Host genomics of the HIV-1 reservoir size and its decay rate during suppressive antiretroviral treatment

Christian W. Thorball[1,*], Alessandro Borghesi[1,2,*], Nadine Bachmann[3,4], Chantal von Siebenthal[3,4], Valentina Vongrad[3,4], Teja Turk[3,4], Kathrin Neumann[3,4], Niko Beerenwinkel[5,6], Jasmina Bogojeska[7], Volker Roth[8], Yik Lim Kok[3,4], Sonali Parbhoo[8,9], Mario Wieser[8], Jürg Böni[4], Matthieu Perreau[10], Thomas Klimkait[11], Sabine Yerly[12], Manuel Battegay[13], Andri Rauch[14], Patrick Schmid[15], Enos Bernasconi[16], Matthias Cavassini[17], Roger D. Kouyos[3,4], Huldrych F. Günthard[3,4], Karin J. Metzner[3,4], Jacques Fellay[1,18,§] and the Swiss HIV Cohort Study

School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy.

Department of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Institute of Medical Virology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zurich, Basel, Switzerland.

SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Basel, Switzerland.

IBM Research - Zurich, Rüschlikon, Switzerland.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

Harvard John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA.

Division of Immunology and Allergy, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

11 Division Infection Diagnostics, Department Biomedicine—Petersplatz, University of Basel,

Basel, Switzerland.

12 Division of Infectious Diseases and Laboratory of Virology, University Hospital Geneva,

University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.

13 Department of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Basel,

Basel, Switzerland.

14 Department of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

15 Division of Infectious Diseases, Cantonal Hospital of St. Gallen, St. Gallen, Switzerland.

16 Infectious Diseases Service, Regional Hospital of Lugano, Lugano, Switzerland.

17 Division of Infectious Diseases, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, University of

Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

18 Precision Medicine Unit, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV) and University of

Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

* Equal contribution

§ Corresponding author:

Jacques Fellay

School of Life Sciences

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

E-mail: jacques.fellay@epfl.ch

Phone number: +41216931849

Keywords: HIV, genetics, ART, latent reservoir, GWAS, exome sequencing

ABSTRACT

Introduction

A major hurdle to HIV-1 eradication is the establishment of a latent viral reservoir early after

primary infection. Several factors are known to influence the HIV-1 reservoir size and decay

rate on suppressive antiretroviral treatment (ART), but little is known about the role of human

genetic variation.

Methods

We measured the reservoir size at three time points over a median of 5.4 years, and searched

for associations between human genetic variation and two phenotypic readouts: the reservoir

size at the first time point and its decay rate over the study period. We assessed the contribution

of common genetic variants using genome-wide genotyping data from 797 patients with

European ancestry enrolled in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study and searched for a potential impact

of rare variants and exonic copy number variants using exome sequencing data generated in a

subset of 194 study participants.

Results

Genome- and exome-wide analyses did not reveal any significant association with the size of

the HIV-1 reservoir or its decay rate on suppressive ART.

Conclusions

Our results point to a limited influence of human genetics on the size of the HIV-1 reservoir

and its long-term dynamics in successfully treated individuals.

INTRODUCTION

Combination antiretroviral treatment (ART) has turned the previously lethal infection by human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) into a chronic disease. Despite this significant achievement, HIV-1 as retrovirus, self-integrating its genome into the host chromosome, persists indefinitely in infected individuals during treatment [1–4], and life-long ART is required to control the infection.

A major hurdle to HIV-1 eradication is the establishment, already during primary infection, of a latent viral reservoir of HIV-1 DNA persisting as provirus in resting memory $CD4^+$ T cells [1,2,5–8]. At the molecular level, chromatin remodeling, epigenetic modifications, transcriptional interference, and availability of transcription factors have been considered as possible mechanisms contributing to HIV-1 latency [9]. The viral reservoir is measurable through different methods, including viral outgrowth assay and intracellular HIV-1 DNA quantification [10,11]. Currently, there is no consensus on the best HIV-1 reservoir biomarker. Total cell-associated HIV-1 DNA, easy to measure in different cell and tissue samples and applicable to large populations, has been shown to be a good proxy for the reservoir size [12]. Indeed, while HIV-1 DNA measurement is able to detect both integrated and nonintegrated viral genomes coding for intact or defective viruses [13], total HIV-1 DNA levels have been shown to correlate with viral outgrowth [14], and to predict the time to viral rebound at treatment interruption [15]. Moreover, the substantial loss of nonintegrated HIV-1 DNA genomes following ART initiation suggests that total HIV-1 DNA after prolonged suppression is largely accounted for by integrated viral genomes [16].

After an initial rapid decay following ART initiation, changes of the viral reservoir size over time display wide inter-individual variability. By limiting dilution culture assay, the half-life of the viral reservoir was first estimated to be 44 months (95% confidence interval 27.4-114.5) in individuals with undetectable viremia [4]. A more recent study showed a slow decline of total

HIV-1 DNA with a half-life of 13 years after the first four years of suppressive ART [17].

Generally, different studies show a broad variability of the average decay rate, from 2.5 months

to no measurable decay [18–26]. One study even reported an increase in the viral reservoir size

in as much as 31% of patients in the 4-7 years following ART initiation [27], and recent data

from our group confirm this observation, reporting an increase in the reservoir size in 26.8% of

individuals in the 1.5-5.5 years after ART initiation [28].

Several factors are known to influence the decay rate of the viral reservoir: initiation of ART

during acute HIV-1 infection substantially accelerates the decay rate, while viral blips and low-

level viremia during ART slow it down, as shown in previous studies [22] and in recent data

from our cohort [28]. Conversely, treatment intensification, i.e. treating with additional drugs,

does not appear to influence the decay rate, suggesting that residual replication is not the main

driver of the viral reservoir [29] or that it may happen in sanctuary sites.

Human genetic variants have been shown to influence the outcome of various infections,

including HIV. Previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) addressed the role of

common genetic polymorphisms in several HIV-related phenotypes, including plasma viral

load (HIV-1 RNA) at set point, exceptional capacity to control viral replication, pace of CD4+

T lymphocyte decline, time to clinical AIDS, rapid progressor status or long-term non-

progressor status (LTNP) [30–37], and, in one single study, the amount of intracellular HIV-1

DNA, measured at a single time point during the chronic phase of infection [38]. Rare genetic

variants that are detectable through DNA sequencing technologies have been investigated far

less. However, a large exome sequencing study did not reveal any convincing association of

such variants with the natural history of HIV disease [39].

To date, no studies have addressed the role of human genetic variation in determining the initial

viral reservoir size and the reservoir decay rate over time. In the current study, we searched for

host genetic factors associated with the HIV-1 reservoir size and its long-term dynamics in a

cohort of 797 HIV-1 positive individuals on suppressive ART for at least five years.

METHODS

Ethics statement

Participants in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) consented to the cohort study and genetic

analyses, as approved by the corresponding local Ethics Committees.

Study participants

The SHCS is an ongoing, nation-wide cohort study of HIV-positive individuals, including more

than 70% of all persons living with HIV in Switzerland. Clinical and laboratory information

has been prospectively recorded at follow-up visits every 3-6 months since 1988 [40]. The

general enrolment criteria have been described previously [28]. Additionally, availability of

genome-wide genotyping data from previous studies or of a DNA sample for genotyping was

required for inclusion in this study (Figure 1).

Quantification of total HIV-1 DNA

The collection of longitudinal cryopreserved peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)

from eligible participants and the quantification of total HIV-1 DNA by droplet digital PCR has

been described previously along with the calculation of the reservoir decay rate [28]. Briefly,

this study utilized total HIV-1 DNA quantifications from three time points at a median of ~1.5

years, ~3.5 years, and ~5.4 years after initiation of ART.

Genotyping and genome-wide association analyses

Genome-wide genotyping data were obtained from previous GWAS that used various

microarrays, including the HumanCore-12, HumanHap550, Human610, Human1M and

Infinium CoreExome-24 BeadChips (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), or generated from

DNA extracted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells using the HumanOmniExpress-24

BeadChip (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Genotypes from each genotyping array were filtered and imputed separately, with variants first

flipped to the correct strand with BCFTOOLS (v1.8) according to the human GRCh37 reference

genome and filtered based on a less than 20% deviation from the 1000 genomes phase 3 EUR

reference panel. Genotypes were phased, and missing genotypes were imputed with EAGLE2

[41] and PBWT [42] respectively, using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 reference panel on

the Sanger Imputation Service [43]. Study participants were filtered based on European

ancestry as determined by principal component analysis (PCA) using EIGENSTRAT (v6.1.4)

[44] and the HapMap project [45] as reference populations (Figure S1A). Imputed variants were

filtered by minor allele frequency (MAF) < 5%, missingness > 10%, deviation from Hardy-

Weinberg equilibrium ($P_{HWE} < 1e-6$) and imputation quality score (INFO < 0.8). The remaining

genotypes were then combined using PLINK (v1.90b5) [46] prior to analyses.

To carry out the GWASs, genome-wide genotypes were tested for association with each of the

two study phenotypes (reservoir size or reservoir decay rate) in two separate genome-wide

association analyses. Statistical significance was set to the standard genome-wide significance

threshold of P < 5e-8 to correct for multiple testing. The associations were computed using

linear mixed models with genetic relationship matrixes calculated between pairs of individuals

according to the leave-one-chromosome-out method as implemented in GCTA mlma-loco

(v1.91.4beta) [47,48], only including age and sex as covariates, to avoid masking of true

associations by confounders. To further assess the contribution of variables previously shown

to be associated with either reservoir size or decay rate, we ran multiple genome-wide

association analyses, each including age, sex, and one single covariate, for each of the two study

phenotypes. Finally, we conducted a GWAS including all the covariates except those showing

mutual correlations. These covariates included time on ART, time to viral suppression,

infection stage (acute or chronic), HIV-1 RNA pre-ART, last CD4+ T cell count pre-ART,

HIV-1 subtype, transmission group, and occurrence of blips or low-level viremia during

treatment.

Classical HLA alleles at the four-digit level and variable amino acids within HLA proteins were

imputed using SNP2HLA (v1.03) with the T1DGC reference panel consisting of 5,225

individuals of European ancestry [49]. Association analyses with the imputed HLA alleles and

multi-allelic amino acids was performed using linear regressions in PLINK and multivariate

omnibus tests, respectively. For all HLA analyses age, sex and the first principal component

was included as covariates.

Genotypes at specific loci, i.e. the CCR5Δ32 deletion (rs333) and the HLA-B*57:01 allele,

known to influence the setpoint viral load (spVL) [50,51], available from genome-wide

genotyping data, were tested for association with the reservoir size and its decay rate in 797

patients. High quality genotyping information on the CCR5Δ32 deletion was available for most

individuals (N = 687), while all had available HLA information.

Exome sequencing and analysis

All coding exons were captured using either the Illumina Truseq 65 Mb enrichment kit

(Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) or the IDT xGen Exome Research Panel v1.0 (Integrated

DNA Technologies Inc., Coralville, IA, USA) and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq4000.

Sequence reads were aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh37) including decoys with

BWA-MEM (v0.7.10) [52]. PCR duplicates were flagged using Picard tools (v2.18.14) and

variant calling performed using GATK (v3.7) [53].

To ensure a high-quality variant set across capture kit batches, all samples were merged and

variants filtered based on sequencing depth (DP ≥ 20) and genotype quality (GQ ≥ 30) using

BCFTOOLS (v1.8). Furthermore, individual genotypes were set as missing in cases of low

depth (DP < 10) or low quality (GQ < 20). The effect of the included variants was annotated

with SnpEff (v4.3T) [54].

For single variant association analysis, the VCF file was converted to PLINK format using

BCFTOOLS and PLINK. Only variants with a MAF above 5%, missingness per variant below

5% and absence of severe deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P_{HWE} > 1e-6) were

retained for the subsequent association analyses using PLINK. Sex, age and the first principal

component were included as covariates. Only individuals of European descent were retained

for the analyses, as determined by PCA (Figure S1B).

The combined effect of rare protein-altering variants (MAF < 5%), defined as either missense,

stop-gain, frameshift, essential splice variant or an indel by SnpEff, on the reservoir size and

decay rate was analyzed using optimal sequence kernel association tests (SKAT-O) [55]. For

the decay rate, individuals were split into two groups due to the non-normal distribution; one

exhibiting a very high decay over time (< -0.03 -log10(DNA)) and another with a stable

reservoir size (≥ -0.03 and ≤ 0.03 -log10(DNA)). For this case-control analysis we used the

SKATbinary function with linear weighted variants as implemented in the SKAT R package.

In both cases, the analyses were adjusted for age, sex, and the first principal component.

Classical HLA class I and II alleles at the four-digit level were imputed from the exome

sequencing data using HLA*LA [56]. All reads mapping to the MHC region or marked as

unmapped were extracted using Samtools (v1.8) and used as input into HLA*LA. For

association analyses, the 4-digit HLA alleles were extracted and analyzed using PyHLA [57]

assuming an additive model, a minimum frequency of 5% and including age, sex and the first

principal component as covariates.

Copy number variation

Copy number variations (CNVs) were called from exome sequencing data using CLAMMS [58]. CNVs were called for all samples in batches according to the exome capture kit used. Within batches, samples were normalized based on coverage and potential intra-batch effects adjusted for through the use of recommended mapping metrics extracted with Picard tools (v2.18.14). After CNV calling, samples with the number of CNVs two times above the median were excluded (N = 2). CNV association analyses were performed for duplications and deletions separately for common CNVs (frequency > 5 %) with PLINK adjusting for age and sex. Potential rare CNVs (frequency < 5%) impacting immune related genes were examined by overlapping called CNVs with curated immune-related genes from Immport [59] which were also listed as protein coding in GENCODE (v25).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical software (v3.5.2), unless otherwise specified.

RESULTS

Host genetic determinants of the reservoir size and long-term dynamics

To investigate the effects of host genetic variation on the size of the HIV-1 reservoir 1.5 years after ART initiation and its long-term dynamics under ART over a median duration of 5.4 years, we performed a GWAS, including 797 well-characterized HIV-1 positive individuals. All study participants were enrolled in the SHCS and were of European ancestry with longitudinal total HIV-1 DNA measurements available (Table 1). The median HIV-1 reservoir size was 2.76

(IQR: 2.48-3.03) log10 total HIV-1 DNA copies/1 million genomic equivalents measured ~1.5

years after initiation of ART (Figure S2A). The median decay rate between 1.5-5.4 years after

initiation of ART was -0.06 (IQR: -0.12-0.00) log10 total HIV-1 DNA copies/1 million

genomic equivalents per year (Figure S2B). With our sample size we had 80% power to detect

variants with a MAF of 10% explaining at least 5% of the variance in HIV-1 reservoir size or

decay rate [60].

First, we performed GWAS using age and sex as covariates. We did not observe any genome-

wide significant variant (P < 5e-8) associated with either HIV-1 reservoir size or long-term

dynamics (Figure 2, S3). However, as we have previously determined, multiple factors are

associated with the HIV-1 reservoir size and its decay rate [28], some of which are correlated

(Figure S4). Thus, we performed additional analyses iteratively including these factors to test

whether they could mask genetic associations. We ran multiple GWAS each adjusting for age,

sex, plus one of the associated covariates, as well as all of the covariates together. The addition

of the covariates did not have any significant effect on the results nor the genome-wide inflation

factor (lambda) (Table S1).

Genetic variation in the HLA region has previously been associated with multiple HIV-related

outcomes, including spVL [51]. To test whether specific HLA variants were associated with

reservoir size or long-term dynamics, we imputed the HLA alleles and amino acids for all 797

individuals from the genotyping data. In line with the previous results, we did not observe any

genome-wide significant associations with any HLA allele or amino acid.

Impact of protein-coding and rare variants

To assess the impact of rare variants as well as protein-coding variants missed by genotyping

arrays on the HIV-1 reservoir size and long-term dynamics, we performed exome sequencing

in 194 of the 797 study participants. Patients were selected at the two extremes of the observed

reservoir decay rate: either very rapid, or absent (no change in reservoir size over ~5.4 years),

while individuals with increasing HIV-1 reservoir sizes were excluded (N=12). Thus, the long-

term dynamics phenotype was binarized for subsequent analyses of the decay rate, while the

HIV-1 reservoir size phenotype remained normally distributed (Figure S5).

To ensure that no common variants, missed by the genotype chips, were associated with the

HIV-1 reservoir size or long-term dynamics, we performed a GWAS for common variants using

age and sex as covariates. As with the genotyping data, we observed no genome-wide

significant variants for either phenotype (Figure S6).

We then examined the potential role of rare variants (MAF < 5%) with a functional impact

defined as either missense, frameshift, stop gained, splice acceptor or donor. Since HIV-1

primarily infects CD4+ T cells, we only included variants within genes expressed in these cells

as determined by Gutierrez-Arcelus *et al.* [61]. The significance threshold after correcting for

the number of tests performed was P = 1.21e-5. We did not observe any significant associations

for either the HIV-1 reservoir or the decay rate. The *AMBRA1* gene showed the strongest

association with HIV-1 reservoir size (P = 4.15e-5, not significant) (Figure S7).

To confirm the lack of HLA association seen with the genotyping data, we imputed the HLA

haplotypes from the exome data using HLA*LA. Again, we did not observe any significant

HLA association with the study outcomes.

Copy number variations

To examine the role of large exonic CNVs not captured by standard genotyping and exome

pipelines, we called CNVs from the mapped sequencing reads of the exome samples using the

software CLAMMS. The contribution of common CNVs to HIV-1 reservoir size and long-term

dynamics was analyzed by association analyses including age, sex and the first principal

component as covariates. No significant association was observed after Bonferroni correction

(Figure S8). We also searched for rare CNVs in curated immune-related genes from Immport

[59] but did not discover any suggestive immune-related CNVs .

Influence of HLA-B*57:01 and the CCR5Δ32 deletion on reservoir size and long-term

dynamics

We have previously shown that pre-ART RNA viral load levels are associated with the HIV-1

reservoir size and the occurrence of blips [28]. The HLA-B*57:01 allele and the CCR5Δ32

deletion are well known genetic variants influencing HIV-1 spVL [50,51], and could thus also

be associated with the with the HIV-1 reservoir size or its decay rate. However, we did not

observe any nominal association (all $P > 0.05$) with either reservoir size or its long-term

dynamics for HLA-B*57:01 and CCR5Δ32 (Figure S9).

DISCUSSION

We used a combination of genomic technologies to assess the potential role of human genetic

factors in determining both the HIV-1 reservoir size and its long-term dynamics in a well-

characterized, population-based cohort. We studied 797 HIV-1 infected individuals of

European origin under suppressive ART over a median of 5.4 years, for whom extensive clinical

data are available, allowing detailed characterization and correction for potential confounders

[28]. We measured the HIV-1 reservoir size at three time points and selected two phenotypes

for our genomic study: the reservoir size at ~1.5 years after ART initiation and the slope of the

reservoir decay rate calculated over the three time points. Previous HIV host genetic studies

mostly focused on phenotypes reflecting the natural history of HIV-1 infection, prior to ART

initiation, including spontaneous viral control and disease progression [30–37]. A single study

specifically tested for associations between common genetic variants and the amount of

intracellular HIV-1 DNA, measured at a single time point during the chronic phase of infection

prior to initiation of any antiretroviral therapy [38]. Here, in contrast, we longitudinally assessed

samples collected from patients under suppressive ART to search for human genetic

determinants of the long-term dynamics of the HIV-1 reservoir during treatment.

We first conducted a GWAS on 797 individuals to test for association between common genetic

variants and the phenotypes. Given the small proportion of non-European subjects in the initial

study cohort, we only included patients of European ancestry to avoid any false positive

associations or masking of true positive associations due to different allele frequencies in small

proportions of individuals belonging to different subpopulations (Figure 1) [62]. Regardless of

including or not independent covariates other than the standard ones (i.e., sex and age), no

genetic variant reached the genome-wide significance threshold for association with any of the

two phenotypes. This may reflect a small effect size of genetic variants on the HIV-1 reservoir

size and decay rate. We acknowledge that a larger sample size and thus increased statistical

power may allow detecting genetic variants with a smaller effect size associated with the

phenotypes. However, it should be noted that this study is by far the largest today that has

investigated the size and decay of the HIV-reservoir in well characterized and well suppressed

HIV-infected individuals over a longer time period. Alternatively, the control of the HIV-1

reservoir size and its long-term dynamics may be under the control of viral or host factors other

than the individual genetic background. A previous report from our group had shown a

correlation between viral blips during the first 1.5 years of suppressive ART and the HIV-1

reservoir size 1.5 years after ART initiation, and between viral blips after 1.5-5.4 years of

suppressive ART or low-level viremia and a slower decay rate [28]. Importantly, viral blips are

generally thought to reflect transient increases in viral replication, probably occur under

multifactorial influence from viral and host factors [63−70], with these latter possibly including,

but not being limited to, germline genetic variation. The biological relations between viral

reservoir, decay rate, viral blips, and the contribution of the individual genetic background still

need full elucidation.

Standard GWAS is designed to detect associations with common genetic variants (i.e., with a

MAF of at least 0.05), with little power to investigate the role of rare variants. Thus, to further

assess the contribution of rare variants in individuals at the extreme of the decay rate

distribution, we used exome sequencing in a selected subset of 194 study participants with very

high decay rate, or conversely, a stable reservoir size over time (Figure S5). Here again, our

analyses did not detect significant association with the phenotypes. Although not reaching

statistical significance, a rare genetic variant with potential functional impact in *AMBRA1* had

a p-value for association just below the corrected threshold. The expression of *AMBRA1*, a core

component of the autophagy machinery, has previously been associated with long-term viral

control in HIV-1 non-progressors [71]. Future studies may further elucidate whether genetic

variation in *AMBRA1* may account for inter-individual differences in the long-term dynamics

of the HIV-1 reservoir.

Large deletions or duplications of genomic material may be implicated in human phenotypes,

with CNVs impacting the exonic regions being more likely to have a functional role. Thus, we

further investigated whether any common or rare CNV spanning exonic regions was associated

with the phenotype. Again, no CNV was statistically associated with the phenotypes both in

the exome-wide analyses and in analyses focused on immune-related genes.

An inherent limitation of our exome-based association analyses was their inability to detect rare

variants outside the coding or splice-site regions. The exonic regions account for approximately

1-2% of the whole human genome. Because many regulatory sequences are located in extra-

genic sites, our analysis did not fully investigate the role of highly conserved, non-coding

genetic regions in influencing the phenotypes linked to HIV-1 latency.

Additionally, we focused on specific genetic variants, i.e., the HLA haplotypes and the

CCR5Δ32 deletion, previously demonstrated to have a role in HIV-1 related phenotypes

[30,51]. Indeed, previous studies unraveled a robust association between variation in the HLA

region and the HIV-1 spVL [30]. Likewise, heterozygosity for the CCR5Δ32 deletion has been

shown to influence spontaneous HIV-1 control [51]. Thus, we imputed HLA genotypes from

genotyping and exome data, and studied the CCR5Δ32 deletion, without, however, detecting

any significant associations with the phenotypes or the covariates (Figure S9). Specifically, we

found no correlation between HLA genotypes and HIV-1 RNA plasma levels prior to ART

initiation, apparently contrasting with the previous findings of an association between HLA-

B*57:01 haplotype and spVL. This probably reflects historical changes in the therapeutic

approach following a diagnosis of HIV-1 infection, given that ART is currently initiated soon

after clinical diagnosis, before most patients reach a stable plateau of plasma viral load.

In our study, the quantification of the reservoir size at different time points may have been

influenced by factors as, for example, blips and low-level viremia, which may have reduced

our ability to detect significant genetic effects. It is also possible that, in the future, novel

methods to assess the viral reservoir will allow the detection of significant contributions of

genetic factors [72]. So far, it remains unanswered whether the initial response to acute

infection, the containment of ongoing replication, and the control of latently infected cells are

under the influence of the same or different molecular networks. It needs to be noted that in

previous work we have shown that host genetic factors as defined by GWAS did not explain

the severity of symptoms during acute HIV-infection, although severity of symptoms correlated

well with viral load and CD4 cell counts [73]. In contrast to host genetic factors, we recently

identified a significant impact of the viral genome on the size of the latent reservoir 1.5 years

after treatment initiation. However, what parts of the virus genome were responsible for this

"viral effect" needs to be determined further.

In conclusion, our study suggests that human individual germline genetic variation has little, if

any, influence on the control of the HIV-1 viral reservoir size and its long-term dynamics.

Complex, likely multifactorial biological processes govern HIV-1 viral persistence. Larger

studies will possibly clarify the role of common or rare genetic variants explaining small

proportions of the variability of the phenotypes related to viral latency.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly

available due to privacy reasons, the sensitivities associated with HIV infections, and the

representativeness of the dataset, but is available on request.

Conflicts of interest statement

H.F.G. has received unrestricted research grants from Gilead Sciences and Roche; fees for data

and safety monitoring board membership from Merck; consulting/advisory board membership

fees from Gilead Sciences, ViiV, Merck, Sandoz and Mepha.

T.K. has received consulting/advisory board membership fees from Gilead Sciences and from

ViiV Healthcare for work that has no connection to the work presented here.

K.J.M. has received travel grants and honoraria from Gilead Sciences, Roche Diagnostics,

GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Bristol-Myers Squibb, ViiV and Abbott; and the

University of Zurich received research grants from Gilead Science, Roche, and Merck Sharp &

Dohme for studies that Dr. Metzner serves as principal investigator, and advisory board

honoraria from Gilead Sciences.

All other authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions

N.B., J.B., V.R., R.D.K., H.F.G., K.J.M., and J.F. contributed to the conception and design of

the study. C.v.S., V.V., K.N., Y.I.K., and K.J.M. contributed to the acquisition of data. A.B.,

C.W.T., N.B., T.T., S.P., M.W., R.D.K., and J.F. contributed to the analysis and interpretation

of data. J.B., M.P., T.K., S.Y., M.B., A.R., P.S., E.B., M.C., H.F.G., and the members of the

Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) conceived and managed the cohort, collected and contributed

patient samples and clinical data. A.B., C.W.T., and J.F. contributed to the drafting the article.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients for participating in the SHCS, the study nurses and physicians for

excellent patient care, A. Scherrer, A. Traytel, and S. Wild for excellent data management and

D. Perraudin and M. Amstutz for administrative assistance.

This work was funded within the framework of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SNF grant#

33CS30_177499 to H.F.G.). The data were gathered by the Five Swiss University Hospitals,

two Cantonal Hospitals, 15 affiliated hospitals and 36 private physicians (listed in

http://www.shcs.ch/180-health-care-providers). The work was furthermore supported by the

Systems.X grant # 51MRP0_158328 (to N.B., J.B., J.F.,V.R., R.D.K., M.W., H.F.G. and

K.J.M.), by SNF grant 324730B_179571 (to H.F.G.), SNF grant SNF 310030_141067/1 (to

H.F.G. and K.J.M.), SNF grants no. PZ00P3-142411 and BSSGI0_155851 to R.D.K., the

Yvonne-Jacob Foundation (to H.F.G.), the University of Zurich's Clinical Research Priority

Program viral infectious disease, ZPHI (to H.F.G) and the Vontobel Foundation (to H.F.G. and

K.J.M.). M.W. is partially supported by the NCCR MARVEL, funded by the Swiss National

Science Foundation.

Members of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study:

Anagnostopoulos A, Battegay M, Bernasconi E, Böni J, Braun DL, Bucher HC, Calmy A,

Cavassini M, Ciuffi A, Dollenmaier G, Egger M, Elzi L, Fehr J, Fellay J, Furrer H, Fux CA,

Günthard HF (President of the SHCS), Haerry D (deputy of "Positive Council"), Hasse B,

Hirsch HH, Hoffmann M, Hösli I, Huber M, Kahlert CR (Chairman of the Mother & Child

Substudy), Kaiser L, Keiser O, Klimkait T, Kouyos RD, Kovari H, Ledergerber B, Martinetti

G, Martinez de Tejada B, Marzolini C, Metzner KJ, Müller N, Nicca D, Paioni P, Pantaleo G,

Perreau M, Rauch A (Chairman of the Scientific Board), Rudin C, Scherrer AU (Head of Data

Centre), Schmid P, Speck R, Stöckle M (Chairman of the Clinical and Laboratory

Committee), Tarr P, Trkola A, Vernazza P, Wandeler G, Weber R, Yerly S.

REFERENCES

1. Finzi D, Hermankova M, Pierson T, Carruth LM, Buck C, Chaisson RE, et al.
Identification of a Reservoir for HIV-1 in Patients on Highly Active Antiretroviral
Therapy. Science. 1997 Nov 14;278(5341):1295–300.

2. Wong JK, Hezareh M, Günthard HF, Havlir DV, Ignacio CC, Spina CA, et al. Recovery of
Replication-Competent HIV Despite Prolonged Suppression of Plasma Viremia.
Science. 1997 Nov 14;278(5341):1291–5.

3. Chun T-W, Stuyver L, Mizell SB, Ehler LA, Mican JAM, Baseler M, et al. Presence of an
inducible HIV-1 latent reservoir during highly active antiretroviral therapy. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 1997 Nov 25;94(24):13193–7.

4. Siliciano JD, Kajdas J, Finzi D, Quinn TC, Chadwick K, Margolick JB, et al. Long-term
follow-up studies confirm the stability of the latent reservoir for HIV-1 in resting CD4+
T cells. Nat Med. 2003 Jun;9(6):727–8.

5. Chun TW, Carruth L, Finzi D, Shen X, DiGiuseppe JA, Taylor H, et al. Quantification of
latent tissue reservoirs and total body viral load in HIV-1 infection. Nature. 1997 May
8;387(6629):183–8.

6. Chun TW, Engel D, Berrey MM, Shea T, Corey L, Fauci AS. Early establishment of a pool
of latently infected, resting CD4(+) T cells during primary HIV-1 infection. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 1998 Jul 21;95(15):8869–73.

7. Smith MZ, Wightman F, Lewin SR. HIV reservoirs and strategies for eradication. Curr
HIV/AIDS Rep. 2012 Mar;9(1):5–15.

8. Siliciano RF, Greene WC. HIV latency. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2011
Sep;1(1):a007096.

9. Ruelas DS, Greene WC. An integrated overview of HIV-1 latency. Cell. 2013 Oct
24;155(3):519–29.

10.   Han Y, Wind-Rotolo M, Yang H-C, Siliciano JD, Siliciano RF. Experimental
approaches to the study of HIV-1 latency. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007 Feb;5(2):95–106.

11.   Hodel F, Patxot M, Snäkä T, Ciuffi A. HIV-1 latent reservoir: size matters. Future Virol.
2016 Dec;11(12):785–94.

12.   Avettand-Fènoël V, Hocqueloux L, Ghosn J, Cheret A, Frange P, Melard A, et al. Total
HIV-1 DNA, a Marker of Viral Reservoir Dynamics with Clinical Implications. Clin
Microbiol Rev. 2016 Oct;29(4):859–80.

13.   Bruner KM, Wang Z, Simonetti FR, Bender AM, Kwon KJ, Sengupta S, et al. A
quantitative approach for measuring the reservoir of latent HIV-1 proviruses. Nature.
2019;566(7742):120–5.

14.   Kiselinova M, De Spiegelaere W, Buzon MJ, Malatinkova E, Lichterfeld M,
Vandekerckhove L. Integrated and Total HIV-1 DNA Predict Ex Vivo Viral Outgrowth.
PLoS Pathog. 2016 Mar;12(3):e1005472.

15.   Williams JP, Hurst J, Stöhr W, Robinson N, Brown H, Fisher M, et al. HIV-1 DNA
predicts disease progression and post-treatment virological control. eLife. 2014 Sep
12;3:e03821.

16.   Koelsch KK, Liu L, Haubrich R, May S, Havlir D, Günthard HF, et al. Dynamics of
total, linear nonintegrated, and integrated HIV-1 DNA in vivo and in vitro. J Infect Dis.
2008 Feb 1;197(3):411–9.

17.   Gandhi RT, McMahon DK, Bosch RJ, Lalama CM, Cyktor JC, Macatangay BJ, et al.
Levels of HIV-1 persistence on antiretroviral therapy are not associated with markers of
inflammation or activation. PLOS Pathog. 2017 Apr 20;13(4):e1006285.

18.   Zanchetta M, Walker S, Burighel N, Bellanova D, Rampon O, Giaquinto C, et al. Long-
term decay of the HIV-1 reservoir in HIV-1-infected children treated with highly active
antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis. 2006 Jun 15;193(12):1718–27.

19.   Chun T-W, Justement JS, Moir S, Hallahan CW, Maenza J, Mullins JI, et al. Decay of
the HIV reservoir in patients receiving antiretroviral therapy for extended periods:
implications for eradication of virus. J Infect Dis. 2007 Jun 15;195(12):1762–4.

20.   Strain MC, Günthard HF, Havlir DV, Ignacio CC, Smith DM, Leigh-Brown AJ, et al.
Heterogeneous clearance rates of long-lived lymphocytes infected with HIV: intrinsic
stability predicts lifelong persistence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003 Apr
15;100(8):4819–24.

21. Izopet J, Salama G, Pasquier C, Sandres K, Marchou B, Massip P, et al. Decay of HIV-1
DNA in patients receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic
Syndr Hum Retrovirology Off Publ Int Retrovirology Assoc. 1998 Dec 15;19(5):478–
83.

22. Ramratnam B, Mittler JE, Zhang L, Boden D, Hurley A, Fang F, et al. The decay of the
latent reservoir of replication-competent HIV-1 is inversely correlated with the extent of
residual viral replication during prolonged anti-retroviral therapy. Nat Med. 2000
Jan;6(1):82–5.

23. van Rij RP, van Praag RME, Prins JM, Rientsma R, Jurriaans S, Lange JMA, et al.
Persistence of viral HLA-DR- CD4 T-cell reservoir during prolonged treatment of HIV-
1 infection with a five-drug regimen. Antivir Ther. 2002 Mar;7(1):37–41.

24. Pires A, Hardy G, Gazzard B, Gotch F, Imami N. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy
during recent HIV-1 infection results in lower residual viral reservoirs. J Acquir Immune
Defic Syndr 1999. 2004 Jul 1;36(3):783–90.

25. Strain MC, Little SJ, Daar ES, Havlir DV, Gunthard HF, Lam RY, et al. Effect of
treatment, during primary infection, on establishment and clearance of cellular reservoirs
of HIV-1. J Infect Dis. 2005 May 1;191(9):1410–8.

26. Fischer M, Joos B, Niederöst B, Kaiser P, Hafner R, von Wyl V, et al. Biphasic decay
kinetics suggest progressive slowing in turnover of latently HIV-1 infected cells during
antiretroviral therapy. Retrovirology. 2008 Nov 26;5:107.

27. Besson GJ, Lalama CM, Bosch RJ, Gandhi RT, Bedison MA, Aga E, et al. HIV-1 DNA
decay dynamics in blood during more than a decade of suppressive antiretroviral
therapy. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2014 Nov;59(9):1312–21.

28. Bachmann N, Siebenthal C von, Vongrad V, Turk T, Neumann K, Beerenwinkel N, et
al. Determinants of HIV-1 reservoir size and long-term dynamics during suppressive
ART. Nat Commun. 2019 Jul 19;10(1):1–11.

29. International AIDS Society Scientific Working Group on HIV Cure, Deeks SG, Autran
B, Berkhout B, Benkirane M, Cairns S, et al. Towards an HIV cure: a global scientific
strategy. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012 20;12(8):607–14.

30. Fellay J, Shianna KV, Ge D, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, Weale M, et al. A whole-
genome association study of major determinants for host control of HIV-1. Science.
2007 Aug 17;317(5840):944–7.

31. Fellay J, Ge D, Shianna KV, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, Cirulli ET, et al. Common
genetic variation and the control of HIV-1 in humans. PLoS Genet. 2009
Dec;5(12):e1000791.

32. Pelak K, Goldstein DB, Walley NM, Fellay J, Ge D, Shianna KV, et al. Host
determinants of HIV-1 control in African Americans. J Infect Dis. 2010 Apr
15;201(8):1141–9.

33. International HIV Controllers Study, Pereyra F, Jia X, McLaren PJ, Telenti A, de Bakker
PIW, et al. The major genetic determinants of HIV-1 control affect HLA class I peptide
presentation. Science. 2010 Dec 10;330(6010):1551–7.

34. Herbeck JT, Gottlieb GS, Winkler CA, Nelson GW, An P, Maust BS, et al. Multistage
genomewide association study identifies a locus at 1q41 associated with rate of HIV-1
disease progression to clinical AIDS. J Infect Dis. 2010 Feb 15;201(4):618–26.

35. Le Clerc S, Limou S, Coulonges C, Carpentier W, Dina C, Taing L, et al. Genomewide
association study of a rapid progression cohort identifies new susceptibility alleles for
AIDS (ANRS Genomewide Association Study 03). J Infect Dis. 2009 Oct
15;200(8):1194–201.

36. Limou S, Le Clerc S, Coulonges C, Carpentier W, Dina C, Delaneau O, et al.
Genomewide association study of an AIDS-nonprogression cohort emphasizes the role
played by HLA genes (ANRS Genomewide Association Study 02). J Infect Dis. 2009
Feb 1;199(3):419–26.

37. Limou S, Coulonges C, Herbeck JT, van Manen D, An P, Le Clerc S, et al. Multiple-
cohort genetic association study reveals CXCR6 as a new chemokine receptor involved
in long-term nonprogression to AIDS. J Infect Dis. 2010 Sep 15;202(6):908–15.

38. Dalmasso C, Carpentier W, Meyer L, Rouzioux C, Goujard C, Chaix M-L, et al. Distinct
genetic loci control plasma HIV-RNA and cellular HIV-DNA levels in HIV-1 infection:
the ANRS Genome Wide Association 01 study. PloS One. 2008;3(12):e3907.

39. McLaren PJ, Pulit SL, Gurdasani D, Bartha I, Shea PR, Pomilla C, et al. Evaluating the
Impact of Functional Genetic Variation on HIV-1 Control. J Infect Dis. 2017
27;216(9):1063–9.

40. Schoeni-Affolter F, Ledergerber B, Rickenbach M, Rudin C, Günthard HF, Telenti A, et
al. Cohort Profile: The Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Int J Epidemiol. 2010 Oct
1;39(5):1179–89.

41. Loh P-R, Danecek P, Palamara PF, Fuchsberger C, Reshef YA, Finucane HK, et al.
Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. Nat Genet.
2016 Nov;48(11):1443–8.

42. Durbin R. Efficient haplotype matching and storage using the positional Burrows–
Wheeler transform (PBWT). Bioinformatics. 2014 May 1;30(9):1266–72.

43. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, et al. A
reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. Nat Genet [Internet].
2016 Aug 22 [cited 2016 Aug 31];advance online publication. Available from:
http://www.nature.com/ng/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/ng.3643.html

44. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal
components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat
Genet. 2006 Aug;38(8):904–9.

45. The International HapMap 3 Consortium. Integrating common and rare genetic variation
in diverse human populations. Nature. 2010 Sep;467(7311):52–8.

46.   Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation
595   PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience. 2015 Dec
596   1;4(1):1–16.

597   47.   Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide Complex
598   Trait Analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2011 Jan 7;88(1):76–82.

599   48.   Yang J, Zaitlen NA, Goddard ME, Visscher PM, Price AL. Advantages and pitfalls in
600   the application of mixed-model association methods. Nat Genet. 2014 Feb;46(2):100–6.

601   49.   Jia X, Han B, Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen W-M, Concannon PJ, Rich SS, et al. Imputing
602   Amino Acid Polymorphisms in Human Leukocyte Antigens. PLOS ONE. 2013 Jun
603   6;8(6):e64683.

604   50.   Fellay J, Shianna KV, Ge D, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, Weale M, et al. A Whole-
605   Genome Association Study of Major Determinants for Host Control of HIV-1. Science.
606   2007 Aug 17;317(5840):944–7.

607   51.   McLaren PJ, Coulonges C, Bartha I, Lenz TL, Deutsch AJ, Bashirova A, et al.
608   Polymorphisms of large effect explain the majority of the host genetic contribution to
609   variation of HIV-1 virus load. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015 Nov 24;112(47):14658–63.

610   52.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
611   transform. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2009 Jul 15;25(14):1754–60.

612   53.   McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The
613   Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation
614   DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010 Sep 1;20(9):1297–303.

615   54.   Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for
616   annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs
617   in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012
618   Jun;6(2):80–92.

619   55.   Lee S, Emond MJ, Bamshad MJ, Barnes KC, Rieder MJ, Nickerson DA, et al. Optimal
620   unified approach for rare-variant association testing with application to small-sample
621   case-control whole-exome sequencing studies. Am J Hum Genet. 2012 Aug
622   10;91(2):224–37.

623   56.   Dilthey AT, Gourraud P-A, Mentzer AJ, Cereb N, Iqbal Z, McVean G. High-Accuracy
624   HLA Type Inference from Whole-Genome Sequencing Data Using Population
625   Reference Graphs. PLOS Comput Biol. 2016 Oct 28;12(10):e1005151.

626   57.   Fan Y, Song Y-Q. PyHLA: tests for the association between HLA alleles and diseases.
627   BMC Bioinformatics. 2017 Feb 6;18(1):90.

628   58.   Packer JS, Maxwell EK, O'Dushlaine C, Lopez AE, Dewey FE, Chernomorsky R, et al.
629   CLAMMS: a scalable algorithm for calling common and rare copy number variants from
630   exome sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2016 Jan 1;32(1):133–5.

59.   Bhattacharya S, Dunn P, Thomas CG, Smith B, Schaefer H, Chen J, et al. ImmPort,
toward repurposing of open access immunological assay data for translational and
clinical research. Sci Data. 2018 27;5:180015.

60.   Purcell S, Cherny SS, Sham PC. Genetic Power Calculator: design of linkage and
association genetic mapping studies of complex traits. Bioinformatics. 2003 Jan
1;19(1):149–50.

61.   Gutierrez-Arcelus M, Teslovich N, Mola AR, Polidoro RB, Nathan A, Kim H, et al.
Lymphocyte innateness defined by transcriptional states reflects a balance between
proliferation and effector functions. Nat Commun. 2019 Feb 8;10(1):1–15.

62.   Marees AT, de Kluiver H, Stringer S, Vorspan F, Curis E, Marie-Claire C, et al. A
tutorial on conducting genome-wide association studies: Quality control and statistical
analysis. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2018;27(2):e1608.

63.   Jones LE, Perelson AS. Transient viremia, plasma viral load, and reservoir
replenishment in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic
Syndr 1999. 2007 Aug 15;45(5):483–93.

64.   Fletcher CV, Staskus K, Wietgrefe SW, Rothenberger M, Reilly C, Chipman JG, et al.
Persistent HIV-1 replication is associated with lower antiretroviral drug concentrations
in lymphatic tissues. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014 Feb 11;111(6):2307–12.

65.   Lorenzo-Redondo R, Fryer HR, Bedford T, Kim E-Y, Archer J, Pond SLK, et al.
Persistent HIV-1 replication maintains the tissue reservoir during therapy. Nature. 2016
Feb 4;530(7588):51–6.

66.   Podsadecki TJ, Vrijens BC, Tousset EP, Rode RA, Hanna GJ. Decreased adherence to
antiretroviral therapy observed prior to transient human immunodeficiency virus type 1
viremia. J Infect Dis. 2007 Dec 15;196(12):1773–8.

67.   Young J, Rickenbach M, Calmy A, Bernasconi E, Staehelin C, Schmid P, et al. Transient
detectable viremia and the risk of viral rebound in patients from the Swiss HIV Cohort
Study. BMC Infect Dis. 2015 Sep 21;15:382.

68.   Simonetti FR, Sobolewski MD, Fyne E, Shao W, Spindler J, Hattori J, et al. Clonally
expanded CD4+ T cells can produce infectious HIV-1 in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2016 Feb 16;113(7):1883–8.

69.   Wang Z, Gurule EE, Brennan TP, Gerold JM, Kwon KJ, Hosmane NN, et al. Expanded
cellular clones carrying replication-competent HIV-1 persist, wax, and wane. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2018 13;115(11):E2575–84.

70.   Lee GQ, Orlova-Fink N, Einkauf K, Chowdhury FZ, Sun X, Harrington S, et al. Clonal
expansion of genome-intact HIV-1 in functionally polarized Th1 CD4+ T cells. J Clin
Invest. 2017 Jun 30;127(7):2689–96.

71.   Nardacci R, Amendola A, Ciccosanti F, Corazzari M, Esposito V, Vlassi C, et al.
Autophagy plays an important role in the containment of HIV-1 in nonprogressor-
infected patients. Autophagy. 2014 Jul;10(7):1167–78.

72.   Gaebler C, Lorenzi JCC, Oliveira TY, Nogueira L, Ramos V, Lu C-L, et al.
Combination of quadruplex qPCR and next-generation sequencing for qualitative and
quantitative analysis of the HIV-1 latent reservoir. J Exp Med. 2019 Oct
7;216(10):2253–64.

73.   Braun DL, Kouyos R, Oberle C, Grube C, Joos B, Fellay J, et al. A novel Acute
Retroviral Syndrome Severity Score predicts the key surrogate markers for HIV-1
disease progression. PloS One. 2014;9(12):e114111.

678
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Patient selection flowchart. Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed for

each selection step. ART (antiretroviral therapy); PBMCs (peripheral blood mononuclear cells);

PI (protease inhibitor); PCA (principal component analysis).

Figure 2. Association results with HIV-1 reservoir size. Manhattan plot with association p-

values ($-\log_{10}(P)$) per genetic variant plotted by genomic position. Dashed line indicates the

threshold for genome-wide significance (P = 5e-8). No variants were found to be genome-wide

significant.

TABLES

Table 1. Patient characteristics	
Total number of individuals	
Genotyped	797
Genotyped + exome sequenced	194
Age at first HIV-1 DNA sample in years	
median (IQR)	44 (38, 50)
Sex	
Female	123 (15.4%)
Male	674 (84.6%)
Transmission group	
HET	241 (30.2%)
IDU	77 (9.7%)
MSM	448 (56.2%)
Other	31 (3.9%)
HIV-1 subtype	
B	550 (69.0%)
Non-B	128 (16.1%)
Unknown	119 (14.9%)
Occurrence of blips or low-level viremia	
Blips	200 (25.1%)
Low-level viremia	68 (8.5%)
None	529 (66.4%)
Time on ART	
median (IQR)	1.50 (1.28, 1.69)
Infection stage	
Acute	140 (17.6%)
Chronic	657 (82.4%)
Time to viral suppression	
median (IQR)	0.34 (0.23, 0.51)
Log_{10} HIV-1 plasma RNA pre-ART per mL	
median (IQR)	480 (248, 684)
CD4+ cell count pre-ART cells/µL blood	
median (IQR)	186 (90, 270)
HIV-1 reservoir size	
median (IQR)	2.76 (2.48, 3.03)
HIV-1 reservoir decay rate	
median (IQR)	-0.06 (-0.12, -0.00)

Transmission group indicates the self-reported route of infection (heterosexual (HET), intravenous drug usage (IDU), men who have sex with men (MSM), and other (including transfusions and unknown)). The occurrence of viral blips was defined by measurements of ≥

50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma within a 30-day window. Individuals with consecutive

measurements of ≥ 50 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL plasma for longer durations were classified as

exhibiting low-level viremia. Time to viral suppression was the time from initiation of ART to

the first viral load measurement below 50 copies/mL HIV-1 plasma RNA. HIV-1 reservoir size

was measured in $\log_{10}$ total HIV-1 DNA/1 million genomic equivalents ~1.5 years after

initiating ART. The HIV-1 reservoir decay rate was based on the three measurements of total

HIV-1 DNA levels taken at the median of 1.5, 3.5 and 5.4 years after initiation of ART.

Figure 1

18,688 individuals (Swiss HIV Cohort Study, 19/12/2014)

Inclusion criteria:
- HIV-1 infection
- Receiving ART for ≥ 5 years
- No treatment interruption of > 7 days
- No virological failure defined as two consecutive
  measurements > 200 HIV-1 RNA copies/ml plasma
- PBMCs available for three time points:
  1.5 +/- 0.5 years, 3.5 +/- 0.5 years, and
  5.5 +/- 1 year, after initiating first-line ART

1932 individuals

Exclusion criteria:
- Start on less potent ART regimens, i.e., mono/ or dual
  therapy, less potent/unboosted PI (NFV, SQV etc.)

1382 individuals

- Received ≥ 3 PBMC samples (mandatory 1st - 3rd time point)

1166 individuals

- Successful total HIV-1 DNA quantification in ≥ 3 PBMC samples
  (mandatory 1st - 3rd time point)

1057 individuals

- Successfully genotyped

995 individuals

- European ancestry (by PCA)

797 individuals   + Exome sequencing   **194 individuals**

Figure 2