

Impairment of dual-task gait dynamics in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: Relationships to neuropsychological status, fitness and brain morphology

Tess C Hawkins MExPhys¹, Rebecca Samuel BAppSc¹, Maria A Fiatarone Singh MD^{2,3}, Nicola Gates PhD^{4,5,6}, Guy C Wilson MSc¹, Nidhi Jain MPH¹, Jacinda Meiklejohn BS¹, Henry Brodaty DSc^{6,7}, Wei Wen PhD^{6,8}, Nalin Singh MBBS¹, Bernhard T Baune PhD^{9,10}, Chao Suo PhD¹¹, Michael K Baker PhD^{1,12}, Nasim Foroughi PhD¹³, Yi Wang PhD¹⁴, Perminder S Sachdev PhD^{6,8}, Michael J Valenzuela PhD^{4,15}, Jeffrey M Hausdorff PhD^{16,17,18}, Yorgi Mavros PhD^{1*}

¹Physical Activity, Lifestyle, Ageing and Wellbeing Faculty Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW, Australia.

²Physical Activity, Lifestyle, Ageing and Wellbeing Faculty Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences and Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW, Australia.

³Hebrew SeniorLife and Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA.

⁴Regenerative Neuroscience Group, Brain and Mind Centre and Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

⁵School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

⁶Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

⁷Dementia Centre for Research Collaboration, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

⁸Neuropsychiatric Institute, The Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

⁹Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.

¹⁰Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Münster, Münster, Germany

¹¹Brain & Mental Health Research Hub, Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia

¹²School of Exercise Science, Australian Catholic University, Strathfield, NSW, Australia.

¹³Clinical and Rehabilitation Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Sydney, Lidcombe, NSW, Australia.

¹⁴Lipid Metabolism & Cardiometabolic Disease Laboratory, Baker IDI Heart and Diabetes Institute

¹⁵School of Medical Sciences, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

¹⁶Center for the Study of Movement, Cognition, and Mobility, Neurological Institute, Tel Aviv Medical Center, Israel.

¹⁷Department of Physical Therapy, Sackler Faculty of Medicine and Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, Israel.

¹⁸Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center and Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, United States.

* Corresponding author:

Yorgi Mavros, PhD

Faculty of Health Sciences

University of Sydney

75 East Street, Lidcombe, NSW 2141, Australia

+612 9351 9279 (telephone)

+612 9351 9204 (fax)

yorgi.mavros@sydney.edu.au

Abbreviated Title: Factors associated with dual-task performance in adults with MCI

Abstract word count: 250

Word Count: 4588 (Title page, abstract and main text)

Word count: 3880 (Main text)

References: 46

Figures: 2

Tables: 2

Supplementary Files: 1

Supplementary Tables and Figures: 1

Trial Registration: Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry

(ANZCTR1260800048932)

ABSTRACT

Background:

Individuals with Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) have more gait variability under dual-task conditions than cognitively healthy adults. However, characteristics associated with this susceptibility of gait to dual-task stress are unknown.

Methods:

Testing was performed at baseline in the Study of Mental And Resistance Training (SMART). Ninety-three adults with MCI (age 70 ± 6.8 years; 66.6% female) performed a single- and dual-task walk (cognitive distractor=letter fluency), in random order. Linear and non-linear gait variability were measured using force-sensitive insoles. Cognitive performance during dual-tasking was assessed by the number of correct words vocalized. Cognitive function, brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), muscle strength, aerobic capacity, body composition, physical and psychosocial function were also assessed as potential correlates of gait dynamics.

Results:

Gait dynamics worsened during dual-tasking, with decrements in both stride time variability ($p < 0.001$) and detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) ($p = 0.001$). Lower aerobic capacity and thinner posterior cingulate cortex were associated with greater decrements in DFA ($p < 0.05$). Smaller hippocampal volume, worse psychological well-being and poorer static balance were associated with greater decrements in stride time variability ($p < 0.05$). By contrast, cognitive performance did not change under dual-task conditions compared to seated testing ($p = 0.13$).

Conclusions:

Under dual-task conditions, participants with MCI preserved their cognitive performance at the expense of gait stability. Decrements in dual-tasking gait were associated with lower aerobic fitness, balance, psychological well-being, and brain volume in cognitively-relevant areas of the posterior cingulate and hippocampus, all potentially modifiable characteristics. Trials of targeted interventions are needed to determine the potential plasticity of gait variability in high-risk cohorts.

Key words: Dual-task, Gait variability, MCI, stride variability, detrended fluctuation analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of gait abnormalities increases with age, affecting up to 35% of community-dwelling older adults¹. Gait abnormalities are characterized by a more variable gait pattern, leaving affected individuals with an increased risk of falls² and reduced mobility³. Adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), an intermediate stage between normal cognition and dementia, are more likely to have greater gait variability⁴ and consequently, double the risk of injurious and multiple falls compared to cognitively normal adults⁵.

Dual-tasking is a sensitive method used to investigate interactions between gait variability and cognitive function⁶, with worse gait performance under dual-task conditions associated with an increased fall risk amongst older adults^{7,8}. Dual-tasking impairs gait in individuals with deficits in cognitive function, including MCI and Alzheimer's disease⁹. However, studies evaluating dual-task associations between cognition and gait variability in older adults with MCI are limited. Furthermore, imaging studies of brain regions and their associations with gait variability have mainly focussed on single-task gait variability, or the effects of dual-tasking on gait speed¹⁰⁻¹² rather than more comprehensive assessments of gait variability or cognitive performance under dual-task stress. Finally, identification of modifiable characteristics associated with gait dynamics under dual-task conditions could lead to targeted interventions to improve gait dynamics and ultimately reduce falls in older adults with MCI and other vulnerable cohorts. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine the effects of dual-tasking on gait dynamics and cognitive performance in older adults with MCI, as well as to identify relevant physical, psychosocial, and structural brain characteristics associated with decrements during

dual-tasking (dual-task cost). We hypothesized that there would be a worsening of *both* gait and the performance of the secondary cognitive task during the dual-task condition, and that these reductions would be associated with lower strength, aerobic capacity, functional performance, psychosocial function and smaller hippocampal volume and posterior cingulate cortex thickness. These factors were selected *a priori* due to their known decrements in individuals with cognitive impairment, frailty, or elevated falls risk¹³.

METHODS

The complete study protocol for the Study of Mental and Resistance Training (SMART) has been published¹⁴, with primary¹⁵ and secondary outcomes published^{16,17}. The study was approved by the Royal Prince Alfred Human Research Ethics Committee (X08-0064) on 24th of April, 2008, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was prospectively registered with the Australia New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12608000489392). A cross-sectional analysis of baseline data from the SMART trial was used for this investigation.

Participants

One hundred community-dwelling older adults with MCI (Peterson criteria¹⁸) were recruited. Two participants could not wear gait monitors due to fused toes, while technical issues including incomplete data recording, precluded full gait data in five others. Thus, gait dynamics data were available for 93 participants. All participants were assessed at The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

Assessment of cognitive function

The primary outcome of the SMART trial was global cognition assessed using the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale - Cognition (ADAS-Cog). Global, executive, and memory cognitive domains were also calculated for the 93 participants with available gait variability data. Additional details can be found in the Supplementary methods.

Assessment of letter fluency at rest

Letter fluency, a subcategory of verbal fluency, was assessed using the COWAT¹⁹ to measure cognitive performance at rest. All participants were tested in a private room with a single research assistant, in a resting, non-fasting state at a similar mid-morning time. Participants were instructed to verbalize as many words as possible beginning with the letter "F" in 1 minute (F_{SINGLE}), excluding proper nouns, repeated words, and variations of the same word using a prefix or suffix (e.g., foam and foaming). A score was calculated by totaling the number of admissible words written down by the research assistant.

Assessment of gait dynamics

Gait dynamics were assessed similarly to methods previously described^{20,21}. Briefly, force-sensitive insoles were placed in the participants' shoes to measure the force applied to the ground during a 2-minute period of ambulation at habitual pace using any assistive devices needed. Participants were instructed to wear low-heeled shoes, comfortable clothing and visual and hearing aids if required.

Gait assessment was performed under two conditions, ‘single-task’ (undistracted walking) and ‘dual-task’ (distracted walking), in a randomized order. Participants were not provided with any instruction regarding task priority (i.e, cognitive or gait performance), and were told to perform as well as possible for both tasks. Stride time variability (coefficient of variation, CV) and detrended fluctuation analyses fractal scaling exponent (DFA^{22,23}) were calculated for both single-task [(CV_{SINGLE}) and (DFA_{SINGLE})] and dual-task [(CV_{DUAL}) and (DFA_{DUAL})] conditions. To assess stride-to-stride variability and arrhythmicity of gait, the coefficient of variation (CV) in each participant’s stride time was calculated using the formula $CV = (\text{Standard Deviation} / \text{Mean}) * 100$, with lower CV indicating more stable gait. To quantify how the dynamics of stride times fluctuate and change over time, we applied detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) to each participant’s sequence of stride times. In general, physiologically healthy systems have fractal scaling indices between 0.8 and 1.0, with lower values (closer to 0.5) indicating a less healthy state²⁴.

The secondary (cognitive distractor) task was the COWAT test, with the number of correct “F words” counted in the first minute of walking (F_{DUAL}). The letter “F” was selected to remain consistent with the seated COWAT test described above (F_{SINGLE}). The words were recorded using a portable recorder (Samsung YP-U3, Samsung Electronics Co., South Korea) and the recording was subsequently reviewed by the research assistant who counted the number of admissible words during the first and second minute. The number of correct words in the first minute only of the dual-task gait condition (F_{DUAL}) was compared to the number of correct words during the F_{SINGLE} condition. The order was not randomized for the assessment of “F” words, with the dual-task condition performed 1 week after the seated COWAT. The ‘dual-task cost’ for

CV, DFA and F words were calculated ($CV_{\text{COST}} = CV_{\text{DUAL}} - CV_{\text{SINGLE}}$; $DFA_{\text{COST}} = DFA_{\text{DUAL}} - DFA_{\text{SINGLE}}$; $F_{\text{COST}} = F_{\text{DUAL}} - F_{\text{SINGLE}}$).

Assessment of Neuroimaging Outcomes

Details of the neuroimaging assessment outcomes have been published^{14,17}. Briefly, MRI data were acquired on a 3.0-Tesla Philips Achieva System (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Brain structure was assessed using a T1-weighted whole-brain scan with isotropic voxel 1 mm³ (sequence: T1TFE; TR/TE: 6.39/2.9 ms; slice thickness 1.0 mm without gap; in-plane resolution 1 × 1 mm). Left, right hippocampal volume was manually traced, using ANALYZE (version 10, Mayo Clinic) following the published protocol²⁵. For cortical thickness measurement, FreeSurfer (v5.1.0, <http://freesurfer.net>) was applied to calculate the cortical thickness at whole brain level. Then, the posterior cingulate mean cortical thickness was generated using the standard FreeSurfer parcellation (Desikan-Killiany Atlas)²⁶.

Assessment of peak strength

Maximal strength testing was assessed via the one-repetition maximum (1RM) on Keiser pneumatic resistance machines (Keiser Sports Health Equipment, Ltd., Fresno, CA, USA). Participants' 1RMs were determined on the leg press, knee extension, hip abduction, chest press and seated row machines. One RM tests were performed twice, one week apart, with the best performance used.

Assessment of peak aerobic capacity

Aerobic capacity ($\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$) was determined via indirect calorimetry during a physician-administered, graded treadmill walking test to volitional fatigue. Methods and data handling have been previously published¹⁶.

Measures of physical function

Details of the physical function assessments have been published¹⁴. Static balance was assessed on one attempt using six different positions (wide stance, narrow stance, semi-tandem stance, tandem stance, one leg with eyes open and one leg with eyes closed). Dynamic balance was assessed using the time taken to forward tandem walk over a 3-meter marked course. Habitual and maximal gait velocities were assessed over two metres using an Ultra-timer (Raymar, Oxfordshire, UK). Lower extremity function and power was assessed using time to complete 5 sit-to-stands and stair climb power. Stair climb power was calculated using the following formula $P \text{ (watts)} = (M \times D) \times 9.8/t$ Where: M = Body mass (kg), D = Vertical distance (m), D = vertical height of the staircase, and t = Time (s). The 6-minute walk test (6MWT) was assessed twice, at least one week apart, with the furthest distance walked used. Further detail regarding these assessments can be found in the Supplementary methods.

Anthropometry and Body Composition

Height, naked body mass and waist circumference were measured as the mean of triplicate measures after a 12-hour overnight fast. Waist circumference was measured with Lufkin steel tape measure (W606 PM, Apex Tool Australia Pty Ltd, Albury, NSW, AU), using the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) protocol. Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA; RJL Systems, Inc., Clinton, MI, USA) was used to evaluate body composition. Whole body skeletal

muscle mass (kg) and fat free mass (kg) were calculated using the average resistance and reactance values of three sequential BIA measures. Further details of the assessments are published¹⁴.

Measures of Psychosocial Function

Psychosocial function and wellbeing were measured via the Life Satisfaction Scale (LSS), Scale of Psychological Well Being (SPWB), Quality of Life Scale (QOLS), Physical and Mental Health Short-36 (SF-36), Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS 21), Memory Awareness Rating Scale – Memory Functioning Scale (MARS-MF), Duke Social Support Index Scale (DSSIS) and Life Experience Questionnaire (LEQ). Further detail regarding these assessments has been published¹⁴

Statistical Methods

Data were inspected for normality. Normally-distributed data are presented as mean \pm SD and non-normally distributed data presented as median (interquartile range). All CV (CV_{SINGLE} , CV_{DUAL} and CV_{COST}) variables were log-transformed prior to use in parametric statistics. Sequential linear regression models (adjusted for age and sex) were constructed including potential confounders associated with the number of F words during the COWAT test (F_{SINGLE}) and single- and dual-task gait dynamics (CV_{SINGLE} and DFA_{SINGLE}). Next, linear mixed models with repeated measures were constructed to determine the effect of dual-tasking on both letter fluency and gait dynamics. The single-task condition (F_{SINGLE} , CV_{SINGLE} and DFA_{SINGLE}) was entered as time point 1, and the ‘dual-task’ condition (F_{DUAL} , CV_{DUAL} and DFA_{DUAL}) as time point 2. A compound symmetry covariance matrix was used. Models were adjusted for age and

sex, with gait dynamics outcomes further adjusted for the order of the walking condition. Next, linear regression models were constructed to determine variables associated with F_{COST} , CV_{COST} and DFA_{COST} . Models were adjusted for age, sex, and baseline score of the dependent variable and order of the condition for the gait dynamics assessment. All models involving cognitive performance (including F_{SINGLE} and F_{COST}) were further adjusted for education, while models involving hippocampal volume and posterior cingulate cortex thickness were adjusted further adjusted for total intracranial volume. Models involving DFA were also adjusted for the number of medications, as justified below. Statistical significance was assumed at $\alpha < 0.05$. All data were analysed using IBM SPSS (version 24; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline clinical characteristics of the participants have been published¹⁵. Data for the available 93 participants (66.6% women), were similar to the overall cohort ($p > 0.05$ for all variables). The average age was 70.0 ± 6.8 years, MMSE score 27.5 ± 1.4 , and habitual gait speed 1.21 ± 0.24 m/s, with 17% of participants having a gait speed below 1.0 m/s. Participant characteristics data are presented in Table 1.

Factors associated with single task gait dynamics

The number of medications prescribed was inversely associated with DFA_{SINGLE} ($r = -0.23$, $p = 0.029$), but not CV_{SINGLE} ($r = -0.03$, $p = 0.75$). There were no associations between age, sex, years of education, smoking status, drinking status or the number of chronic diseases and either index of gait dynamics. Consequently, all analyses with DFA_{COST} as a dependent variable were adjusted for the number of medications.

Dual-Task Cost

Data are presented in Figure 1. As hypothesized, gait dynamics worsened significantly during dual-tasking, with decrements in performance observed for stride time variability [single-task 2.012 (0.767), dual-task 2.555 (2.227); $p < 0.0001$ and DFA (single-task 0.804 ± 0.151 , dual-task 0.745 ± 0.160 ; $p = 0.001$). However, contrary to our hypothesis, cognitive performance on the COWAT did not significantly change under dual-task conditions (single-task 13 ± 5 words, dual-task 12 ± 4 words, $p = 0.13$; Figure 1). Changes in letter fluency (F_{COST}) were not associated with changes in gait dynamics for either CV_{COST} ($r = -0.14$, $p = 0.171$) or DFA_{COST} ($r = 0.03$, $p = 0.746$).

Factors associated with changes in stride time variability component of gait during dual-tasking (CV_{COST})

For simplicity, significant associations are presented in Table 2. A complete set of results can be seen in the Supplemental material. Contrary to our hypotheses, cognitive performance (executive function, memory, attention and global domains) was not associated with CV_{COST} under dual-task conditions ($p > 0.05$). However, higher (worse) CV_{COST} was associated with smaller left hippocampus volume ($r = -0.35$, $p = 0.023$) with a similar trend for total hippocampus volume ($r = -0.31$, $p = 0.050$). Gait dynamics and brain morphology data are presented in Figure 2.

Worse dynamic balance (longer tandem walk time) was directly associated with a higher CV_{COST} ($r = 0.28$, $p = 0.022$). However, CV_{COST} was not associated with body composition, aerobic capacity, strength or other measures of functional performance.

As hypothesized, psychological well-being was inversely associated with CV_{COST} , with higher (better) Environmental Mastery, Personal Growth, Personal Relations, Purpose in Life, Self-acceptance and total score on the Psychological Wellbeing Scale associated with preservation of gait under dual-task conditions ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, CV_{COST} was inversely associated with Duke Social Support Index Scale (DSSIS), indicating that the lower level of, and satisfaction with, social support was associated with a greater gait impairment during dual-task conditions ($p < 0.05$).

Factors associated with changes in fractal scaling exponent of gait during dual-tasking (DFA_{COST})

For simplicity, significant associations are presented in Table 2. A complete set of results can be seen in the Supplemental material. Contrary to our hypotheses, cognition and psychosocial function were not associated with DFA_{COST} under dual-task conditions ($p > 0.05$). Notably, as anticipated, greater left ($r = 0.23$, $p = 0.026$) and total ($r = 0.25$, $p = 0.015$) posterior cingulate cortex thickness as well as better performances in the 6MWT ($r = 0.25$, $p = 0.025$) and aerobic capacity ($r = 0.24$, $p = 0.033$) were related to preservation of gait during dual-tasking. However, DFA_{COST} was unrelated to lower body strength ($r = 0.25$, $p = 0.063$), whole body strength ($r = 0.25$, $p = 0.079$), static balance time ($r = 0.18$, $p = 0.075$) or tandem walk score ($r = -0.12$, $p = 0.254$).

DISCUSSION

The primary finding from this novel investigation was that in older adults with MCI, resting cognitive performance on a letter fluency task was *preserved* under dual-task conditions, whereas a significant *worsening* of gait dynamics, both in the magnitude (CV) and time course

(DFA), was observed. This finding in adults with MCI is consistent with the concept of “posture second” that has previously been observed in healthy older adults²⁷ and adults with Parkinson’s disease²⁸. In adults with MCI who have a reduced cognitive reserve compared to cognitively healthy adults, the preservation of cognitive function at the expense of a stable gait may partially explain why people with MCI are at a greater risk of falling than healthy peers⁵. Furthermore, worsening of DFA was associated with lower posterior cingulate cortex thickness and aerobic and walking capacity, while worsening of stride time variability was associated with smaller hippocampal volume, static balance and psychological well-being. In contrast, single-task (seated) cognitive function predicted neither gait variability nor gait dynamic changes during dual-tasking. Although previous studies⁴ have focused on the decrements in gait under dual-task conditions, our study has also investigated for the first time the effects of dual tasking on cognitive performance in MCI, as well as the mediating role of brain morphology and physical/psychological function on gait stability in MCI.

This is the first report to our knowledge that thicker left and total posterior cingulate cortices were associated with preservation of DFA during dual-tasking. This is in agreement with previous data showing a greater dual task cost on gait speed (slowing down) is associated with lower grey matter volume of the hippocampus and cingulate cortex (including both anterior and posterior cingulate cortex) amongst other regions¹². More recently, greater dual task cost on gait speed was associated with lower entorhinal cortex volume in older adults with MCI¹¹, a region of the brain known to have structural and functional connections with the posterior cingulate cortex^{29,30}. The posterior cingulate cortex contributes to motor imagery³¹, and assists in directing the focus of attention^{32,33}, and is known to be one of the areas to atrophy early in the course of

Alzheimer's disease^{33,34}. Posterior cingulate thickness reduction with age, MCI or dementia could theoretically reduce walking coordination and result in a more variable gait, particularly during dual-tasking.

We also report for the first time in this cohort that lower left and total hippocampal volume were associated with greater deficits in stride time variability during dual-tasking. The hippocampus contributes to rhythmicity of locomotion³⁵ and is known to atrophy in individuals with MCI faster than in healthy adults³⁶. Hippocampal volume has been associated with gait variability in both cognitively healthy adults, and adults with cognitive impairment¹⁰, but data are lacking under dual-task conditions. Our findings suggest that hippocampal atrophy associated with MCI may contribute to a diminished ability to regulate gait dynamics under the stressful condition of dual-tasking in this cohort.

Notably, we have previously shown that high intensity strength training increases posterior cingulate thickness¹⁷ and that this change in posterior cingulate thickness is directly associated with the cognitive benefits of the strength training¹⁷. While no change in the hippocampus was observed in SMART¹⁷ or other studies of progressive resistance training³⁷, hippocampal volume has been shown to increase following aerobic exercise in adults with MCI³⁷. Future investigations are needed to determine whether gait dynamics under dual-task conditions improve following structured aerobic or resistance training programs, and if any observed improvements are mediated by structural brain changes.

Higher aerobic capacity and 6-min walking distance were associated with better preservation of DFA during dual-tasking. High intensity treadmill training has been shown to improve aerobic capacity and cognition in adults with amnesic MCI³⁸, whereas most other low-moderate intensity aerobic interventions have yielded non-significant findings in this cohort³⁹. Progressive resistance training can also improve aerobic capacity and cognitive function, (mediated by muscle strength gains), as we have previously shown within this cohort¹⁶. However, given the cross-sectional nature of our analyses, reverse causality cannot be excluded. Individuals with a less variable gait may be more likely to walk more frequently and thus have a greater aerobic capacity and functional performance compared to individuals with a more variable gait pattern. Longitudinal exercise studies are required to investigate whether improved aerobic/walking capacity will also improve gait variability during single- and dual-task conditions.

Muscle strength was not related to the preservation of either DFA or stride time variability during dual-task walking. This differs from data we previously reported showing that higher muscle strength was related to lower variability measures in community-dwelling older adults², and that improvements in muscle strength following a multi-modal exercise program were associated with reduced stride-time variability in older adults with functional impairment⁴⁰. However, consistent with the current findings, others have reported that stride time variability in older adults with higher level gait disorders was not associated with muscle strength²⁴, nor was step time variability improved by a muscle strengthening intervention⁴¹. All of the above studies only measured gait variability under single-task conditions, and thus, the potential benefit of interventions to improve muscle strength on gait variability under dual-task conditions are unknown.

The dual-task cost of stride time variability was greater in those with lower psychological well-being (SPWB) across the domains of Environmental Mastery, Personal Growth, Personal Relations, Purpose in Life and Self-acceptance. These data are in agreement with previous evidence suggesting that worse stride time variability is associated with an increased fear of falling²⁴, and fear of falling itself has been previously associated with limitations in physical, mental and social functioning⁴². Additionally, MCI has been associated with reduced psychological wellbeing⁴³ and increased falls², supporting a potential link between worsened gait dynamics and poor psychological wellbeing, although reverse causality or bi-directional relationships cannot be ruled out. Consistent with our findings on psychological well-being, depression is a well-known risk factor for falls and hip fractures⁴⁴, independent from anti-depressant medications, which pose additional fall risk⁴⁵. It is important to note however that our cohort was free from clinical depression at entry into this study by design. Given the known relationship between hippocampal atrophy and depression⁴⁶, the potential link between depressive symptoms, brain morphology and gait dynamics is an important area for future investigation in relevant high-risk cohorts with this co-morbidity.

Limitations

As noted above, reverse causality may explain some of the study outcomes due to the cross-sectional study design. Also, the order of the COWAT assessment and dual-task walking was not randomized. The presentation of the “F” word task at rest prior to dual-tasking may have produced a learning effect, minimizing any potential to observe cognitive deficits during dual-

tasking. Future studies should randomize the sequencing of single- and dual-task cognitive performance.

CONCLUSIONS

Older adults with MCI preserved their cognitive performance at the cost of the variability and dynamics of their gait under dual-task conditions. Novel associations were observed between worsening of the fractal scaling exponent of gait and posterior cingulate cortical thickness, while worsening of stride time variability was associated with lower hippocampal volume. Better aerobic and walking capacity, psychological wellbeing and static balance were also associated with preservation gait stability during dual-tasking. Notably, *all* of these factors have previously been shown to be modifiable with robust exercise modalities in clinical trials. Longitudinal research is required to determine the extent to which gait dynamics are also modifiable, and the optimal exercise prescriptions needed to promote optimization of gait patterns, resilience to stressors, and ultimately reduce fall risk in vulnerable cohorts.

Conflict of Interest: MV has previously received honoraria for speaking at Pfizer and The Brain Department Pty Ltd sponsored events, and receives industry in-kind support from collaborating software companies Synaptikon, Cambridge Brain Sciences and COGSTATE. He is also scientific founder of University of Sydney spin-out company Skin2Neuron Pty Ltd, from which he receives consulting fees and in which he has a financial interest in through the University's shareholding and IP Policy. These interests have no relationship to this work. HB is an Advisory Board member for Nutricia Australia. BB is a member of advisory boards and/or gave presentations for the following companies, for which he has received honoraria: AstraZeneca, Lundbeck, Pfizer, Servier, and Wyeth. PS has received honoraria from Biogen Australia Pty.

Funding source: This study was funded by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Dementia Research Grant (512672). Additional funding for a research assistant position was sourced from the NHMRC Program (568969), and the project was supported by the University of Sydney and University of New South Wales. MV was supported by a University of New South Wales Vice Chancellor's Fellowship and a NHMRC Clinical Career Development Fellowship (1004156).

Acknowledgements: This work fulfilled a portion of the degree requirements for PhD for NG and CS, and Master's Degree for TH. Donations for participant rewards were received from Gregory and Carr Funerals. We would also like to thank the extraordinary generosity and commitment of the participants and their families who devoted their time to the SMART study.

Author Contributions: Study concept and design: TCH, RS, YM, MAFS and JMH. Acquisition of data: NG, GCW, NJ, JM, CS, MKB, NF and YW. Analysis and interpretation of data: TCH, RS, YM, MAFS and JMH. Drafting of the manuscript: TCH, RS, YM, JMH and MAFS. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: TCH, RS, NG, GCW, NJ, JM, WW, MKB, NF, YW, HB, NS, BTB, CS, PSS, MV, YM, MAFS and JMH. Statistical analysis: TCH, RS and YM. Obtained funding: HB, WW, NS, BTB, PSS, MV, MAFS. Administrative, technical, and material support: NJ, JM, CS. Study supervision: NG, HB, PSS, MV and MAFS.

REFERENCES

1. Verghese J, LeValley A, Hall CB, Katz MJ, Ambrose AF, Lipton RB. Epidemiology of Gait Disorders in Community-Residing Older Adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2006;54(2):255-261. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.00580.x>
2. Callisaya ML, Blizzard L, Schmidt MD, et al. Gait, gait variability and the risk of multiple incident falls in older people: a population-based study. *Age and Ageing*. 2011;40(4):481-487. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afr055>
3. Brach JS, Studenski SA, Perera S, VanSwearingen JM, Newman AB. Gait variability and the risk of incident mobility disability in community-dwelling older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2007;62(9):983-988. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/62.9.983>
4. Montero-Odasso M, Muir SW, Speechley M. Dual-task complexity affects gait in people with mild cognitive impairment: the interplay between gait variability, dual tasking, and risk of falls. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2012;93(2):293-299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2011.08.026>
5. Delbaere K, Kochan NA, Close JCT, et al. Mild Cognitive Impairment as a Predictor of Falls in Community-Dwelling Older People. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2012;20(10):845-853. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e31824afbc4>
6. Montero-Odasso M, Bergman H, Phillips NA, Wong CH, Sourial N, Chertkow H. Dual-tasking and gait in people with mild cognitive impairment. The effect of working memory. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2009;9(41). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-9-41>

7. Beauchet O, Annweiler C, Dubost V, et al. Stops walking when talking: A predictor of falls in older adults? *European Journal of Neurology*. 2009;16(7):786-795.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02612.x>
8. Muir-Hunter SW, Wittwer JE. Dual-task testing to predict falls in community-dwelling older adults: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy*. 2016;102(1):29-40.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2015.04.011>
9. Montero-Odasso M, Verghese J, Beauchet O, Hausdorff JM. Gait and cognition: A complementary approach to understanding brain function and the risk of falling. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2012;60(11):2127-2136.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04209.x>
10. Tian Q, Chastan N, Bair W-N, Resnick SM, Ferrucci L, Studenski SA. The brain map of gait variability in aging, cognitive impairment and dementia—a systematic review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2017;74:149-162.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.01.020>
11. Sakurai R, Bartha R, Montero-Odasso M. Entorhinal Cortex Volume Is Associated With Dual-Task Gait Cost Among Older Adults With MCI: Results From the Gait and Brain Study. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*. 2018;74(5):698-704.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/gly084>
12. Doi T, Blumen HM, Verghese J, et al. Gray matter volume and dual-task gait performance in mild cognitive impairment. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*. 2017;11(3):887-898. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-016-9562-1>
13. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. *The Lancet*. 2013;381(9868):752-762. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)62167-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9)

14. Gates NJ, Valenzuela M, Sachdev PS, et al. Study of Mental Activity and Regular Training (SMART) in at risk individuals: A randomised double blind, sham controlled, longitudinal trial. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2011;11(1):19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-11-19>
15. Fiatarone Singh MA, Gates N, Saigal N, et al. The Study of Mental and Resistance Training (SMART) study—resistance training and/or cognitive training in mild cognitive impairment: a randomized, double-blind, double-sham controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2014;15(12):873-880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2014.09.010>
16. Mavros Y, Gates N, Wilson GC, et al. Mediation of Cognitive Function Improvements by Strength Gains After Resistance Training in Older Adults with Mild Cognitive Impairment: Outcomes of the Study of Mental and Resistance Training. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2017;65(3):550-559. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14542>
17. Suo C, Fiatarone Singh M, Gates N, et al. Therapeutically relevant structural and functional mechanisms triggered by physical and cognitive exercise. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2016;21:1633–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.19>
18. Petersen RC. Mild Cognitive Impairment: Clinical Characterization and Outcome. *Archives of Neurology*. 1999;281(19):303-308. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.56.3.303>
19. Kaufman AS, Lichtenberger EO. *Essentials: of WAIS-III assessment*. New York: J. Wiley & Sons; 1999.
20. Hausdorff JM, Edelberg HK, Mitchell SL, Goldberger AL, Wei JY. Increased gait unsteadiness in community-dwelling elderly fallers. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 1997;78(3):278-283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993\(97\)90034-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993(97)90034-4)

21. Hausdorff JM, Ladin Z, Wei JY. Footswitch system for measurement of the temporal parameters of gait. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 1995;28(3):347-351.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290\(94\)00074-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(94)00074-E)
22. Hausdorff JM, Peng CK, Ladin Z, Wei JY, Goldberger AL. Is walking a random walk? Evidence for long-range correlations in stride interval of human gait. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1995;78(1):349-358. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1995.78.1.349>
23. Hausdorff JM, Purdon PL, Peng CK, Ladin Z, Wei JY, Goldberger AL. Fractal dynamics of human gait: stability of long-range correlations in stride interval fluctuations. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1996;80(5):1448-1457.
<https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1996.80.5.1448>
24. Herman T, Giladi N, Gurevich T, Hausdorff JM. Gait instability and fractal dynamics of older adults with a “cautious” gait: why do certain older adults walk fearfully? *Gait & Posture*. 2005;21(2):178-185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2004.01.014>
25. Suo C, Leon I, Brodaty H, et al. Supervisory experience at work is linked to low rate of hippocampal atrophy in late life. *Neuroimage*. 2012;63(3):1542-1551.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.015>
26. Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, et al. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31(3):968-980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021>
27. Corp DT, Youssef GJ, Clark RA, et al. Reduced motor cortex inhibition and a ‘cognitive-first’ prioritisation strategy for older adults during dual-tasking. *Experimental Gerontology*. 2018;113:95-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2018.09.018>

28. Bloem BR, Grimbergen YAM, van Dijk JG, Munneke M. The "posture second" strategy: A review of wrong priorities in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*. 2006;248(1-2):196-204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2006.05.010>
29. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL. The brain's default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2008;1124:1-38. <https://10.1196/annals.1440.011>
30. Greicius MD, Supekar K, Menon V, Dougherty RF. Resting-State Functional Connectivity Reflects Structural Connectivity in the Default Mode Network. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2008;19(1):72-78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn059>
31. Rosano C, Aizenstein H, Brach J, Longenberger A, Studenski S, Newman AB. Special Article Gait Measures Indicate Underlying Focal Gray Matter Atrophy in the Brain of Older Adults. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*. 2008;63(12):1380-1388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/63.12.1380>
32. Leech R, Kamourieh S, Beckmann CF, Sharp DJ. Fractionating the Default Mode Network: Distinct Contributions of the Ventral and Dorsal Posterior Cingulate Cortex to Cognitive Control. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2011;31(9):3217-3224. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5626-10.2011>
33. Leech R, Sharp DJ. The role of the posterior cingulate cortex in cognition and disease. *Brain*. 2013;137(1):12-32. <https://10.1093/brain/awt162>
34. Buckner RL, Snyder AZ, Shannon BJ, et al. Molecular, Structural, and Functional Characterization of Alzheimer's Disease: Evidence for a Relationship between Default Activity, Amyloid, and Memory. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2005;25(34):7709-7717. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2177-05.2005>

35. Zimmerman ME, Lipton RB, Pan JW, Hetherington HP, Verghese J. MRI- and MRS-Derived Hippocampal Correlates of Quantitative Locomotor Function in Older Adults. *Brain research*. 2009;1291:73-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.07.043>
36. Leal SL, Yassa MA. Perturbations of neural circuitry in aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease. *Ageing Research Reviews*. 2013;12(3):823-831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2013.01.006>
37. ten Brinke LF, Bolandzadeh N, Nagamatsu LS, et al. Aerobic exercise increases hippocampal volume in older women with probable mild cognitive impairment: a 6-month randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2015;49(4):248-254. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-093184>
38. Baker LD, Frank LL, Foster-Schubert K, et al. Effects of Aerobic Exercise on Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Controlled Trial. *Archives of neurology*. 2010;67(1):71-79. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2009.307>
39. Gates N, Singh MAF, Sachdev PS, Valenzuela M. The effect of exercise training on cognitive function in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2013;21(11):1086-1097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2013.02.018>
40. Hausdorff JM, Nelson ME, Kaliton D, et al. Etiology and modification of gait instability in older adults: a randomized controlled trial of exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2001;90(6):2117-2129. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.2001.90.6.2117>
41. Schwenk M, Zieschang T, Englert S, Grewal G, Najafi B, Hauer K. Improvements in gait characteristics after intensive resistance and functional training in people with dementia:

- a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2014;14(1):73.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-14-73>
42. Meulen E, Zijlstra G, Ambergen T, Kempen GI. Effect of Fall-Related Concerns on Physical, Mental, and Social Function in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2014;62(12):2333-2338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13083>
43. Gates N, Valenzuela M, Sachdev PS, Fiatarone Singh MA. Psychological well-being in individuals with mild cognitive impairment. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2014;9:779-792. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S58866>
44. Whooley MA, Kip KE, Cauley JA, et al. Depression, Falls, and Risk of Fracture in Older Women. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1999;159(5):484-490.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.159.5.484>
45. Kvelde T, Lord SR, Close JCT, et al. Depressive symptoms increase fall risk in older people, independent of antidepressant use, and reduced executive and physical functioning. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*. 2015;60(1):190-195.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.003>
46. Sheline YI, Wang PW, Gado MH, Csernansky JG, Vannier MW. Hippocampal atrophy in recurrent major depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1996;93(9):3908-3913. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.9.3908>

TABLE 1: Participant characteristics

Characteristics	Value
<i>Demographics</i>	
Age (years)	70.0 ± 6.8
Sex: Female (%)	66.7
BMI (kg/ m ²)	26.87 ± 4.89
Education (years)	13 ± 3
Total alcoholic drinks / week (n)	3 (9)
Current smoker (%)	2.2
Ex-smoker (%)	38.7
<i>Health status</i>	
Medications/day (n)	4 (4)
Number of chronic diseases (n)	2.9 ± 1.7
Osteoarthritis (%)	71.0
Hypertension (%)	40.9
Diabetes (%)	11.8
Gout (%)	4.3
Depressive episodes in the past 5 years (n)	0 (0)
Habitual gait speed (m/s)	1.22 ± 0.24

Results reported in mean±SD or Median (IQR); SD=Standard deviation; IQR=Interquartile

Range; m/s= meters per second; kg/m²= kilograms per meter squared; BMI= body mass index

TABLE 2: Factors significantly associated with changes in at least one measure of gait variability or dynamics during dual-tasking

Associated factors	CV_{COST}	DFA_{COST}
<i>Demographics</i>		
Age (years)	-0.032	-0.201*
<i>Psychosocial Assessments</i>		
SPWB Environmental Mastery	-0.276*	-0.091
SPWB Personal Growth	-0.217*	-0.156
SPWB Personal Relations	-0.258*	-0.137
SPWB Purpose in Life	-0.248*	-0.064
SPWB Self-Acceptance	-0.244*	-0.002
SPWB Total Score	-0.303*	-0.114
DSSIS (11-33)	-0.243*	-0.104
<i>Functional Status and Physical Performance</i>		
VO ₂ peak (ml/kg/min)	0.037	0.242*
Best Tandem Walk (s)	0.275*	-0.119
6 Minute Walk Distance (m)	0.050	0.245*
<i>Brain MRI Thicknesses and Volumes</i>		
Left Hippocampus Volume (mm ³)	-0.347*	-0.184
Left Posterior Cingulate Cortex Thickness (mm)	0.240	0.228*
Total Posterior Cingulate Cortex Thickness (mm)	0.246	0.254*

Results reported as r value; SPWB=Scale of Psychological Well Being; DSSIS=Duke Social Support Index Scale; *=significant p value ($p<0.05$). VO_2 peak = peak oxygen consumption; mm= millimeters; m=meters; s= seconds; MRI= magnetic resonance image

FIGURE LEGENDS


FIGURE 1: Gait dynamics and cognitive performance under single-task and dual-task walking conditions.

Graphed results under single-task and dual-task walking conditions for (a) DFA, (b) Stride time variability (CV) and (c) Letter fluency. Data for (a) and (c) are presented as mean \pm SD and data for (b) are presented as median (IQR) with all adjusted pairwise. DFA = detrended fluctuation analysis fractal scaling exponent; CV = coefficient of variation. Higher scores are worse for CV. Lower scores are worse for DFA and Letter Fluency.


FIGURE 2: Relationship between gait dynamics and brain morphology

Graphed results of gait dynamics and MRI associations for (a) CV_{COST} and left hippocampal volume, (b) DFA_{COST} and left posterior cingulate thickness, and (c) DFA_{COST} and total posterior cingulate thickness. CV_{COST} = the dual-task cost of stride time variability. DFA_{COST} = the dual-task cost of DFA. DFA = detrended fluctuation analysis fractal scaling exponent. CV = coefficient of variation. Cost variables are calculated by subtracting the single-task from the dual-task. Higher cost indicates greater gait decrement during dual-tasking.


(a) Detrended Fractal Analysis



(b) Stride Time Variability




(c) Letter Fluency




■ Single-task ■ Dual-task

(a) CV_{COST} vs. Left Hippocampal Volume



(b) DFA_{COST} vs. Left Posterior Cingulate Thickness



(c) DFA_{COST} vs. Total Posterior Cingulate Thickness

