

High occurrence of transportation and logistics occupations among vascular dementia patients: an observational study

A.C. van Loenhoud,^{1*} C. de Boer,¹ K. Wols, Y.A. Pijnenburg,¹ A.W. Lemstra,¹ F.H. Bouwman,¹ N.D. Prins,¹ P. Scheltens,¹ R. Ossenkuppele,^{1,3} W.M. van der Flier^{1,2}

¹Alzheimer Center Amsterdam, Departement of Neurology, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

³Clinical Memory Research Unit, Lund University, 221 00, Lund, Sweden.

*corresponding author; address: room PK -1 Z 039, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, De Boelelaan 1118, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; email: a.vanloenhoud@amsterdamumc.nl; telephone: +31 20 4448527; fax: +31 20 4448529.

Co-author email addresses:

- C. de Boer: c.deboer2@vumc.nl
- K. Wols: k.wols@pietervanforeest.nl
- Y.A. Pijnenburg: YAL.Pijnenburg@vumc.nl
- A.W. Lemstra: a.lemstra@vumc.nl
- F.H. Bouwman: Femke.Bouwman@vumc.nl
- N.D. Prins: nd.prins@vumc.nl
- P. Scheltens: p.scheltens@vumc.nl
- R. Ossenkuppele: r.ossenkuppele@vumc.nl
- W.M. van der Flier: WM.vdFlier@vumc.nl

1 **Abstract (words: 264)**

2 Background: Growing evidence suggests a role of occupation in the emergence and
3 manifestation of dementia. Occupations are often defined by complexity level, although
4 working environments and activities differ in several other important ways. We aimed to
5 capture the multi-faceted nature of occupation through its measurement as a qualitative
6 (instead of a quantitative) variable and explored its relationship with different types of
7 dementia.

8 Methods: We collected occupational information of 2,121 dementia patients with various
9 suspected etiologies from the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (age: 67±8, 57% male, MMSE:
10 21±5). Our final sample included individuals with Alzheimer's disease (AD) dementia
11 (n=1,467), frontotemporal dementia (n=281), vascular dementia (n=98), Lewy Body disease
12 (n=174) and progressive supranuclear palsy/corticobasal degeneration (n=101). Within the
13 AD group, we used neuropsychological data to further characterize patients by clinical
14 phenotypes. All participants were categorized into one of 11 occupational classes, across
15 which we evaluated the distribution of dementia (sub)types with Chi² analyses. We gained
16 further insight into occupation-dementia relationships through post-hoc logistic regressions
17 that included various demographic and health characteristics as explanatory variables.

18 Results: There were significant differences in the distribution of dementia types across
19 occupation groups (Chi²=85.87, p<.001). Vascular dementia was relatively common in the
20 Transportation/Logistics sector, and higher vascular risk factors partly explained this
21 relationship. Alzheimer's disease occurred less in Transportation/Logistics and more in
22 Health Care/Welfare occupations, which related to a higher/lower percentage of males. We
23 found no relationships between occupational classes and clinical phenotypes of AD
24 (Chi²=53.65, n.s.).

25 Conclusions: Relationships between occupation and dementia seem to exist beyond
26 complexity level, which offers new opportunities for disease prevention and improvement of
27 occupational health policy.

28

29 Keywords: occupation, vascular dementia, Alzheimer's disease, vascular risk factors, sex

30

31 **Background**

32 Dementia currently affects 46.8 million people worldwide [1], and this number is expected to
33 increase as the aging population grows. Causes of dementia include Alzheimer's disease
34 (AD), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), vascular dementia (VaD) and movement disorders
35 such as Lewy Body disease (DLB), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) and corticobasal
36 degeneration (CBD). Apart from age and genetic influences, lifestyle factors play a role in the
37 emergence and manifestation of dementia. For example, a comprehensive body of research
38 points to the influence of education and occupation on dementia incidence [2-5]. Occupation
39 could be an especially strong contributing factor, as most individuals spend a major part of
40 their life at work.

41

42 Studies on the relationship between occupation and dementia have generally focused on the
43 role of occupational complexity (e.g. rated as "white versus blue collar" [6]). Results indicate
44 that older individuals who engaged in greater levels of occupational complexity have a better
45 current cognitive status [6-13], a lower risk to develop dementia [5,14-17] or a different rate
46 of clinical progression after the onset of dementia [4,11,18]. However, these studies mostly
47 investigated one particular form of dementia, or "dementia" in general without specifying its
48 type. Moreover, the common quantification of occupation by complexity level represents an
49 oversimplification of the multi-faceted quality of this lifestyle factor. Working environments
50 and activities differ in several important ways beyond complexity level, such as the level of
51 physical activity, stress, social demands and exposure to hazardous substances [19,20].
52 Although a broader evaluation of occupation could thus provide important new insights in the
53 context of dementia, this approach is relatively rare.

54

55 To overcome this scarcity in the literature, we took a different approach by i) measuring
56 occupation as a qualitative (i.e. occupational class) rather than a quantitative variable (i.e.
57 degree of occupational complexity), without making prior assumptions about complexity or
58 other potential protective or harmful factors, and ii) exploring the relationships between
59 occupation and various forms of dementia (i.e. different suspected etiologies and clinical
60 phenotypes). Our sample included >2000 memory clinic patients with dementia due to AD,
61 FTD, VaD or movement disorders. Occupation was categorized into several nominal groups
62 (e.g. Pedagogical, Agricultural, Transportation/Logistics), across which we compared the
63 distribution of these dementia types [21]. Within the AD subsample, we had sufficient data to
64 additionally investigate relationships between occupation and specific cognitive profiles. As
65 this study was exploratory in nature, we did not formulate a priori hypotheses. For
66 relationships that emerged, we gained further insight through post-hoc analyses with
67 additional demographic and health characteristics (i.e. age, sex, education and vascular risk
68 factors [VRFs]).

69

70 **Methods**

71 Participants

72 Participants were selected from the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (ADC [22,23]). The sample
73 consists of individuals who visited the Alzheimer Center of the Amsterdam University Medical
74 Center between 2000 and 2017. They underwent a standardized screening process that
75 included the (patient and informant based) collection of demographic information, medical
76 history, neurological examination, neuropsychological assessment, standard laboratory tests,
77 brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and lumbar puncture in a subsample. Diagnosis
78 was established in a multidisciplinary meeting, based on common clinical criteria [24-34]. For
79 some dementia types, diagnostic criteria underwent revisions during the period across which
80 our participants were selected (Supplementary Table 1), but changes with respect to their
81 core clinical characteristics were minor. Dementia diagnoses in the present study included

82 AD, FTD, VaD, DLB and PSP/CBD.

83

84 Assignment of occupational codes

85 Information on occupation was collected by a physician during a semi-structured interview
86 with the participants and their informants. We subsequently coded this information according
87 to the Dutch ROA-CBS 2014 occupational categorization system (BRC 2014), a derivative of
88 the International Standard Classification of Occupations 2008 (ISCO 2008) [21]. The BRC
89 2014 consists of the following occupational classes: 1) Pedagogical, 2) Creative/Linguistic, 3)
90 Commercial, 4) Business/Administrative, 5) Management, 6) Governmental/Law/Safety, 7)
91 Technical, 8) Information and Communication Technology (ICT), 9) Agricultural, 10) Health
92 Care/Welfare, 11) Service, 12) Transportation/Logistics (see Additional file 1). The BRC 2014
93 additionally includes a 13th “Other” category (mostly applicable when occupational
94 descriptions are absent or unclear), and makes further divisions into segments and
95 subgroups. For the present paper, we were only interested in the occupational classes (i.e.
96 classification at the segment level would result in 40 groups of insufficient size). We excluded
97 participants in the “Other” category and those classified as “no occupation”. When multiple
98 occupations were listed at once for a given participant (which is not permitted in our Chi²
99 model as described below in the “Statistical analyses” section [35]), we used the first job title
100 for consistency reasons.

101

102 The assignment of BRC 2014 codes was performed by two raters (A.C.v.L and K.W.). To
103 promote interrater consistency, a subset of the sample was independently coded and
104 subsequently compared. Discordant cases were discussed with R.O. to reach consensus.
105 The remaining sample was divided among A.C.v.L. and K.W., and only ambiguous cases
106 were discussed and coded together. In rare occasions where this did not resolve
107 classification uncertainty, medical records were inspected for more occupational details. If
108 this did not provide useful information, the participant was coded as 13 (unclear), hence

109 excluded for further analysis.

110

111 Sample selection

112 We initially selected all ADC individuals with a dementia diagnosis at the baseline visit and
113 occupational data available. Exclusion criteria were: 1) age below 40 years, 2) presence of
114 autosomal dominant mutations for a neurodegenerative disease. The original selection
115 consisted of 2,310 participants, of which we excluded 140 individuals with occupational
116 descriptions that were either indicative of unemployment (~70%) or non-informative (~30%).
117 Unemployed participants were beyond the scope of our study, as they constitute a
118 heterogeneous group and our current interest was specifically in the distribution of dementia
119 types among people who were part of the active workforce. Regarding non-informative
120 descriptions, examples are “retired” (i.e. it gives no information about occupation beyond
121 stating that the person is no longer employed) or “studied psychology” (i.e. it describes
122 education rather than occupation). In addition, two participants were excluded because their
123 occupational descriptions were not detailed enough to assign them to a category, even after
124 inspection of their medical records. Finally, we decided to omit the category ICT due to the
125 small sample size (n=12). ICT is a relatively “young” sector that did not have a prominent
126 position in the labor market during the period in which the majority of our sample was
127 employed. Similarly, 35 patients with relatively rare forms of dementia (e.g. alcohol-related
128 dementias, normal pressure hydrocephalus, Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease) were excluded
129 (Figure 1). The final sample included 2,121 individuals, with a diagnosis of AD (n=1,467),
130 FTD (n=281), VaD (n=98), DLB (n=174) or PSP/CBD (n=101).

131

132 Vascular risk factors

133 Complete data on VRFs were available for the majority of our sample (n=1,562/2,121), and
134 included clinically measured body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use (units per day),
135 and presence or absence of a myocardial infarction, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and

136 diabetes mellitus in the medical history. We dichotomized BMI ($\leq 25=0$, $>25=1$), smoking
137 (never smoked=0, ever smoked=1) and alcohol (0-1 units per day=0, >1 units per day=1) in
138 order to derive a VRF score based on the sum of these seven risk factors [36].

139

140 Neuropsychological assessment

141 Nearly all individuals underwent an elaborate neuropsychological assessment within three
142 months of their diagnosis. For the AD dementia subsample, we therefore had a large dataset
143 available ($n=1,071/1,467$) that consisted of participants who were cognitively tested in each
144 of four cognitive domains: memory, attention/executive function, language and visuospatial
145 function [37]. The memory domain included the total immediate and delayed recall as well as
146 the recognition condition of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, and total recall in
147 condition A of the Visual Association Test. In the attention/executive function domain, we
148 used the Trail Making Test (part A and B), Stroop Test (condition I-III), 90-second Letter Digit
149 Substitution Test [38], Digits Forward and Backwards, Letter Fluency and total score on the
150 Frontal Assessment Battery. The language domain consisted of the Category Fluency, a
151 short version of the Boston Naming Test and the total number of correctly named items of
152 the Visual Association Test. Finally, the visuospatial function domain was assessed with the
153 Dot Counting, Number Location and Fragmented Letters subtests of the Visual Object and
154 Space Perception battery.

155 We carried out multiple imputation in SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) to
156 account for missing data (ranging from 1-45% per test). This procedure was performed using
157 the fully conditional specification method, an iterative Markov Chain Monte Carlo approach
158 suitable for arbitrary patterns of missing data. We imputed 25 datasets and included
159 demographic, clinical, neuropsychological variables, APOE status and cerebrospinal fluid
160 biomarkers as predictors in the model (i.e. occupational code was not used). In the pooled
161 dataset, we created standardized residuals (i.e. W-scores) for each neuropsychological test
162 score based on regressions with age, sex and educational level (measured on a seven-item

163 scale, [39]). We calculated average W-scores across tests measuring memory,
164 attention/executive function, language and visuospatial function, to obtain cognitive domain
165 scores.

166

167 These cognitive domain scores were subsequently used to divide AD participants into clinical
168 phenotypes, according to a previously validated method [40]. Specifically, we averaged
169 across cognitive domains to create a “global cognition” composite score for each participant.
170 Next, each cognitive domain score was dichotomized based on whether they were below a
171 certain threshold relative to the global cognition score. A score of 1 thus means that a given
172 cognitive domain “stands out” by being more affected than (the combination of) other
173 domains. Based on these dichotomized scores, we assigned each participant to one of the
174 following cognitive profiles: 1) memory-dominant, 2) attention/executive-dominant, 3)
175 language-dominant, 4) visuospatial-dominant, 5) multi-domain (i.e. either more than one or
176 no cognitive domain=1). As this profile categorization relies on which threshold is used to
177 dichotomize the cognitive domain scores, we systematically tested all values between .250
178 and .500, and selected .255 as the “optimal” value that yielded 1) the lowest number of
179 participants in the multi-domain profile, 2) the lowest sum of squared group sizes
180 (Supplementary Figure 1). Most individuals had a memory-dominant cognitive profile
181 (n=292), followed by a language-dominant (n=140), attention/executive-dominant (n=130)
182 and visuospatial-dominant subgroup (n=126). The remaining participants fell into the multi-
183 domain category (n=383).

184

185 Statistical analyses

186 First, we carried out a Chi² analysis in the total sample to investigate if the distribution of
187 dementia types differed across occupational classes. In two sensitivity analyses, we reran
188 the same model after exclusion of i) 93 persons with comorbid dementias (e.g. persons with
189 AD as the primary diagnosis, but who also met NINDS-AIREN criteria for VaD) to create a

190 sample with less overlap between diagnostic groups, and ii) 268 individuals who had
191 reported more than one occupation, to enhance certainty that each participant was assigned
192 to the most appropriate occupational class.

193

194 When χ^2 results were significant, we post-hoc inspected the adjusted residuals of each
195 combination of occupation and dementia type. Adjusted residuals reflect the difference
196 between the expected and observed count (e.g. the number of AD participants in the
197 Pedagogical occupational class). An absolute value of ~ 2 (i.e. 1.96 or -1.96) is considered
198 significant at the $p < .05$ level. Since our contingency table was relatively large, we used a
199 more stringent adjusted residual value threshold of ~ 3 (i.e. 3.29 or -3.29 corresponds to
200 $p < .001$; [41]). In addition, to assess whether the main relationships observed in significant
201 χ^2 analyses could be explained by other demographic and health variables, we additionally
202 performed logistic regressions. Specifically, we predicted dementia type from occupational
203 class and evaluated age, sex, education and VRF score as potential explanatory variables.
204 We used a forward selection procedure with a change-in-estimate (CIE) criterion of 10%
205 [42,43] and ran these models in all participants with complete data ($n=1,562/2,121$).

206

207 Finally, we carried out χ^2 analyses to investigate whether occupation related to cognitive
208 profiles within the AD subsample, following the same approach as described above. In a
209 second analysis, we reran the same model using a different threshold for the dichotomization
210 of cognitive domain scores (and subsequent categorization of participants into cognitive
211 profiles; Supplementary Figure 1), and compared results to evaluate robustness of our
212 findings.

213

214 **Results**

215 Participants

216 The mean age across diagnostic groups was 67 ± 8 years (Table 1). Individuals with FTD

217 were younger compared to other groups (63 ± 7), and DLB participants were significantly older
218 than the AD group (69 ± 7). Overall, our sample included a somewhat larger proportion of
219 males (57%), presumably reflecting the historically higher prevalence of males in the labor
220 market (by comparison, the excluded “no occupation” sample was 82% female). The
221 proportion of males was even higher among DLB participants (82%) in comparison with most
222 other groups, while this was significantly lower for AD (52%) in contrast to all groups except
223 PSP/CBD. Educational level was largely similar across dementia types in our sample (except
224 for a DLB>VaD difference). Global cognitive impairment was most severe among AD
225 participants (mean MMSE= 20 ± 5). Finally, VaD participants had a higher VRF score than
226 most other diagnostic groups ($p<.05$; except FTD). Table 2 provides an overview of the
227 number of participants in each occupational class for the total sample and according to
228 dementia type. The largest occupation groups were Technical ($n=429$, 20%) and
229 Business/Administrative ($n=388$, 18%), while the Agricultural class had the lowest number of
230 participants ($n=31$, 1%).

231

232 [Table 1 here]

233

234 Relationship between occupation and dementia types

235 There were significant differences in the distribution of dementia types across occupation
236 groups ($\text{Chi}^2=85.87$, $p<.001$, Table 2). The adjusted residuals revealed three effects
237 significant at $p<.001$. First, individuals from the Transportation/Logistics sector were more
238 often diagnosed with VaD (adjusted residual: 4.0). Second, this occupational class had fewer
239 AD participants (adjusted residual: -3.7). Third, in the Health Care/Welfare group, AD was
240 relatively common (adjusted residual: 3.6). These results are displayed in Figure 2, along
241 with 11 other effects (e.g. a high proportion of PSP/CBD cases in the Agricultural sector) that
242 were significant at $p<.05$ (adjusted residuals >2 or <-2). When we repeated the Chi^2 analysis
243 with exclusion of 93 individuals with comorbid dementias ($n=2,028$), results remained

244 essentially unchanged ($\text{Chi}^2=78.73$, $p<.001$; Supplementary Table 2). The same was true for
245 results based on a sample that excluded 268 individuals with multiple occupations ($n=1,853$;
246 $\text{Chi}^2=79.93$, $p<.001$; Supplementary Table 3).

247

248 [Table 2 here]

249

250 Contribution of age, sex, education and vascular risk factors

251 In line with the previous results, uncorrected logistic regression models with either AD or VaD
252 as a dependent variable (AD/VaD=1 in separate models, other diagnosis=0) confirmed
253 Transportation/Logistics to be significantly related to both VaD ($\beta=1.23$, odds ratio [OR] =
254 3.41, $p<.01$) and AD ($\beta=-.85$, OR=.43, $p<.001$). Likewise, Health Care/Welfare was a
255 significant determinant of AD ($\beta=.55$, OR=1.74, $p<.01$; Supplementary Table 4). Forward
256 selection of explanatory variables in corrected models revealed that VRF score, but no other
257 factors (i.e. age, sex, education), was positively associated with a VaD diagnosis ($\beta=.43$,
258 OR=1.53, $p<.001$) and reduced the Transportation/Logistics effect by 15% (from $\beta=1.23$ to
259 1.04, OR=3.41 to 2.84). For AD, only the variable sex (range $\beta=.68-.69$, OR=1.96-1.98,
260 $p<.001$) significantly reduced the effects of Transportation/Logistics (from $\beta=-.85$ to $-.62$,
261 OR=.43 to .54; 27%) and Health Care/Welfare (from $\beta=.55$ to .31, OR=1.74 to 1.37; 43%).
262 Figure 3 gives an overview of the number of VRFs and percentage of males for all dementia
263 types and occupational classes.

264

265 Relationship between occupation and cognitive profiles of AD

266 We found only trend-significant differences between occupations in the distribution of
267 cognitive profiles ($\text{Chi}^2=53.65$, $p=.07$; Table 3). Supplementary Figure 2 provides an overview
268 of these findings. In a secondary analysis, we repeated the same analysis using cognitive
269 profiles that were calculated based on a different threshold of $-.442$ (Supplementary Figure
270 1). Similar to the original findings, these results were not significant ($\text{Chi}^2=38.24$, $p=.55$).

271

272 [Table 3 here]

273

274 Discussion

275 In this exploratory study, we investigated the association between occupation and dementia
276 (sub)types in a large sample of memory-clinic patients. The main finding was that VaD was
277 relatively common in participants with Transportation/Logistics occupations. Post-hoc
278 analyses suggested that this observed relationship was partly explained by a higher number
279 of VRFs among these individuals. In addition, the number of AD participants was relatively
280 low in the Transportation/Logistics sector, and high among Health Care/Welfare occupations.
281 We found that these effects were mostly attributable to differences between groups in sex
282 distribution. There was no relationship between occupation types and AD-related cognitive
283 profiles.

284

285 Our finding that VRFs are more prominent among VaD participants is in line with previous
286 studies [44,45], but of particular interest was our observation of a higher number of VRFs in
287 Transportation/Logistics occupations. Although we cannot make any inferences about
288 dementia risk (due to the absence of a healthy control group), it raises the possibility that
289 certain job-related aspects or occupational habits contribute to the development of VRFs and
290 the emergence of VaD. Our data at least warrant an increased awareness and monitoring of
291 VRFs by occupational physicians in the Transport/Logistics sector. Furthermore, the lower
292 presence of AD cases in Transpiration/Logistics groups (male-predominant) versus higher
293 AD occurrence Healthcare/Welfare (female-predominant), is in line with evidence that
294 women have a higher risk for AD than men [46,47]. Importantly, while VRFs and sex partially
295 explained the main occupation-dementia relationships we observed, these factors did not
296 account for all variance. This suggests there might be other important ways in which
297 occupations are related to the emergence and manifestation of dementia.

298

299 In contrast to our present study, most previous studies operationalized occupation by
300 complexity level. Apart from coarse ratings such as “white versus blue collar”, more fine-
301 grained classifications have been made in which the complexity of multiple occupational
302 aspects was considered. An example is the use of questionnaires that differentiated between
303 working with “people, data or things” (e.g. [12]). However, although these approaches have
304 provided important insights, they did not consider other potential job-related risks or
305 protective factors. The few studies that did take a more qualitative approach towards the
306 measurement of occupation have used “job exposure matrices” or “occupational description
307 databases” to show, among other results, that dementia risk and late-life cognition were
308 influenced by the degree of work control (e.g. influence over work planning, variation in task
309 content) [48], level of human interaction and physical activity [49] and mental demands (e.g.
310 information processing, pattern detection, creativity) [50].

311

312 The novelty of the present work lies our “assumption-free” approach that did not involve any
313 additional characterization of occupations besides their categorization across 11
314 occupational classes. This enabled examining relationships between occupation and
315 dementia (sub)types in an exploratory manner. Importantly, occupational classes and
316 complexity level did not correspond in a straightforward manner. Most classes comprised
317 occupations that varied considerably with respect to complexity (e.g. the “Technical” group
318 both contained engineers and assembly workers, see Additional file 1). Another strength of
319 our study is the fact that our sample consisted of dementias with different suspected
320 etiologies. Most earlier studies have either focused on the occurrence of dementia as a
321 general syndrome or one particular form of dementia. As our dataset included over two
322 thousand carefully diagnosed participants, we were able to create several independent
323 diagnostic groups and AD-related cognitive profiles that were sufficiently sized for statistical
324 analysis. Finally, we consider use of the BRC 2014 classification system to be an important

325 advantage, because it improves the replicability of our findings across other populations on
326 an international scale.

327

328 Our study has several limitations. First, although we collected occupational data with a
329 refined method that involved a semi-structured interview with the patient and caregiver, we
330 did not have detailed enough information to capture a person's entire occupational timeline.
331 For individuals who had multiple occupations listed, we often could not retrieve their
332 sequence and respective durations. It is therefore possible that the first-mentioned job not
333 always reflect the person's foremost/primary occupation, but rather the most recent
334 occupation. This is non-optimal because of potential reverse causation: we cannot rule out
335 that some patients switched jobs as a consequence of their dementia diagnosis (e.g.
336 choosing less demanding work in a different sector). However, we have no reason to assume
337 that reverse causation is more prominent for some dementia (sub)types than others, and
338 thus the degree of bias seems limited. Moreover, our results did not change after exclusion
339 of individuals with multiple occupational descriptions. A second limitation concerns our
340 inability to define occupation groups beyond the highest hierarchical level of the BRC 2014,
341 due to a lack of detail in occupational descriptions and the fact that the resulting number of
342 categories would be too large for our sample size (i.e. ~40 occupation groups). More detailed
343 distinctions between occupations would have decreased heterogeneity within categories, and
344 might have revealed additional relevant occupation-dementia relationships that were
345 currently missed. Third, the absence of a healthy control group restricted our ability to make
346 inferences about dementia risk or distinguish between protective and harmful effects of
347 occupation (Supplementary Figure 3), which limits the interpretability of our results. Fourth,
348 our sample of dementia patients may not be fully representative of the population of
349 individuals with dementia as a whole. As not every person with dementia is referred to a
350 memory clinic or seeks medical care in an academic expertise center such as the Alzheimer
351 Center Amsterdam, the generalizability of our results is somewhat limited. Fifth, although

352 diagnoses of dementia were generally based on both clinical observation and in vivo
353 neuroimaging biomarkers, we did not have neuropathological confirmation of the underlying
354 etiologies. It is therefore possible that some participants were categorized under a dementia
355 type that would not completely correspond with their neuropathological diagnosis. Finally,
356 although our total sample was large (N=2,121), some occupational classes (e.g. Agricultural)
357 contained a low number of observations. It is possible that we have failed to find certain
358 relationships with dementia types due to limited power in these classes.

359

360 **Conclusions**

361 To conclude, we observed relationships between occupation and dementia types. Our
362 findings suggest that these relationships emerged beyond occupational complexity level.
363 Differences between occupational classes in the number of VRFs and sex distributions
364 partially explained our results, but other - currently unknown - factors likely play a role as
365 well. It is important to note that associations between occupation and dementia could exist
366 for multiple reasons. Whilst it seems plausible that some occupational characteristics
367 causally relate to the occurrence of specific dementia types, another possibility is that certain
368 (genetic or early environmental) factors both influence career choice and dementia
369 development later in life. This is consistent with theoretical frameworks that propose a
370 neurodevelopmental component in the etiology of dementia [51-53]. Ultimately, as most
371 people spend the majority of their life working, occupation could be an important lifestyle
372 factor to consider in relation to preventive strategies for dementia. A better understanding of
373 occupation-dementia relationships may improve occupational health policy through reduction
374 of job hazards and more targeted health monitoring by occupational physicians.

375

376 **List of abbreviations**

377 AD – Alzheimer's disease, VaD – vascular dementia, FTD – frontotemporal dementia, DLB –
378 Lewy Body disease, PSP – progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD – corticobasal

379 degeneration, ADC – Amsterdam Dementia Cohort, MRI – magnetic resonance imaging,
380 BRC 2014 – Dutch ROA-CBS 2014 occupational categorization system, ISCO 2008 – the
381 International Standard Classification of Occupations 2008, ICT – Information and
382 Communication Technology, BMI – body mass index, VRF – vascular risk factor, CIE –
383 change-in-estimate, OR – odds ratio.

384

385 **Declarations**

386 Ethics approval and consent to participate

387 Participants gave written informed consent to use their medical data for scientific purposes.

388 This procedure was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee.

389

390 Consent for publication

391 Not applicable

392

393 Availability of data and material

394 The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the
395 corresponding author on reasonable request.

396

397 Competing interests

398 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

399

400 Funding

401 Research of Alzheimer Center Amsterdam is part of the Neurodegeneration program of
402 Amsterdam Neuroscience. The Alzheimer Center Amsterdam is supported by Alzheimer
403 Nederland, Het Genootschap and Stichting VUMC funds. W.M. van der Flier holds the
404 Pasmaan chair. This research was funded by the Internationale Stichting Alzheimer
405 Onderzoek (ISAO) (to R.O.).

406

407 Authors' contributions

408 A.C.v.L. was involved in the methodological design of the research project and assignment of
409 occupational codes; she furthermore conducted the statistical analyses, interpreted the data
410 and wrote the manuscript. C.d.B. was responsible for the selection of the participant data
411 from the ADC. K.W. took part in the methodological design and the assignment of
412 occupational codes. Y.A.P., A.W.L., F.H.B., N.D.P., and P.S. were involved in the
413 standardized screening process of participants and collection of occupational data. R.O. was
414 involved in the methodological design of the research project, interpretation of the data and
415 writing the manuscript. W.M.v.d.F. is the coordinator of the ADC, and took part in the
416 interpretation of the data and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the
417 final manuscript.

418

419 Acknowledgements

420 Not applicable

References

1. Prince M, Wilmo A, Guerchet M, Ali G, Wu Y, Prina M. World Alzheimer Report 2015. The Global Impact of Dementia: An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends. Alzheimer's Disease International. 2015.
2. Bonaiuto S, Rocca WA, Lippi A, Giannandrea E, Mele M, Cavarzeran F, Amaducci L. Education and Occupation as Risk Factors for Dementia: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Neuroepidemiology* 1995; 14:101-109.
3. Stern Y, Albert S, Tang MX, Tsai WY. Rate of memory decline in AD is related to education and occupation. *Neurology*. 1999;53(9):1942-7.
4. Hughes TF, Ganguli M. Modifiable Midlife Risk Factors for Late-Life Cognitive Impairment and Dementia. *Curr Psychiatry Rev*. 2009; 5(2): 73–92.
5. Dekhtyar S, Wang HX, Fratiglioni L, Herlitz A. Childhood school performance, education and occupational complexity: a life-course study of dementia in the Kungsholmen Project. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016; 45(4):1207-1215.
6. Ihle A, Ghisletta P, Ballhausen N, Fagot D, Vallet F, M Baeriswyl, et al. The role of cognitive reserve accumulated in midlife for the relation between chronic diseases and cognitive decline in old age: A longitudinal follow-up across six years. *Neuropsychologia* 2018, 121: 37-46.
7. Foubert-Samier A, Catheline G, Amieva H, Dilharreguy B, Helmer C, Allard M, Dartigues JF. Education, occupation, leisure activities, and brain reserve: a population-based study. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012; 33(2):423.e15-25.
8. Correa Ribeiro PC, Lopes CS, Lourenço RA. Complexity of lifetime occupation and cognitive performance in old age. *Occupational Medicine* 2013; 63(8): 556–562.
9. Vemuri P, Lesnick TG, Przybelski SA, Knopman DS, Preboske GM, Kantarci K, et al. Vascular and amyloid pathologies are independent predictors of cognitive decline in normal elderly. *Brain* 2015; 138(3): 761–771.
10. Placek K, Massimo L, Olm C, Ternes K, Firn K, Van Deerlin V, et al. Cognitive reserve in

frontotemporal degeneration: Neuroanatomic and neuropsychological evidence. *Neurology* 2016; 87(17):1813-1819.

11. Pool LR, Weuve J, Wilson RS, Bültmann U, Evans DA, Mendes de Leon CF. Occupational cognitive requirements and late-life cognitive aging. *Neurology* 2016; 86(15):1386-1392.
12. Lane AP, Windsor TD, Andel R, Luszcz MA. Is Occupational Complexity Associated with Cognitive Performance or Decline? Results from the Australian Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Gerontology* 2017; 63(6):550-559.
13. Kaup AR, Xia F, Launer LJ, Sidney S, Nasrallah I, Erus G, et al. Occupational cognitive complexity in earlier adulthood is associated with brain structure and cognitive health in midlife: The CARDIA study. *Neuropsychology* 2018; 32(8):895-905.
14. Dekhtyar S, Wang HX, Scott K, Goodman A, Koupil I, Herlitz A. A Life-Course Study of Cognitive Reserve in Dementia--From Childhood to Old Age. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2015;23(9):885-96.
15. Vuoksimaa E, Rinne JO, Lindgren N, Heikkilä K, Koskenvuo M, Kaprio J. Middle age self-report risk score predicts cognitive functioning and dementia in 20-40 years. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*. 2016;4:118-125.
16. Rusmaully J, Dugravot A, Moatti JP, Marmot MG, Elbaz A, Kivimaki M, et al. Contribution of cognitive performance and cognitive decline to associations between socioeconomic factors and dementia: A cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2017;14(6):e1002334.
17. Wang HX, MacDonald SW, Dekhtyar S, Fratiglioni L. Association of lifelong exposure to cognitive reserve-enhancing factors with dementia risk: A community-based cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2017;14(3):e1002251.
18. Massimo L, Xie SX, Rennert L, Fick DM, Halpin A, Placet K, et al. McMillan, Occupational attainment influences longitudinal decline in behavioral variant frontotemporal degeneration. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2018. doi: 10.1007/s11682-018-9852-x

19. Santibáñez M, Bolumar F, García AM. Occupational risk factors in Alzheimer's disease: a review assessing the quality of published epidemiological studies. *Occup Environ Med.* 2007;64(11):723-32.
20. Killin LO, Starr JM, Shiue IJ, Russ TC. Environmental risk factors for dementia: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr.* 2016;16(1):175.
21. Fouarge D, Dijkman S. Beroepenindeling ROA-CBS 2014 (BRC 2014). (ROA Technical Reports; No. 005). Maastricht: Research Centre for Education and the Labour Market; 2015.
22. Van der Flier WM, Pijnenburg YA, Prins N, Lemstra AW, Bouwman FH, Teunissen CE, et al. Optimizing patient care and research: the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2014;41(1):313-327.
23. Van der Flier WM, Scheltens P. Amsterdam Dementia Cohort: Performing Research to Optimize Care. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2018;62(3):1091-1111.
24. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price, D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 1984;34:939–944.
25. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack CR Jr, Kawas CH et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2011;7(3):263–269.
26. Neary D, Snowden JS, Gustafson L, Passant U, Stuss D, Black S, et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 1998;51:1546–1554.
27. Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, Mendez MF, Kramer JH, Neuhaus J, et al. Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain.* 2011;134(Pt 9):2456–2477.

28. Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, Kertesz A, Mendez M, Cappa SF, et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology* 2011;76(11):1006–1014.
29. Roman GC, Tatemichi TK, Erinjuntti T, Cummings JL, Masdeu JC, Garcia JH, et al. Vascular dementia: diagnostic criteria for research studies: report of the NINDS-AIREN International Workshop. *Neurology* 1993;43:250–260.
30. McKeith IG, Galasko D, Kosaka K, Perry EK, Dickson DW, Hansen LA, et al. Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathologic diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB): report of the consortium on DLB international workshop. *Neurology* 1996;47(5):1113–1124.
31. McKeith IG, Dickson DW, Lowe J, Emre M, O'Brien JT, Feldman H, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology* 2005;65:1863–1872.
32. Litvan I, Agid Y, Calne D, Campbell G, Dubois B, Duvoisin RC, et al. Clinical research criteria for the diagnosis of progressive supranuclear palsy (Steele-Richardson-Olszewski syndrome): report of the NINDSSPSP international workshop. *Neurology* 1996;47:1–9.
33. Boeve BF, Lang AE, Litvan I. Corticobasal degeneration and its relationship to progressive supranuclear palsy and frontotemporal dementia. *Ann Neurol* 2003;54(suppl 5):S15–S19.
34. Armstrong MJ, Litvan I, Lang AE, Bak TH, Bhatia KP, Borroni B, et al. Criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. *Neurology* 2013;80(5):496–503.
35. McHugh ML. The chi-square test of independence. *Biochem Med (Zagreb)*. 2013;23(2):143–149.
36. Groot C, Sudre CH, Barkhof F, Teunissen CE, Van Berckel BNM, Seo SW, et al. Clinical phenotype, atrophy, and small vessel disease in APOE ϵ 2 carriers with Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2018;91(20):e1851-e1859 .
37. Groot C, Van Loenhoud AC, Barkhof F, Van Berckel BNM, Koene T, Teunissen CE, et al.

- Differential effects of cognitive reserve and brain reserve on cognition in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 2018;90(2): e149-e156.
38. Van der Elst W, Van Boxtel MPJ, Van Breukelen GJP, Jolles J. The Letter Digit Substitution Test: Normative Data for 1,858 Healthy Participants Aged 24–81 from the Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS): Influence of Age, Education, and Sex, *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* 2006;28(6):998-1009.
 39. Verhage F. *Intelligence and age* (in Dutch). Assen: Van Gorcum; 1964.
 40. Crane PK, Trittschuh E, Mukherjee S, Saykin AJ, Sanders RE, Larson EB, et al. Incidence of cognitively defined late-onset Alzheimer's dementia subgroups from a prospective cohort study. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2017;13(12):1307-1316.
 41. Sharpe D. *Your chi-square test is statistically significant: Now what? Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation* 2015.
 42. Mickey RM, Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1989;129:125–37.
 43. Maldonado G, Greenland S. Simulation study of confounder-selection strategies. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1993;138(11):923–36.
 44. Fillit H, Nash DT, Rundek T, Zuckerman A. Cardiovascular risk factors and dementia. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother.* 2008;6(2):100-118.
 45. Tariq S, Barber PA. Dementia risk and prevention by targeting modifiable vascular risk factors. *J. Neurochem.* 2018; 144:565-581.
 46. Viña J, Lloret A. Why women have more Alzheimer's disease than men: gender and mitochondrial toxicity of amyloid-beta peptide. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2010;20 Suppl 2:S527-33.
 47. Podcasy JL, Epperson CN. Considering sex and gender in Alzheimer disease and other dementias. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2016;18(4):437-446.
 48. Hasselgren C, Dellve L, Ekbrand H, Zettergren A, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, et al. Socioeconomic status, gender and dementia: The influence of work environment

- exposures and their interactions with APOE ϵ 4. *SSM Popul Health*. 2018;5:171-179.
49. Potter GG, Helms MJ, Plassman BL. Associations of job demands and intelligence with cognitive performance among men in late life. *Neurology*.2007;70(19 Pt 2):1803-8.
50. Then FS, Luck T, Heser K, Ernst A, Posselt T, Wiese B, et al. Which types of mental work demands may be associated with reduced risk of dementia? *Alzheimers Dement*. 2017;13(4):431-440.
51. Miller ZA, Rosenberg L, Santos-Santos MA, Stephens M, Allen IE, Hubbard HI, et al. Prevalence of Mathematical and Visuospatial Learning Disabilities in Patients With Posterior Cortical Atrophy. *JAMA Neurol*. 2018;75(6):728-737.
52. Miller ZA, Mandelli ML, Rankin KP, Henry ML, Babiak MC, Frazier DT, et al. Handedness and language learning disability differentially distribute in progressive aphasia variants. *Brain*. 2013;136(11):3461-3473.
53. Geschwind DH, Robidoux J, Alarcón M, Miller BL, Wilhelmsen KC, Cummings JL, et al. Dementia and neurodevelopmental predisposition: cognitive dysfunction in presymptomatic subjects precedes dementia by decades in frontotemporal dementia. *Ann Neurol*. 2001;50(6):741-746.

Table 1. Participant characteristics in the total sample and according to dementia type

	Total	AD	FTD	VaD	DLB	PSP/CBD	P-value
N	2,121	1,467	281	98	174	101	-
Age	67.20 ± 8.19	67.42 ± 8.35	63.92 ± 7.49	69.67 ± 8.02	69.37 ± 7.19	66.96 ± 6.75	<.001
Sex (% male)	57.2	52.2	63.7	67.3	81.6	60.4	<.001
Education (Verhage)^{a,b}	5 (2)	5 (2)	5 (1)	5 (1)	6 (2)	5 (2)	.007
MMSE^b	21.25 ± 5.29	20.42 ± 5.14	23.48 ± 5.48	22.80 ± 4.78	22.42 ± 4.94	23.82 ± 4.82	<.001
BMI^c	25.24 ± 4.05	24.83 ± 3.95	26.88 ± 4.38	26.92 ± 4.70	25.10 ± 3.38	25.73 ± 3.58	<.001
Smoking (% ever)^c	53.3	52.0	56.5	55.2	54.2	59.6	.464
Alcohol use (units/day)^c	.32 ± .47	.32 ± .47	.36 ± .48	.22 ± .42	.30 ± .46	.28 ± .45	.190
Myocard infarction (%)^c	3.9	3.4	4.9	14.6	2.5	1.0	<.001
Hypertension (%)^c	26.3	25.3	23.2	54.6	22.0	28.6	<.001
Hypercholesterolemia (%)^c	9.3	9.3	8.2	9.4	10.4	9.2	.957
Diabetes mellitus (%)^c	9.5	8.0	11.5	29.2	10.9	5.1	<.001
VRF score	1.97 ± 1.64	1.86 ± 1.62	2.00 ± 1.56	3.29 ± 1.82	2.03 ± 1.69	1.98 ± 1.46	<.001

Data represent mean ± SD unless indicated otherwise. ^aData are displayed as mode (interquartile range), ^bThis information was available for 96% of the sample, ^cThis information was available for 75% of the sample, ^dThis information was available for 26% of the sample. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. MMSE=Mini-Mental State Examination, BMI=body mass index, VRF=vascular risk factors (total number).

Table 2. Distribution of dementia types across occupational classes

	Total	AD	FTD	VaD	DLB	PSP/CBD
Total (n, [%])	2,121 (100)	1,467 (69)	281 (13)	98 (5)	174 (8)	101 (5)
Pedagogical (n, [%])	183 (100)	129 (70)	25 (14)	7 (4)	14 (8)	8 (4)
Creative/Linguistic (n, [%])	74 (100)	53 (72)	8 (11)	4 (5)	7 (9)	2 (3)
Commercial (n, [%])	205 (100)	146 (71)	29 (14)	6 (3)	17 (8)	7 (3)
Business/Administrative (n, [%])	388 (100)	275 (71)	48 (12)	16 (4)	28 (7)	21 (5)
Management (n, [%])	206 (100)	145 (70)	17 (8)*	3 (1)*	25 (12)*	16 (8)*
Governmental/Law/Safety (n, [%])	90 (100)	64 (71)	10 (11)	2 (2)	9 (10)	5 (6)
Technical (n, [%])	429 (100)	273 (64)*	72 (17)*	28 (7)*	39 (9)	17 (4)
Agricultural (n, [%])	31 (100)	16 (52)*	7 (23)	1 (3)	3 (10)	4 (13)*
Health Care/Welfare (n, [%])	283 (100)	222 (78)***	26 (9)*	8 (3)	13 (5)*	14 (5)
Service (n, [%])	141 (100)	97 (69)	23 (16)	11 (8)	7 (5)	3 (2)
Transportation/Logistics (n, [%])	91 (100)	47 (52)***	16 (18)	12 (13)***	12 (13)	4 (4)

10 cells (18.2%) had an expected count less than 5; the minimum expected count was 1.43. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. *Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -2 or ≥2 (corresponding to p<.05), ***Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -3 or ≥3 (corresponding to p<.001).

Table 3. Distribution of cognitive profiles of AD across occupational classes

	Total	Memory	Attention/executive	Language	Visuospatial	Multi-domain
Total (n, [%])	1,071 (100)	292 (27)	130 (12)	140 (13)	126 (12)	383 (36)
Pedagogical (n, [%])	91 (100)	31 (34)	8 (9)	12 (13)	9 (10)	31 (34)
Creative/Linguistic (n, [%])	37 (100)	11 (30)	3 (8)	5 (14)	4 (11)	14 (38)
Commercial (n, [%])	104 (100)	24 (23)	13 (13)	15 (14)	16 (15)	36 (35)
Business/Administrative (n, [%])	203 (100)	54 (27)	17 (8)	34 (17)	21 (10)	77 (38)
Management (n, [%])	109 (100)	27 (25)	15 (14)	15 (14)	17 (16)	35 (32)
Governmental/Law/Safety (n, [%])	48 (100)	9 (19)	5 (10)	4 (8)	4 (8)	26 (54)
Technical (n, [%])	201 (100)	53 (26)	34 (17)	29 (14)	18 (9)	67 (33)
Agricultural (n, [%])	13 (100)	4 (31)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (31)	5 (38)
Health Care/Welfare (n, [%])	163 (100)	48 (29)	26 (16)	17 (10)	12 (7)	60 (37)
Service (n, [%])	66 (100)	22 (33)	6 (9)	5 (8)	16 (24)	17 (26)
Transportation/Logistics (n, [%])	36 (100)	9 (25)	3 (8)	4 (11)	5 (14)	15 (42)

11 cells (20.0%) had an expected count less than 5; the minimum expected count was 1.53. Each column represents a cognitive profile in which one particular domain is predominantly affected (except the multi-domain). There were no significant differences between groups (Chi² n.s.).

Supplementary Table 1. Clinical criteria for different dementia types between 2000-2017

AD	McKhann et al. (NINCDS-ADRDA) [24]; McKhann et al. (NIA-AA) [25]
FTD	Neary et al. [26]; Rascovsky et al. (behavioral variant) [27] and Gorno-Tempini et al. (primary progressive aphasia) [28]
VaD	Román et al. (NINDS-AIREN) [29]
DLB	McKeith et al. [30]; McKeith et al [31]
PSP	Litvan et al. (NINDS-SPSP) [32]
CBD	Boeve et al. [33]; Armstrong et al. [34]

For each dementia type, the clinical criteria used are provided in chronological order. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration.

Supplementary Table 2. Distribution of dementia types across occupations groups after excluding comorbid cases

	Total	AD	FTD	VaD	DLB	PSP/CBD
Total (n, [%])	2,028 (100)	1,401 (69)	274 (14)	83 (4)	171 (8)	99 (5)
Pedagogical (n, [%])	172 (100)	122 (71)	24 (14)	5 (3)	14 (8)	7 (4)
Creative/Linguistic (n, [%])	72 (100)	52 (72)	8 (11)	4 (6)	6 (8)	2 (3)
Commercial (n, [%])	195 (100)	138 (71)	28 (14)	5 (3)	17 (9)	7 (4)
Business/Administrative (n, [%])	374 (100)	265 (71)	46 (12)	15 (4)	27 (7)	21 (6)
Management (n, [%])	195 (100)	135 (69)	17 (9)	3 (2)	24 (12)*	16 (8)*
Governmental/Law/Safety (n, [%])	88 (100)	63 (72)	10 (11)	1 (1)	9 (10)	5 (6)
Technical (n, [%])	409 (100)	261 (64)*	69 (17)*	24 (6)*	39 (10)	16 (4)
Agricultural (n, [%])	30 (100)	15 (50)*	7 (23)	1 (3)	3 (10)	4 (13)*
Health Care/Welfare (n, [%])	271 (100)	211 (78)***	26 (10)*	7 (3)	13 (5)*	14 (5)
Service (n, [%])	135 (100)	94 (70)	23 (17)	8 (6)	7 (5)	3 (2)
Transportation/Logistics (n, [%])	87 (100)	45 (52)***	16 (18)	10 (11)***	12 (14)	4 (5)

10 cells (18.2%) had an expected count less than 5; the minimum expected count was 1.23. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. *Chi² adjusted residual is ≤ -2 or ≥2 (corresponding to p<.05), ***Chi² adjusted residual is ≤ -3 or ≥3 (corresponding to p<.001).

Supplementary Table 3. Distribution of dementia types across occupation groups after excluding cases with multiple occupations

	Total	AD	FTD	VaD	DLB	PSP/CBD
Total (n, [%])	1,853 (100)	1,282 (69)	246 (13)	83 (5)	154 (8)	88 (5)
Pedagogical (n, [%])	155 (100)	110 (71)	21 (14)	5 (3)	11 (7)	8 (5)
Creative/Linguistic (n, [%])	62 (100)	45 (73)	8 (13)	2 (3)	5 (8)	2 (3)
Commercial (n, [%])	73 (100)	120 (69)	27 (16)	5 (3)	14 (8)	7 (4)
Business/Administrative (n, [%])	356 (100)	250 (70)	43 (12)	15 (4)	28 (8)	20 (6)
Management (n, [%])	199 (100)	139 (70)	17 (9)*	3 (2)*	24 (12)*	16 (8)*
Governmental/Law/Safety (n, [%])	83 (100)	59 (71)	9 (11)	2 (2)	9 (11)	4 (5)
Technical (n, [%])	360 (100)	233 (65)*	60 (17)*	25 (7)*	30 (8)	12 (3)
Agricultural (n, [%])	25 (100)	12 (48)*	6 (24)	1 (4)	3 (12)	3 (12)
Health Care/Welfare (n, [%])	245 (100)	193 (79)***	23 (9)	6 (2)	12 (5)*	11 (5)
Service (n, [%])	117 (100)	81 (69)	19 (16)	8 (7)	7 (6)	2 (2)
Transportation/Logistics (n, [%])	78 (100)	40 (51)***	13 (17)	11 (14)***	11 (14)	3 (4)

10 cells (18.2%) had an expected count less than 5; the minimum expected count was 1.12. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. *Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -2 or ≥2 (corresponding to p<.05), ***Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -3 or ≥3 (corresponding to p<.001).

Supplementary Table 4. Uncorrected and corrected logistic regression models of relationships between occupational class and dementia type

VaD	Uncorrected			Corrected			CIE (β)
	β	OR	P-value	β	OR	P-value	
Transportation/Logistics^a	1.23	3.41	.004	1.04	2.84	.018	14.8 %
AD	β	OR	P-value	β	OR	P-value	CIE (β)
Transportation/Logistics^b	-.85	.43	.001	-.62	.54	.020	27.4 %
Health Care/Welfare^b	.55	1.74	.003	.31	1.37	.101	43.2 %

VaD=vascular dementia, AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, OR=odds ratio, CIE=change-in-estimate. We used a forward selection procedure with a change-in-estimate (CIE) criterion of 10% [42,43] to select relevant covariates among all participants with complete data (n=1,562/2,121). We tested age, sex, education and VRFs as covariates; only VRFs and sex were finally included.

Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study sample.

ADC=Amsterdam dementia cohort, BRC 2014=Dutch ROA-CBS 2014 occupational categorization system, a derivative of the Standard Classification of Occupations 2008 (ISCO 2008), ICT= Information and Communication Technology.

Figure 2. Proportions of dementia types for each occupational class.

AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. *Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -2 or ≥ 2 (corresponding to $p < .05$), ***Chi² adjusted residual ≤ -3 or ≥ 3 (corresponding to $p < .001$).

Figure 3. Mean number of vascular risk factors and male percentage for occupational classes and dementia types.

Error bars represent standard errors. AD=Alzheimer's disease dementia, FTD=frontotemporal dementia, VaD=vascular dementia, DLB=Lewy Body disease, PSP=progressive supranuclear palsy, CBD=corticobasal degeneration. VRF=vascular risk factors, including included body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol use (units per day), and presence or absence of a myocardial infarction, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and diabetes mellitus in the medical history.

Supplementary Figure 1. Thresholds used for the dichotomization of cognitive domains and division of participants across cognitive profiles.

Within the AD subsample ($n=1,071$), we dichotomized each cognitive domain score (i.e. memory, attention/executive functions, language and visuospatial functions), based on whether or not a domain's W-score was considerably lower compared to an individual's global cognition score. We used an optimal threshold between .250 and .500 for this dichotomization, and defined "optimality" in two different ways: 1) the lowest number of

participants in the multi-domain profile and the lowest sum of squared group sizes (threshold=.255), 2) the highest number of atypical variant AD cases (n=85) categorized into the language (i.e. for logopenic aphasia) or visuospatial cognitive profile (i.e. for PCA; n=.442). Note that neither thresholds (i.e. .255 or .442) resulted in all atypical variants being categorized into these two cognitive profiles, presumably because in advanced disease stages, several cognitive domains become affected. For many participants with an initial logopenic aphasia or PCA diagnosis, visuospatial/language may no longer have been predominant at study inclusion, causing them to be assigned to the multi-domain instead.

Supplementary Figure 2. Proportions of AD-related cognitive profiles for each occupational class.

There were no significant differences between groups (Chi² n.s.).

Supplementary Figure 3. Schematic representation of protective and harmful effects and resulting cells in the contingency table.

Each color (red, blue, green) represents a different occupational class. In scenario A, the red occupational class is relatively protected against dementia type 1, while the distribution of the remaining dementia types across occupations is equal. When the healthy control group is included in the analysis, this protective effect will be reflected in a higher proportion of individuals in red in the healthy group (4/6=67% versus 50% for blue/green) and a lower percentage of red persons in the type 1 dementia group (0% versus 1/6=17% for blue/green). All other proportions will be identical across occupational classes. However, when the healthy control group is not taken into account, the protective effect of the red occupation for dementia type 1 will create also an apparent “harmful” effect of the red occupation for dementia types 2 and 3 (i.e. 1/2=50%, compared to 1/3=33% for blue/green). In scenario B, the green occupational class shows a harmful effect on the development of dementia type 3, while no other differences between groups exist. Again, when the healthy control group is

included, this only results in a lower percentage of healthy green individuals ($1/6=17\%$ versus 50% for red/blue) and a greater proportion of persons with green occupations in dementia type 3 (i.e. 50% versus $1/6=17\%$ for red/blue). In the absence of a healthy control group, however, the green occupational class' harmful effect for dementia type 3 leads to an apparent "protective" effect for dementia types 1 and 2 ($1/5=20\%$, compared to $1/3=33\%$ for red/blue).

2310 participants selected from the ADC cohort with dementia and occupational descriptions

140 participants excluded with occupational descriptions that were:

- non-informative
- indicative of unemployment

2170 participants eligible for assignment of BRC 2014 occupational codes

2 participants excluded with occupational descriptions that were not detailed enough to assign occupational codes

2168 participants eligible for statistical analysis


47 participants excluded because the size of their category was not sufficiently large:





- 12 cases with ICT occupations
- 35 cases with rare forms of dementia


2121 participants included in the final sample


Dementia type

- AD
- FTD
- VaD
- DLB
- PSP/CBD










A)



B)

