

1 **TITLE**

2 Pre-diagnostic loss to follow-up in an active case-finding TB program: a mixed-methods study  
3 from rural Bihar, India

4 **MANUSCRIPT TYPE**

5 Research article

6 **AUTHORS**

7 Tushar Garg<sup>1</sup>, Vivek Gupta<sup>2</sup>, Dyuti Sen<sup>1</sup>, Madhur Verma<sup>3</sup>, Miranda Brouwer<sup>4</sup>, Rajeshwar  
8 Mishra<sup>1,5</sup>, Manish Bhardwaj<sup>1</sup>

9 1. Innovators In Health, Patna, India

10 2. Dr R P Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi,  
11 India

12 3. Kalpana Chawla Government Medical College, Karnal, India

13 4. PHTB Consult, Tilburg, The Netherlands

14 5. Centre for Development of Human Initiatives, New Jalpaiguri, India

15 **ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE**

16 Tushar Garg,

17 Innovators In Health, #176, Ground Floor, Road #2,

18 New Pataliputra Colony, Patna – 800013. Bihar. India.

19 Email: [tgarg@innovatorsinhealth.org](mailto:tgarg@innovatorsinhealth.org)

20 Phone: +91 9828609562

21 **MANUSCRIPT DETAILS**

22 Abstract: 292 words

23 Manuscript: 4563 words

24 Tables: 2

25 Figures: 3

26 **KEYWORDS**

27 Pre-diagnostic loss to follow-up; cascade of care; screening; ASHA; Accredited Social Health

28 Activist; community health worker

## 29 **ABSTRACT**

### 30 **background**

31 Despite active case-finding (ACF) identifying more presumptive and confirmed TB cases, high  
32 pre-diagnostic loss to follow-up (PDLFU) among presumptive TB cases referred for diagnostic  
33 test remains a concern. We aimed to quantify the PDLFU, and identify the barriers and enablers  
34 in undergoing a diagnostic evaluation in an ACF program implemented in 1.02 million rural  
35 population in the Samastipur district of Bihar, India.

### 36 **methods**

37 During their routine work, Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHA, a community health worker  
38 or CHW), informal providers, and community laypersons identified people at risk of TB, and  
39 referred them to the program. A field coordinator (FC) screened them for TB symptoms at the  
40 patient's home. The identified presumptive TB cases were accompanied by the CHW to a  
41 designated government facility for diagnostics. Those with a confirmed TB diagnosis were put  
42 on treatment by the CHW and followed-up till treatment completion. All services were provided  
43 free of cost and patients were supported throughout the care pathway, including a transport  
44 allowance. We analyzed programmatically collected data, conducted in-depth interviews with  
45 patients, and focus group discussions with the CHWs and FCs in an explanatory mixed-methods  
46 design.

### 47 **results**

48 A total of 11146 presumptive TB cases were identified from January 2018 to December 2018,  
49 out of which 4912 (44.1%) underwent a diagnostic evaluation. The key enablers were CHW  
50 accompaniment and support in addition to the free TB services in the public sector. The major  
51 barriers identified were transport challenges, deficient family and health provider support, and  
52 poor services in the public system.

53 **conclusion**

54 If we are to find missing cases, the health system needs urgent reform, and diagnostic services  
55 need to be patient-centric. A strong patient support system engaging all stakeholders and  
56 involvement of CHWs in routine TB care is an effective solution.

57 **STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY**

- 58 1. First such study to explore the reasons for pre-diagnostic loss to follow-up  
59 2. A mixed-method design including the views of both patients and community health workers  
60 3. Uses operational data from a routine programmatic setting at an NGO site  
61 4. No record of the actual number of people screened intuitively before being referred to the  
62 program.  
63 5. No record of patients accessing diagnostics in private sector and those completing the  
64 diagnostic process.

## 65 INTRODUCTION

66 The End TB strategy targets an 80% reduction in TB incidence by 2030.[1] However, as many as  
67 4.1 million (39%) patients globally are not notified, indicating a mix of under-reporting and  
68 under-diagnosis.[2] Of these, India alone has about a million.[3,4] Analysis of the cascade of  
69 care can effectively quantify the gaps in successive steps of TB care pathway and informs the TB  
70 programs of potential interventions.[4] The Indian public sector cascade and the South African  
71 cascade estimate a loss to follow up (LFU) at test access of 28% and 5% respectively out of  
72 incident cases.[5,6] Similar approaches can be used to evaluate case-finding programs involving  
73 systematic TB screening.[5]

74 Historically, most TB patients were identified through passive case-finding in which patients  
75 self-referred to a provider for a diagnostic evaluation.[7] It may lead to delays in diagnosis and  
76 continued transmission in the community.[8] The known major challenges in diagnosis are poor  
77 geographical and financial access to healthcare, fewer symptoms delay seeking healthcare, and  
78 failure to test when people do present at the facility.[9]

79 Diverse active case finding (ACF) strategies have demonstrated increased TB case detection  
80 with early diagnosis.[7,10,11] Nonetheless, multiple ACF interventions have reported high  
81 PDLFU. A study from India reported only 22% of the people with presumptive TB reaching a  
82 microscopy center, while an ACF program in Myanmar reported only 51.4% of patients with  
83 abnormal chest X-ray (CXR) getting their sputum examined.[12,13] A recent study of a TB  
84 program in a district of Zimbabwe reported a PDLFU of 25% with patient's residence, HIV status  
85 and type of health facility emerging as significant risk factors.[14] Although these studies rely  
86 on routinely collected programmatic data, there has been no study exploring the reasons for  
87 the high PDLFU and the perspectives of associated stakeholders.

88 We aimed to identify the PDLFU, and assess the enablers and barriers for diagnostic evaluation  
89 in a community-based ACF program during 2018 in Bihar, India. The specific objectives were: 1)  
90 to assess proportion of people screened among community referrals, 2) to assess number of  
91 individuals with presumptive TB and their characteristics, 3) to assess proportion and

92 characteristics of PDLFU patients, and identify risk factors, and 4) to understand the reasons for  
93 the PDLFU, including barriers and enablers to access the diagnostic evaluation.

## 94 **METHODS**

### 95 **study design**

96 We used an explanatory mixed-methods study design, where the quantitative phase (cohort  
97 analysis) was followed by a qualitative phase (descriptive design).[15]

### 98 **study setting**

#### 99 **General setting**

100 The study was conducted in the Sarairanjan, Bibhutipur, and Ujiarpur blocks (equivalent to TB  
101 Unit or TU) of Samastipur district in Bihar with a combined population of 1,021,483. Located in  
102 the Indo-Gangetic plain, agriculture was the main occupation of the population. The highest  
103 earning member in 69.8% of the households earned less than INR 60,000 annually (~USD 852)  
104 and 63.5% of the population was reported literate.[16] Females had 20% lesser literacy rate  
105 compared to males, and infant mortality rate (IMR) was 60 for females against that of 48 for  
106 males.[17] The public TB case notification rate in the district was 55 per 100,000 population  
107 (2017) and the pre-treatment LFU was 25% (2017).[18]

108 The population was serviced by 12 primary health centres (PHC) under the Public Health System  
109 (PHS).[19] Within the Revised National TB Control Program (RNTCP), 4 designated microscopy  
110 centres (DMC) run by laboratory technicians provided sputum microscopy. A Senior Treatment  
111 Supervisor (STS) at the TU level managed the program and a Senior Treatment Laboratory  
112 Supervisor (STLS) oversaw a group of DMCs.

113 The last-mile TB care services were provided by a Community Health Worker (called ASHA or  
114 Accredited Social Health Activist). Initiated under the National Health Mission (NHM) in 2005,  
115 an ASHA is a literate woman of the village and assigned for every 1000 population. Her key  
116 responsibility is to be a facilitator, mobilizer, and community service provider for various public  
117 health programs.[20] Under the RNTCP, ASHA received activity-based remuneration: INR 1000

118 (~ USD 14) for DS-TB treatment support, and INR 5000 (~ USD 72) for DR-TB treatment  
119 support.[21]

120 Anganwadi workers (AWW) are community health workers under the Integrated Child  
121 Development Services Scheme and receive a fixed monthly honorarium. Through Angadwadi  
122 Centres, they provide early childhood care and education for children up to 6 years.[22]

123 Specific setting

124 We implemented a TB REACH Wave 5-funded novel, community-based ACF project in  
125 collaboration with the RNTCP in the above population. The key aspects were: 1) community  
126 referral of people at risk of TB, 2) symptom-based screening at patient's doorstep, 3) ASHA-  
127 accompanied diagnostic evaluation, 4) training to ASHA, 5) extensive stakeholder engagement,  
128 and 6) engaging multiple community members, including AWW and informal providers (also  
129 known as RMP or Registered Medical Practitioner).

130 After an initial dialogue, ASHA, AWW, RMPs and the community were asked to refer people  
131 who may have TB. They relied on their existing knowledge of the community and looked for  
132 people at risk of TB during their routine work and social activities. These community referrals  
133 were reported to a field coordinator (FC) of the project, who screened them at their home for  
134 TB symptoms systematically. All presumptive TB cases were referred for a sputum microscopy  
135 and chest x-ray (CXR). All people with a positive smear, or people with a negative smear but  
136 abnormal CXR, or people with a negative smear but clinically suspect were offered a GeneXpert  
137 test using sputum collection and transport. ASHAs assisted presumptive TB patients in reaching  
138 the diagnostic centres and accompanied them through the process. Patients received a  
139 transport allowance and diagnostic tests were free of cost. If the PHS physician confirmed TB  
140 diagnosis, treatment was initiated under ASHA's supervision. The ASHA delivered drugs to the  
141 patient, and supported them till treatment completion.[Figure 1]

142 A conditional incentive of INR 200 (~USD 3) for community referral and INR 300 (~ USD 5) for  
143 each confirmed diagnosis of TB was given by the project. These incentives to the ASHA were in  
144 addition to the RNTCP treatment support incentives. The project's FCs received a fixed monthly  
145 salary.

146 The project used a Management Information System (MIS) developed in Microsoft Excel which  
147 maintained individual patient data electronically. On receipt of a community referral, the FC  
148 enlisted them in a referral register with a unique ID and a separate form was completed for  
149 screening. The status of each community referral was tracked using the referral register. A  
150 patient-wise folder with various forms and diagnostic reports was maintained for all  
151 presumptive TB cases undergoing diagnostic evaluation. Data were entered into the MIS weekly  
152 and at least 20% entries were verified monthly in a two-person formation to assess data entry  
153 quality.

#### 154 **study population and study period**

155 Quantitative

156 All community referrals in the 3 selected blocks in Samastipur district between January 01, 2018  
157 to December 31, 2018 were included in the study. There was no sampling involved.

158 Qualitative

159 Presumptive TB cases referred for diagnostic evaluation were interviewed. A total of 7 in-depth  
160 interviews were conducted with 3 presumptive TB cases who were evaluated (2 females, 1  
161 male, age range: 17 – 30 years) and 4 presumptive cases who were not evaluated (2 females, 2  
162 males, age range: 6 – 65 years). A purposive sample of ASHAs and field coordinators was  
163 selected for the focus group discussions (FGD). Three FGDs were conducted with a group of 8  
164 ASHAs (all females, age range: 24 – 49), 11 ASHAs (all females, age range: 22 – 54), and 9 field  
165 coordinators (6 females, 3 males, age range: 20 – 38). The average duration was 36 minutes  
166 (range 23 – 51 minutes). A diverse sample of males and females across age groups was  
167 purposively selected for diversity and interviewed till saturation was achieved.

#### 168 **data variables, sources of data and data collection**

169 Quantitative

170 Data on the community referrals' characteristics (location, referral source, age, gender),  
171 screening criteria (date of screening, symptoms, outcome), and diagnostic evaluation (date of

172 test, type of test) were extracted from the MIS into a structured proforma. The data extracted  
173 from the MIS was de-identified before being exported for analysis. [Figure 1]

#### 174 Qualitative

175 Presumptive TB cases were interviewed by TG (a male medical doctor trained in qualitative  
176 research) and DS (a female economist trained in qualitative research), and FGDs were  
177 conducted by TG and RM (a male professor of social psychology). All the three investigators  
178 have long and adequate experience of the socio-cultural context of the region, and  
179 understanding of TB programs. After obtaining a participant's consent, the interviews were  
180 conducted at a time and place convenient for the participant and privacy was ensured. The  
181 regional vernacular was used for interactions, and audio-recorded using voice recorder after  
182 obtaining consent. The objectives of the study were explained to the participants. No  
183 participant denied permission for an interview and there were no repeat interviews. A topic  
184 guide was used for FGDs and an interview guide for in-depth interviews to explore the enabling  
185 factors and barriers for diagnostic evaluation. Appropriate probes were used for clarity and to  
186 elicit information. The information was debriefed for participant validation after the interview,  
187 but the transcripts were not returned to them.

#### 188 **analysis and statistics**

##### 189 Quantitative

190 The data were analysed using EpiData Analysis (version 2.2.2.183, EpiData Association, Odense,  
191 Denmark) and Stata (version 15.1, StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA). Patients not  
192 undergoing a diagnostic test for TB within 30 days of referral were considered PDLFU. Patients  
193 with diagnostic test after 30 days (n = 140) were excluded from the diagnostic evaluation  
194 category. In case patients had diagnostic test performed prior to community referral, the time  
195 to diagnostic visit was set as 0 days (n = 48). Age was missing for 242 community  
196 referrals.[Figure 1] As applicable, variables were summarized with mean (and standard  
197 deviation or SD) or median (and inter-quartile range or IQR) based on statistical distribution of  
198 data, or frequencies and percentages. Association of demographic and symptom characteristics

199 with diagnostic evaluation was analyzed using  $\chi^2$ -square test and unadjusted relative risk (RR)  
200 with 95% confidence interval was calculated. A multivariate analysis using Poisson regression  
201 was used to calculate adjusted relative risk (aRR) with 95% confidence interval. P-value  $\leq 0.05$   
202 was considered statistically significant.

203 Qualitative

204 The transcripts were prepared on the same day using audio recording and field notes by TG.  
205 Manual descriptive content analysis was performed by two independent, trained researchers  
206 (TG, DS) to generate categories and themes.[23] Any discrepancies between the two were  
207 resolved through discussion. These were discussed and reviewed by RM to avoid subjective  
208 bias. The codes and themes were related back to the original data to ensure that the results  
209 reflect the data.[24]

#### 210 **patient and public involvement**

211 Patients were neither involved in the study design nor in the interpretation of patient relevant  
212 outcomes. Nonetheless, patient's views were sought in the qualitative interviews and included  
213 in the results. The results of this study will be communicated to the patients and the public  
214 through a newsletter in the vernacular.

## 215 **RESULTS**

### 216 **care cascade and characteristics of presumptive TB cases**

217 We received a total of 13395 community referrals, out of which 90.9% (n=12180) were  
218 screened for symptoms. Of those screened, 91.5% (n=11146) were presumptive TB cases, and  
219 referred for a diagnostic evaluation. (Figure 2)

220 There was nearly equal representation of presumptive TB cases from all the 3 blocks, and  
221 ASHAs identified most of them (75.6%). The mean age of presumptive TB cases was 35 years  
222 with majority in the 15-44 age group (41.8%). There were more males (52.2%) than females.  
223 (Table 1)

224

Characteristics	Community referrals, n(%)	Screened for TB, n(%)	Presumptive TB cases, n(%)
<b>Total</b>	13395	12180	11146
<b>Block</b>			
Ujiarpur	4275 (31.9%)	3926 (32.2%)	3620 (32.5%)
Bibhutipur	4609 (34.4%)	3994 (32.8%)	3673 (33%)
Sarairanjan	4511 (33.7%)	4260 (35.0%)	3853 (34.5%)
<b>Age</b>			
<15	2847 (21.3%)	2611 (21.4%)	2385 (21.4%)
15-44	5641 (42.1%)	5147 (42.3%)	4661 (41.8%)
45-64	3330 (24.9%)	3032 (24.9%)	2789 (25.0%)
≥65	1335 (10.0%)	1243 (10.2%)	1169 (10.5%)
Missing	242 (1.8%)	147 (1.2%)	142 (1.3%)
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	6973 (52.1%)	6391 (52.5%)	5827 (52.2%)
Female	6422 (47.9%)	5789 (47.5%)	5319 (47.7%)
<b>Source of referral</b>			
ASHA <sup>1</sup>	10091 (75.3%)	9210 (75.6%)	8428 (75.6%)
AWW <sup>2</sup>	105 (0.8%)	101 (0.8%)	88 (0.8%)
RMP <sup>3</sup>	724 (5.4%)	661 (5.4%)	617 (5.5%)
Community	2475 (18.5%)	2208 (18.1%)	2013 (18.1%)

<sup>1</sup>ASHA: Accredited Social Health Activist

<sup>2</sup>AWW: Anganwadi Worker

<sup>3</sup>RMP: Registered Medical Practitioner, an informal provider

225

226 The most common symptoms among presumptive TB cases were cough  $\geq 2$  weeks (79.8%),

227 severe weight loss in 3 months (74.6%), fever  $\geq 2$  weeks (73.9%), and chest pain in the last 1

228 month (63.4%), while hemoptysis in the last 6 months (12.1%) was the least common. Nearly

229 one-fifth of the presumptive TB cases reported a previous history of anti-TB treatment (22.4%),

230 and 20.5% were tobacco users. (Table 2)

Characteristics	Presumptive TB cases, n	Not evaluated, n(%)	Unadjusted RR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	p-value
<b>Total</b>	11146	6234 (55.9%)				
<b>Block</b>						
Ujiarpur	3620	2060 (56.9%)	1.0 (1.0 - 1.0)	0.87	1.1 (1.0 - 1.1)	0.00
Bibhutipur	3673	2083 (56.7%)	Ref		Ref	

Sarairanjan	3853	2091	(54.3%)	1.0	(0.9 - 1.1)	0.03	1.0	(1.0 - 1.1)	0.14
<b>Age</b>									
<15	2385	1625	(68.1%)	1.3	(1.2 - 1.4)	0.00	1.0	(0.9 - 1.1)	0.37
15-44	4661	2459	(52.8%)	1.0	(1.0 - 1.1)	0.83	0.9	(0.9 - 1.0)	0.00
45-64	2789	1464	(52.5%)	Ref			Ref		
≥65	1169	588	(50.3%)	1.0	(0.9 - 1.0)	0.21	1.0	(0.9 - 1.1)	0.65
<b>Gender</b>									
Male	5319	2859	(53.8%)	Ref			Ref		
Female	5827	3375	(57.9%)	0.9	(0.9 - 1.0)	0.00	1.0	(0.9 - 1.0)	0.00
<b>Source of referral</b>									
ASHA <sup>1</sup>	8428	4690	(55.7%)	0.9	(0.8 - 1.1)	0.50	1.0	(0.8 - 1.1)	0.70
AWW <sup>2</sup>	88	52	(59.1%)	Ref			Ref		
RMP <sup>3</sup>	617	271	(43.9%)	0.7	(0.6 - 0.9)	0.00	0.8	(0.7 - 1.0)	0.08
Community	2013	1221	(60.7%)	1.0	(0.9 - 1.2)	0.77	1.0	(0.9 - 1.2)	0.91
<b>Signs and symptoms</b>									
Cough ≥ 2 weeks	8895	4514	(50.8%)	0.7	(0.6 - 0.7)	0.00	0.9	(0.9 - 1.0)	0.00
Sputum	6184	2779	(44.9%)	0.6	(0.6 - 0.7)	0.00	0.8	(0.8 - 0.8)	0.00
Hemoptysis in last 6 months	1346	494	(36.7%)	0.6	(0.6 - 0.7)	0.00	0.8	(0.8 - 0.9)	0.00
Chest pain in last 1 month	7061	3260	(46.2%)	0.6	(0.6 - 0.7)	0.00	0.8	(0.8 - 0.8)	0.00
Fever ≥ 2 weeks	8242	4289	(52.0%)	0.8	(0.8 - 0.8)	0.00	0.9	(0.9 - 1.0)	0.00
Night sweats ≥ 2 weeks	3730	1724	(46.2%)	0.8	(0.7 - 0.8)	0.00	0.9	(0.8 - 0.9)	0.00
Severe weight loss in last 3 months	8318	4254	(51.1%)	0.7	(0.7 - 0.8)	0.00	0.9	(0.9 - 0.9)	0.00
Swelling in a lymph node	2067	1517	(73.4%)	1.4	(1.4 - 1.5)	0.00	1.0	(1.0 - 1.0)	0.96
<b>Other factors</b>									
Previous history of anti-TB treatment	2501	1034	(41.3%)	0.7	(0.7 - 0.7)	0.00	0.8	(0.8 - 0.9)	0.00
Alcohol user	159	90	(56.6%)	1.0	(0.9 - 1.2)	0.86	1.2	(1.1 - 1.4)	0.01
Tobacco user	2280	1109	(48.6%)	0.8	(0.8 - 0.9)	0.00	1.0	(0.9 - 1.1)	0.99

<sup>1</sup>ASHA: Accredited Social Health Activist

<sup>2</sup>AWW: Anganwadi Worker

<sup>3</sup>RMP: Registered Medical Practitioner, an informal provider

Age missing for 142 presumptive cases and 98 not evaluated

All % are row percentages.

p-value ≤ 0.05 considered significant

231

## 232 characteristics of pre-diagnostic LFU and associated risk factors

233 Nearly 44% (n=4912) of the presumptive TB cases received a diagnostic evaluation. The pre-

234 diagnostic LFU was highest among younger (< 15 year) presumptive TB cases (68.1%), while

235 lesser among those who were referred by an RMP (43.9%) and complained of hemoptysis in the  
236 last 6 months (36.7%). On multivariate analysis, presumptive TB cases who were between 15 to  
237 44 years of age (aRR 0.9, 95% CI: 0.9 – 1.0,  $p = 0$ ) were more likely to be LFU in the pre-  
238 diagnostic stage. All of the clinical features of presumptive TB were also associated with lower  
239 LFU except lymph node swelling. Alcohol use was an independent risk factor for LFU (aRR 1.2,  
240 95% CI: 1.1 – 1.4,  $p = 0.01$ ). The median time to diagnosis was 1 day (IQR = 3). (Table 2)

#### 241 **Enablers to access the first diagnostic evaluation**

242 In the interviews, patients reported the following enablers in getting a diagnostic evaluation:  
243 transport allowance for travel to the hospital, free services in the PHS and knowledge of the  
244 PHS procedures, accompaniment of ASHA and her assistance in the diagnostic process, and  
245 ASHA's understanding of the PHS functioning. (Figure 3)

246 *"I started facing financial problems after going to the private hospital... Had I known the quality*  
247 *of care in the government hospital earlier, I would have come here. It helped that I didn't have*  
248 *to spend any money. I went by a vehicle to the PHC and consulted the doctor for free of cost."*  
249 (23 years old male patient)

250 *"I didn't have to go to the hospital after submitting the sample for diagnostics... ASHA took me*  
251 *to the hospital and now sends the medicine... I was bony and couldn't even move a step earlier...*  
252 *I became better only from these government drugs; rest were useless. Between RMP and private*  
253 *clinic, we spent nearly ₹20,000 (~ USD 292)." (17 years old female TB patient on medication)*

254 *"When I had chest pain, ASHA told me that we'll have to go to the PHC, tests will be free, and I'll*  
255 *get return fare from my home. I thought I'll get better and decided to go. I got a blood test and*  
256 *x-ray done. Reports were normal and I got some medicines. I felt better... If you know the*  
257 *hospital and workers there, it is easier to get things done. Else, you have to spend a lot of time."*  
258 (30 years old female patient)

259 The ASHAs and the Field Coordinators (FCs) expressed transport allowance, incentive to ASHA,  
260 positive patient experience, and patient accompaniment as enablers. (Figure 3)

261 *“If one patient becomes better, she also advises others. Even if we don’t know, the patient who*  
262 *becomes better asks their ill neighbor to call the ASHA for everything. Our mobile number is*  
263 *available in every household.” (ASHA)*

264 *“Sometimes, patients do not have any shared conveyance from their home. In such cases,*  
265 *patients are unable to come, and transport allowance or hiring an autorickshaw for, say 10*  
266 *patients of the village, really helps. That way, they get consultation and lab tests at PHC, then*  
267 *chest x-ray at another diagnostic facility, and get dropped at their home.” (Field coordinator)*

268 *“ASHA’s income is from incentives, and if she gets incentives in time, she takes up those*  
269 *activities.” (Field coordinator)*

## 270 **barriers to access the first diagnostic evaluation**

271 Barriers were coded from the interview and FGD transcripts into 5 categories  
272 and 27 codes. These barriers are listed in the Figure 3 and described below.

### 273 Category 1: Logistics-related

274 As per patients, public transport was not available in certain locations and some patients  
275 mentioned their inability to pay for it even where it was available. They also reported not  
276 knowing the location of the diagnostic center.

277 *“I don’t know where the diagnostic center is. From my village, I have to walk for 3 – 4 km before*  
278 *I find any transport.” (65 years old woman)*

279 The ASHA and FC corroborated that transport and insufficient support for certain patient  
280 population like disabled people was a key logistics-related challenge.

281 *“Travel options are also limited for some areas. Either it is not readily available or you have to*  
282 *walk before anything can be found. They don’t have the money to reserve an entire shared*  
283 *vehicle. If the patient is disabled or elderly, they face even more problems.” (Field coordinator)*

284 Category 2: Health system-related

285 According to patients, the care at PHC was slow and took a lot of time. They said either the PHC  
286 remained closed or they didn't reach the PHC in working hours. At other times when they  
287 reached, the providers were not available at the facility.

288 *"I had to go 2-3 times to the PHC before all the tests were completed and reports arrived. Only  
289 then I could get the medicines."* (17 years old female patient)

290 *"We have to run-around a lot in the government hospital. There are huge buildings and it is  
291 difficult to figure out what happens where alone."* (30 years old male patient)

292 The health workers reported unavailability of some diagnostic services in the PHC and the high  
293 cost of getting these in the private sector. ASHA's remuneration for providing TB care services  
294 was irregular and unpaid. They indicated that poor patient experience at the PHC also deterred  
295 others and that the public put a stronger faith in the private sector.

296 *"Patient doesn't know where the registration desk is, where the doctor sits, where the labs and  
297 x-ray are done. We have to go with them to get everything completed quickly."* (ASHA)

298 *"I have provided treatment to 20 patients, but I haven't been paid for it. It is only in the recent 1-  
299 2 years that there has been some improvement. We are not told properly how to fill the  
300 document and what to submit for release of payments. All our papers were taken and nothing  
301 happened. Earlier we used to only counsel the patient to go for diagnostics, but now we come  
302 with them if we get money for helping in diagnosis."* (ASHA)

303 *"If patient come on a given day and their work doesn't get done, they won't come again.  
304 Coming again will mean foregoing another day's wage. They will say that ASHA cheated them  
305 and nothing happened at the hospital and nothing is available at the PHC ever. If they go to a  
306 private clinic, everything is done in one day and they get the medicine... They also prefer  
307 private."* (ASHA)

308 Category 3: Health provider-related

309 Patients described health worker strikes in the field and at the PHC as a barrier. One patient  
310 also reported that ASHA doesn't come to their home.

311 *"I took the sputum to the PHC, but nothing was done. PHC was on strike for 15 days. The*  
312 *unabated cough and fever didn't let me sleep. When I felt that I would die, I took an injection*  
313 *from the RMP and drank 5 cough syrups. Only then was there any relief."* (65 years old male  
314 patient)

315 *"What will happen after going to the PHC? I go to the hospital and come back with nothing.*  
316 *Even ASHA doesn't come. I am going to lose everything in this hustle and bustle."* (35 years old  
317 female patient)

318 The health workers reported RMPs misguiding the patients, low morale of the workers, and  
319 poor health provider behavior at the PHC as barriers.

320 *"RMPs misguide the patients a lot. They give medications and injections without any concern.*  
321 *They are not at risk even if the patient dies. If patient becomes serious and they have made*  
322 *money, they will refer them to hospital. They roam through the villages and people get medicine*  
323 *at their doorstep. Someone who is a laborer, their income for the day is saved."* (ASHA)

324 *"When we take the patient to the PHC, lab technician will return the sample and asks to come*  
325 *on another day... Doctor will also not write any medication and don't know the updated*  
326 *guidelines... We have to then listen from our patient."* (ASHA)

327 Category 4: Family-related

328 Patients indicated not knowing the extent of available services in the PHS. Some described  
329 severe indebtedness of the family and not having any money for healthcare. According to them,  
330 insufficient family support and absence of a caretaker in the family were also barriers.

331 *"I live with my two granddaughters and my son works as a watchman in New Delhi. The money*  
332 *is irregular. If I've no money, I won't go. In November, I didn't have money and couldn't go... For*  
333 *consulting with the RMP, I had to take a loan of ₹150 (~ USD 2.2). After a week, I've been able*  
334 *to return only ₹50."* (65 years old female patient)

335 *"Both my kids are alone. My husband has migrated for work. He says that take the kids to a*  
336 *senior doctor in a private hospital. It'll cost at least ₹3000 (~ USD 44) and I am waiting for it...*

337 *There is only my old mother-in-law in the house besides me. How will I leave them alone at*  
338 *home?” (Mother of a 6 years old patient)*

339 Health workers mentioned permission from the head of the family to visit facility, restricted  
340 mobility of women in the families as compared to men, and family’s concern only if symptoms  
341 were severe as barriers.

342 *“Patients say that we’ll ask the head of the family and come only if they assent. Sometimes they*  
343 *are not sure of the quality of care in the PHS. We also counsel the guardian... This happens more*  
344 *often for women. Men are independent; they don’t have to ask the head or the wife... It is also*  
345 *difficult for women. They have to complete household chores and care for the kids before*  
346 *leaving home for the facility. Often, by the time they reach, the facility will close.” (ASHA)*

347 *“If the symptoms are not severe, then patient takes some medicine from RMP at their home and*  
348 *doesn’t want to come for a test.” (Field coordinator)*

349 Category 5: Patient-related

350 Patients indicated denial of suffering from TB.

351 *“I don’t need any diagnostics. I didn’t have TB ever in my family. This is all because of gas.” (65*  
352 *years old patient)*

353 As per health workers, some patients had a fear of TB. They also reported discrimination by the  
354 community against TB patients.

355 *“We don’t say TB at first. If we say it, people hesitate. Some are offended that we have said TB*  
356 *is a possible disease for them. We’ve to tell them gently. One sputum positive patient said that*  
357 *she was hurt that I said she has TB.” (ASHA)*

358 *“A lot of people prefer to keep their disease status hidden. TB is considered contagious and*  
359 *people don’t want neighbors to know of it. Earlier, even patient’s utensils and bedding were*  
360 *kept separate.” (ASHA)*

## 361 **DISCUSSION**

362 This is the first study to assess the magnitude of the pre-diagnostic LFU in an ACF program  
363 along with the barriers and enablers in undergoing a diagnostic evaluation. In our study, nearly  
364 44% of the presumptive TB cases didn't undergo diagnostic evaluation. Provision of transport  
365 allowance for patients to travel for diagnostics, accompaniment and support of ASHA,  
366 incentives to ASHA, and word-of-mouth recommendation of satisfied patients emerged as key  
367 enablers. The major barriers were challenges in transport despite an allowance, insufficient  
368 family and health provider support, inadequate health services, and poor faith in the public  
369 sector.

370 There are strengths of this study. First, we used a mixed-methods design in which the  
371 quantitative and qualitative components complemented each other. The qualitative  
372 component explored hitherto unresearched reasons for the pre-diagnostic LFU and recorded  
373 the perspectives of various stakeholders in the diagnostic process, including patients and CHWs.  
374 Second, the study was conducted in an ACF program using routinely collected data and reflects  
375 the on-ground reality. Finally, we adhered to the reporting standards: Strengthening the  
376 Reporting of OBservational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for the quantitative  
377 part and the COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research (COREQ) guidelines for  
378 qualitative part.[25,26]

379 There are several limitations as well. First, the study was conducted in a designed ACF program  
380 with interventions across the TB care cascade. The site was different than a typical RNTCP  
381 implementation and multiple program staff worked on it in addition to the CHWs and RNTCP  
382 staff. In addition, the PHS was comparatively weaker in the study region. Second, there was  
383 intuitive screening taking place before the patients entered the care cascade. Therefore, the  
384 true number of people being screened was not known. Third, we only recorded presumptive TB  
385 cases undergoing diagnostic evaluation and there was no recording of presumptive TB cases  
386 reaching facility but not undergoing evaluation. Fourth, the yield in terms of confirmed TB  
387 diagnosis was known, but we didn't record the number of people completing the diagnostic  
388 process as per the diagnostic algorithm. Fifth, we missed presumptive TB cases accessing TB  
389 diagnostic evaluation in the private sector.

390 The study from the ACF program in Myanmar reported 96.6% of the presumptive TB cases  
391 receiving CXR in the mobile van, while only 51.4% of the cases referred for sputum microscopy  
392 to the health facility followed through. Immediate availability of a mobile CXR machine was a  
393 key factor behind such high rates, and lack of a one-stop diagnostic service was a disincentive  
394 for patients.[12] Project Axshya in India reported a low 22% people going to the DMC out of all  
395 those referred, while overall 54% received sputum microscopy through sputum transport and  
396 referral to DMC combined.[13] In contrast, 41.1% of the presumptive TB cases in our study  
397 went to a facility for a diagnostic evaluation. Our qualitative findings corroborate it and suggest  
398 that difficulty in transport and poor patient experience are important barriers. A one-stop care  
399 center increases the service uptake and patients don't have to make repeated visits. Similarly,  
400 sputum transport mechanisms reduce patient's hardship. Accompaniment and support of ASHA  
401 is a likely reason for this difference with the previous Indian finding.

402 The Zimbabwe study reported place of residence (rural) and type of facility (private) as  
403 important risk factor for pre-diagnostic LFU.[14] While we found association of LFU with various  
404 risk factors, their importance in public health programs is limited. However, the qualitative  
405 findings point towards important reasons. Previously known challenges in TB programs like TB  
406 stigma, patient denial, poor patient experience, and health system deficiencies resonated in our  
407 findings. Patients found care seeking in public sector cumbersome, and the informal providers  
408 and private sector remained a trusted first choice for most. While there were no significant  
409 differences in LFU between the genders, women reported additional challenges of restricted  
410 mobility, financial dependence, and burden of household work.

411 The study has implications for various public health programs targeting improved TB care  
412 services, particularly in poor and rural populations. In fact, the barriers in accessing a diagnostic  
413 evaluation have a bearing on the access to a broader range of primary healthcare services in  
414 similar settings. Learnings from enablers and addressing barriers can improve uptake of the  
415 diagnostic services and change the perception of the PHS. We recommend strong patient  
416 support mechanism across the TB care pathway, involvement of families in TB care, and  
417 systematic reform to address health system-related challenges. Further research in health

418 system challenges involving the perspectives of health system administrators, doctors, nurses,  
419 and other health facility workers will inform the process of such a reform.

420 In conclusion, about three-fifths of the presumptive TB cases were LFU in pre-diagnostic stage  
421 and didn't have a TB diagnostic test. Several enablers and barriers for diagnostic evaluation  
422 were identified. If we want to find the missing TB patients, it needs to be easier for presumptive  
423 TB patients to navigate the diagnostic process. Health system reforms are rather complex,  
424 however, rather simple interventions such as sputum transportation and adequate support  
425 from a person knowing the health system may already make big changes.

#### 426 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

427 We are grateful for the support of RNTCP, Government of Bihar, State TB Officer Dr. (Major) K.  
428 N. Sahai, Communicable Disease Officer Dr. Sree Ram Prasad, and District Health Society,  
429 Samastipur. We thank Sriram Selvaraju, Anthony D. Harries, Dick Menzies, and participants of  
430 the TB Research Methods course at McGill Summer Institute 2018 for their comments on the  
431 protocol, and Amol Dongre for his feedback on qualitative results. The program couldn't have  
432 been implemented without the tireless efforts of ASHAs, health providers, and the team at IIH.

433 This research was conducted through the Structured Operational Research and Training  
434 Initiative (SORT IT), a global partnership led by the Special Programme for Research and  
435 Training in Tropical Diseases at the World Health Organization (WHO/TDR). The model is based  
436 on a course developed jointly by the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease  
437 (The Union) and Médecins sans Frontières (MSF/Doctors Without Borders). The specific SORT IT  
438 programme which resulted in this publication was jointly developed and implemented by: The  
439 Union South-East Asia Office, New Delhi, India; the Centre for Operational Research, The Union,  
440 Paris, France; Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Jawaharlal Institute of  
441 Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, India; Department of Community  
442 Medicine and School of Public Health, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and  
443 Research, Chandigarh, India; Department of Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical  
444 Sciences, Nagpur, India; Dr. Rajendra Prasad Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences, All India Institute  
445 of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India; Department of Community Medicine, Pondicherry

446 Institute of Medical Science, Puducherry, India; Department of Community Medicine, Kalpana  
447 Chawla Medical College, Karnal, India; National Centre of Excellence and Advance Research on  
448 Anemia Control, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India; Department of  
449 Community Medicine, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College and Hospital, Puducherry, India;  
450 Department of Community Medicine, Velammal Medical College Hospital and Research  
451 Institute, Madurai, India; Department of Community Medicine, Yenepoya Medical College,  
452 Mangalore, India; Karuna Trust, Bangalore, India and National Institute for Research in  
453 Tuberculosis, Chennai, India.

#### 454 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

455 None declared

#### 456 **FUNDING**

457 This project was supported by the Stop TB Partnership's TB REACH initiative and was funded by  
458 the Government of Canada and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Manish Bhardwaj and  
459 Dyuti Sen are supported by a Grand Challenges Explorations grant number OPP1190735 and  
460 OPP1190905 respectively from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. The training program,  
461 within which this paper was developed, was funded by the Department for International  
462 Development (DFID), UK. No funders had any role in study design, data collection and analysis,  
463 decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### 464 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

465 The deidentified data used in the study is available from the corresponding author on  
466 reasonable request. It contains information on patient demographics and characteristics,  
467 screening criteria, diagnostic test used, and final diagnosis. There is no additional data available  
468 beyond that.

## 469 ETHICS APPROVAL

470 Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee, Emmanuel Hospital  
471 Association, New Delhi (Order 201) and the Ethics Advisory Group, International Union Against  
472 Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Paris (EAG number 98/18). Informed consent was obtained  
473 from participants before the in-depth interviews and FGDs.

## 474 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

475 Conceptualization and design: TG, VG, DS, MV, MiB, RM, MaB

476 Data collection: TG, DS, RM

477 Data analysis and interpretation: TG, VG, DS, RM

478 Writing — original draft: TG

479 Writing — review and edit: TG, VG, DS, MV, MiB, RM, MaB

## 480 REFERENCES

- 481 1 World Health Organization. Gear up to end TB: introducing the end TB strategy. World  
482 Health Organization 2015.
- 483 2 Global tuberculosis report. World Health Organization 2017.  
484 [http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global\\_report/en/](http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/) (accessed 6 Sep 2018).
- 485 3 World Health Organization. *Global tuberculosis report 2018*. Geneva: : World Health  
486 Organization 2018. <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274453> (accessed 18 Sep 2018).
- 487 4 Subbaraman R, Nathavitharana RR, Mayer KH, *et al*. Constructing care cascades for active  
488 tuberculosis: A strategy for program monitoring and identifying gaps in quality of care. *PLOS*  
489 *Medicine* 2019;**16**:e1002754. doi:10/gf38sz
- 490 5 Subbaraman R, Nathavitharana RR, Satyanarayana S, *et al*. The Tuberculosis Cascade of  
491 Care in India's Public Sector: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *PLOS Medicine*  
492 2016;**13**:e1002149. doi:10/f9b29f
- 493 6 Naidoo P, Theron G, Rangaka MX, *et al*. The South African Tuberculosis Care Cascade:  
494 Estimated Losses and Methodological Challenges. *J Infect Dis* 2017;**216**:S702–13.  
495 doi:10/gcjz4v
- 496 7 Lönnroth K, Corbett E, Golub J, *et al*. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: rationale,  
497 definitions and key considerations [State of the art series. Active case finding/screening.

- 498 Number 1 in the series]. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*  
499 2013;**17**:289–98. doi:10/gd52dz
- 500 8 Storla DG, Yimer S, Bjune GA. A systematic review of delay in the diagnosis and treatment  
501 of tuberculosis. *BMC Public Health* 2008;**8**:15. doi:10/c6sz7r
- 502 9 Wells WA. Onions and prevalence surveys: how to analyze and quantify tuberculosis case-  
503 finding gaps. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2017;**21**:1101–13.  
504 doi:10/gb4g9c
- 505 10 Creswell J, Sahu S, Blok L, *et al.* A Multi-Site Evaluation of Innovative Approaches to Increase  
506 Tuberculosis Case Notification: Summary Results. *PLOS ONE* 2014;**9**:e94465. doi:10/gcz84n
- 507 11 Mhimbira FA, Cuevas, LE, Dacombe, R, Mkopi, A, Sinclair D. Interventions to increase  
508 tuberculosis case detection at primary healthcare or community-level services. *Cochrane*  
509 *Database of Systematic Reviews* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10/gcmjrv
- 510 12 Myint O, Saw S, Isaakidis P, *et al.* Active case-finding for tuberculosis by mobile teams in  
511 Myanmar: yield and treatment outcomes. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty* 2017;**6**:77.  
512 doi:10/gf38nz
- 513 13 Prasad BM, Satyanarayana S, Chadha SS, *et al.* Experience of active tuberculosis case finding  
514 in nearly 5 million households in India. *Public Health Action* 2016;**6**:15–8. doi:10/gd4v8q
- 515 14 Padingani M, Kumar A, Tripathy JP, *et al.* Does pre-diagnostic loss to follow-up among  
516 presumptive TB patients differ by type of health facility? An operational research from  
517 Hwange, Zimbabwe in 2017. *Pan African Medical Journal* 2018;**31**.  
518 doi:10.11604/pamj.2018.31.196.15848
- 519 15 Creswell JW, Clark VLP. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. SAGE 2011.
- 520 16 Registrar General I. Census of India 2011: provisional population totals-India data sheet.  
521 *Office of the Registrar General Census Commissioner, India Indian Census Bureau* 2011.
- 522 17 Annual Health Survey 2011-12, Bihar Factsheet. New Delhi: : Office of the Registrar General  
523 & Census Commissioner  
524 [http://www.censusindia.gov.in/vital\\_statistics/AHSBulletins/AHS\\_Factsheets\\_2011\\_12/Bihar\\_Factsheet\\_2011-12.pdf](http://www.censusindia.gov.in/vital_statistics/AHSBulletins/AHS_Factsheets_2011_12/Bihar_Factsheet_2011-12.pdf) (accessed 3 Feb 2019).  
525
- 526 18 Central TB Division. India TB Report 2018: Revised National Tuberculosis Control Program -  
527 Annual Status Report. New Delhi, India: : Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of  
528 Health and Family Welfare, Government of India 2018.  
529 <https://tbcindia.gov.in/showfile.php?lid=3314>
- 530 19 National Informatics Centre, Patna. Health Department Geographical Information System,  
531 Bihar. <http://gis.bih.nic.in/Depts/Health.aspx> (accessed 14 Sep 2018).

- 532 20 Update on ASHA Programme. New Delhi: : National Health Mission, Ministry of Health and  
533 Family Welfare 2018. <http://nhsrcindia.org/sites/default/files/asha%20reduced.pdf>  
534 (accessed 29 Jul 2019).
- 535 21 Revised Technical & Operational Guidelines for Tuberculosis Control in India. New Delhi: :  
536 Central TB Division 2016.  
537 [https://www.tbcindia.gov.in/WriteReadData/Revised%20Technical%20and%20Operational](https://www.tbcindia.gov.in/WriteReadData/Revised%20Technical%20and%20Operational%20Guidelines/files/assets/basic-html/page-1.html#)  
538 [%20Guidelines/files/assets/basic-html/page-1.html#](https://www.tbcindia.gov.in/WriteReadData/Revised%20Technical%20and%20Operational%20Guidelines/files/assets/basic-html/page-1.html#) (accessed 4 Feb 2019).
- 539 22 Integrated Child Development Services Scheme. Ministry of Women and Child  
540 Development, Government of India. <https://icds-wcd.nic.in/icds.aspx> (accessed 17 Aug  
541 2019).
- 542 23 Attride-Stirling J. Thematic networks: an analytic tool for qualitative research. *Qualitative*  
543 *research* 2001;**1**:385–405. doi:10/cg4grf
- 544 24 Lincoln Y, Guba E. Naturalistic Inquiry: Designing a naturalistic inquiry. *Beverly Hills: Sage*  
545 *Publications* 1985.
- 546 25 von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al*. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational  
547 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.  
548 *Lancet* 2007;**370**:1453–7.
- 549 26 Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research  
550 (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International journal for*  
551 *quality in health care* 2007;**19**:349–357. doi:10/fm8b7v

552

## 553 **LEGEND FOR FIGURES**

### 554 **Figure 1: Operational definitions used in the program**

555 CHW: Community Health Worker

556 ASHA: Accredited Social Health Activist

557 AWW: Anganwadi Worker

558 CXR: Chest X-ray

559 USG: Ultrasonography

560 **Figure 2: TB care cascade between January 2018 and December 2018 from community**  
561 **referral to diagnostic evaluation in a community-based ACF program in Samastipur, India**

562 All percentages are calculated as a proportion of the number of participants entering the  
563 previous step of the cascade.

564 \* Includes 140 Presumptive TB cases undergoing diagnostic evaluation beyond 30 days from  
565 screening.

566 **Figure 3: The enablers and barriers in diagnostic evaluation from patients', ashas', and field**  
567 **coordinators' perspective in an ACF TB program in Samastipur, India from January 2018 to**  
568 **December 2018**

569 <sup>a</sup>: responses of patient

570 <sup>b</sup>: responses of ASHA


571 <sup>c</sup>: responses of FC

572 ASHA: Accredited Social Health Activist

573 PHS: Public Health System

574 PHC: Primary Health Centre

Term	Definition
Community referral	A person at risk of TB referred for TB screening to the program by a CHW (ASHA or AWW) or an informal provider or community during their routine work
Presumptive TB patient	A person identified with one or more of the following symptoms of TB in the screening process at their home: cough $\geq$ 2 weeks; sputum in cough, hemoptysis in last 6 months; chest pain in last 1 month; fever $\geq$ 2 weeks; night sweats $\geq$ 2 weeks; severe weight loss in last 3 months; swelling in a lymph node
Diagnostic evaluation	A presumptive TB patient tested using one or more of a sputum microscopy or CXR or GeneXpert or extrapulmonary TB testing (USG or FNAC) within 30 days of screening
Pre-diagnostic loss to follow-up	A presumptive TB patient not undergoing a diagnostic evaluation



## Enablers

### Category 1: Logistics-related

- Transport allowance <sup>a b c</sup>

### Category 2: Health system-related

- Free services in the PHS <sup>a b</sup>
- Knowledge of the PHS procedures <sup>a</sup>
- Incentive to ASHA for TB work is vital <sup>b c</sup>
- Previous positive patient experience in PHS <sup>b c</sup>

### Category 3: Health provider-related

- ASHA's assistance in the diagnostic process <sup>a</sup>
- ASHA's accompaniment to the health facility <sup>a c</sup>
- ASHA's understanding of the PHS functioning <sup>a</sup>
- Satisfied people referred others to ASHA <sup>b</sup>

## Barriers

### Category 1: Logistics-related

- Unavailability of public transport from patient's village <sup>a c</sup>
- No knowledge of the diagnostic center location <sup>a</sup>
- No transport support for the disabled patient <sup>b</sup>

### Category 2: Health system-related

- Care at PHC was slow and time-taking <sup>a b c</sup>
- Not all diagnostics were available in the PHC <sup>b</sup>
- High cost of services not available in the PHC <sup>b</sup>
- Difficult to understand PHC system <sup>a b</sup>
- PHC remained closed <sup>a</sup>
- Inability to reach PHC in working hours <sup>a b</sup>
- Provider was unavailable at the PHC <sup>a</sup>
- Irregular and unpaid ASHA incentives <sup>b</sup>
- Faith in the private sector <sup>b c</sup>
- Poor patient experience deterred others as well <sup>b</sup>

### Category 3: Health provider-related

- Informal providers misguided the patient <sup>b c</sup>
- Low morale of the health providers <sup>b</sup>
- Health worker strikes <sup>a</sup>
- Poor health provider behavior at PHC <sup>b c</sup>
- ASHA didn't come to the patient's home <sup>a</sup>

### Category 4: Family-related

- Poor awareness of TB care in PHS <sup>a c</sup>
- Family concerned only when symptoms were severe <sup>b</sup>
- Insufficient family support <sup>a</sup>
- No caretaker in the family to help in reaching hospital <sup>a</sup>
- Family permission was necessary <sup>b</sup>
- Indebted, and no money for healthcare <sup>a</sup>
- Restricted mobility of women <sup>b</sup>

### Category 5: Patient-related

- Denial of suffering from TB <sup>a</sup>
- Fear of TB <sup>b</sup>
- Discrimination against diseased patient <sup>b c</sup>