Surgical Intervention for Paediatric Infusion-Related Extravasation Injury: A Systematic Review

Little MR[1]; Dupré S[2]; Wormald JCR[2,3]; Gale C[4]; Gardiner MD[2,5]; Jain A[2,6]

1. Department of Surgery, The Whittington Hospital, Magdala Avenue, London, UK, N19 5NF
2. Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, OX1 2DJ
3. Department of Plastic Surgery, Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire, UK, HP21 8AL
4. Neonatal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, Chelsea and Westminster Campus, London, UK, SW10 9NH
5. Department of Plastic Surgery, Wexham Park Hospital, Wexham St, Slough, UK, SL2 4HL
6. Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, St Mary's Hospital, Praed St, London, UK, W2 1NY

Mr Max R Little MBBS MRCS
Core Surgical Trainee, The Whittington Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK

Dr Sophie Dupré MBBS BSc (Hons)
Academic Foundation Doctor, University of Oxford, UK

Mr Justin C R Wormald MBBS MRes MRCS
NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow and Specialist Registrar in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University of Oxford, UK

Dr Chris Gale MBBS MSc PhD MRCPCH
Clinical Senior Lecturer in Neonatal Medicine and Consultant Neonatologist, Imperial College London, UK

Mr Matthew Gardiner MA PhD FRCS (Plast)
Hand Fellow, NIHR Senior Clinical Lecturer and Specialist Registrar in Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Abhilash Jain MBBS MRCS MSc PhD FRCS (Plast)
Associate Professor of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University of Oxford and Imperial College NHS Trust, London, UK

Corresponding author:
Justin C R Wormald
justin.wormald@ndorms.ox.ac.uk
01865227374
Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford
UK, OX1 2DJ

Running Head: Paediatric extravasation injury

Keywords: Extravasation; Infiltration; Paediatric; Necrosis; Gault

Funding: JW is an NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow; Chris Gale is funded by a Medical Research Council (MRC) Clinician Scientist Fellowship. This research is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) infrastructure at NDORMS. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. No funding was directly received for the development of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: CG has received support from Chiesi Pharmaceuticals to attend an educational conference; in the past 5 years he been investigator on received research grants from Medical Research Council, National Institute of Health Research, Canadian Institute of Health Research, Department of Health in England, Mason Medical Research Foundation, Westminster Medical School Research Trust and Chiesi Pharmaceuticals; he declares no other conflict of interest.

Word Count: 4,096 (including abstract and summary)

Abstract

Objectives

This systematic review aims to assess the quality of literature supporting surgical interventions for paediatric extravasation injury and to determine and summarize their outcomes.

Methods

We performed a systematic review by searching Ovid MEDLINE and EMBASE as well as AMED, CINAHL, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and clinicaltrials.gov from inception to February 2019. All studies other than case reports were eligible for inclusion if the population was younger than 18 years old, there was a surgical intervention aimed at treating extravasation injury and they reported on outcomes. Risk of bias was graded according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) study quality assessment tools.

Results

26 studies involving 728 children were included – one before-and-after study and 25 case series. Extravasation injuries were mainly confined to skin and subcutaneous tissues but severe complications were also encountered, including amputation (one toe and one below elbow). Of the surgical treatments described, the technique of multiple puncture wounds and instillation of saline and/or hyaluronidase was the most commonly used. However, there were no studies in which its effectiveness was tested against another treatment or a control and details of functional and aesthetic outcomes were generally lacking.

Conclusion

There is a lack of high quality evidence to support treatment of extravasation injury in children. A definitive trial of extravasation injuries, or a centralized extravasation register using a universal grading scheme and core outcome set with adequate follow-up, are required to provide evidence to guide clinician decision-making.

Strengths and Limitations

- A systematic review was performed according to PRISMA guidelines and registered on PROSPERO
- Two authors used a bespoke inclusion/exclusion form to independently assess study eligibility
- Studies were eligible for inclusion if the population was younger than 18 years old, if there was a surgical intervention aimed at treating extravasation injury in any setting and if they reported on short- or long-term outcomes
- Two researchers also independently assessed the included studies' risk of methodological bias using the National Institutes of Health (NIH) study quality assessment tools
- 18 years old may represent a relatively arbitrary cut-off age to differentiate between 'paediatric' and 'adult' in terms of extravasation injury

Introduction

Extravasation is the inadvertent leakage of a vesicant solution from its intended vascular pathway, commonly a peripheral or central vein, into the surrounding tissue with the potential to harm a patient. A vesicant is any medicine or fluid with the potential to cause blisters, severe tissue injury or necrosis if there is leakage. [1] If left untreated, extravasations may result in delayed healing, scarring and functional morbidity, including amputation in severe cases.

Children requiring intravenous (IV) therapy often have multiple risk factors for extravasation injuries, and neonates are at even greater risk of more serious injury due to poor venous integrity, capillary leakage, and expandable subcutaneous tissue that accommodates relatively large volumes of fluid. [2]

The reported incidence of extravasation in adult and paediatric inpatients ranges between 0.1% - 6.5% across studies from the UK, USA and Canada, but inconsistent reporting and documentation means that the true figure is likely to be higher. [2–4]

Multiple treatments have been described. The “Gault technique” [5] utilizes multiple small incisions made around the injury site. These are subsequently used to instill saline and/or hyaluronidase to wash out the extravasation fluid. This method remains a commonly described technique for the treatment of more severe paediatric extravasations. However, there is a lack of high quality comparative studies in which treatments are evaluated against each other and/or controls. It is therefore not known which of these treatments are effective, harmful or at the very least, necessary; a conclusion supported by a recent scoping review of interventions. [6] That review highlighted the fact that the lack of consensus extended to published guidelines. Their survey also showed wide variation in the frequency of use of the

saline washout techniques in neonatal units across the National Health Service (NHS) in the UK. This systematic review aims to evaluate the quality of the literature and to summarize the published outcome data of the surgical management of paediatric extravasation injuries.

Methods

We performed a systematic review of original clinical studies or systematic reviews (excluding case reports) of paediatric extravasation injury, reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [7] statement and using methodology outlined in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [8] where applicable. The protocol was developed prospectively, locally peer-reviewed and registered on the PROSPERO database (CRD42016045647).

Search methods

Studies were identified through a systematic literature search in Ovid MEDLINE and Ovid EMBASE as well as AMED, CINAHL, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and clinicaltrials.gov from inception to February 2019. To identify grey literature, Web of Science was searched using bespoke searches. Internet-wide searches using Google were employed to identify clinical guidelines describing the management of extravasation in the UK. All of these strategies comprised modifications of a common set of terms to identify the relevant clinical area. Key search terms in this common set included the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) “extravasation of diagnostic and therapeutic materials", “infant”, “child”, and “preschool”. These MeSH terms were combined with appropriate Boolean operators [Supplementary 1]. The index search terms were then combined with free text terms to identify appropriate clinical studies. No language restrictions were imposed.

The search results were merged and, after screening, duplicate citations were discarded along with studies unrelated to the research objective.

Criteria for selecting studies

Study selection criteria were determined in advance during the protocol stage. Two authors used a bespoke inclusion/exclusion form to independently assess eligibility of the title and abstracts of the search results. [Supplementary 2] Randomized Control Trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental and cross-sectional studies and case-series were eligible for inclusion if the population was younger than 18 years old, if there was a surgical intervention aimed at treating extravasation injury in any setting and if they reported on short- or long-term outcomes. Any disparities regarding inclusion of articles were discussed between the authors and a joint decision was made based on the inclusion criteria.

Assessment of Bias

Two researchers also independently assessed the included studies' risk of methodological bias using the National Institutes of Health (NIH) study quality assessment tools [9] for "case series" and for "before-after studies with no control group" – the two types of included studies. Discrepancies were resolved by discussions with the other authors.

Results

Search strategy

Our search strategy identified a total of 1,966 research articles of which 220 were potentially relevant to the research question. Of the 220 papers 185 did not meet the inclusion criteria. Thirty-five papers were deemed eligible for inclusion after studies in which conservative management or no intervention were excluded. The requisite data were immediately available in 26 study reports [4,10,19–28,11,29–34,12–18] and the authors of the remaining nine studies [5,35–42] were contacted twice with additional requests, mainly for exclusively paediatric extravasation data, and given at least two months to reply. Unfortunately, none of the authors were able to provide these data and those studies were excluded. The data were extracted from the remaining 26 studies [Supplementary PRISMA flow-diagram] using a pre-specified (review-specific) proforma [Supplementary 3] by two researchers, with discrepancies resolved by a third.

Study Characteristics

The included studies were published between 1975 and 2018. The mean sample size was 32 with a range of 4-147 participants. One study was a before-and-after comparative study, [31] two were prospective case series, [19,24] and the remaining 23 were retrospective case series. The follow-up periods were not reported in 14 included studies. In the other studies, the follow-up periods ranged from three days to 15 months [Table 1].

Patient Characteristics

Across the 26 included studies, there were 728 children. The median age of the 68 children for whom the individual data was available was 0.66 months (interquartile range (IQR) = 5.05). The mean age of 14 months (range: neonatal to 17 years old) was calculated using the data for 322 children across 16 included studies. There was a small difference in sex, with 187

males (57%) and 142 females (43%) (data on sex was lacking for 399 children). 19 of the 26 included studies recorded the sites of the implicated cannula for 553 children. The most common site was the upper limb (n=343; 62%), followed by the lower limb (n=177; 32%) and then the scalp (n=21; 4%). Ten studies specifically stated whether the cannula was a peripheral (n=314; 98%) or central line (n=7; 2%). [Table 2]

Of the 16 studies that provided data on comorbidities for 167 children, prematurity was the most common comorbidity in 11 (n=121; 72%). Malignancy (n=25; 15%) was the main comorbidity in three studies.

Of the 20 studies that reported on them, the most commonly extravasated substances affecting 363 children were [Table 3]:

1) Total Parenteral Nutrition (TPN) – 122 children (34%); most common in eight studies.
2) Intravenous maintenance fluids other than those specifically listed – 70 children (19%); most common in three studies. Unfortunately the data was not available for the outcomes of different types of maintenance fluids so they have been grouped together.
3) Calcium-containing products – 32 children (9%); most common in four studies.
4) Dextrose of concentration ≥5% - 28 children (8%); most common in one study.
5) Intravenous antimicrobials – 20 children (6%); most common in no studies. Of those 20 extravasations, five were due to Flucloxacillin with one injury taking 60 days to heal and five were due to unspecified cephalosporin antibiotics, three of which required skin grafting. One case of severe contractures and extensor loss on the dorsum of a child's hand was due to an unspecified tetracycline antibiotic. There were no individual outcomes recorded for the seven extravasations of Aciclovir, the single extravasations of Gentamicin, Ganciclovir or the remaining extravasations involving Flucloxacillin and cephalosporin antibiotics.

Interventions

Uncertainty remains over whether there is a difference in the outcomes of children who are treated conservatively or surgically and the case series that exist cover a number of treatments for a range of injuries from swelling to full-thickness defects. However, a recent scoping review found that the outcomes used and results detailed were generally limited, [6] making it difficult for clinicians to rely upon those studies in deciding who to treat conservatively. This is why we have focused solely on surgical interventions.

The Gault Method

279 children with an average age of 15.3 months were involved in the seven studies looking at the Gault method. No patients had skin necrosis at presentation.

107 were treated using the Gault method with saline, of which nine (8%) developed full thickness skin injury / skin necrosis and one (1%) developed compartment syndrome requiring fasciotomies. Of those with necrosis, six required no surgical intervention and three were debrided and treated with artificial dermis. [Table 4]

76 were treated using the Gault method with hyaluronidase, of which three (4%) developed skin necrosis and were all managed conservatively.

One child received only hyaluronidase injections and developed necrosis, which healed spontaneously.

95 children received no treatment, of which ten (11%) developed necrosis (one of whom went on to lose three toes), three (3%) developed an associated infection, one (1%) had an ischaemic toe requiring amputation and one (1%) developed calcinosis cutis.

Debridement and further surgery

There were 137 children with an average age of 17.7 months across the 14 studies in the debridement and further surgery group.

Nine studies (98 children) used management plans comprising debridement and further surgery in combination with conservative measures, details of which are shown in Table 4. [10,13–15,21,23,25,30,32] Of those 98 children, 34 (35%) had established full thickness skin injury / skin necrosis at presentation and 28 (29%) developed necrosis after conservative measures. 84 children (86%) underwent mechanical debridement as part of their treatment. The vast majority of children's wounds healed with minimal scarring and no functional deficit but four (4%) had debilitating scar contractures necessitating further surgery, ten (10%) required skin grafts, and two (2%) required fasciocutaneous flaps.

The other five studies (39 children) used only debridement and further surgery. All of those 39 children had full thickness skin injury / skin necrosis at presentation. 30 (77%) underwent mechanical debridement, of whom 22 (56%) required further operations including skin grafts and fasciocutaneous flaps and two developed contractures. The remaining nine children (23%), all from one study, underwent Versajet hydrosurgery with good healing in all children and one requiring sharp debridement.

In total, of the 137 children in the debridement and further surgery group, 103 (75%) developed skin necrosis, all of whom (in addition to some of those who were yet to develop necrosis, total n=123 (89%)) received either mechanical or water jet debridement. At least 38 children (28%) went on to require further operations.

Hyaluronidase injections

The final group of studies used hyaluronidase injections at the extravasation site without the need for incisions or washouts. This group comprised six studies and 327 children. [12,18,22,31,33,34]

97 children received hyaluronidase injections, one (1%) of whom had skin necrosis at presentation that resolved, and one (1%) developed necrosis requiring further surgery. Of the remaining 230 children that did not receive hyaluronidase, none developed skin necrosis at

any stage, although reporting on the extent of skin damage and eventual outcomes across the studies was generally poor.

Comparison of the interventions

The Gault Method

Among the studies included in this review, Ghanem et al [19] provides the closest approximation of a comparative study of the Gault method in children: 48 extravasations were diagnosed and children were divided into early (<24 hours, n=45) and later (>24 hours, n=3) referrals. Among early referrals, 22 were deemed to be 'at risk' injuries and received washout using Gault's method. Skin necrosis occurred in one of 45 early referrals but in two of three later referrals. The conclusion of this study, that the incidence of necrosis is higher in the later referral group, is limited by low methodological quality including the use of an arbitrary 24-hour cut-off, the small size of the later referral group and a lack of data describing the types of vesicants. It is important to note that the necrosis resolved with conservative management in all three cases.

Of the 183 children treated with a variation of the Gault technique across the included studies, 12 (7%) developed skin necrosis (none had skin necrosis at presentation). This compares favourably to the 11% (10/95) of children who received no treatment across those same studies. However, 73% (69/95) of the untreated group were late referrals and may be expected to have worse outcomes related to time of presentation. The only study to apply the Gault technique in which plastic surgery review time and patient ages were similar was Ching, Wong and Milroy. [16] In that study, none of the 43 children treated with Gault's technique and hyaluronidase developed any complications whereas there were three cases of associated infection, one ischaemic toe requiring amputation and one case of calcinosis cutis amongst the 26 children that received no treatment.

The degree of injury was only recorded for 36 (13%) of the 279 children in the included studies describing the Gault technique, of which 22 (8%) were full-thickness skin injuries.

Debridement and further surgery

73 children (53%) of the 137 in the debridement and further surgery studies had at least skin necrosis / full thickness skin injury at presentation

In contrast to the Gault as well as the hyaluronidase injection studies, the degree of injury was documented for 93% of the children (128/137).

Hyaluronidase injections

One (0.3%) of the 327 children reported in studies describing hyaluronidase injections had skin necrosis at presentation, and one (0.3%) went on to develop it. The degree of injury was recorded for only 49 (15%) of the 327 children. The reporting of patient outcomes was particularly scarce in this group.

Injuries

The types of injury were recorded for 212 of the 728 children across the included studies. Injuries were limited to swelling, pain or erythema (n=63; 30%), partial-thickness skin injury such as superficial blistering in others (n=29; 14%) and full-thickness skin injury such as necrosis in the largest number of children (n=110; 52%). However, these figures are skewed by the fact that 10 studies only looked at extravasation injuries causing at least skin necrosis. [10,15,17,20,26–30,32] One study described a transient episode of foot ischaemia which resolved with conservative management and one compartment syndrome of the left forearm requiring fasciotomies. [20] Two studies described toe amputations secondary to ischaemia. [4,16] One study of major injuries with full-thickness tissue loss had several casualties including a below

elbow amputation and two episodes of extensor loss. [28] Table 5 demonstrates the huge range of injury severity encountered and the number of each in the different intervention groups.

Millam's grading system for extravasation injuries

Several of the included studies [11,13,17,20,22,33] make reference to the four stages of extravasation/infiltration severity, which was first published by Millam in 1988. [43] This focuses on presence of pain, erythema, oedema, capillary refill, skin temperature and breakdown and it has been proposed that stages III & IV may require intervention. [44]

In one case series, [33] treatment was determined by the severity of extravasation injury based on a grading system similar to Millam's. Of the 18 children, the seven with Grade I and II injuries were managed conservatively. Ten children had grade III injuries – five were managed conservatively but the other five who had also developed skin erythema received active treatment with hyaluronidase injections. The one child with a grade IV injury required excision of the lesion – the sole surgical intervention in that study – after having been injected with hyaluronidase. All wounds healed with 'good outcomes'.

Risk of Bias

The one before-and-after study [31] was evaluated using a separate tool to the other studies (which were all case series). It was given an overall 'fair' rating by both authors. The vast majority of the case series were rated by both authors as 'good' or 'fair' [Table 6].

All four of the studies rated as 'poor' lacked a clearly defined study population. Compaña, Míguez & de Toro Santos [23], Harris, Bradley & Moss [24], and von Heimburg & Pallua [29] did not clearly state their objective whilst Cochran, Bomyea & Kahn [12], Harris, Bradley & Moss and von Heimburg & Pallua lacked clearly-defined, valid and reliable outcome measures. Compaña, Míguez & de Toro Santos did not clearly describe the study's intervention and von Heimburg & Pallua's subject were not comparable. In combination, these factors led to the

overall ‘poor’ rating of these four studies, meaning that their results were at high risk of methodological bias.

Discussion

This paper describes a systematic review of characteristics and operative management of paediatric extravasation injuries. Our review highlighted three key issues. Firstly, surgical management is commonly reported in the literature in cases where there is significant soft tissue injury but as there are no comparative studies, it is unclear whether this is optimal. Secondly, there are no data to support one surgical management approach over another, or over no treatment. Thirdly, there are no data on the adverse events caused by the different surgical interventions.

A variation of the Gault method was used in the largest number of children to receive surgical treatment across the included studies. Gault [4] described a retrospective case series of 96 patients, ranging in age from newborn to 70 years, who were split into two groups: those seen within 24 hours of the extravasation and those seen later than this. Patients seen within 24 hours had treatment as per the Gault method while those seen after 24 hours had no treatment. The original Gault paper was not included in our review because there were no separate paediatric data available but a separate risk of bias assessment by two authors rated the study as 'poor' due to the lack of a clearly defined objective or population, subjects that were not comparable and an inadequate length of follow-up. [Table 6] Despite the inherent limitations in the original Gault study, the method he described is widely used, as demonstrated by this review where it was a commonly described technique to manage extravasation injuries. This approach is not without downsides: it is an invasive procedure with associated morbidity such as pain and possible tendon and nerve damage, and takes considerable time to perform.

Evidence for the continuing use of the "Gault technique"

The degree of injury was recorded for 13% of the children included in the Gault technique studies, 93% of those in the debridement and further surgery group and 15% of those in the hyaluronidase injection group. These figures demonstrate some of the difficulties faced in drawing comparisons between different interventions, both due to the poor recording of injuries and because of the fact that accurate documentation of injury severity appears to be more consistently applied following more severe injuries.

It was not possible to perform pooled analysis from included studies of Gault and alternative techniques due to study heterogeneity and incomplete outcome reporting.

All but one of the included studies were case series and the one before-and-after study [31] primarily examined the effect of new extravasation treatment guidelines on knowledge of hyaluronidase, extravasation incident reporting and time from extravasation discovery to treatment. Therefore, even though they found similar harm scores for the hyaluronidase and the non-hyaluronidase groups, the results could not be relied upon to assess the effectiveness of the intervention.

In addition, inclusion criteria varied considerably, details of the injuries were poorly described, and no studies made direct comparison to another intervention. Finally, detailed outcome measures following interventions were generally lacking. In summarizing the included studies, it can be noted that despite widespread use, there is no high-quality evidence to support the Gault approach or its modifications.

The future of extravasation management

A key conclusion from this review is that resolution of extravasation injuries is common regardless of the treatment applied. In the absence of data to support one treatment modality over another, it is imperative that high quality methodology randomized comparisons are

undertaken; however, given the high rate of resolution with conservative treatment, any such trial will require a large, collaborative and multidisciplinary approach.

Although many of the in the included studies required minimal intervention, full-thickness skin injury was commonplace; this has the potential for lifelong scarring in patients with an immature skin barrier such as children and preterm infants in particular. More serious complications were rare in but given that only a minority of studies reported on longer-term outcomes, these may underestimate the true burden of extravasation injuries. We suggest the creation of a core outcome set for extravasation injury treatment and that future studies use longer-term follow-up to monitor those outcomes.

The use of a universal grading scheme (such as Millam's [43]) to assess the initial extent of injuries would also aid the comparison between different interventions. However, this requires meticulous documentation of the appearance of the extravasated site as well as the extent of tissue damage.

This review was limited by the low methodological quality of included studies and inconsistent outcome reporting. Variability in baseline data, intervention data and outcome data precluded formal meta-analysis. These limitations prevent the authors from providing definitive clinical recommendations either for or against paediatric extravasation, based on the current evidence.

Conclusion

There is a paucity of evidence to inform surgical treatment of paediatric and neonatal extravasation injuries. Gault's technique is a commonly described approach, but we did not identify any evaluation of its effectiveness compared to other approaches or conservative management. A centralized extravasation register, use of a standardized and agreed grading scheme, development of a core outcome set and adequate follow-up of extravasation injuries are required to provide incidence and outcome data for this condition, and to inform much-needed, definitive trials of therapeutic approaches to extravasation injuries in children and neonates.

Funding: JW is an NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow; Chris Gale is funded by a Medical Research Council (MRC) Clinician Scientist Fellowship. This research is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) infrastructure at NDORMS. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. No funding was directly received for the development of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: CG has received support from Chiesi Pharmaceuticals to attend an educational conference; in the past 5 years he been investigator on received research grants from Medical Research Council, National Institute of Health Research, Canadian Institute of Health Research, Department of Health in England, Mason Medical Research Foundation, Westminster Medical School Research Trust and Chiesi Pharmaceuticals; he declares no other conflict of interest.

References

1. Doellman D, Hadaway L, Bowe-Geddes LA, et al. Infiltration and extravasation: Update on prevention and management. *J Infus Nurs*. 2009;32(4):203-211. doi:10.1097/NAN.0b013e3181aac042

2. Paquette V, McGloin R, Northway T, et al. Describing intravenous extravasation in children (DIVE study). *Can J Hosp Pharm*. 2011;64(5):340-345.

3. Wilkins C, Emmerson A. Extravasation injuries on regional neonatal units. *Arch Dis Child Fetal neonatal Ed*. 2004;89(3).

4. Murphy A, Gilmour R, Coombs C. Extravasation injury in a paediatric population. *ANZ J Surg*. 2017.

5. Gault D. Extravasation injuries. *Br J Plast Surg*. 1993;46(2):91.

6. Corbett M, Marshall D, Harden M, et al. Treatment of extravasation injuries in infants and young children: a scoping review and survey. Southampton (UK): NIHR Journals Library. *Health Technol Assess (Rockv)*. 2018;22(46). doi:10.3310/hta22460

7. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetziaff J, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

8. Higgins J, Green S. Cochrane Handnook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. *Cochrane Collab*. 2011. http://handbook.cochrane.org.

9. NIH Study Quality Assessment Tools. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools. Accessed March 25, 2019.

10. Falcone P, Barrall D, Jeyarajah D, et al. Nonoperative management of full-thickness intravenous extravasation injuries in premature neonates using enzymatic debridementtle. *Ann Plast Surg*. 1989;22(2):146.

11. Casanova D, Bardot J, Magalon G. Emergency treatment of accidental infusion leakage in the newborn: Report of 14 cases. *Br J Plast Surg*. 2001;54(5):396-399.

12. Cochran T, Bomyea K, Kahn M. Treatment of iodinated contrast material extravasation with hyaluronidase. *Acad Radiol*. 2002;9.

13. Cho K, Lee S, Burm J, et al. Successful combined treatment with total parenteral nutrition fluid extravasation injuries in preterm infants. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2007;22(3):588.

14. Onesti M, Carella S, Maruccia M, et al. The use of hyalomatrix PA in the treatment of extravasation affecting premature neonates. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2012;129(1):219e-21e.

15. Firat C, Erbatur S, Aytekin A. Management of extravasation injuries: a retrospective study. *J Plast Surg Hand Surg*. 2013;47(1):60.

16. Ching L, Wong K, Milroy C. Paediatric extravasation injuries: a review of 69 consecutive

patients. *Int J Surg*. 2014;12(10):1036.

17. Sivrioğlu N, Irkören S. Versajet hydrosurgery system in the debridement of skin necrosis after calcium gluconate extravasation: report of 9 infantile cases. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc*. 2014;48(1):6.

18. Yan Y, Fan Q, Li A, et al. Treatment of cutaneous injuries of neonates induced by drug extravasation with hyaluronidase and hirudoid. *Iran J Pediatr*. 2014;24(4):352-358.

19. Ghanem M, Mansour A, Exton R, et al. Childhood extravasation injuries: improved outcome following the introduction of hospital-wide guidelines. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthetic Surg*. 2015;68(4):505.

20. Kostogloudis N, Demiri E, Tsimponis A, et al. Severe Extravasation Injuries in Neonates: A Report of 34 Cases. *Pediatr Dermatol*. 2015;32(6):830.

21. Sung K-Y, Lee S-Y. Nonoperative Management of Extravasation Injuries Associated With Neonatal Parenteral Nutrition Using Multiple Punctures and a Hydrocolloid Dressing. *Wounds A Compend Clin Res Pract*. 2016;28(5):145-152.

22. Odom B, Lowe L, Yates C. Peripheral Infiltration and Extravasation Injury Methodology: A Retrospective Study. *J Infus Nurs*. 2018;41(4):247-252.

23. Pacheco Compaña F, Midón Míguez J, de Toro Santos F. Lesions Associated With Calcium Gluconate Extravasation. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2017;79(5):444-449.

24. Harris P, Bradley S, Moss A. Limiting the damage of iatrogenic extravasation injury in neonates. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2001;107(3):893-894. doi:https://doi.org/10.1097/00006534-200103000-00053

25. Andrés A, Burgos L, López Gutiérrez J, et al. Treatment protocol for extravasation lesions. *Cir Pediatr*. 2006;19(3):136-139.

26. Linder R, Upton J, Osteen R. Management of extensive doxorubicin hydrochloride extravasation injuries. *J Hand Surg Am*. 1983;8(1):32-38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0363-5023(83)80048-3

27. Linder R, Upton J. Prevention of extravasation injuries secondary to doxorubicin. *Postgrad Med J*. 1985;77(4):105-9,112,114. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/00325481.1985.11698920

28. Upton J, Mulliken J, Murray J. Major intravenous extravasation injuries. *Am J Surg*. 1979;137(4):497-506. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9610(79)90121-1

29. von Heimburg D, Pallua N. Early and late treatment of iatrogenic injection damage. *Chirurg*. 1998;69(12):1378-1382. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s001040050588

30. Weiss Y, Ackerman C, Shmilovitz L. Localized necrosis of scalp in neonates due to calcium gluconate infusions: a cautionary note. *Pediatrics*. 1975;56(6):1084-1086.

31. Hanrahan K. Hyaluronidase for treatment of intravenous extravasations: implementation of an evidence-based guideline in a pediatric population. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs*.

2013;18(3):253-262. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/jspn.12035

32. Boyar V, Galiczewski C. Efficacy of Dehydrated Human Amniotic Membrane Allograft for the Treatment of Severe Extravasation Injuries in Preterm Neonates. *Wounds*. 2018;30(8):224-228.

33. Yan Y, Gong M, Chen J, et al. Incidence, risk factors and treatment outcomes of drug extravasation in pediatric patients in China. *Turk J Pediatr*. 2017;(59):162-168.

34. Myers P, Krasniak P, Bell D. Managing intravenous infiltration injuries in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Burn Care Res*. 2018;39(9):S151.

35. Loth T, Eversmann W. Extravasation injuries in the upper extremity. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1991;(272):48.

36. Scuderi N, Onesti M. Antitumor agents: extravasation, management, and surgical treatment. *Ann Plast Surg*. 1994;32(1):39.

37. Langstein N, Duman H, Seelig D, et al. Retrospective study of the management of chemotherapeutic extravasation injury. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2002;49(4):369.

38. D'Andrea F, Onesti M, Nicoletti G, et al. Surgical treatment of ulcers caused by extravasation of cytotoxic drugs. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Hand Surg*. 2004;38(5):288-292.

39. Rose R, Felix R, Crawford-Sykes A, et al. Extravasation injuries. *West Indian Med J*. 2008;57(1):40.

40. Sbitany H, Koltz F, Mays C, et al. CT contrast extravasation in the upper extremity: strategies for management. *Int J Surg*. 2010;8(5):384.

41. Kim S, Cook K, Lee I, et al. Computed tomography contrast media extravasation: treatment algorithm and immediate treatment by squeezing with multiple slit incisions. *Int Wound J*. 2017;14(2):430-434.

42. Onesti M, Carella S, Fioramonti P, et al. Chemotherapy Extravasation Management: 21-Year Experience. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2017;79(5):450-457.

43. Millam D. Managing complications of IV therapy. *Nursing (Lond)*. 1988;(18):34-43.

44. Flemmer L, Chan J. A pediatric protocol for management of extravasation injuries. *Pediatr Nurs*. 1993;18:44-47.

Table 1 – Included Studies

Rf	Author	Year	Country	Title	n	Methods	Period	Mean follow-up
4	Murphy	2017	Australia	Extravasation injury in a paediatric population	43	Retrospective Series	-	-
10	Falcone	1989	USA	Nonoperative management of full-thickness intravenous extravasation injuries in premature neonates using enzymatic debridement	15	Retrospective Series	-	6 months
19	Ghanem	2015	UK	Childhood extravasation injuries: improved outcome following the introduction of hospital-wide guidelines	48	Prospective Series	1 year	-
20	Kostogloudis	2015	Greece	Severe Extravasation Injuries in Neonates: A Report of 34 Cases	34	Retrospective Series	2 years	15 months
21	Sung	2016	Korea	Nonoperative Management of Extravasation Injuries Associated With Neonatal Parenteral Nutrition Using Multiple Punctures and a Hydrocolloid Dressing.	12	Retrospective Series	4 years	10 months
22	Odom	2018	USA	Peripheral Infiltration and Extravasation Injury Methodology: A Retrospective Study.	147	Retrospective Series	-	-
23	Compaña	2017	Spain	Lesions Associated With Calcium Gluconate Extravasation	4	Retrospective Series	-	2 months
24	Harris	2001	UK	Limiting the damage of iatrogenic extravasation injury in neonates	56	Prospective Series	3 years	-
25	Andrés	2006	Spain	Treatment protocol for extravasation lesions	15	Retrospective Series	6 years	-
26		1983		Management of extensive doxorubicin hydrochloride extravasation injuries		Retrospective Series		
27	Linder	1985	USA	Prevention of extravasation injuries secondary to doxorubicin	18		-	3 months
28	Upton	1979	USA	Major intravenous extravasation injuries	7	Retrospective Series	10 years	-
11	Casanova	2001	France	Emergency treatment of accidental infusion leakage in the newborn: Report of 14 cases	14	Retrospective Series	-	-
29	von Heimburg	1998	Germany	Early and late treatment of iatrogenic injection damage	5	Retrospective Series	-	-
30	Weiss	1975	Israel	Localized necrosis of scalp in neonates due to calcium gluconate infusions: a cautionary note	4	Retrospective Series	-	-
31	Hanrahan	2013	USA	Hyaluronidase for treatment of intravenous extravasations: implementation of an evidence-based guideline in a pediatric population	113	Before-and-after study	4 years	-
32	Boyar	2018	USA	Efficacy of Dehydrated Human Amniotic Membrane Allograft for the Treatment of Severe Extravasation Injuries in Preterm Neonates	4	Retrospective Series	-	1-2 months
33	Yan	2017	China	Incidence, risk factors and treatment outcomes of drug extravasation in pediatric patients in China	18	Retrospective Series	6 months	1– 5 months
34	Myers	2018	USA	Managing intravenous infiltration injuries in the neonatal intensive care unit	28	Retrospective Series	7 years	-
12	Cochran	2002	USA	Treatment of iodinated contrast material extravasation with hyaluronidase	8	Retrospective Series	7 years	-
13	Cho	2007	Korea	Successful combined treatment with total parenteral nutrition fluid extravasation injuries in preterm infants	5	Retrospective Series	4 months	-
14	Onesti	2012	Italy	The use of hyalomatrix PA in the treatment of extravasation affecting premature neonates	26	Retrospective Series	6 years	14 months
15	Firat	2013	Turkey	Management of extravasation injuries: a retrospective study	13	Retrospective Series	2 years	-
16	Ching	2014	UK	Paediatric extravasation injuries: a review of 69 consecutive patients	69	Retrospective Series	1 year	3 days
17	Sivrioglu	2014	Turkey	Versajet hydrosurgery system in the debridement of skin necrosis after Ca gluconate extravasation: report of 9 infantile cases	9	Cohort Study	-	1 year
18	Yan	2014	China	Treatment of cutaneous injuries of neonates induced by drug extravasation with hyaluronidase and hirudoid	13	Retrospective Series	2 years	3 months

Table 2 – Demographics

Ref	Author	n	Mean age (months)	Male	Female	N upper limb	N lower limb	N Scalp	N other	Peripheral Cannula	Central Cannula
Gault Technique Studies											
4	Murphy	43	-	-	-	32	9	-	-	-	-
11	Ghanem	48	38.4	-	-	48	25	4	5	73	7
12	Kostogloudis	34	0.6	-	-	6	28	-	-	34	-
17	Andrés	15	36	-	-	14	-	1	-	15	-
21	Casanova	14	1	-	-	4	9	1	-	-	-
32	Ching	69	0.7	32	37	45	17	-	7	-	-
24	Harris	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	**279**	**15.3 (mean)**	**32**	**37**	**149**	**88**	**6**	**12**	**122**	**7**
Debridement + further surgery											
10	Falcone	15	0.6	7	8	12	2	1	-	-	-
13	Sung	12	-	6	6	7	5	-	-	-	-
15	Compaña	4	2.6	3	1	2	2	-	-	4	-
17	Andrés (2)	15	36	-	-	14	-	1	-	15	-
20	Upton	7	67.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Weiss	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-
25	Boyar	4	1.1	3	1	3	1	-	-	4	-
29	Cho	5	0.6	-	-	4	-	-	-	6	-
30	Onesti	26	0.6	17	9	14	10	2	-	-	-
31	Firat	13	50	3	10	6	4	3	-	-	-
33	Sivrioglu	9	0.9	-	-	5	3	1	-	9	-
26 27	Linder	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Von Heimburg	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	**137**	**17.7 (mean)**	**46**	**28**	**67**	**27**	**12**	**0**	**42**	**0**
Hyaluronidase injections											
14	Odom	147	-	87	60	106	40	2	-	147	-
26	Yan (2017)	18	39.7	10	8	12	6	-	-	18	-
27	Myers	28	1.3	-	-	14	13	1	-	-	-
28	Cochran	8	-	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	Yan (2014)	13	0.9	8	5	9	3	1	-	-	-
31	Hanrahan	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	**327**	**14.0 (mean)**	**109**	**77**	**141**	**62**	**4**	**0**	**165**	**0**
	Overall Totals	**728**	**15.13 (mean)**	**187**	**142**	**343**	**177**	**21**	**12**	**314**	**7**

Table 3 – Comorbidities and extravasated materials in those papers that recorded them

		Comorbidities			Vesicant Type								
Ref	Author	Prematurity	Sepsis	Malignancy	TPN	≥5% Dextrose	Other IV Fluids	Calcium-containing	Antimicrobials	Chemo	Contrast	Dopamine	Other
Gault Technique Studies													
4	Murphy	-	-	-	10	11	6	2	6	-	2	-	5
19	Ghanem	14	-	-	22	10	6	-	8	-	-	1	1
20	Kostogloudis	34	-	-	28	4	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
25	Andrés	-	1	5	7	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	-
11	Casanova	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	9	3
16	Ching	"Majority"	-	-	16	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	31
	Total	**48**	**1**	**5**	**83**	**25**	**34**	**8**	**16**	**4**	**2**	**10**	**40**
Debridement + further surgery													
10	Falcone	15	-	-	9	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	2
21	Sung	9	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Compaña	2	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
25	Andrés (2)	-	1	5	7	-	-	4	-	4	-	-	-
26 27	Linder	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
28	Upton	-	-	1	-	1	2	1	1	2	-	-	-
30	Weiss	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
32	Boyar	4	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Cho	5	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
14	Onesti	26	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Firat	-	1	1	-	1	4	3	3	1	-	-	1
17	Sivrioglu	3	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	**68**	**2**	**25**	**36**	**3**	**35**	**26**	**4**	**25**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Hyaluronidase injections													
33	Yan (2017)	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
12	Cochran	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
18	Yan (2014)	5	-	-	9	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2
	Total	**5**	**0**	**0**	**10**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**8**	**0**	**2**
	Overall Totals	**121**	**2**	**25**	**122**	**28**	**70**	**32**	**20**	**25**	**10**	**10**	**46**

Table 4 – Interventions

Ref	Author	Intervention Summary	Outcomes
Gault Technique Studies			
4	Murphy	Gault's + saline (11% of patients).	Three patients (7%) suffered injuries, which led to significant tissue necrosis, delayed healing and prolonged morbidity. None of these were washed out due to delayed referral.
20	Kostogloudis	Gault's + saline (100% of patients).	All wounds healed within 25 days. One case of distal foot ischaemia resolved after treatment.
24	Harris	Gault's + saline (100% of patients).	No episodes of skin or soft tissue loss were recorded and no reconstructive surgery was required.
25	Andrés	Gault's + saline (67% of patients) + Debridement + dermal substitute in 53%	Seven of ten treated with Gault's method avoided necrosis and recovered fully. Three developed necrosis and, with the remaining five, were debrided and received artificial skin and obtained satisfactory outcomes.
11	Casanova	Gault's + hyaluronidase (79% of patients) / + saline (14%)	No skin involvement in ten patients; Blistering healed in one; Necrosis resolved in three
19	Ghanem	Gault's + hyaluronidase (46% of patients).	Three patients had tissue necrosis (one of whom received wash out). There was satisfactory healing with no requirement for surgical intervention.
16	Ching	Gault's + hyaluronidase (62% of patients).	Of the 62% of patients washed out, none developed complications. Two other significant complications.
Debridement + further surgery			
10	Falcone	Topical fibrinolysin/deoxyribonuclease ointment then debridement.	All wounds healed completely with no infections and no functional scar contractions at up to 16 months follow-up. No skin grafts were required.
21	Sung	Multiple punctures using a scalpel blade + hydrocolloid dressing. Then debridement.	All patients showed favourable results without functional deficits or conspicuous scars
23	Compaña	Topical steroids, Burow's Solution and Silver Sulfadiazine. 3 debridements followed by split-thickness skin grafts.	Of four patients, two successfully healed, one was lost to follow-up and one died of other causes.
25	Andrés (2)	Gault's + saline (67% of patients) + debridement in 33% + artificial dermis.	Seven of the ten patients treated with Gault's method avoided necrosis and recovered fully. Three developed necrosis. The five who were debrided and received artificial skin obtained satisfactory outcomes.
30	Weiss	Wet dressings and repeated economical debridement.	Wounds healed well in 15 to 40 days. Scars were visible but without discolouration
32	Boyar	Enzymatic or autolytic debridement before mechanical debridement and application of dehydrated human amniotic membrane allograft (dHAMA)	Complete closure of significant wounds with minimal soft scars and normal pigmentation
13	Cho	Topical antibiotic + anti-inflammatory herbal mixture. 1 debridement. 1 escharotomy.	One patient had pigmentation at four weeks, one had a small-sized contracture at 50 days, one had small linear scar at two years.
14	Onesti	Topical collagenase, then debridement, and then Hyalomatrix PA (dermal substitute).	18 patients healed fully after 21 days. Four had pathological scars and four had debilitating scar contractures needing secondary surgery.
15	Firat	Topical hirudin and antibiotics, then 3% boric acid, then repetitive debridement.	Seven patients required split-thickness skin grafting and two required fasciocutaneous flaps. All recovered well with scar development in four. Minor functional loss in the hands or feet as a result of scar formation was managed by physiotherapy and pressure garments.
26 27	Linder	Debridement and wound closure: mostly split-thickness skin grafts or delayed primary closure. All patients had at least 2 operations.	The mean time for wound closure was 49 days (range 10–85 days). Three patients died before wound closure. At least one patient needed a split-thickness skin graft. One child developed sympathetic dystrophy syndrome. Some children developed permanent joint stiffness.
28	Upton	Debridement and skin grafts. All patients needed two or more operations.	Two patients experienced contractures, two had extensor loss, one had hair loss, one had loss of motion and one required further reconstruction.
29	von Heimburg	Debridement, allogeneic donor tissue grafts and autologous split-thickness skin grafts.	After 15 days there was full healing in all five infants
17	Sivrioğlu	Versajet hydrosurgery for all patients. 1 sharp debridement.	Minimal scar formation with no hypertrophic scarring in any patient.
Hyaluronidase injections			
22	Odom	Injection of hyaluronidase or Phentolamine without incisions.	No patients required surgical intervention for wound healing or had an infection.
31	Hanrahan	Injection of hyaluronidase.	Mean harm scores were similar between the group receiving hyaluronidase and the group not receiving it.
33	Yan (2017)	Injection of hyaluronidase in 33% (1 patient requiring surgical excision of a lesion)	All healed and had "good outcomes"
34	Myers	Injection of hyaluronidase in 50%	Time to healing averaged 16.2 days (range 1-82 days). No patient required surgical intervention.
12	Cochran	Injection of hyaluronidase in 25%	One patient had a prolonged course with swelling and skin peeling of the hand.
18	Yan (2014)	Injection of hyaluronidase and application of hirudoid.	Three patients lost to follow-up. Negligible loss of functional movements. One case of scarring and readmission with calcinosis.

Table 5 – Injuries in those papers that recorded them

		Skin changes			Other injuries				
Ref	**Author**	**Pain/swelling/erythema**	**Partial thickness skin injury**	**Full thickness skin injury**	**Tendon**	**Nerve**	**Vascular**	**Compartment Syndrome**	**Amputation**
Gault Technique Studies									
4	Murphy	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	1
11	Casanova	10	1	3	-	-	-	-	-
16	Ching	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1
19	Ghanem	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	
20	Kostogloudis	-	-	6	-	-	1	1	-
25	Andrés	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	**10**	**1**	**25**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**2**
Debridement + further surgery									
10	Falcone	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
13	Cho	1	2	3	-	-	-	-	-
14	Onesti	-	18	8	-	-	-	-	-
15	Firat	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
17	Sivrioglu	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
21	Sung	1	2	9	-	-	-	-	-
23	Compaña	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-
25	Andrés (2)	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-
26 27	Linder	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-
28	Upton	-	-	7	2	-	-	-	1
29	von Heimburg	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
30	Weiss	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
32	Boyar	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	
	Total	**2**	**23**	**103**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Hyaluronidase injections									
12	Cochran	21	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Yan (2014)	5	3	1	-	-	-	-	-
33	Yan (2017)	17	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	**43**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
	Overall Totals	**55**	**28**	**122**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**

Table 6 – Risk of Bias for Included Studies

		Questions										
Ref	Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Overall rating	Level of evidence
4	Murphy	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
10	Falcone	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	N	Good	4
11	Casanova	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	–	Y	Fair	4
12	Cochran	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	–	Y	Poor	4
13	Cho	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
14	Onesti	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	N	Fair	4
15	Firat	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
16	Ching	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	–	Y	Good	4
17	Sivrioglu	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
18	Yan 2014	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	–	Y	Good	4
19	Ghanem	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	–	Y	Fair	4
20	Kostogloudis	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
21	Sung	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	–	N	Good	4
22	Odom	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	–	Y	Good	4
23	Compaña	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	–	–	Y	Poor	4
24	Harris	N	N	Y	–	Y	N	–	–	Y	Poor	4
25	Andrés	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	–	–	Y	Good	4
26	Linder 1983	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	–	Y	Good	4
27	Linder 1985	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
28	Upton	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	–	Y	Good	4
29	von Heimburg	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	–	Y	Poor	4
30	Weiss	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	–	Y	Fair	4
32	Boyar	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
33	Yan 2017	Y	N	Y	–	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Good	4
34	Myers	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	–	Y	Good	4
5	Gault	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	–	Y	Poor	4

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Overall rating	Level of evidence
31	Hanrahan	Y	N	–	–	Y	Y	Y	N	–	Y	N	N	Fair	3

Key	Y	N	–
	Yes	No	N/A, Not Recorded, or Cannot Determine