medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

CLOSED LOOP DEEP BRAIN STIMULATION FOR FREEZING OF GAIT

Matthew N. Petrucci[1], Raumin S. Neuville[1], M. Furqan Afzal[1], Anca Velisar[1], Chioma M. Anidi[1], Ross W. Anderson[1], Jordan E. Parker[1], Johanna J. O'Day[2], Helen M. Bronte-Stewart[1]

[1]Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
[2]Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Helen M. Bronte-Stewart, MD, MSE
Professor
Stanford University
300 Pasteur Drive
Stanford, CA, 94305

Email: hbs@stanford.edu

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1.1 Abstract

Freezing of gait (FOG), a devastating symptom of Parkinson's disease (PD), can be refractory to current treatments such as medication and open-loop deep brain stimulation (olDBS). Recent evidence suggests that closed-loop DBS (clDBS), using beta local field potential power from the subthalamic nucleus (STN) as the control variable, can improve tremor and bradykinesia; however, no study has investigated the use of clDBS for the treatment of FOG. In this study, we provide preliminary evidence that clDBS was superior to olDBS in reducing percent time freezing and in reducing freezing behavior (gait arrhythmicity) in two people with PD and FOG, with less total energy delivered. These findings warrant further investigation into the use of clDBS to treat FOG while also minimizing the total energy delivered to maintain a therapeutic effect.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1.2 Introduction

Freezing of gait (FOG) is a devastating symptom of Parkinson's disease (PD), affecting over half of the patient population [1] and drastically impacting mobility and patient quality of life. These symptoms have been difficult to treat with dopaminergic medications, and they can become refractory over time [2]. Moreover, it is debated how much deep brain stimulation (DBS) provided in an open-loop manner (olDBS) can mitigate FOG [3]–[5].

Closed-loop deep brain stimulation (clDBS) has been demonstrated to alleviate the signs and symptoms of PD by adjusting stimulation in response to elevations in local field potential (LFP) beta band power in the subthalamic nucleus (STN). Improvements in tremor and bradykinesia on clDBS have been observed using beta power as the control variable in both single and dual threshold algorithms [6]–[8]. To date, no study has used similar closed-loop paradigms to reduce FOG, although we have shown that STN olDBS attenuated pathological beta fluctuations while improving FOG [9]. In this paper, we demonstrate preliminary evidence that clDBS driven by STN beta band power was superior to conventional olDBS in reducing the percent time freezing during a stepping in place task on dual force plates.

1.3 Methods

Two male participants with PD and FOG symptoms participated in the study. Both participants were implanted with an investigative sensing neurostimulator (Medtronic Activa® PC+S, FDA IDE approved) and bilateral STN DBS leads (Medtronic model 3389). All procedures were approved

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board and participants provided informed written consent.

Participants performed a stepping in place (SIP) task [10] during three stimulation conditions: off DBS (OFF), on open-loop DBS (olDBS), and on closed-loop DBS (clDBS). All testing was performed in the off medication state (refrained for 12 hours for short- and 24 and 48 hours for long-acting dopaminergic medication). The clDBS was modulated by the power of the local field potentials contained in beta frequency range (13-30 Hz) [6]. The dual threshold control algorithm parameters (beta thresholds) were determined from beta band power during movement as opposed to during the resting state. Movement band beta power was measured during voltage titration in 5 voltage increments performed between 0 and 100% of V_{Max} while stepping in place. The movement bandwidth was 3-4 Hz around the peak frequency of elevated beta band power during SIP [9], [11]. The maximum voltage that provided clinical improvement without side effects (V_{Max}) in each STN was determined for each participant. A single beta threshold was used for Participant 1 based on the movement band power measured at 0% and V_{Max} for the left and right STNs, respectively. The upper and lower values of the dual threshold controller for Participant 2 were set to the average beta measured during the stepping in place task at V_{Max} (upper threshold) and the minimum voltage (V_{Min}) that showed improvement in stepping and freezing behavior (lower threshold, 25% of V_{Max}). For Participant 1, the stimulation settings for olDBS were set to V_{Max} for each STN, while the settings of olDBS for Participant 2 were set to the average voltage observed in each STN during the clDBS condition.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Freezing events were detected offline using an automated algorithm [10]. FOG and freezing behavior were assessed using the percent time freezing during the task and SIP arrhythmicity (coefficient of variation (CV) of stride time), respectively. Total electrical energy delivered (TEED) was calculated for both olDBS and clDBS using the following equation:

$$TEED = \frac{V^2 pft}{Z}$$

where V = stimulation voltage, p = stimulation pulse width, f = stimulation frequency, t = time, and Z = electrode impedance.

1.4 Results

Both participants experienced FOG in the off-stimulation (OFF) condition as their stepping behavior began to break down (i.e., loss of force modulation), Figure 1A and B.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 1: Stepping in place vertical ground reaction force traces for Participant 1 (left) and Participant 2 (right) off simulation, on open loop stimulation, and on neural adaptive closed loop stimulation. FOG events detected by the algorithm [10] are indicated by the vertical green lines. % time freezing and arrhythmicity are presented above each condition.

During olDBS there was improvement in the duration of normal stepping but FOG episodes were still detected for both participants, Fig. 1C and D. During clDBS, no FOG was detected for Participant 1, Fig. 1E, and only a short start hesitation episode was detected for Participant 2, Fig. 1F. The percent time freezing was: 36.4% OFF DBS, 0.94% during olDBS, and 0% during clDBS for Participant 1, and: 68.7% OFF DBS, 23.5% during olDBS, and 1.5% during clDBS for Participant 2. SIP arrhythmicity also improved during DBS, with Participant 1 having slightly more improvement

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

during clDBS compared to olDBS (Participant 1: 30.4% OFF, 16.3% olDBS, 15.6% clDBS; Participant 2: 20.4% OFF, 10.4% olDBS, 13.1% clDBS). In addition to the improvements in behavior, TEED was lower in the clDBS conditions compared to olDBS, with a larger reduction being observed in Participant 2 (Participant 1: 5%, Participant 2: 46%).

1.5 Discussion

These findings, to the best of our knowledge, are the first to demonstrate that neural closed-loop stimulation (clDBS) was superior to open loop DBS (olDBS) and no stimulation (OFF) in reducing FOG in PD. Freezing behavior, manifesting as arrhythmic stepping and lack of maintaining a consistent rate of force/amplitude control during stepping (i.e., the "sequence effect"[12], [13]), also improved more during clDBS compared to olDBS and OFF DBS. Interestingly, similar improvements were observed using both a single (Participant 1) and dual-threshold (Participant 2) algorithm aside from a larger reduction in TEED using the dual threshold algorithm compared to the single threshold algorithm. Future investigations should evaluate how much the system needs to adapt to maintain a therapeutic effect while also minimizing the energy needed.

The most dramatic effects observed in this study were the decrease in FOG and improvement in sustained stepping by clDBS, especially compared to conventional olDBS. These findings suggest that allowing the stimulation to adapt during the trial may allow the motor system to sustain or regain movement control, whereas continuous stimulation cannot prevent the "sequence effect" [12] because it is not changing in response to the oscillations of neural activity in the STN. Overall,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

these findings warrant further investigation into the use of clDBS for improving FOG as well as other Parkinsonian symptoms.

1.6 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participants in the study and members of the Bronte-Stewart lab. This work was supported by the Michael J. Fox Foundation (9605), NINDS Grant 5 R21 NS096398-02, NIH Grant AA023165-01A1, Robert and Ruth Halperin Foundation, John A. Blume Foundation, Helen M. Cahill Award for Research in Parkinson's Disease, the Stanford Bio-X Graduate Fellowship, and Medtronic Inc., who provided the devices used in this study but no additional financial support.

1.7 References

[1] M. Macht *et al.*, “Predictors of freezing in Parkinson’s disease: a survey of 6,620 patients,” *Mov. Disord.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 953–956, 2007.

[2] N. Giladi, “Medical treatment of freezing of gait,” *Mov. Disord.*, vol. 23, no. S2, pp. S482-8, 2008.

[3] M. U. Ferraye, B. Debu, and P. Pollak, “Deep brain stimulation effect on freezing of gait,” *Mov. Disord.*, vol. 23 Suppl 2, pp. S489-94, 2008.

[4] L. Rocchi, P. Carlson-Kuhta, L. Chiari, K. J. Burchiel, P. Hogarth, and F. B. Horak, “Effects of deep brain stimulation in the subthalamic nucleus or globus pallidus internus on step initiation in Parkinson disease,” *J. Neurosurg.*, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 1141–1149, 2012.

[5] J. G. Nutt, B. R. Bloem, N. Giladi, M. Hallett, F. B. Horak, and A. Nieuwboer, “Freezing of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19011858; this version posted November 19, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

gait: moving forward on a mysterious clinical phenomenon," *Lancet. Neurol.*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 734–744, 2011.

[6] A. Velisar *et al.*, "Dual threshold neural closed loop deep brain stimulation in Parkinson disease patients," *Brain Stimul.*, vol. 12 no. 4, pp. 868-876, 2019.

[7] M. Arlotti *et al.*, "Eight-hours adaptive deep brain stimulation in patients with Parkinson disease," *Neurology*, vol. 0, p. 10.1212/WNL.0000000000005121, 2018.

[8] S. Little *et al.*, "Adaptive deep brain stimulation in advanced Parkinson disease," *Ann. Neurol.*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 449–457, 2013.

[9] C. Anidi *et al.*, "Neuromodulation targets pathological not physiological beta bursts during gait in Parkinson's disease," *Neurobiol. Dis.*, vol. 120, no. May, pp. 107–117, 2018.

[10] J. Nantel, C. de Solages, and H. Bronte-Stewart, "Repetitive stepping in place identifies and measures freezing episodes in subjects with Parkinson's disease," *Gait Posture*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 329–333, 2011.

[11] J. Syrkin-Nikolau *et al.*, "Subthalamic neural entropy is a feature of freezing of gait in freely moving people with Parkinson's disease," *Neurobiol. Dis.*, vol. 108, pp. 288–297, 2017.

[12] R. Iansek, F. Huxham, and J. McGinley, "The sequence effect and gait festination in parkinson disease: contributors to freezing of gait?," *Mov. Disord.*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1419–1424, 2006.

[13] R. Chee, A. Murphy, M. Danoudis, N. Georgiou-Karistianis, and R. Iansek, "Gait freezing in Parkinson's disease and the stride length sequence effect interaction," *Brain*, vol. 132, no. 8, pp. 2151–2160, 2009.