1	16.67%	2	2	100.00%	6.0 (0.33-107.42)	0.1071
Urinary catheter monitoring	88	9	10.23%	92	51	55.43%	5.42 (2.51-11.66)	< 0.0001
Blood cultures	63	16	25.40%	26	20	76.92%	3.02 (1.36-6.74)	< 0.0001
Temperature	1508	801	53.12%	1188	960	80.81%	1.52 (1.34-1.71)	< 0.0001
Urine strip	101	12	11.88%	92	40	43.48%	3.65 (1.80-7.40)	< 0.0001
Isolation monitoring	764	203	26.57%	358	157	43.85%	1.65(1.29-2.1)	< 0.0001
Weight	101	29	28.71%	92	69	75.00%	2.61 (1.55-4.38)	< 0.0001
Welcome booklet	101	27	26.73%	92	68	73.91%	2.76 (1.63-4.68)	< 0.0001

* Any type of record: variables recorded on any of available Paper forms plus Pharma® software and Electronical Medical Record