Title: Driving Me Crazy: The effects of stress on the driving abilities of paramedic students.

Authors

Trevor Hines Duncliffe MA BA (Hons.)[1]
Brittany D'Angelo BHSc (Hons.)[1]
Michael Brock BSc (Hons.)[1]
Cal Fraser[1]
Jake Lamarra[1]
Nick Austin[1]
Matt Pusateri[1]
Alan M. Batt MSc PhD(c)[1,2,3] abatt@fanshawec.ca

1. Fanshawe College, London, Ontario, Canada.
2. Paramedic Science Discipline, CQUniversity, Queensland, Australia
3. Department of Community Emergency Health and Paramedic Practice, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.

Author for correspondence:
Alan M. Batt, Paramedic Programs, Fanshawe College, 1001 Fanshawe College Blvd, London, ON N5Y5R6, Canada. Email: abatt@fanshawec.ca

Competing Interests
This work was not supported or funded by any drug company.

Author Declarations
The authors declare that this work has not been published elsewhere. Data from this study were presented in oral presentations at the Australian and New Zealand College of Paramedicine Scientific Symposium in July 2018 in Melbourne, Australia, and at the Irish College of Paramedics Scientific Symposium in September 2018 in Cork, Ireland.

Funding & Support
No external funding received.

Author Contributions
THD, BA, MB, CF, JL, NA and MP conducted the literature review, designed and conducted the study, performed data analysis, and authored the manuscript. AB supervised the research project, assisted with data analysis, and authored and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final writing and editing of the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version for publication.

Abstract

Background
Previous research has demonstrated that stress has a negative impact on the performance of paramedics. Stress negatively impact the driving abilities of the general population increasing the number of driving errors performed. No studies have explored stress and its link with the driving abilities of paramedics.

Methods
Paramedic students underwent driving assessment in a driving simulator before and after exposure to a stressful medical scenario. Number and type of errors were documented before and after.

Results
36 students participated in the study. Following exposure to a stressful medical scenario, paramedic students demonstrated no increase in overall error rate, but demonstrated an increase in three critical driving errors; failure to wear a seatbelt (3 baseline v 10 post stress, p= 0.0087), failing to stop for red lights or stop signs (7 v 35, p= <0.0001), and losing controlling of the vehicle (2 v 11, p= 0.0052).

Conclusion
Paramedics are routinely exposed to acute stress and this stress could increase the number of critical driving errors that occur. These results stimulate the need for further research, and highlight the potential need for increased driver training and stress management education in order to mitigate the frequency and severity of driving errors.

Key points
- Paramedics are exposed to stressful clinical scenarios during the course of their work
- Many critical and serious clinical calls require transport to hospital
- Ambulance crashes occur regularly and pose a significant risk to the safety and wellbeing of both patients and paramedics
- This simulated clinical scenario followed by a simulated driving scenario has highlighted that stress appears to affect driving abilities in paramedic students
- The findings of this study, although conducted in paramedic students in simulated environments, highlight the need to further investigate the effects of stress on driving abilities among paramedics

Keywords
Stress; driving; safety; paramedic; ambulance

Introduction

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) in the USA has previously reported an annual average of 4,500 motor vehicle collisions involved an ambulance, with almost 60% of these during the course of emergency driving. Of these, 34% resulted in injury, with an average of 33 fatalities and 2,600 injuries annually (National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 2011a, 2011b; Smith, 2015). Figures for Canada are elusive, highlighting a lack of easily accessible national figures. However, Alberta emergency vehicles are involved in approximately 300 collisions per annum (Yasmin et al., 2014), while approximately 400 collisions occur per annum in B.C (Tyakoff et al., 2014). UK figures are as elusive as Canadian figures; however, a search of the grey literature and news websites has indicated that the Welsh Ambulance Service experienced 735 emergency vehicle crashes between April 2011 and March 2016, with 150 occurring while on emergency calls (BBC, 2011). Between April 2009 and August 2014, 148 ambulance collisions occurred in Berkshire, 310 in Hampshire, and 240 across Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire (BBC, 2014), while 81 ambulances in the West Midlands crashed between 2012 and 2014 (Lumb, 2015).

The majority of ambulance collisions appear to occur while driving with lights and sirens on during emergency calls (Murray and Kue, 2017), although it is unclear whether these collisions are predominantly the fault of the driver of the ambulance, or the drivers of other vehicles involved in the collisions (Kahn et al., 2001; Saunders, 1994). In the United States more than twice the number of collisions occurred during the transport of a patient phase while using lights and sirens compared to no lights and siren use during transport. The authors estimated that when lights and sirens are used it would account for an "*approximately 1 additional crash per 10,000 transports*" (Watanabe et al., 2019). Given the volume of these events, it seems prudent to investigate some of the potentially contributory factors in these collisions.

Stressors such as adverse road conditions, other drivers, personality type, time constraints, and occupational stress have previously been linked to an increase in critical driving errors (Cartwright et al., 1996; Cœugnet et al., 2013). Stressors in other domains of life unrelated to driving, such as work stress, daily hassles, and mental health issues can also adversely affect driving errors, concentration, and traffic violations (Rowden et al., 2011). Paramedics often work in inherently stressful environments. Sources of stress in paramedic practice include high acuity patients that decompensate quickly, performance of mentally demanding procedures, working while fatigued, a lack of personal time, and a lack of support from peers (LeBlanc, 2009). The connection between high stress levels and the number of critical errors paramedics make while performing procedural medical skills was previously examined by LeBlanc and colleagues who discovered that:"*paramedics overall performance, communication skills, ability to recall accurate information, and provide adequate ventilations all suffered after engaging in high stress scenarios"* (LeBlanc et al., 2012).

LeBlanc et al. (2009) also reported that the current literature on stress and performance is ambiguous and conflicting; some studies demonstrate no impact on tasks such as performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). They also suggest that more experienced paramedics (the population in most of these studies) are not unaffected by stress but rather have developed more effective coping strategies to deal with stress (LeBlanc et al., 2012, 2005). This suggests that less experienced paramedics, including paramedic students and recent

graduates, may be more susceptible to performing critical errors under stress than their more experienced counterparts. In addition, those with less experience and perhaps education could benefit from additional ambulance operations training, in an attempt to reduce the number of ambulance collisions (Whiting et al., 1998).

This study aimed to examine the effects of stress on the number of critical driving errors made by paramedic students. We hypothesized that paramedic students would demonstrate riskier driving resulting in increased critical errors after experiencing a high-stress clinical scenario.

Methods and materials

Participants
Participants were recruited from a convenience sample of first and second year paramedic students at Fanshawe College, Ontario, Canada. All participants had a minimum of a valid G class licence (car licence) and knowledge of the Ontario Traffic Act and Ambulance Act. Participants were excluded from this study if they did not meet the above criteria.

Ethics and consent
Ethical approval was received from Fanshawe College Research Ethics Board (protocol S 17-10-02-1). Participation was voluntary and all data were anonymized immediately following the simulation to maintain confidentiality. Participants were informed that they could withdraw their consent at any stage of the process prior to anonymization.

Materials – driving simulation
The simulated driving scenario was conducted using a PatrolSim ambulance driving simulator [*Version 4, L3 Training Solutions, Salt Lake City, UT*] based at [redacted for peer review]. This simulator was used to run the simulator, choose driving courses, and record critical driving errors. Participants were initially provided with an orientation and test run in the simulator prior to data collection in order to provide them with the opportunity to understand the simulator functions. Participants were then given a brief overview of the Highway Traffic Act and Ambulance Act to ensure that all participants understood the rules of the road. A baseline driving assessment was then performed by having each participant drive the simulated ambulance from a known starting position to a denoted endpoint. Pre-programmed *EMS_City_2* and *EMS_City_3* routes were used, each consisting of a 2-3 minute drive with a set start and end location.

Data were collected using a computer generated log of driving errors output by the PatrolSim software. These included: failure to wear a seatbelt; exceeding the posted speed limit; and collisions. Critical errors not recognized by the driving simulator were recorded by the researchers, and included: failure to stop at a stop sign, running a red light, and loss of vehicle control. The “baseline” drive and “post-stress” drive were standardized for each participant.

Materials – stress inventory
Once the participant had completed each drive, they completed a self-reported stress-inventory test. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) is a tool that investigates six categories with regards to a specific task; mental demand, physical demand, temporal demand, performance, effort, and frustration (Hart and Staveland, 1988). The NASA-TLX requires participants to weigh and rate these categories on a sliding scale, and returns a value that represents the overall task burden experienced by the

participant on a scale from 0 to 100. Participants then rank each category on a 20-point scale ranging from “Low” to “High”, based on what they experienced during the task. The participants repeated the test after each drive in the simulator to compare their perceived task burden between drives. This reporting tool was chosen for its simplicity, ability to capture elements of task load, and ease of operation via a mobile app.

Materials - clinical simulation

Participants completed a 12 minute stress-inducing simulated clinical scenario which involved the resuscitation of a paediatric patient. This clinical scenario was reviewed by paramedic program faculty to ensure clinical accuracy and to predict its ability to induce stress in paramedic students. All participants completed the same simulated clinical scenario. Immediately following the simulated scenario, the individual was required to drive the vehicle from another denoted start to end point and complete the NASA-TLX for a second time. Participants were given the opportunity to debrief after their participation to address any concerns and were informed of additional support services if required.

Data Analysis

“Baseline” and “post-stress” driving errors were compared using results from the driving simulator’s computer-generated report of critical errors as well as critical driving errors recorded by a researcher observing each participants’ drive. “Baseline” and “post-stress” perceived levels of stress were compared using results from the self-reported NASA-TLX. Descriptive statistics are used to illustrate data, while t-tests are used to compare baseline and post-stress means. We report on critical driving errors namely failure to wear seatbelt, failure to stop (red light/stop sign at intersection), and loss of control of vehicle. We define loss of control of the vehicle as *“the act of the driver being unable to successfully maneuver the vehicle, often ending in the simulated ambulance skidding off the road surface and requiring the vehicle to come to a stop to regain control”*.

Results

A total of 36 participants completed the study with 29 first year students (81%) and 7 second year (19%). Critical driving errors occurred significantly more often “post-stress” than at baseline. Forgotten seatbelt ($p= 0.008$), failure to stop for red lights or stop signs ($p = <0.0001$) and loss of control of the vehicle ($p= 0.005$) all demonstrated a statistically significant increase after exposure to stress compared to “baseline” (see Figure 1 & Table 1).



Figure 1. Critical driving errors at baseline and post-stress.

	Number of Errors		
	Baseline	Post-Stress	p value
Forgot Seatbelt	3	10	*0.008*
Failure to Stop for Red Lights/Stop Signs	7	35	*<0.001*
Loss of Control of Vehicle	2	11	*0.005*

Table 1. Number of driving errors in critical error categories during "baseline" drive vs. "post-stress" drive.

Participants reported an increased level of stress during the "post-stress" drive (overall weighted stress score of 67.59/100) compared to "baseline" drive (overall weighted stress score of 63.81/100) via the NASA-TLX (p= 0.03) (Figure 2). When stress scores were broken down into specific categories, it was found that on average participants rated "mental demand" the highest source of stress (73.1/100), followed by "frustration" (64.86/100), "effort" (63.47/100), "temporal (time) demand" (61.39/100), performance demand" (54.58/100), and finally "physical demand" (26.39/100).



Figure 2. Mean NASA-TLX reported stress scores baseline and post-stress.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that paramedic students make more critical driving errors when stressed, namely failure to wear a seat belt, failure to stop at stop signs or red lights (intersections), and loss of control of the vehicle. They also reported higher levels of stress after a stressful clinical scenario, in particular in the domain of mental demand. These findings are important to reflect on given the potential consequences on paramedic and patient safety.

Increased occupational stress and time constraints are regularly encountered by paramedics during transports to the hospital (LeBlanc et al., 2012). The types of errors made after stress exposure suggest that paramedic students may drive in a manner that is less defensive and more unsafe during transport after stressful calls. We discovered that participants were 3.3 times less likely to wear their seatbelt when driving "post-stress". Failure to wear a seatbelt is inherently dangerous when driving and results in significantly higher mortality rates when compared to collisions where seatbelts were worn (Abbas et al., 2011). Fatal ambulance collisions are also more prevalent when the lights and sirens are activated during emergency driving (Kahn et al., 2001). If paramedics are more likely to not wear seatbelts after stressful calls, this could be a contributing factor to fatalities associated with lights and sirens collisions.

Failure to stop at stop signs or red lights increased post-stress, resulting in increased risk for participants and their simulated vehicle occupants. This is one of the leading causes of ambulance accidents in the United States of America (Retting et al., 2003). Injuries are more likely in collisions involving missed stop signs or red lights than in other crash types; injuries were reported in 45% of red light crashes compared to 30% in other types of crashes (Retting et al., 2003). Researchers in Alberta, Canada also found that failure to stop at stop signs and red lights led to more injuries during accidents involving an emergency vehicle (Yasmin et al., 2014). In addition, most fatal ambulance crashes in the United States occur while going through an intersection with the emergency lighting and sirens activated (Kahn et al., 2001). This suggests that lights and sirens are not enough to prevent collisions at intersections; emergency vehicle operators should always come to a complete stop at stop signs and red lights in order to reduce these types of collisions.

Participants also reported increased mental demand during the simulated drives. A possible cause for the rise in the specific critical errors reported in this study is cognitive overload from the stressful stimuli that were induced. We are all constantly overloaded with perceptual information in our daily lives and activities. Our ability to process this information is limited, and under stressful conditions, the cognitive system becomes overloaded, decreasing resources devoted to attention, and therefore our ability to concentrate and perform a specific task (LeBlanc, 2009). This increased mental demand may potentially contribute to risky decision making or lack of awareness when operating an ambulance under emergency driving conditions.

Limitations

Our small sample size may not accurately reflect driving abilities of all paramedic students in the program, and reduces the external validity of our results. The majority of participants were first year paramedic students with limited ambulance operations experience. Although the driving simulator is able to recreate the visual and auditory aspects of driving quite accurately, it is unable to recreate the physical forces and sensations of acceleration, deceleration, and turning. Participants reported this made it difficult to judge their speed, how hard they were braking, and how aggressive they were steering in the simulator. The conduct of this study with real emergency vehicles however would be fraught with issues surrounding ethical conduct and participant safety.

Future research

Future studies in this area would benefit from studying a larger population of clinically active paramedics, as well as paramedic students and recent graduates. This may yield results that are more applicable to the population who are potentially affected by the issues highlighted in our results. Future research could also incorporate physiological markers to test for stress levels in addition to the subjective stress test.

Conclusion

Paramedics are subjected to stressful situations on a regular basis in their careers, which may affect both their ability to perform medical tasks and drive safely. Our results demonstrate that paramedic students reported higher levels of stress after a stressful simulated clinical scenario. In a simulated driving environment they made more critical driving errors after a stressful simulated clinical scenario than before. These critical errors are contributory factors in many ambulance collisions and crashes. The findings of this study should stimulate increased dialogue on the nature of occupational stress in paramedic practice, and its potential implications for both providers and patients.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Ms. Lauran Livingston for her contributions to the conduct of the study. We also wish to thank Mr. Mark Dwyer and Mr. Jason Constable for their assistance with the conduct of the study.

References

Abbas, A.K., Hefny, A.F., Abu-Zidan, F.M., 2011. Seatbelts and road traffic collision injuries. World J. Emerg. Surg. https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-7922-6-18

BBC, 2014. South Central Ambulance vehicles crash nearly 700 times [WWW Document]. URL https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-28684903 (accessed 6.2.19).

BBC, 2011. Thousands of 999 vehicle Crashes in Wales Since 2011 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-wales-38254464 (accessed 6.2.19).
Cartwright, S., Cooper, C.L., Barron, A., 1996. The company car driver; occupational stress as a predictor of motor vehicle accident involvement. Hum. Relations 49, 195–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679604900204
Cœugnet, S., Miller, H., Anceaux, F., Naveteur, J., 2013. How do time pressured drivers estimate speed and time? Accid. Anal. Prev. 55, 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.02.040
Hart, S.G., Staveland, L.E., 1988. Development of NASA-TLX (Task Load Index): Results of Empirical and Theoretical Research. Adv. Psychol. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62386-9
Kahn, C.A., Pirrallo, R.G., Kuhn, E.M., 2001. Characteristics of fatal ambulance crashes in the United States: An 11-year retrospective analysis. Prehospital Emerg. Care 5, 261–269. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903120190939751
LeBlanc, V.R., 2009. The effects of acute stress on performance: Implications for health professions education. Acad. Med. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181b37b8f
LeBlanc, V.R., MacDonald, R.D., McArthur, B., King, K., Lepine, T., 2005. Paramedic performance in calculating drug dosages following stressful scenarios in a human patient simulator. Prehospital Emerg. Care 9, 439–444. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903120500255255
LeBlanc, V.R., Regehr, C., Tavares, W., Scott, A.K., MacDonald, R., King, K., 2012. The impact of stress on paramedic performance during simulated critical events. Prehosp. Disaster Med. 27, 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X12001021
Lumb, D., 2015. West Midlands' 999 vehicles "crash five times daily" [WWW Document]. URL https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-32722306 (accessed 6.2.19).
Murray, B., Kue, R., 2017. The Use of Emergency Lights and Sirens by Ambulances and Their Effect on Patient Outcomes and Public Safety: A Comprehensive Review of the Literature. Prehosp. Disaster Med. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X16001503
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 2011a. Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) 1992–2010 Final. Washington DC.
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 2011b. 2011 Annual Report File (ARF). Washington, D.C.
Retting, R.A., Weinstein, H.B., Solomon, M.G., 2003. Analysis of motor-vehicle crashes at stop signs in four US cities. J. Safety Res. 34, 485–489.
Rowden, P., Matthews, G., Watson, B., Biggs, H., 2011. The relative impact of work-related stress, life stress and driving environment stress on driving outcomes. Accid. Anal. Prev. 43, 1332–1340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.02.004
Saunders, C.E., 1994. Ambulance Collisions in an Urban Environment. Prehosp. Disaster Med. 9, 118–124. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X00041017
Smith, N., 2015. A National Perspective on Ambulance Crashes and Safety. Guidance from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration on ambulance safety for patients and providers. EMS World 44, 91–92,94.
Tyakoff, A., Garis, L., Thomas, L., 2014. Emergency Motor Vehicle Crashes in British Columbia: Myth or Reality? Abbotsford.
Watanabe, B.L., Patterson, G.S., Kempema, J.M., Magallanes, O., Brown, L.H., 2019. Is Use of Warning Lights and Sirens Associated With Increased Risk of Ambulance Crashes? A Contemporary Analysis Using National EMS Information System (NEMSIS) Data. Ann. Emerg. Med. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2018.09.032
Whiting, J.D., Dunn, K., March, J.A., Brown, L.H., 1998. EMT knowledge of ambulance traffic laws. Prehospital Emerg. Care 2, 136–140.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10903129808958858
Yasmin, S., Anowar, S., Tay, R., 2014. Injury Risk of Traffic Accidents Involving Emergency Vehicles in Albe, in: Canadian Transportation Research Forum 45th Annual Conference. Toronto.