

- 1 Title page
- 2 Title
- 3 How comprehensive is the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for
- 4 identifying clinical trial registration?: the protocol of a diagnostic study
- 5
- 6 Short title
- 7 Searching the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for identifying
- 8 clinical trial registration: a study protocol
- 9
- 10 Author names and affiliations
- 11 Masahiro Banno ^{a, b, c}; E-mail: solvency@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp
- 12 Yasushi Tsujimoto ^{c, d, e}; E-mail: yssh0108@yahoo.co.jp
- 13 Yuki Kataoka ^{c, d, f, g}; E-mail: youkiti@gmail.com
- 14 a Department of Psychiatry, Seichiryō Hospital, Tsurumai 4-16-27, Showa-ku,
- 15 Nagoya 466-0064 JAPAN.
- 16 b Department of Psychiatry, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
- 17 Tsurumai-cho 65, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8560 JAPAN.
- 18 c Systematic Review Workshop Peer Support Group (SRWS-PSG), Koraibashi

19 1-7-7-2302, Chuo-ku, Osaka 541-0043, JAPAN

20 d Department of Healthcare Epidemiology, Graduate School of Medicine and
21 Public Health, Kyoto University, Yoshida Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501
22 JAPAN.

23 e Department of Nephrology and Dialysis, Kyoritsu Hospital, Chuo-cho 16-5,
24 Kawanishi 666-0016 JAPAN.

25 f Hospital Care Research Unit, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical
26 Center, Higashinaniwa-cho 2-17-77, Amagasaki 660-8550 JAPAN

27 g Department of Respiratory Medicine, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General
28 Medical Center, Higashinaniwa-cho 2-17-77, Amagasaki 660-8550 JAPAN

29

30 Corresponding author

31 Masahiro Banno

32 Department of Psychiatry, Seichiryō Hospital, 4-16-27, Tsurumai, Showa-ku,
33 Nagoya 466-0064 JAPAN, Tel: +81 52 7411231; Fax: +81 52 7330224. Email

34 address: solvency@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

35

36

37 ABSTRACT

38 Objectives. To evaluate whether or not systematic reviewers can use Cochrane
39 Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) to identify ongoing and
40 unpublished studies instead of searching International Clinical Trials Registry
41 Platform (ICTRP) and ClinicalTrials.gov (CT.gov).

42 Methods. This will be a diagnostic accuracy test study. We will collect a
43 consecutive sample of ongoing or unpublished studies on Cochrane Database of
44 Systematic Reviews (CDSRs) during the last six months. We will use all of the
45 records as our reference standard and evaluated whether they are part of the
46 CENTRAL search presented in the CDSRs.

47 The index test is the CENTRAL search using the search terms in the CDSRs,
48 and the reference standard is the list of ongoing or unpublished studies
49 registered on the ICTRP or CT.gov in the CDSRs.

50 We will assess the sensitivity and number needed to read.

51 Ethics & Dissemination. This study does not require ethics approval. We
52 registered this study protocol. We will publish the findings in a peer-reviewed
53 journal and may present them at conferences.

54 Discussion. This study may lessen the burden of systematic reviewers if this

55 study clarifies CENTRAL search can be used for screening in records about
56 ongoing or unpublished studies.

57 Registration: UMIN-CTR: UMIN000038981

58

59 Author name abbreviations: Masahiro Banno (MB), Yasushi Tsujimoto (YT), Yuki

60 Kataoka (YK)

61

62 **KEYWORDS**

63 Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, clinical trial registration,
64 sensitivity, diagnostic test accuracy, research on research

65

66 **BACKGROUND**

67 Searching for ongoing or unpublished studies when conducting systematic
68 reviews is important to address publication bias [1]. Cochrane handbook and
69 previous studies require systematic reviewers to search both International
70 Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) and ClinicalTrials.gov (CT.gov) to
71 identify ongoing or unpublished studies [1-4].

72 However, searching ICTRP directly is problematic because number of records

73 downloadable per one time is limited and because ICTRP do not return search
74 results with complex search strategies [3, 5].

75 We can recently search records about ongoing or unpublished randomized
76 controlled trials and quasi-randomized controlled trials on the Cochrane Central
77 Register of Controlled Trials(CENTRAL) [6]. All the records on ICTRP and
78 CT.gov before April 2019 have been included in CENTRAL. Newly identified
79 CT.gov and ICTRP records are added to CENTRAL on a monthly basis [7].

80 Whether systematic reviewers can search CENTRAL instead of ICTRP and
81 CT.gov to screening records about ongoing or unpublished studies is unknown.

82 If CENTRAL search can replace the search of ICTRP or CT.gov, we expect to
83 identify all ongoing and unpublished studies on ICTRP or CT.gov included in
84 systematic reviews by CENTRAL search alone. The objective of this study is to
85 evaluate the sensitivity and number needed to read (NNR) of CENTRAL search
86 to identify ongoing or unpublished studies for systematic review production.

87

88 MATERIALS AND METHODS

89 This publication is the full study protocol. This protocol has been registered in
90 the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry

91 (UMIN-CTR). The registration number is UMIN000038981.

92

93 Study design

94 This study is a diagnostic test accuracy study. We will collect a consecutive
95 sample of ongoing or unpublished records on Cochrane Database of Systematic
96 Reviews (CDSRs). We will use the records as our reference standard and
97 evaluate whether they are part of the CENTRAL search. Figure 1 and Figure 2
98 summarize the concept and design of this study. We define CDSR records as
99 ongoing or unpublished studies including each CDSR. We define CENTRAL
100 records as records derived from CENTRAL search. We define CDSR/CENTRAL
101 records as ongoing or unpublished studies including each CDSR and records
102 derived from CENTRAL search.

103

104 Eligible criteria

105 We will include all records about ongoing or unpublished studies registered on
106 the ICTRP or CT.gov in the latest interventional CDSRs. We will include CDSRs
107 during the last six months. We will exclude CDSRs that used Cochrane Review
108 Group Specialized Registers or CDSRs that did not use CENTRAL, ICTRP, or

109 CT.gov.

110 To evaluate whether the records of ongoing and unpublished studies in CDSRs
111 can be identified by CENTRAL search alone, we will also include all records of
112 the ICTRP or CT.gov that are identified by the search strategy of CENTRAL
113 presented in CDSRs.

114 For eligible records, we will extract the following characteristics; trial identifying
115 number, principal investigator, and year of registration.

116

117 Index test and reference standard

118 The index test will be CENTRAL search. We will manually search CENTRAL with
119 the search strategy presented in each CDSR, in limited publication date, which
120 corresponds to the search date in each CDSR.

121 The reference standard is the list of ongoing or unpublished studies registered
122 on the ICTRP or CT.gov in the CDSRs, and two other authors will confirm the
123 records. We will tackle disagreements by discussion between the authors. We
124 will choose CDSRs as the data source because CDSRs are performed by
125 rigorous methods following Cochrane Handbook and expected to be available
126 sufficient search strategy to perform a comprehensive CENTRAL search [1].

127

128 Sample size

129 We do not calculate suitable sample size because this study is an explanatory
130 study. We will focus on CDSRs during the last six months to obtain a certain
131 number of records.

132

133 Data analysis

134 Our primary outcome is a sensitivity, with its 95 % confidence interval, of
135 CENTRAL search to identify all ongoing and unpublished records. We will
136 calculate a sensitivity, dividing the number of CDSR/CENTRAL records by the
137 number of CDSR records [1]. We will calculate 95 % CI in Wilson score interval
138 with continuity correction by a calculator [8]. We will use Wilson score interval
139 with continuity correction because this method is the accurate method than
140 conventional method [9].

141 The secondary outcome is a NNR. NNR is a measure of how many records in a
142 database have to be read to identify one of adequate clinical quality and
143 relevance [10]. We will calculate a NNR, dividing the number of CENTRAL
144 records by the number of CDSR/CENTRAL records [1]. We will also report the

145 numbers of records, which are included CDSRs but are not identified by
146 CENTRAL search.

147 If the sensitivity was not 100%, we will conduct pre-specified subgroup analysis
148 about the primary outcome as follows: 1) type of intervention on CDSRs
149 (pharmacological intervention or non-pharmacological intervention), 2) version
150 of CDSRs (the first version or updated version).

151 All statistical analyses will be executed in Stata V.15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College
152 Station, Texas, United States of America) [11].

153

154 **Ethics**

155 Ethics approval will not be essential because this study conduct research on
156 research.

157

158 **DISCUSSION**

159 This is the first study to investigate the appropriateness whether CENTRAL
160 search instead of ICTRP or CT.gov is sufficient to identify ongoing or
161 unpublished clinical trial registration. Therefore, this study will potentially be able
162 to lessen the burden of systematic reviewers if searching ICTRP or CT.gov for

163 identifying ongoing or unpublished studies is not mandatory based on the results
164 of this study.

165 This study had some expected limitations. First, not all records which undergo
166 CENTRAL search may be assessed by hand search on CDSRs, the reference
167 standard. The potential reason is that the time lags about records from
168 registration on ICTRP or CT.gov to adding to CENTRAL, which may prevent
169 searching CENTRAL from detecting comprehensive data about ongoing or
170 unpublished studies. We may underestimate sensitivity if this limitation arises
171 (for example, specify number of CDSR records is A, number of CDSR/CENTRAL
172 records is B, number of records added after time lag is X. A is larger than B.
173 Superficial sensitivity= B/A , true sensitivity= $(B+X)/(A+X)$. Superficial sensitivity is
174 smaller than true sensitivity because $A>B$).

175 In conclusion, this study will perform an investigation about records about
176 ongoing or unpublished clinical trials. The expected results will clarify whether
177 systematic reviewers can search only CENTRAL to identify ongoing or
178 unpublished studies.

179

180 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

181 We would like to thank the Cochrane Library for managing the Cochrane Central

182 Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL).

183

184 CONTRIBUTORS

185 MB, YT and YK contributed to the conception and design of the research. MB is

186 fully responsible for writing the protocol. All authors gave final approval of the

187 protocol before submission. After the publication of the protocol, we plan for the

188 following contributions by each author: MB will screen CDSR records and extract

189 data. YT and YK will validate the records and data. MB will conduct the data

190 analysis. MB, YT and YK will write the manuscript.

191

192 FUNDING

193 This protocol was supported by no funder.

194

195 COMPETING INTERESTS

196 All authors have no competing interests.

197

198 Provenance and peer review

199 Not peer reviewed.

200

201 Patient consent for publication

202 Not required.

203

204 Data Availability Statement

205 We have no additional data.

206

207 Figure and table legends

208 Figure 1: Diagram about Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSRs)

209 Abbreviations: CDSRs, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; CENTRAL,

210 Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials.

211 Figure 2: Diagram about registration records

212 Abbreviations: CDSRs, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; CENTRAL,

213 Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials; CT.gov, ClinicalTrials.gov; ICTRP,

214 International Clinical Trials Registry Platform.

215

216 References

217

218 [1] Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page M, et al. Cochrane
219 Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July
220 2019). Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.; 2019 [accessed
221 December 7 2019].

222 [2] Banno M, Tsujimoto Y, Kataoka Y. Studies registered in non-ClinicalTrials.gov
223 accounted for an increasing proportion of protocol registrations in medical
224 research. J Clin Epidemiol. 2019;116:106-13.

225 [3] Glanville JM, Duffy S, McCool R, Varley D. Searching ClinicalTrials.gov and
226 the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform to inform systematic reviews:
227 what are the optimal search approaches? J Med Libr Assoc. 2014;102:177-83.

228 [4] Knelangen M, Hausner E, Metzendorf MI, Sturtz S, Waffenschmidt S. Trial
229 registry searches for randomized controlled trials of new drugs required
230 registry-specific adaptation to achieve adequate sensitivity. J Clin Epidemiol.
231 2018;94:69-75.

232 [5] World Health Organization. International Clinical Trials Registry
233 Platform (ICTRP): Downloading records from the ICTRP database. Available at
234 <https://www.who.int/ictrp/search/download/en/>; 2019 [accessed December 7

235 2019].

236 [6] Cochrane Library. How CENTRAL is created. Available at

237 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/central-creation>; 2019 [accessed

238 December 7 2019].

239 [7] Cochrane Library. The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials.

240 Available at

241 <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central>; 2019 [accessed December 9 2019].

242 [8] Statskingdom. Statistics Kingdom: Proportion confidence interval calculator.

243 http://www.statskingdom.com/41_proportion_confidence_interval.html;

244 [accessed December 15 2019].

245 [9] Wallis S. Binomial Confidence Intervals and Contingency Tests: Mathematical

246 Fundamentals and the Evaluation of Alternative Methods. Journal of Quantitative

247 Linguistics. 2013;20:178–208.

248 [10] Toth B, Gray JA, Brice A. The number needed to read-a new measure of

249 journal value. Health Info Libr J. 2005;22:81-2.

250 [11] StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX:

251 StataCorp LLC; 2017.

252

Figure 1: Diagram about Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSRs)




Figure 2: Diagram about registration records

