

1 Digitising point of care HIV test
2 results to accurately measure, and
3 improve performance towards, the
4 UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets
5

6
7 Nisha Jacob¹, Brian Rice², Emma Kalk¹, Alexa Heekes^{1,3}, Jennie Morgan^{1,3}, James Hargreaves², Andrew
8 Boulle^{1,2}

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

1. School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
2. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
3. Western Cape Government: Health, Cape Town, South Africa

Corresponding author

E-mail: nisha.jacob@uct.ac.za (NJ)

31 **Abstract**

32

33 **Introduction**

34 High rates of pre-treatment loss to care among persons diagnosed with HIV persist. Linkage to care
35 can be improved through active digitally-based surveillance. Currently, record-keeping for HIV
36 diagnoses in South Africa is paper-based. Aggregated testing data are reported routinely, and only
37 discordant findings result in a specimen being tested at a laboratory and digitised.

38 **Methods**

39 The Western Cape Province in South Africa has a Provincial Health Data Centre (PHDC) where
40 person-level routine data are consolidated in a single database, leveraging the existence of a unique
41 patient identifier. To facilitate improved surveillance, a pre-carbonated point-of-care test (PoCT)
42 form was piloted, where one copy was routed to the centralised laboratory and digitised for PHDC
43 inclusion. We evaluated the utility of the intervention using cross-sectional and retrospective cohort
44 analyses, as well as comparisons with reported aggregate data.

45 **Results**

46 From May 2017 to June 2018, 11337 digitised point-of-care HIV testing records were linked to the
47 PHDC. Overall, 96% of records in the aggregate dataset were digitised, with 97% linked to the PHDC.
48 Of those tested, 79% were female (median age 27 years). Linkage demonstrated that 51.3% of
49 patients testing HIV-positive were retesting. Of those truly newly diagnosed, 81% were linked to HIV
50 care and 25% were initiated on antiretroviral therapy immediately.

51 **Conclusion**

52 Digitisation of PoCT results is feasible and provides individuated HIV testing data to assist in linkage
53 to care and in differentiating newly diagnosed patients from positive patients retesting. Actionable

54 and accurate data can improve the measurement of performance towards the UNAIDS 90-90-90
55 targets.

56

57 **Introduction**

58 The last decade has seen marked changes in the management of the global HIV epidemic. The
59 United Nation's programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) set the 90-90-90 targets in 2015, aiming to
60 diagnose 90% of those who are HIV infected, treat 90% of those diagnosed HIV-positive and virally
61 suppress 90% of those receiving antiretroviral treatment [1]. These targets provide a framework for
62 the universal test-and-treat principle introduced by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2015
63 [2].

64 HIV diagnosis is the first step of several along the HIV treatment cascade towards viral suppression
65 [1]. That many people do not immediately proceed beyond this first step reflects a system failure;
66 high rates of pre-treatment loss-to-care among persons diagnosed HIV-positive have been reported
67 in sub-Saharan Africa [3][4][5]. If we are to meet the 90-90-90 targets it is essential that we improve,
68 and accurately measure, linkage to HIV care for those newly diagnosed with HIV.

69 In South Africa, as with many countries in the region, HIV testing is based on a point-of-care test
70 (PoCT) algorithm; only discordant findings result in a specimen being sent to a laboratory. This is
71 against a backdrop of what is otherwise a comprehensive national laboratory information system
72 (LIS) in which all laboratory test results performed anywhere in the country in the public sector are
73 accessible on a central LIS, and can contribute to surveillance activities [6]. The move towards
74 universal access to treatment, and high targets for identifying those infected with HIV, requires that
75 the treatment cascade is monitored from the point of HIV testing. At testing facilities, PoCT HIV
76 results are currently entered manually into a paper-based register, with aggregated data then
77 submitted to the District Health Information System on a monthly basis.

78 The Western Cape Province has recently developed a Provincial Health Data Centre (PHDC) in which
79 all person-level hospital and clinic administrative, laboratory, and pharmacy records, and data from
80 disease information systems for HIV and TB, are consolidated in a single environment, leveraging the
81 existence of a unique patient identifier which is used as the folder number in all health facilities in
82 the public sector [7]. Within this environment, disease-specific patient cascades (virtual cohorts),
83 such as the HIV treatment cascade, are in development using specific markers of care. In the HIV
84 treatment cascade, electronic evidence of having HIV is based on laboratory markers (where PoCT is
85 discordant or contra-indicated, or from other laboratory tests often conducted shortly after
86 diagnosis, such as CD4 tests and viral load) as well as ICD-10 coding, for which the poor
87 completeness and quality in the public sector is known [8–10]. The HIV cascade includes treatment
88 information, such as initiation dates, regimen details, last dispensing dates, as well as information on
89 viral suppression.

90 Recently, considerable media attention was given to a proposed PEPFAR funding cut for South
91 Africa due to apparent poor performance of South African HIV programmes [11]. Although this
92 proposed funding cut was later reversed, it was widely appreciated that improved performance
93 could be supported through digital solutions which include routine electronic monitoring of all
94 persons newly diagnosed with HIV and their subsequent care.

95 To facilitate improved HIV surveillance, the Department of Health in the Western Cape implemented
96 a pilot intervention to digitise PoCT HIV test results with support from the laboratory transport
97 mechanism and information system. PoCT results were thus available electronically at a population
98 *and* an individual level. In this study, we evaluated the feasibility and utility of this pilot intervention
99 at a local Community Health Centre (CHC), in meeting reporting requirements, and more importantly
100 providing a province-wide individuated actionable reporting mechanism for assessing and improving
101 linkage to care of clients newly diagnosed with HIV.

102 **Methods**

103 The intervention was evaluated by applying cross-sectional and retrospective cohort analyses, as
104 well as comparisons with reported aggregate data. The study population included all patients
105 accessing HIV Testing Services (HTS) at Gugulethu CHC between May 2017 to June 2018. This
106 included patients attending HTS as part of routine antenatal care, patients referred for HTS and
107 those self-presenting for HTS. No formal recruitment was required as existing records in routine
108 databases housed by the Department of Health were used for the evaluation.

109 At the facility, trained HIV counsellors obtained informed consent and performed the rapid PoCT HIV
110 assays as per National protocol [12]. Carbonated copies of standard HTS forms completed at the
111 facility were transported via routine systems to a central point for data capturing and inclusion in
112 the LIS and PHDC. From May to December 2017 (Phase I) data was entered by a dedicated capturer
113 located at the Provincial Department of Health. From January to June 2018 (Phase II), capturing was
114 undertaken by data clerks at the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS). Elements documented
115 on the paper forms and digitised included patient identifiers, demographic data, testing consent,
116 self-reported previous HIV test result, reason for accessing HTS and results of the rapid HIV PoCT.
117 HIV status was calculated using the National Department of Health HIV testing algorithm [12].

118 Data were analysed using Microsoft Excel and Stata 14 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas,
119 USA). The PHDC provided de-identified linked data for the analyses at study closure.

120 Patients attending HTS were described using appropriate descriptive statistics. Data were linked to
121 the Patient Master Index and existing HIV cascade of the PHDC using patient folder numbers. Where
122 folder numbers were unavailable or illegible, linkage was attempted using combinations of other
123 patient identifiers such as name, surname, civil identifier and date of birth. All patients with
124 evidence of HIV prior to PoCT were termed “cascade known HIV-positive”. Retesting was determined
125 using self-reported HIV status and cascade status. Patients who self-reported a previous positive HIV
126 test result and tested positive again during the pilot PoCT were termed “self-reported retest HIV-

127 positive”. Patients with prior evidence of HIV but self-reported a previous negative or unknown HIV
128 status and had a calculated HIV-positive test outcome were termed “unreported retested HIV-
129 positive”. Patients with no prior evidence of HIV, who had a calculated HIV-positive test outcome
130 were termed “true newly diagnosed HIV-positive”.

131 To assess linkage to care, cohort analyses were conducted for all patients who tested HIV-positive
132 using laboratory and pharmacy indicators of HIV care, including viral load and antiretroviral
133 treatment (ART). ART uptake was calculated for patients who were not immediately initiated on
134 treatment following a positive HIV PoCT result.

135 Multivariate logistic regression was used to explore associations with retesting in patients previously
136 diagnosed with HIV. Variables were selected a priori and included in the model to address the
137 effects of confounding. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University of Cape
138 Town Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC 198/2018).

139 Results

140 From May 2017 to June 2018, 11 337 HIV counselling and testing forms were captured. Over this
141 period, all digitised HIV PoCT records were linked to the PHDC to determine the contribution of the
142 testing data to earlier ascertainment of HIV status and linkage to care. Table 1 shows the key
143 descriptive characteristics of patients tested during the pilot intervention. Most patients were
144 female (79.3%), with many presenting for antenatal care (39.8%). Only 13.4% of patients reported
145 not having ever tested previously.

146 **Table 1: Characteristics of patients attending HTS**

	Median/IQR [□] / % (N=11 337)
Median age	28 years (IQR 23 – 34)
Median age for females	27 years (IQR 23 – 33)
Median age for males	31 years (IQR 24 – 42)
Sex	
Female	8987 (79.3%)
Male	2042 (18.0%)

Indeterminate/Unknown	23 (0.2%)
No entry	285 (2.5%)
Reason for accessing HIV service	
Antenatal care 1 st visit	2414 (21.3%)
20-week antenatal repeat visit	637 (5.6%)
32-week antenatal repeat visit	1465 (12.9%)
Provider-initiated counselling and testing (PICT) - other services	2849 (25.1%)
Self-referred	597 (5.2%)
HIV exposed infants	340 (3.0%)
Provider-initiated counselling and testing (PICT) - TB services	75 (0.7%)
Perinatal (delivery)/Baby other	3 (0.03%)
No entry	2957 (26.1%)
Previous HIV result	
Self-reported positive	1492 (13.2%)
Self-reported negative	8325 (73.4%)
No entry	1520 (13.4%)
HIV test result	
Tested negative	8700 (76.7%)
Tested positive on 1 rapid test	218 (1.9%)
Tested positive on 2 rapid tests (National Protocol)	997 (8.8%)
Discordant result	13 (0.1%)
No entry [‡]	1359 (12.0%)
Inconclusive result	50 (0.4%)

147 [‡] IQR: interquartile range; [‡] Includes those self-reported HIV-positive and not retested

148 **Digitisation of records**

149 During the study period, 11564 PoCT entries were tallied from paper registers as part of routine
 150 aggregate reporting over 13 completed months (May 2017 – May 2018). Therefore, 96.0%
 151 (11119/11564) of entries in the aggregate dataset were individually digitised as part of this project.

152 Overall, 97.0% of records could be linked to the Patient Master Index. Unlinked records could not be
 153 definitively linked to a single patient with the available identifiers.

154 **HIV status: PoCT result, self-reporting and re-testing**

155 Of the 11337 patients, 76.7% (8700) tested HIV negative, with 10.7% (1215) testing positive. The
 156 remaining 12.5% (1422) did not have a conclusive PoCT outcome recorded. The overall prevalence of
 157 HIV among those attending HTS, was 21.2% (2399), almost half of whom (1132) self-reported a
 158 positive HIV status and did not retest.

159 Linkage of the apparent 907 newly diagnosed HIV-positive patients to the HIV cascade indicated that
160 over a third (34.7%; 315) were already known to the cascade as HIV-positive, supporting an
161 established diagnosis of HIV in the past. These 315 patients were therefore retesting following a
162 previous positive test results, having not self-reported a positive HIV status. Of those who retested
163 with reporting their HIV-positive status, 46.7% (147/315) had commenced ART prior to their latest
164 PoCT. Overall, 51.3% (623/1215) of patients who tested HIV-positive on at least one rapid test had
165 previously been diagnosed (Fig 1).

166 **Fig 1: HIV positivity and yield by testing and prior testing status**

167 Of patients who self-reported a positive HIV status, 88.2% (1316/1492) were already known to the
168 PHDC HIV cascade as HIV-positive. Based on available laboratory and pharmacy evidence, an
169 additional 8.3% (125) entered the HIV cascade after their HIV PoCT during the pilot intervention. The
170 remaining 3.4% (51) did not have any prior or subsequent evidence of HIV and were thus not known
171 to the HIV cascade. Of persons self-reporting a positive HIV status, 24.1% (360/1492) retested (self-
172 reported retest). Fig 2 demonstrates the utility of digitisation and individual data linkage to the HIV
173 cascade compared to information garnered from aggregate systems in isolation. Although 50
174 patients retested HIV negative, having self-reported HIV-positive status, linkage to the HIV cascade
175 shows that 38 of these patients had previous evidence of HIV diagnosis in the HIV cascade.

176 **Fig 2: Contribution of HIV cascade data linkages to testing information**

177 **Factors associated with re-testing in patients with known** 178 **HIV**

179 Apparent bivariate associations of older age and women with retesting in spite of HIV-positive status
180 having been ascertained previously were attenuated through adjusting for the reason for testing. In
181 the adjusted model, reason for attending HTS services was highly associated with retesting (Table 2).
182 For example, those attending for provider-initiated counselling and testing (PICT) from TB services

183 were 10.6 (95% CI 2.8- 39.7) times more likely to retest compared to those attending initial
 184 antenatal visits. The association between younger age and retesting also persisted.

185

186

187

188 **Table 2: Factors associated with retesting in patients already diagnosed with HIV**

Variable	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Sex (reference female)	2.45 (1.91 – 3.15)	<0.001	1.50 (0.85 – 2.66)	0.163
Age category (reference 25-49 years)				
15 – 24 years	1.39 (1.03 - 1.88)	0.029	1.54 (1.10 – 2.16)	0.012
25-49 years	1.00 (ref)	0.003	1.00 (ref)	0.611
>50 years	4.17 (1.63 – 10.68)		0.77 (0.29 – 2.08)	
ART status (reference prior ART)				
Prior ART	1.00 (ref)	0.022	1.00 (ref)	0.075
Current ART	0.59 (0.37 – 0.93)	0.041	1.64 (0.95 – 2.84)	0.199
No ART	1.27 (0.77 – 2.10)		1.47 (0.82 – 2.63)	
Reason for accessing service (reference Antenatal 1st visit)				
Antenatal 1 st visit	1.00 (ref)	<0.001	1.00 (ref)	<0.001
Antenatal 1 st visit	0.09 (0.03 – 0.25)	0.111	0.09 (0.03 – 0.25)	0.092
20-week antenatal repeat visit	0.72 (0.48 – 1.08)	<0.001	0.70 (0.47 – 1.06)	<0.001
32-week antenatal repeat visit	10.54 (2.87 – 38.74)	<0.001	10.67 (2.86 – 39.73)	<0.001
PICT TB services	6.81 (5.03 – 9.24)	<0.001	7.07 (4.93 – 10.13)	<0.001
PICT other services	7.51 (3.23 – 17.47)	0.111	7.71 (3.16 – 18.80)	0.090
Self-referred	0.62 (0.35 – 1.12)		0.60 (0.34 – 1.08)	
HIV exposed infants				

189

190 **Linkage to care and ART**

191 Of patients confirmed as being newly diagnosed HIV-positive (as per linked HIV cascade data), 81.3%

192 (481/592) had evidence of further HIV care at the time of analysis, including 58.1% who had started

193 ART (Fig 3). Of those who were retesting HIV-positive, 71.4% had already started ART previously, and
194 a further 13.6% subsequently started ART (Fig 3).

195 **Fig 3: Antiretroviral (ART) status among cascade known positive and true new positive patients**

196 **Discussion**

197 This study illustrates the important contribution of HIV PoCT data to earlier digital ascertainment of
198 HIV status and linkage to care. We show that digitisation of PoCT records is feasible, having captured
199 electronically 96.0% of expected records. Linkage of HIV PoCT data using the unique identifier
200 further allows discernment of newly diagnosed HIV-positive patients from those who are retesting.
201 We found that half of patients testing HIV-positive on at least one rapid test were retesting following
202 a previous HIV diagnosis, reducing to a third if excluding those who retested positive in spite of
203 indicating that they already knew they had HIV.

204 **Completeness of ascertainment and linkage of records**

205 Linkage was close to 100% indicating that most patients who had attended HTS during the pilot were
206 registered with public health care services. The majority of patients who self-reported a positive HIV
207 status were known to the HIV cascade, validating the completeness of the cascade within the PHDC.
208 Since HIV PoCT are not routinely digitised, and hence not part of the electronic PHDC HIV cascade,
209 the 176 patients who self-reported HIV-positive in the pilot but did not appear in the cascade, most
210 likely did not engage in further HIV-specific care following an earlier positive PoCT. Overall, 8.4% of
211 patients who self-reported a positive HIV status only entered the HIV cascade following PoCT during
212 the pilot study, when further HIV-specific management was initiated. This pre-treatment loss to care
213 is well-documented throughout sub-Saharan Africa but notably challenging to quantify in the
214 absence of robust health information systems [3][4].

215 The most recent population-level HIV survey in South Africa showed an HIV prevalence of 12.6%
216 (95% CI 9.7 – 16.1) in the Western Cape province [13]. According to the most recent antenatal HIV
217 prevalence survey, the Western Cape province HIV prevalence was 20.8% [14]. In this study, 10.7%

218 of patients tested HIV-positive on at least one rapid test, although this includes retesting and
219 excludes those who elected not to retest following a self-reported previous HIV-positive result. The
220 overall prevalence of HIV among those presenting for HTS was 21.2%, including those who self-
221 reported a previous positive result. This finding is similar to the overall provincial antenatal HIV
222 prevalence and is expected as antenatal patients comprise a large proportion of the study
223 population.

224 **Retesting in patients already known to have HIV**

225 Retesting among patients with a previous positive HIV diagnosis but who self-reported a negative or
226 unknown status was an important finding that is not quantifiable in the absence of PoCT digitisation.
227 Paper-based records are limited in revealing this phenomenon due to the movement of patients
228 between different public health facilities. We identified known HIV-positive patients as being a
229 substantial proportion of those testing, and additionally identified a further proportion who were
230 retesting without revealing their HIV status. Half of the patients who knew they had HIV and who
231 retested did not declare a previous HIV-positive result and hence were misclassified as new HIV-
232 positive diagnoses in aggregate data. In practice, all retesting is likely to be misclassified in aggregate
233 data due to challenges in tallying data across multiple columns in paper-based registers. Such
234 misclassification has significant implications for South Africa's approach to measuring progress
235 towards the UNAIDS 90-90-90 goals [1]. Considerable resources have been committed to expanding
236 HIV testing and counselling programmes to achieve the first 90. Our results suggest a third (34.8%)
237 of HIV-infected patients identified by programmes may already be aware of their status.
238 Furthermore, of these patients, almost a half (46.7%) had evidence of prior ART. Attention thus
239 needs to be directed at retaining such individuals in care and on ART (the second and third 90
240 targets).

241 **Understanding retesting**

242 There is a paucity of literature exploring reasons for retesting after a positive HIV result, particularly
243 among those who are already initiated on ART. Since all pregnant patients are referred to the HIV

244 testing counsellors regardless of HIV or ART status, the opportunity for reaffirmation of diagnosis
245 may have prompted retesting, however further research may be needed to better understand
246 reasons for retesting more broadly.

247 Various factors may be associated with non-disclosure of HIV-positive status to healthcare
248 professionals. Most studies have explored non-disclosure of HIV status to family and friends [15][16].
249 One study indicates that non-disclosure to health professionals is as high as 40% in certain settings
250 [15]. Societal stigma, feelings of embarrassment, and denial may all contribute to non-disclosure and
251 seeking repeat testing [3,15,17]. Another reason, less explored in the literature, is patient
252 understanding of a diagnosis of HIV. A survey conducted in South Africa, showed that only 91% of
253 patients with a previous HIV test were aware of their results [18]. Whether an awareness of a
254 positive result translates to understanding the diagnosis is not well defined. While there are several
255 studies that demonstrate the link between health literacy and health outcomes, most focus on print
256 literacy [19,20]. In the South African setting, patients may be more reliant on oral literacy which may
257 be limited by language barriers between patients and healthcare professionals, rushed consultations
258 and long waiting times. Prior to implementation of the universal test-and-treat strategy in 2015,
259 patients were not eligible for ART until certain criteria were met. This may have led to false
260 perceptions that patients who tested positive for HIV but were not treated, and remained
261 asymptomatic, did not have the infection. These factors may have resulted in apparent non-
262 disclosure of HIV status and subsequent retesting, although further research is imperative to better
263 understand this phenomenon.

264 Those who were referred for PoCT by healthcare workers in other CHC services were more likely to
265 be retesting than those receiving PoCT as part of antenatal care. Younger patients were more likely
266 to retest than older patients. Reasons for these associations require more detailed analyses with
267 consideration for confounding factors such as educational status, socio-economic status, and family

268 support. Linkage of PoCT results to a consolidated individuated data environment contributes to
269 uncovering such phenomena and the ensuing bias introduced when interpreting HIV testing data.

270 **Linkage to care and treatment in those testing positive**

271 Longitudinal analyses of individual patient data can assess implementation of HIV care guidelines, in
272 this case, universal test-and-treat. Of those newly diagnosed with HIV, more than half (58.1%) were
273 initiated on ART at study closure, while only a quarter (25%) initiated ART on the day of testing. Our
274 results indicate that the universal test-and-treat strategy has not been fully implemented. Further
275 research is needed to better understand why 41% of newly diagnosed HIV-positive patients had not
276 initiated ART. Although reasons for non-initiation may not be explicit in data available on the PHDC,
277 alerting systems can be developed to flag patients who have not engaged in appropriate HIV care at
278 future consultations or to find and encourage patients to return to HIV care for further
279 management.

280 **Limitations**

281 There are various pitfalls of using routine data for monitoring and evaluation [21,22], particularly
282 data accuracy and completeness. Since PoCT data are entered as routine clerical tasks in settings
283 where staff have high patient loads, the risk of inaccuracies is high. The 50 patients who self-
284 reported HIV-positive but retested negative likely represent capturing errors of the result on the HTS
285 form, as three quarters of these patients had evidence of HIV in the cascade. However, further
286 studies are warranted to explore whether these may represent patients who had a previous false
287 positive HIV PoCT. Furthermore, high staff turnover among HIV counsellors and nursing staff may
288 result in inadequately trained staff members conducting tests and completing forms, resulting in
289 erroneous entries and incomplete data. The use of a single rapid test for many retesting HIV-positive
290 patients was most likely for reaffirmation of diagnosis, hence the deviation from the National HIV
291 testing protocol. However, there were patients without prior of evidence of HIV who had a single
292 positive test or results entered in incorrect fields, suggesting training gaps. By uncovering such
293 training gaps, digitisation may prompt targeted training interventions, thereby strengthening clinical

294 governance. Limited staff involvement and understanding of data use may also contribute to de-
295 prioritisation of data capturing in the face of more pressing clinical concerns [22]. The quality of
296 PoCT and the validity of the results may be called to question as facility staff are not laboratory-
297 trained and may not be able to adhere to strict quality control criteria [23]. Since HIV PoCT is the
298 standard of care for HIV diagnosis and referral, digitisation of available results will nonetheless add
299 value to the HIV cascade through earlier ascertainment of HIV status and linkage to care. Predictors
300 for retesting could not be fully evaluated in this study, as variables were limited to routinely
301 captured HTS forms. Data on other confounding factors were thus not collected and adjusted for.

302 **Conclusions**

303 This study clearly demonstrates the important contribution of digitised PoCT data, within a robust
304 PHDC system, to better evaluate the HIV treatment cascade at both a population and an individual
305 level. Improved estimation of the magnitude of retesting may have substantial implications for
306 assessing interventions to achieve 90-90-90 targets. Considerable resources are channelled into
307 achieving the first 90 through wide-scale HIV testing. However, without quantifying the proportion
308 of HIV-infected patients who are retesting, this target cannot be appropriately evaluated.
309 Furthermore, the findings of this study demonstrate the contribution of PoCT in evaluating the
310 second 90 using routine data within the PHDC, and differentiating those who need linkage to care
311 following a new diagnosis, from those who need retention and re-engagement on ART.

312 While this study evaluated the population-level utility of PoCT, individual utility may also be
313 demonstrated. Health care professional access to PoCT results along with other laboratory data, may
314 enhance clinical consultations by improving efficiency during patient history-taking and avoiding
315 duplication of tests, which are uncomfortable and invasive to patients as well as costly to the health
316 system. Timely access to PoCT data and subsequent care access may provide actionable
317 opportunities to follow-up individual patients who have not linked to care and may enhance
318 operational management by facilitating improved monitoring of testing services. It is recommended

319 that quantitative and qualitative studies are conducted to better evaluate these other perceived
320 benefits of PoCT result digitisation.

321

322 **Authorship**

323 All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. NJ, AB, BR and JH designed the research
324 study. NJ conducted the research. NJ and AB wrote the manuscript. BR and JH made significant
325 intellectual contributions to the manuscript preparation. EK and JM provided practical support for
326 the research and contributed to the manuscript preparation. AH provided technical support in data
327 linkage and analyses.

328

329 **Acknowledgements**

330 The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of various collaborators, including: Linda
331 Hlwaya, Ezethu Sebezo, Nasima Mohamed, Igsaan Noordien, Izak de Villiers, Helena Vreede,
332 Nontobeko Tena-Coki, Lunga Makamba, the National Health Laboratory Services, the staff,
333 counsellors and patients at Gugulethu Community Health Centre and the Measurement and
334 Surveillance of HIV Epidemics (MeSH) consortium.

335


336 **References**

- 337 1. UNAIDS [2014]. 90-90-90 An ambitious treatment target to help end the AIDS epidemic.
338 Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS [UNAIDS], 2014. Available at:
339 <https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2017/90-90-90>. (Accessed 30 May 2019)
- 340 2. World Health Organization [2016]. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs
341 for treating and preventing HIV infection: recommendations for a public health approach –
342 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2016. Available at:
343 <https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-2016/en/>. (Accessed 30 May 2019)


- 344 3. Losina E, Bassett I V, Giddy J, Chetty S, Regan S, Walensky R et al. The “ART” of linkage: Pre-
345 treatment loss to care after HIV diagnosis at two PEPFAR sites in Durban, South Africa. PLoS
346 One. 2010;5[3]: e9538.
- 347 4. Rosen S, Fox MP. Retention in HIV care between testing and treatment in sub-Saharan
348 Africa: A systematic review. PLoS Med. 2011;8[7]: e1001056.
- 349 5. Hsiao N-Y, Stinson K, Myer L. Linkage of HIV-Infected Infants from Diagnosis to Antiretroviral
350 Therapy Services across the Western Cape, South Africa. PLoS One. 2013; 8[2]: e55308.
- 351 6. Nanoo A, Izu A, Ismail NA, Ihekweazu C, Abubakar I, Mametja D et al. Nationwide and
352 regional incidence of microbiologically confirmed pulmonary tuberculosis in South Africa ,
353 2004 – 12: a time series analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15[9]:1066–76.
- 354 7. Beck EJ, Shields JM, Tanna G, Henning G, de Vega I, Andrew G et al. Developing and
355 implementing national health identifiers in resource limited countries: why , what , who ,
356 when and how? Glob Health Action. 2018;11[1].
- 357 8. Dyers RE, Evans J, Ward GA, du Plooy S, Mahomed H. Are central hospitals ready for National
358 Health Insurance? ICD coding quality from an electronic patient discharge record for
359 clinicians. S Afr Med J. 2016;106[2]:181–5.
- 360 9. Birnbaum JK, Murray JL, Lozano R. Exposing misclassified HIV / AIDS deaths in South Africa.
361 Bull World Health Organ. 2011;89[4]:278–285.
- 362 10. Nojilana B, Groenewald P, Bradshaw D, Reagon G. Quality of cause of death certification at
363 an academic hospital: Cape Town, South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2009;99:648-652.
- 364 11. Kahn T. Health department scrambles to stop US cut in HIV funds. Business Day [Internet].
365 2019. Available at: [https://www.businesslive.co.za/bd/national/health/2019-04-10-health-](https://www.businesslive.co.za/bd/national/health/2019-04-10-health-department-scrambles-to-stop-us-cut-in-hiv-funds/)
366 [department-scrambles-to-stop-us-cut-in-hiv-funds/](https://www.businesslive.co.za/bd/national/health/2019-04-10-health-department-scrambles-to-stop-us-cut-in-hiv-funds/) [cited 13 May 2019]
- 367 12. National Department of Health, South Africa. National HIV Testing Services Policy: 2016.
368 Available at: <https://sahivsoc.org/Files/HTS%20Policy%2028%20July%20final%20copy.pdf>
369 (Accessed 30 May 2019)

- 370 13. Human Sciences Research Council [HSRC] [2018]. The Fifth South African National HIV
371 Prevalence, Incidence, Behaviour and Communication Survey, 2017: HIV Impact Assessment
372 Summary Report. Cape Town, HSRC Press. Available at:
373 [http://www.hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/9234/SABSSMV_Impact_Assessment_Summar](http://www.hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/9234/SABSSMV_Impact_Assessment_Summary_ZA_ADS_cleared_PDF44.pdf)
374 [y_ZA_ADS_cleared_PDF44.pdf](http://www.hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/9234/SABSSMV_Impact_Assessment_Summary_ZA_ADS_cleared_PDF44.pdf). (Accessed 30 May 2019)
- 375 14. National Department of Health, South Africa. The 2015 National Antenatal Sentinel HIV and
376 Syphilis Survey Report. October 2017. Available at:
377 [http://www.health.gov.za/index.php/shortcodes/2015-03-29-10-42-47/2015-04-30-08-18-](http://www.health.gov.za/index.php/shortcodes/2015-03-29-10-42-47/2015-04-30-08-18-10/2015-04-30-08-21-56?download=2584:2015-national-antenatal-hiv-prevalence-survey-final-23oct17)
378 [10/2015-04-30-08-21-56?download=2584:2015-national-antenatal-hiv-prevalence-survey-](http://www.health.gov.za/index.php/shortcodes/2015-03-29-10-42-47/2015-04-30-08-18-10/2015-04-30-08-21-56?download=2584:2015-national-antenatal-hiv-prevalence-survey-final-23oct17)
379 [final-23oct17](http://www.health.gov.za/index.php/shortcodes/2015-03-29-10-42-47/2015-04-30-08-18-10/2015-04-30-08-21-56?download=2584:2015-national-antenatal-hiv-prevalence-survey-final-23oct17) (Accessed 30 May 2019)
- 380 15. Arnold EM, Rice E, Flannery D, Rotheram-Borus MJ. HIV disclosure among adults living with
381 HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20[1] 80-92.
- 382 16. Chaudoir S, Fisher J, Simoni J. Understanding HIV Disclosure: A review and application of the
383 disclosure processes model. *Soc Sci Med*. 2011; 72[10]: 1618–1629.
- 384 17. Visser MJ, Neufeld S, de Villiers A, Makin JD, Forsyth BW. To tell or not to tell: South African
385 women's disclosure of HIV status during pregnancy. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20[9]1138-1145.
- 386 18. Peltzer K, Matseke G, Mzolo T, Majaja M. Determinants of knowledge of HIV status in South
387 Africa: results from a population-based HIV survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2009;11:1–11.
- 388 19. De Walt D, Berkman N, Sheridan S, Lohr KN, Pignone MP. Literacy and Health Outcomes. *J*
389 *Gen Intern Med*. 2004;19:1228–1239.
- 390 20. Berkman N, Sheridan S, Donahue K, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low Health Literacy and Health
391 Outcomes: An updated systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011; 155:97-107.
- 392 21. Mate KS, Bennett B, Mphatswe W, Barker P, Rollins N. Challenges for Routine Health System
393 Data Management in a Large Public Programme to Prevent Mother-to-Child HIV
394 Transmission in South Africa. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4[5]: e5483.
- 395 22. Powell A, Davies H, Thomson R. Using routine comparative data to assess the quality of

396 health care: understanding and avoiding common pitfalls. Qual Saf Health Care.
397 2003;12:122–128.
398 23. Pai NP, Vadnais C, Denking C, Engel N, Pai M. Point-of-Care Testing for Infectious Diseases:
399 Diversity, Complexity, and Barriers in Low- And Middle-Income Countries. PLoS Med 2012;
400 9[9]: e1001306.
401



Blue – information potentially available from paper-based registers**
Orange – additional information from linkage to HIV cascade



*Excludes those who self-reported HIV positive and retested negative

**Typically only HIV test results available in paper-based registers

