Ethnicity and Acculturation: Asian American Substance Use from Early Adolescence to Mature Adulthood

Zobayer Ahmmad, MS[1]; Daniel E. Adkins, PhD[1,2]

[1] Department of Sociology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT
[2] Department of Psychiatry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

Compliance with Ethical Standards: All authors (i.e., Zobayer Ahmmad and Daniel E. Adkins) declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Consortium of Families and Health Research (C-FAHR) at the University of Utah for providing access to the data. We are grateful to Bethany G Everett for editorial input. This research uses data from Add Health, a program project directed by Kathleen Mullan Harris and designed by J. Richard Udry, Peter S. Bearman, and Kathleen Mullan Harris at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and funded by grant P01-HD31921 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, with cooperative funding from 23 other federal agencies and foundations. Special acknowledgment is due to Ronald R. Rindfuss and Barbara Entwisle for assistance in the original design. Information on how to obtain the Add Health data files is available on the Add Health website (http://www.cpc.unc.edu/addhealth). No direct support was received from grant P01-HD31921 for this analysis.

*Corresponding author: Email: zubi.ahmmad@utah.edu. 380 S 1530 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. Office: (801)-2439834.

Abstract

Research on Asian American substance use has, to date, been limited by monolithic conceptions of Asian identity, inadequate attention to acculturative process, and a disproportionate focus on the adolescent developmental period. This study addresses these limitations by longitudinally investigating disparities in substance use, including tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and a range of illicit drugs, from early adolescence (~15) into mature adulthood (~40) using five waves of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health). Add Health's ample statistical power (Asian N=1583, obs=7915) allowed disaggregated study of the most populous Asian American ethnic groups including Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Vietnamese, Asian Indian, and Japanese, as well as subjects identifying as multiple Asian ethnicities (i.e., multiethnic) and multiracial Asians. The potential mediating role of acculturative factors (e.g., nativity, parental nativity, familial language use) on the observed ethnic disparities was also examined. Results indicate significant variation across Asian ethnicities, with the lowest probability of substance use among Chinese and Vietnamese, and the highest among multiethnic and multiracial Asians. Acculturative factors (e.g., English language use, nativity) were strongly associated with increased substance use, and mediated some, but not all, of the observed ethnic disparities. This study demonstrates how the model minority stereotype masks significant ethnic disparities in Asian American substance use and argues that a considerable proportion of the observed ethnic variation appears to be due to diverse family ties speeding transition toward US cultural norms, away from the relatively salubrious norms of Asian nations of origin.

Keywords: Asian Americans, Population health, Substance use, Cannabis use, Social epidemiology, Risk behavior

Recent research into the social epidemiology of substance use in contemporary America has generated important insights into the impact of substance abuse (particularly alcohol and opioids) on US life expectancy and social disparities in morbidity and mortality within the US population (Case and Deaton 2015, Ruhm 2017, Ruhm 2018). Such research has greatly advanced our understanding of the relationship of substance use to morbidity and mortality patterns, particularly among non-Hispanic White Americans (Geronimus et al. 2019, Shanahan et al. 2019). However, knowledge regarding the social epidemiology of substance use among other racial/ethnic groups, particularly Asian Americans (AAs) remains limited. This is in spite of notable recent growth and increasing diversity in the AA population (Cook et al. 2015, Saraiya et al. 2019). While it is established that AAs exhibit a relatively healthy substance use profile in aggregate (Martell, Garrett and Caraballo 2016), studies disaggregating AA ethnicities have suggested the presence of high risk Asian subgroups (Cook et al. 2015, Saraiya et al. 2019, Thai, Connell and Tebes 2010). For instance, Korean and Japanese ethnicities appear to have substantially higher rates of alcohol consumption than other AA ethnicities (Iwamoto, Takamatsu and Castellanos 2012, Tosh and Simmons 2007). Moreover, the prevalence of cigarette smoking among Koreans in the US was 20% in 2013, a rate comparable to other high risk US demographic groups (Martell, Garrett and Caraballo 2016).

Extant research on substance use among AAs has primarily focused on tobacco and alcohol use, with few studies examining illicit substance use (e.g., cannabis, opioids, and illicit stimulants) (Cochran et al. 2007, Hong et al. 2011, Liu and Iwamoto 2007, Saraiya et al. 2019, Yoo et al. 2010). The small body of research examining illicit substance among AA ethnicities has indicated the presence of significant ethnic variation. For instance, cross-sectional studies

have shown AA ethnic variation in illicit substance use rates with Filipino, Japanese, and Korean ethnicities reporting more use than their Asian peers (Ryabov 2015, Saraiya et al. 2019). Similarly, using data from Waves 1 and 3 of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health, Ryabov (2015) found that substance use rates, especially the use of illicit drugs, vary widely across major Asian ethnicities in adolescence and the transition to adulthood (e.g., Filipino origin youth exhibit higher prevalence of illicit drugs than East Asian peers (Ryabov 2015)).

Despite notable demographic growth among AAs identifying as multiethnic (e.g., Vietnamese and Chinese) and multiracial (e.g., Vietnamese and White), to date, very little research has examined substance use among these increasingly common ethnic identities. Additionally, existing studies offer minimal sociocultural explanation for substance use disparity across Asian ethnicities (Dunbar et al. 2018, Price et al. 2002, Wong, Klingle, and Price 2004). To address these shortcomings, we analyze five waves of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health) to assess patterns of substance use disparities among AAs, and explore to what extent these disparities are explained by variation in acculturation to US norms. Further, we also map developmental patterns in AA substance use, going beyond cross-sectional data (and longitudinal data limited to a single developmental period) to describe longitudinal AA ethnic variation in substance use from early adolescence (age ~12) to mature adulthood (age ~40). The wide age range of Add Health allows detailed description of longitudinal AA substance use patterns, revealing persistent disparities across AA ethnicities, and unique developmental patterns among AAs relative to other US racial/ethnic groups.

Background

Diverse sociodemographic profiles of Asian Americans

The Asian population is the fastest growing of all racial/ethnic groups in the US, driven by consistently high immigration and relatively high fertility rates (López, Ruiz and Patten 2017). The size of the Asian population more than doubled (from 11.9 million to 20.4 million) between 2000 and 2015 (López, Ruiz and Patten 2017). Among the 19 national origins that comprise 94 percent of US Asian population, the largest groups are Indian, Chinese, and Filipino origin. Along with demographic and underlying sociocultural heterogeneity, there is also marked socioeconomic inequality within the AA population, despite the general perception of Asians in the US as high achieving (Lee 2015). Monolithic conceptions of AA identity, combined with the model minority stereotype, has serve to mask socioeconomic inequality across AA individuals and ethnicities. For instance, recent estimates suggest that Asians who are in the top 10% of the income distribution earn >10x more than Asians who are in the bottom decile (Kochhar and Cilluffo 2018). Moreover, there are substantial ethnic difference across AA income deciles, with South and East Asian ethnicities exhibiting socioeconomic advantage relative to other AA, particularly Southeast Asian, ethnicities (Cook et al. 2017). Given the continuing demographic, cultural, and economic diversification of the AA population, scholars have called for increased attention to ethnic-specific health and health behavior patterns (Yoo, Le and Oda 2013).

Demographic literature indicates that marriage between two Asian ethnicities, as well between Asians and other racial/ethnic groups is rising (Lee and Bean 2004, Qian and Lichter 2011). As a result, there is an increasing number of individuals identifying multiple Asian ethnicities

(e.g., Vietnamese and Chinese), and individuals identifying as multiracial (e.g., Japanese and White). Despite this growth in the number of multiethnic and multiracial Asian individuals, there is virtually no research investigating the patterns and prevalence of substance use among these groups, as they are frequently (mis)classified as mono-ethnic and/or mono-racial. This failure to consider multiethnic and multiracial Asian identities may confound inferences regarding the relationships of ethnicity and substance use. Thus, we give considerable emphasis to multiethnic and multiracial AAs, along with the surveyed mono-ethnic Asian identities.

Acculturation and substance use

Acculturation refers to post-immigration changes in immigrant individuals' way of life, including changes in physical, psychological, and cultural traits due to continuous exchange with the new sociocultural environment (Le, Goebert and Wallen 2009). Behavioral changes that take place during acculturation remain a primary focus in immigrant health literature (Abraído-Lanza, Chao and Florez 2005). Sociologists have theorized that behavioral changes such as the adoption of regular alcohol consumption or transitioning to fast food culture are largely influenced by the length of US residence—that is, the longer the duration of residence in the US, the greater the extent of behavioral changes (Yoo, Le and Oda 2013). Previous research indicates that English language use, particularly in personal conversation, is associated with behavioral risk-taking such as heavy drinking and abuse of prescription drugs (Bersamira et al. 2017, Park et al. 2014).

Research on AA substance use, mainly alcohol consumption and tobacco use, has commonly examined the effects of acculturation—which is typically measured by nativity, language use, duration of stay in the US. Previous research has shown that acculturation predicts increased

substance use (Becerra et al. 2013, Iwamoto et al. 2016, Le, Goebert and Wallen 2009, Lui and Zamboanga 2018), suggesting acculturation as a risk factor for AA health and health behavior (Le, Goebert and Wallen 2009). For instance, research using the National Latino and Asian American Study (NLAAS) found that nativity, generational status in the US, and language use were positively associated with alcohol consumption, cannabis, cocaine, and other drug use (Bersamira et al. 2017). A systematic literature review of 31 studies on the relation between acculturation and alcohol consumption found that acculturation is a primary mediator of hazardous drinking behavior among AAs (Lui and Zamboanga 2018). However, it is unclear what roles acculturation may play among multiethnic and multiracial Asians, who are may be subject to unique acculturative processes.

Empirical research examining the effects of acculturation on substance use among AA adolescents born into immigrant families is lacking. However, family is clearly a primary influence promoting the retention of heritage culture among immigrants and children of immigrants. It is documented that Asian-born parents disproportionately actively socialize their children in heritage values and culture, with special emphasis on adolescent behavioral conformity to rules and norms (Nelson et al. 2015). This suggests highly acculturated AAs (3+ generation, multiracial) may be at greater behavioral risk, as they lack the buffering socialization associated with immigrant (or child of immigrant) status. Support for such acculturation effects come from a recent, large meta-analysis (N = 68,282) demonstrating that attachment to Asian heritage culture is negatively associated with alcohol consumption (Lui and Zamboanga 2018). Thus, we consider parental nativity as well as respondents', an

especially important distinction for ethnic groups comprised primarily of recent immigrants (i.e., Chinese) (Le and Kato 2006, Telzer, Gonzales and Fuligni 2014).

It has also been noted that peer homophily among AA immigrants may buffer against risk behavior. For instance, analysis of early waves of Add Health indicates that having close friends from similar ethnic background and nativity status is protective against illicit drug use among AAs, suggesting a salubrious influence for immigrant social network ties (Ryabov 2015). To tap the role of acculturation in personal relationships, we include a measure of English use in personal conversations in this analysis.

Developmental variation in substance use

Another limitation of in the social epidemiology of substance use literature is overreliance on cross-sectional data and adolescent samples. This is a notable limitation, as it is well established that substance use and misuse is not evenly distributed across age. Previous research has suggested that, at the population level, substance use patterns are characterized by a sharp rise in late adolescence and young adulthood, followed by a decline in mature adulthood (i.e., 30s) (Fothergill et al. 2016, Merline et al. 2004). Analysis of longitudinal data is therefore essential, particularly so among AAs, as previous research has also shown that AAs may show atypical patterns of externalizing behaviors (and internalizing traits) during adolescence and the transition to adulthood, as they tend to experience particularly restrictive family environments in adolescence, and then acculturate to mainstream US society in young adulthood (Adkins et al. 2009, Hahm et al. 2014). Findings from a study on substance use among Asian and Pacific Islander (API) sexual minority support that the association between

sexual minority status and substance use did not emerge until young adulthood (Hahm et al. 2008), suggesting young adulthood as a period of fuller expression of AA behavioral preferences. Thus, exiting from parental supervision during adulthood may lead unique developmental patterns of substance use among AAs. To address this gap in the literature, we model developmental trends in substance use across AA ethnicities.

Research questions

This study investigates substance use variations across AA ethnicities, including multiethnic and multiracial AAs, and examines potential mediation of ethnic disparities by acculturation status. Additionally, it describes ethnic variation in developmental patterns of substance use from adolescence to mid-adulthood (ages ~15-40) using Waves 1-5 of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health. Specific hypotheses include:

Hypothesis 1: AA groups with weaker attachment to traditional Asian norms due to (a) diverse family composition (i.e., multiethnic and multiracial Asians), and (b) longstanding residence in the US (i.e., Japanese) will be more likely to use all four substance use outcomes (tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and illicit substances).

Hypothesis 2: The relationship between ethnicity and substance use and tobacco use will be substantially mediated by acculturative factors, including nativity generational status and English language use in personal settings.

Hypothesis 3: The prevalence of substance use will peak in young adulthood (~age 25) in this longitudinal, contemporary cohort of AAs.

Materials and Methods

Data

Data for the project come from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health) Wave 1-Wave 5. Add Health is a large, nationally representative, longitudinal study that began in 1994, now including five waves of data spanning 28 years of data collection. Add Health collected detailed information on environmental, behavioral, and biological information (Entzel and Udry 2009). Wave 1 of Add Health study surveyed about 90,000 adolescents across 80 high schools and 52 middle schools throughout the United States. Out of the total sample, a subsample of 20,747 students and their parents filled out an in-depth home interview survey. Wave 2 of Add Health was completed in 1996, containing only the students who were in 8th-12th grades (excluding senior students) (N=14,738). A sample of 15,197 young adults and their partners (ages 18-26) responded in Wave 3 survey. Wave 3, which was completed in 2001, reclaimed the senior students in Wave I. Wave 4 collected data from 15,701 adults (ages 24-32). Wave 5 of Add Health study released approximately 3,000 cases (ages 32-42).

Add Health (over)sampled 1585 Asians in Wave 1, following up 1089, 1195, 1060, in Waves 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Add Health also surveyed Asian ethnic background, allowing respondents to reply to multiple ethnic categories including Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Asian Indian, Korean, Vietnamese, and "Asian (Other)". Add Health also allowed respondents to identify

multiple racial/ethnic categories. There are a substantial number of Asians who also identified themselves as White, African American, or Hispanic ethnicity, which are categorized as "multiracial Asian" here, including N=224, 165, 165, and 161, in Waves 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. We use the term "multiethnic Asian" to refer to respondents who identified as ≥2 Asian ethnic identities (e.g., Japanese and Filipino). There are N=125, 91, 102, and 90 multiethnic Asians in the Waves 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively (see Table 2 for further ethnicity sample size data). Add Health has released 3000 total observations from Wave 5, including 227 Asian individuals, which are also analyzed here.

Measures

Due to cultural differences in Asian nations of origin, and segmented assimilation in the US, there is reason to suspect different ethnic patterns depending on the substance (e.g., relatively high Korean and Filipino smoking rates) (Iwamoto et al. 2016, Tosh and Simmons 2007, Yoo et al. 2010). Thus, we adopt a comprehensive approach to assaying substance use, examining 4 outcomes: tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and illicit substances.

Tobacco, alcohol, and cannabis use

Dependent variables come from Wave 1 to Wave 5 of Add Health. Information on tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, and cannabis use were asked in each of the 5 waves of data collection. Specifically the items used here are: 1) has the subject ever smoked cigarettes regularly (at least 1 cigarette every day for 30 days); 2) does the subject regularly consume alcohol (≥1-2 days per week), and 3) has the subject consumed cannabis in the past year.

Illicit substance use

We adopt a latent approach to measure use of *illicit substances*, defined as all surveyed substances, except tobacco and alcohol. Thus, the latent illicit substance use includes the four questions on cannabis, methamphetamine, cocaine, and other hard drugs: 1) in the past year if someone has tried cannabis, 2) if someone has used crystal meth, and 3) if someone has tried cocaine, and 4) tried LSD, speed, ice, heroin, or controlled prescription drugs (e.g., opioids, stimulants, benzodiazepines). The questions on methamphetamine use were not asked in Waves 1 and 2. The composition of the "other hard drugs" category varied slightly across waves (e.g., included inhalants only in Wave 1 and 2). A confirmatory factor analysis was used to estimate a single standardized latent variable, using the four individual substance as indicator variables. Fit was adequate (RMSEA<0.08). Factor scores were output using standard regression scoring. Standardized factor scores are used in inferential analyses, and the factor score is rescaled to range 0-1 in visualizations, to increase comparability to the dichotomous outcomes. In this 0-1 rescaling, a value of 0 indicates no illicit substance use, and a 1 indicates use of all queried illicit substances.

Ethnicity

A primary objective of the current study is to examine substance use variation across Asian ethnic groups, to unveil the heterogeneity masked by the model minority stereotype. Fortunately, Add Health oversampled key Asian ethnicities including Japanese, Filipino, Chinese, Vietnamese, Indian, and Korean. Information on racial/ethnic identity was derived from a set of questions querying whether the subject identifies as Asian and, if so, which Asian ethnicities. Response options to the item included Japanese, Filipino, Chinese, Vietnamese, Asian Indian,

and Korean, as well as "Other" (i.e., Asian, ethnicity not specifically queried). The "Other" Asian category includes respondents identifying any Asian country other than the six countries explicitly specified, likely yielding a heterogeneous group consisting substantially of individuals of Pakistani and Southeast Asian (e.g., Cambodian, Hmong, Thai) descent. Additionally, some respondents identified as more than one Asian ethnicity (e.g., Japanese and Korean), which we categorize as "multiethnic Asians." Finally, some individuals of Asian descent also identified as multiracial (e.g., Asian and white), which we categorize as "multiracial Asian".

Sociodemographics

Models also include a set of household SES measures for parental education and household income assessed at Wave 1. We used the parental questionnaire to ascertain the educational attainment of the sampled residential parent (typically the mother) and current partner (typically the father). Parental educational attainments were averaged across parents when more than one was reported. Household income was ascertained from the parental questionnaire and included all sources of income from the previous year (measured in thousands of dollars) and is logged (Adkins et al. 2009).

Acculturation

Measures of acculturation include respondents' nativity status, parental nativity status, and language use preference in private/familial settings. Information on whether someone is US born or not and if their parents are US born or not come from Wave I. We create three generations based on respondents' and their parents' nativity status. *Generation 1* includes foreign-born respondents (parents also foreign-born), *generation 2* includes US-born children with at least one

foreign-born parent. *Generation 3* consists of US-born children with US-born parents. We also include a measure of use of the English/non-English language use in the home from Wave I and Wave 2. Question on English language use in Wave 3 was slightly different, in which it asks what language they use with their family and close friends. Table 2 presents information on the generational status and English use in private/familial settings.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive visualizations

The intersecting effects of ethnicity and acculturation on substance use patterns is a primary interest of this project. We visualize these relationships in Figure 1 using a series of bar graphs ordering ethnicities by mean substance use rate and visualizing the association of nativity generation to substance use within each ethnicity. While the primary purpose of this visualization is to describe the overall ethnic and nativity generation patterns in substance use, we also report the results of a secondary analysis in the figure, denoted by asterisks above the bars. Specifically, these asterisks denote that nativity generation was significantly associated with substance use within that ethnicity. These significance tests were based on generalized mixed models predicting substance by nativity, adjusting for clustering of observations within subjects. Here we must caution against over-interpreting these significance tests, which are essentially 2-way interactions (ethnicity*nativity) and the current sample is modestly powered for this analysis in some ethnicities, particularly Asian Indians (N=31, obs=155) and Japanese (N=42, obs=210).

Given the well-documented patterns in substance use rates across developmental periods (e.g., elevated rates during late adolescence and young adulthood), we include a visualization of Asian

ethnic differences in substance use across the age range (ages ~15-40) in Figure 2. We restrict this investigation to strictly descriptive (i.e., non-inferential) visualization of ethnic variation of age patterns of substance use in this sample, as the sample is not adequately powered to assess higher order interactions (e.g., $age^2 \times ethnicity$), particularly for the smaller ethnic subsamples. Thus, we visualize smoothed mean levels of substance use in by ethnicity, over age, and leave inferential tests of these differences to future research in larger samples. Specifically, we visualize the age patterns of the four outcomes across Asian ethnicities using median spline plots (i.e., cubic regression splines with cross-median knots), specifying four knots to smooth age-by-ethnicity bins with small cell sizes (Royston and Sauerbrei 2007).

Inferential analyses

Primary inferential analyses examine four outcomes using generalized linear mixed models to jointly analyze the Asian subsample across waves 1-5 of Add Health (N=7915). Three of the four outcomes are dichotomous: (a) ever daily tobacco smoking (at least a month), (b) regular alcohol consumption (≥1-2 per week), and (c) smoking cannabis in the past year; thus, these 3 outcomes use a logistic link function. The last outcome, (d) illicit substance use, is a continuously distributed factor score; thus, it was analyzed with an identity (linear) link function. All models specified a subject-level random intercept to account for the multilevel data structure in which observations are nested within subjects. Among other desirable properties, this approach corrects standard errors and coefficients for nonindependence of observations within subjects (Gelman and Hill 2006). We did not employ the fixed effects estimator here, because the predictors of primary interest, ethnicity and nativity generation, are time invariant, and, thus, not suitable for that estimator.

Each of the four outcomes followed the same sequence of three model specifications. In Model 1 of the sequence, the outcome was predicted with only the ethnicity dummies, which categorize Asian ethnicities into eight mutually exclusive groups. Thus, the first model assesses unconditional differences in substance use across Asian ethnic groups. Model 2 of the sequence includes the ethnic indicators from Model 1 and adds controls for other sociodemographic variables—age, age^2, gender, parental education, and household income. Model 3 included all variables from Model 2, as well as the measures of acculturation (i.e., nativity generation 1 (ref), 2, and 3, and use of English in personal conversation) to assess potential mediation of Asian ethnic differences by acculturation factors. Results are reported as coefficients (i.e., logged odds ratios in the case of the logistic models) with t statistics in parenthesis. Given that our analysis is limited to Asian ethnicities only, the analysis is, by design, not nationally representative. Considering this along with the observation that, due to the oversampled nature of Asian subsample in Add Health, using person weights may diminish inferential efficiency (Bollen et al. 2016), our primary analyses are unweighted. Sensitivity analysis adjusting for school-based PSUs and region strata did not affect substantive results. All analyses performed using Stata 15.1.

Missing data

Missing data is an ubiquitous issue longitudinal survey data (Kline, Andridge and Kaizar 2017), and is present to a modest degree in the current analysis (see Appendix 1 for missingness summary). Conventional listwise deletion (i.e., complete case analysis) methods for addressing missing data are known to, on average, increase bias in coefficients under missing at random

(MAR) assumptions, and to weaken statistical power (White, Royston and Wood 2011). To avoid these potential biases and maximize statistical power, we handle missingness using *multiple imputations by chained equations* (MICE) method for the full sample (N=20,774). MICE is a statistical approach to impute values for the missing data based on distributions of the observed data, using MCMC iterative methods. This is a well-established, validated approach to multiple imputation, which preserves the distributions (i.e., continuous, count, ordinal, categorical) of the imputed variables. The performance of MICE has been demonstrated equivalent to the conventional multivariate normal multiple imputation method (Lee and Carlin 2010). As no data on "language spoken in intimate settings" was collected in waves 4 and 5, we averaged the dichotomous answers to that question from waves 1-3 to derive estimates for these later waves. All multiple imputation analyses included a conservative 30 imputations (MI=30) (von Hippel 2018).

Results

Descriptive analyses

Table 1 shows the summary statistics of the full analysis sample. The average age of the respondents is 25.3, with a minimum of 12 years and a maximum of 43 years. Almost half of the respondents are women (48%). Among mono-ethnic Asian ethnicities, Filipino ethnicity consists of 35% of the sample, followed by Chinese at 7%. Multiracial and multiethnic Asians comprise 14% and 8% of the sample, respectively. The proportion of responses reporting daily smoking tobacco, regularly drinking alcohol, and using cannabis in the past year, averaged across waves, are 34%, 62%, and 41%, respectively. The percent of the sample identifying as generation 1 (foreign-born) is 35%, generation 2 (US-born, parents foreign-born) is 31%, and generation 3

(US-born, parents US-born) is 34%. Two thirds of observations (67%) reported the use of English in personal conversation.

<Table 1 about here>

Table 2 presents generational status across Asian ethnicities from Wave 1. Among Asian ethnicities, Vietnamese have the highest percentage of first-generation (54%), followed by Filipino (49%), and Korean (48%). Notable, the vast majority of Japanese subjects were third generation (81%). Multiethnic Asians (66%) and multiracial Asians (51%) were also majority third generation. Almost half (46%) of Asian Indians were second generation.

<Table 2 about here>

Figure 1 reports descriptive analyses of mean tobacco, alcohol, cannabis use, and illicit substance use across Asian ethnicities and nativity generations. The ethnicities are ordered in ascending substance use prevalence. There are notable substance use variations across Asian ethnicities. Multiethnic and multiracial Asians are shown to generally have higher rates of substance use, and Chinese and Vietnamese the lowest rates substance use, among the Asian ethnicities. The figure supports expectations of relatively elevated smoking and drinking among Japanese and Korean ethnicities. Cannabis use is considerable (>30%) among all US-born groups (i.e., generations 2 and 3), except Chinese and Vietnamese. Further, there is a clear visual trend in Figure 1 of increasing substance use across nativity generations, consistent with the inferential results discussed below, with more native generations generally exhibiting

higher substance use rates. Results of tests for association of substance use to nativity generations within each ethnicity are reported by asterisks above the bars. These results indicate that Filipino and multiethnic Asians demonstrate consistent, significant increase in substance use among more nativity generations. It is important to note the modest statistical power to test the Asian Indian, Japanese, and Vietnamese ethnicities for this within ethnicity secondary analysis; thus, these results should be considered primarily descriptive.

<Figure 1 about here>

Figure 2 visualizes smoothed substance use means by age and Asian ethnicity for tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and illicit substances. Due to some small age-ethnicity cells, we use median splines (with four knots) to smooth means over age (Wegman and Wright 1983). Asian ethnicities vary in terms of growth and decline in rates of substance use as the subjects age from ~15-40. We observe some general age trends that are fairly consistent across ethnicities. For instance, the age pattern of ever regular tobacco use indicates a marked increase in the teens and early 20s and then a stabilization thereafter. Conversely, current regular alcohol use increases in the teens and 20s, then stabilizing in the early 30s, before increasing again in the late 30s. Cannabis use in the past year is characterized by linear increase across age, perhaps in line with liberalizing attitudes toward the substance during the assessed period. Finally, illicit substance use showed marked curvilinear growth and decline, peaking around age 30 and then declined sharply to low levels in all groups by age 40. Ethnic differences largely mirror the results in Figure 1, with Chinese and Vietnamese ethnicities typically at the lowest levels across the age range, and multiethnic and multiracial Asians at the ethnicities highest levels.

One exception to this is alcohol use, for which Chinese ethnicity exhibits approximately mean levels among Asian ethnicities.

<Figure 2 about here>

Inferential analyses

Table 3, Models 1-3 examine ever daily tobacco use across Asian ethnicities (with Chinese ethnicity as referent). Model 1 shows that the unconditional rate of regular tobacco use varies substantially across Asian American ethnicities, with the highest likelihood of substance use among multiracial Asians (β =2.29; p<0.001), and individuals who identified as multiethnic Asian (β=2.47; p<0.001). Compared to the Chinese referent, Korean (β =1.90; p<0.001), Filipino (β =1.59; p<0.001), Japanese (β =1.69; p<0.01), and "Other" Asian ethnicities (β =2.06; p<0.001) have a higher unconditional probabilities of regular tobacco use. In Model 2 (Table 3), we control for sociodemographic variables such as age, age squared, sex, and parental SES. Age and sex show independent effects on tobacco use in the expected direction. For instance, females are significantly less likely to smoke (β =-1.05; p<0.001) than males. All ethnic differences were substantively unchanged by covariate adjustment. In Model 3 (Table 3), we consider mediation of ethnic disparities by the acculturation variables—generational status (first (referent), second, and the third nativity generation), and English use in personal conversation. Generational status and English use in personal conversation appear to moderately attenuate the coefficients for high-risk groups from Model 2: multiethnic Asian (reduced by ~20%), multiracial Asian (reduced by ~20%), and "Other" Asian category (reduced by ~12%) compared to Model 2, but do not reduce these effects of nonsignificance. Controlling for acculturation factors does reduce

the Japanese coefficient to nonsignificance, yielding support for mediation via acculturation. Additionally, acculturation variables show strong, independent effects on tobacco use. Second and third generation Asians, as well as Asians who use English in personal conversation, have significantly increased probability of tobacco use ($p<0.001$).

<Table 3 about here>

Table 3, Models 4-6 examine current regular alcohol consumption (≥1-2 drinks weekly) across Asian ethnicity (with Chinese ethnicity as referent). Unlike smoking, there is little ethnic heterogeneity in terms of drinking, with only multiracial Asian having a higher likelihood of drinking (β=0.56; $p<0.01$), and "Other Asian" ethnicity having a lower likelihood of drinking (β=-0.31; $p<0.05$) than Chinese. These 2 ethnic differences remain significant with covariate adjustment (i.e., Table 3, Model 6). Age and sex show independent effects on regular alcohol use in the expected direction. Parental household income shows positive association to regular alcohol use (β=0.36; $p<0.001$). Inclusion of acculturation variables in Table 3, Model 6 attenuated the coefficient size of multiracial Asian category by 31%, but that coefficient remains significant ($p<0.01$).

In Table 4, Models 1-3, we examine cannabis use in the past year across Asian ethnicities. The findings resemble those found on tobacco use—there exists considerable variability in cannabis use among Asian ethnicities. The high-risk groups in cannabis use include multiracial (β =1.74; $p<0.001$) and multiethnic (β =1.46; $p<0.001$) Asians, compared to Chinese referent. Filipino (β =0.67; $p<0.001$), Japanese (β =0.89; $p<0.05$), and "Other" Asian (β =75; $p<0.01$) categories are also significantly more likely to use cannabis than Chinese ethnicity. In Table 4, Model 2, we adjust for sociodemographic variables. Parental household income has positive and independent

effects on cannabis use (β=0.49; p<0.001), and females are less likely to use cannabis than males (β =-0.82; p<0.001). Unlike the other 3 outcomes, the age^2 coefficient was not significant for cannabis use, indicating that the prevalence of cannabis use increases roughly linearly across the examined age range (~15-40). We examine the effects of acculturation variables in Model 3. Acculturation variables have strong, independent effects (p<0.001) in the expected direction, with more acculturation associated with higher probability of cannabis use. There is also some evidence that acculturation partially mediates the increased risk among high-risk ethnicities. The inclusion of the acculturation measures attenuated the effect sizes of 'Other' Asian, multiethnic Asian, and multiracial Asian by 24%, 23%, and 23%, respectively. Notably, controlling for sociodemographics and acculturation variables reduced the Japanese effect to nonsignificance, lending additional support for mediation via acculturation.

<Table 4 about here>

In Table 4, Models 4-6, we examine illicit substance use, using a factor score estimated from cannabis, methamphetamine, cocaine, and other illicit drug use. Although illicit substance use is relatively uncommon across Asian ethnicities, there are highly significant increased probability of illicit substance use among multiracial (β=0.25; p<0.001) and multiethnic (β=0.22; p<0.001) Asians relative to the Chinese referent. Parental education and childhood household income show no significant effect on illicit substance use, whereas age, age^2 and sex have large, independent effects in the expected direction. Acculturation variables nominally mediate the relationship between ethnicity and illicit substance use among the two high-risk groups, multiethnic (24% reduction from Model 5) and multiracial Asians (20% reduction from Model 5). Interestingly, among the 3 generations, only the third generation appears to have an independent effect on illicit substance use (β=0.09; p<0.05). As with the other outcomes, use of

English in personal conversation is strongly positively associated with illicit substance use (β=0.10; p<0.001).

Discussion

This research contributes towards an improved understanding of substance use by 1) disaggregated investigation of substance use across AA ethnicities, including those identifying as multiethnic or multiracial; 2) individually examining a full range legal and illicit substances, 3) investigating the mediating effects of acculturation on AA ethnic disparities in substance use, and 4) examining longitudinal patterns of substance use from early adolescence to mature adulthood. We find strong supports for Hypothesis 1— AA ethnicities at high risk for substance use are characterized by high levels of acculturation, indicated by low proportion of immigrants/first generation, and multiethnic/multiracial family structures. Relatedly, we find partial support for Hypothesis 2, as adjusting for acculturative factors explained some, but not all, of the observed ethnic disparities. Finally, we found little support for Hypothesis 3, as substance use in this longitudinal AA cohort appears to peak at later ages than those predicted by population-level research in contemporary US cohorts.

Multiethnic and multiracial Asian Americans

Multiracial and multiethnic AAs exhibited consistent disadvantage in substance use rates across the range of substances studied. The findings on multiracial AAs were robust across all analyses conducted. Our findings regarding high rates of substance use among multiracial AAs is novel, though there exist some findings on multiracial youth (not Asians) being at greater risks of alcohol consumption (Choi et al. 2006) and illicit substance use (Subica and Wu 2018).

Underlying determinants of this high propensity of substance use among multiracial Asians is likely a high degree of acculturation. Although we have not tested the effects of psychological aspects, stress due to discordance between Asian and US culture, as well as racial/ethnic stigma and discrimination (Brown 2018), likely contribute to the relatively high propensity for substance use observed for multiracial AAs.

Similarly, Asians who reported multiethnic Asian backgrounds exhibited a significantly higher probability of substance use, in 3 of the 4 substances studied than the mono-ethnic AA groups. This finding, in part, reflects the effect of greater acculturation, as multiethnic AAs are more frequently native-born and English language users. Indeed, in the current study, 66% of multiethnic Asians consists of the third generation, and 88% use English in personal conversation (see Table 2). It also seems reasonable to speculate that interethnic marriage may facilitate faster acculturation to US culture, eroding the presence of each parent's protective heritage culture in ways not captured by English usage and personal and parental nativity.

Acculturation and ethnicity

We found partial support for Hypothesis 2. Acculturation measures appear to partially mediate the relationship between ethnicity and substance use, with pronounced and consistent mediation for multiracial and multiethnic Asians. However, analyses indicate that the likelihood of substance use and illicit substance use linked to ethnicity cannot be fully explained by acculturation. It is also noteworthy that distinguishing multiethnic and multiracial Asians from individual Asian ethnic categories may have weakened the influence of acculturation measures, relative to previous studies, as multiethnic and multiracial Asians are the most acculturated groups (see Table 2 and Figure 1).

Tobacco smoking as an East Asian cultural feature?

Findings on smoking are statistically significant across the board, suggesting a significant variation of smoking prevalence among Asian groups. As illustrated in the descriptive findings shown in Figure 1, multiethnic Asians and multiracial Asians are at the highest risk among AA groups in terms of smoking. Even adjusting for relatively high acculturation, multiethnic and multiracial Asians still show a significantly higher probability of smoking than subjects of Chinese ethnicity. Additionally, rates of smoking are notably high among those of Korean ethnicity, who, despite having a high proportion of immigrants, still have significantly higher smoking rates than Chinese. Elevated smoking rates are also seen for Japanese, Filipino, and "Other" Asian ethnicities relative to the Chinese referent. Perhaps the high prevalence in tobacco smoking across most of East and Southeast Asia may explain the observed elevation for these ethnicities (WHO 2015). The findings on smoking lend support to previous findings on the relationship between Asian ethnicity and problem drinking (Cook et al. 2015).

Variation in age patterns of Asian American substance use

We found minimal support for Hypothesis 3, which predicted that, consistent with existing literature, substance use would peak during late adolescence and early adulthood (~age 25). Instead, we have found unique age patterns of substance use across each of the studied substances, tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and illicit substances. As shown in Figure 2, for a majority of substances (except tobacco, which peaks around age 25), the peak level of consumption is substantially later. For instance, cannabis use increasing roughly linearly across the entire period (ages ~15-40); thus exhibiting the highest rates, somewhat surprisingly, at age

~40. Conversely, illicit substance use is shown to peak around age 32, before declining sharply. Alcohol exhibited two maxima, the first around age 25, and a second at the end of the age range, age ~40. Strong and consistent effects in age squared coefficients across all outcomes, except cannabis, confirm the curvilinear growth of substance use among Asians. Thus, contrary to the established perception that substance use is particularly prevalent among adolescents and young adults, these data show a more complex pattern lending minimal support for the hypothesis of disproportionate substance use in adolescence or the transition to adulthood. Future research will be needed to determine in this pattern is limited to Asian American ethnicities (Hahm et al. 2008, Iwamoto et al. 2015), as well as disentangle to influences of age/period/cohort on the observed patterns.

Limitations and future directions

Notwithstanding the current study's contributions, it was characterized by various limitations. For instance, substance use measures in the study are based on self-reports; a notable, and common, limitation in substance use survey research, as respondents tend to underreport substance use, due to social desirability bias (Ryabov 2015). Another limitation prevalent in longitudinal studies spanning multiple developmental stages is measurement inconsistency across waves, notably present here in the "illicit substance use" measure. Although we employed multiple imputation methods to address missingness in data, we could not account for complete missingness of individual measures. For instance, measures on methamphetamine were absent in 2 waves. However, various sensitivity analyses suggests that these minor data inconsistencies have not substantively influenced our findings. Another limitations is the heterogeneous time period of the four substance use outcomes (e.g., ever regular smoker, current regular alcohol use,

cannabis use in the past year), which was necessitated by data limitations in Add Health. Lastly, small cell size in Asian Indian and Japanese respondents yielded large standard errors, limiting our ability to make statistical inferences for these groups. Future research into the social epidemiology substance abuse would benefit from collecting larger AA cohorts, and from considering intersectional variation across gender, ethnicity, sexuality, and acculturation status.

Conclusion

This study indicates significant substance use disparities across Asian ethnicities, with the lowest probability of substance use among Chinese and Vietnamese, and the highest among multiethnic and multiracial Asians. Moreover, acculturative factors (e.g., English language use, nativity, and parental nativity) were strongly associated with increased substance use, and mediated some, but not all, of the observed ethnic disparities. This research demonstrates how the model minority stereotype may masks significant ethnic disparities within the Asian American population, and argues that a considerable proportion of the observed ethnic disparities in substance use stem from diverse family ties speeding transition to US cultural norms, away from the relatively salubrious norms of sending Asian nations.

References

Abraído-Lanza, Ana F., Maria T. Chao and Karen R. Florez. 2005. "Do Healthy Behaviors Decline with Greater Acculturation?: Implications for the Latino Mortality Paradox." *Social science & medicine* 61(6):1243-55.

Adkins, D. E., V. Wang, M. E. Dupre, Ejcg van den Oord and G. H. Elder. 2009. "Structure and Stress: Trajectories of Depressive Symptoms across Adolescence and Young Adulthood." *Social Forces* 88(1):31-60.

Becerra, Monideepa B., Patti Herring, Helen Hopp Marshak and Jim E. Banta. 2013. "Association between Acculturation and Binge Drinking among Asian-Americans: Results from the California Health Interview Survey." *Journal of Addiction* 2013. doi: 10.1155/2013/248196.

Bersamira, Clifford S., Yu-An Lin, Keunhye Park and Jeanne C. Marsh. 2017. "Drug Use among Asian Americans: Differentiating Use by Acculturation Status and Gender." *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 79:76-81. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2017.06.002.

Bollen, Kenneth A., Paul P. Biemer, Alan F. Karr, Stephen Tueller and Marcus E. Berzofsky. 2016. "Are Survey Weights Needed? A Review of Diagnostic Tests in Regression Analysis." 3(1):375-92. doi: 10.1146/annurev-statistics-011516-012958.

Brown, Tyson H. 2018. "Racial Stratification, Immigration, and Health Inequality: A Life Course-Intersectional Approach." *Social Forces* 96(4):1507-40. doi: 10.1093/sf/soy013 %J Social Forces.

Case, Anne and Angus Deaton. 2015. "Rising Morbidity and Mortality in Midlife among White Non-Hispanic Americans in the 21st Century." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(49):15078. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1518393112.

Choi, Yoonsun, Tracy W. Harachi, Mary Rogers Gillmore and Richard F. Catalano. 2006. "Are Multiracial Adolescents at Greater Risk? Comparisons of Rates, Patterns, and Correlates of Substance Use

and Violence between Monoracial and Multiracial Adolescents." *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry* 76(1):86-97. doi: 10.1037/0002-9432.76.1.86.

Cochran, Susan D., Vickie M. Mays, Margarita Alegria, Alexander N. Ortega and David Takeuchi. 2007. "Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders among Latino and Asian American Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults." *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 75(5):785-94. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.75.5.785.

Cook, Won Kim, Katherine J. Karriker-Jaffe, Jason Bond and Camillia Lui. 2015. "Asian American Problem Drinking Trajectories During the Transition to Adulthood: Ethnic Drinking Cultures and Neighborhood Contexts." *American journal of public health* 105(5):1020. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2014.302196.

Cook, Won Kim, Winston Tseng, Christina Tam, Iyanrick John and Camillia Lui. 2017. "Ethnic-Group Socioeconomic Status as an Indicator of Community-Level Disadvantage: A Study of Overweight/Obesity in Asian American Adolescents." *Soc Sci Med* 184:15-22. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.04.027.

Entzel, P. and J. R. Udry. 2009. "The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health: Research Design [Www Document]."

Fothergill, Kate, Margaret E. Ensminger, Elaine E. Doherty, Hee-Soon Juon and Kerry M. Green. 2016. "Pathways from Early Childhood Adversity to Later Adult Drug Use and Psychological Distress: A Prospective Study of a Cohort of African Americans." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 57(2):223-39. doi: 10.1177/0022146516646808.

Gelman, A. and J. Hill. 2006. *Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models*: Cambridge University Press.

Geronimus, A. T., J. Bound, T. A. Waidmann, J. M. Rodriguez and B. Timpe. 2019. "Weathering, Drugs, and Whack-a-Mole: Fundamental and Proximate Causes of Widening Educational Inequity in Us

Life Expectancy by Sex and Race, 1990-2015." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 60(2):222-39. doi: 10.1177/0022146519849932.

Hahm, Hyeouk, Judith Gonyea, Christine Chiao and Luca Koritsanszky. 2014. "Fractured Identity: A Framework for Understanding Young Asian American Women's Self-Harm and Suicidal Behaviors." *Race and Social Problems* 6(1):56-68. doi: 10.1007/s12552-014-9115-4.

Hahm, Hyeouk Chris, Frank Y. Wong, Zhihuan Jennifer Huang, Al Ozonoff and Jieha Lee. 2008. "Substance Use among Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders Sexual Minority Adolescents: Findings from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 42(3):275-83. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2007.08.021.

Hong, Jun Sung, Hui Huang, Bushra Sabri and Johnny S. Kim. 2011. "Substance Abuse among Asian American Youth: An Ecological Review of the Literature." *Children and Youth Services Review* 33(5):669-77. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2010.11.015.

Iwamoto, Derek, Stephanie Takamatsu and Jeanett Castellanos. 2012. "Binge Drinking and Alcohol-Related Problems among U.S.-Born Asian Americans." *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology* 18(3):219-27. doi: 10.1037/a0028422.

Iwamoto, Derek, C. W. Lejuez, Erica Hamilton and Margaux Grivel. 2015. "Model Minority Stereotype, Psychological Distress, Substance Use among Asian-American Young Adults." Pp. e146-e46, Vol. 146.

Iwamoto, Derek Kenji, Aylin Kaya, Margaux Grivel and Lauren Clinton. 2016. "Under-Researched Demographics: Heavy Episodic Drinking and Alcohol-Related Problems among Asian Americans." *Alcohol research : current reviews* 38(1):17.

Kline, David, Rebecca Andridge and Eloise Kaizar. 2017. "Comparing Multiple Imputation Methods for Systematically Missing Subject-Level Data." *Research Synthesis Methods* 8(2):136-48. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1192.

Kochhar, Rakesh and Anthony Cilluffo. 2018. "Income Inequality in the Us Is Rising Most Rapidly among Asians.”." *Pew Research Center report, Social and Demographic Trends, July* 12.

Le, Thao, Deborah Goebert and Judy Wallen. 2009. "Acculturation Factors and Substance Use among Asian American Youth." *The Journal of Primary Prevention* 30(3):453-73. doi: 10.1007/s10935-009-0184-x.

Le, Thao N. and Tomoko Kato. 2006. "The Role of Peer, Parent, and Culture in Risky Sexual Behavior for Cambodian and Lao/Mien Adolescents." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 38(3):288-96. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.12.005.

Lee, Jennifer and Frank D. Bean. 2004. "America's Changing Color Lines: Immigration, Race/Ethnicity, and Multiracial Identification." *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 30:221-42.

Lee, Jennifer. 2015. *The Asian American Achievement Paradox*, Edited by M. Zhou: New York : Russell Sage Foundation.

Lee, Katherine J. and John B. Carlin. 2010. "Multiple Imputation for Missing Data: Fully Conditional Specification Versus Multivariate Normal Imputation." *American Journal of Epidemiology* 171(5):624-32. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwp425 %J American Journal of Epidemiology.

Liu, William Ming and Derek Kenji Iwamoto. 2007. "Conformity to Masculine Norms, Asian Values, Coping Strategies, Peer Group Influences and Substance Use among Asian American Men." *Psychology of Men & Masculinity* 8(1):25-39. doi: 10.1037/1524-9220.8.1.25.

López, Gustavo, Neil G. Ruiz and Eileen Patten. 2017. "Key Facts About Asian Americans, a Diverse and Growing Population." *Pew Research Center. Accessed February* 9:2018.

Lui, P. Priscilla and Byron L. Zamboanga. 2018. "Acculturation and Alcohol Use among Asian Americans: A Meta-Analytic Review." *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors* 32(2):173-86. doi: 10.1037/adb0000340.

Martell, Brandi N., Bridgette E. Garrett and Ralph S. Caraballo. 2016. "Disparities in Adult Cigarette Smoking--United States, 2002-2005 and 2010-2013." *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 65(30):753. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6530a1.

Merline, Alicia C., Patrick M. O'Malley, John E. Schulenberg, Jerald G. Bachman and Lloyd D. Johnston. 2004. "Substance Use among Adults 35 Years of Age: Prevalence, Adulthood Predictors, and Impact of Adolescent Substance Use." *The American Journal of Public Health* 94(1):96. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.94.1.96.

Nelson, Sarah C., Nazneen F. Bahrassa, Moin Syed and Richard M. Lee. 2015. "Transitions in Young Adulthood: Exploring Trajectories of Parent–Child Conflict During College." *Journal of Counseling Psychology* 62(3):545-51. doi: 10.1037/cou0000078.

Park, So-Youn, Jeane Anastas, Tazuko Shibusawa and Duy Nguyen. 2014. "The Impact of Acculturation and Acculturative Stress on Alcohol Use across Asian Immigrant Subgroups." *Substance Use & Misuse, 2014, Vol.49(8), p.922-931* 49(8):922-31. doi: 10.3109/10826084.2013.855232.

Qian, Zhenchao and Daniel T. Lichter. 2011. "Changing Patterns of Interracial Marriage in a Multiracial Society." *Journal of Marriage and Family* 73(5):1065-84. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-3737.2011.00866.x.

Royston, Patrick and Willi Sauerbrei. 2007. "Multivariable Modeling with Cubic Regression Splines: A Principled Approach." *The Stata Journal* 7(1):45-70. doi: 10.1177/1536867X0700700103.

Ruhm, C. J. 2017. "Geographic Variation in Opioid and Heroin Involved Drug Poisoning Mortality Rates." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 53(6):745-53. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2017.06.009.

Ruhm, C. J. 2018. "Drug Mortality and Lost Life Years among Us Midlife Adults, 1999-2015." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 55(1):11-18. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2018.03.014.

Ryabov, Igor. 2015. "Relation of Peer Effects and School Climate to Substance Use among Asian American Adolescents." *Journal of Adolescence* 42(C):115-27. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2015.04.007.

Saraiya, T., K. Z. Smith, A. N. C. Campbell and D. Hien. 2019. "Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms, Shame, and Substance Use among Asian Americans." *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 96:1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.jsat.2018.10.002.

Shanahan, L., S. N. Hill, L. M. Gaydosh, A. Steinhoff, E. J. Costello, K. A. Dodge, K. M. Harris and W. E. Copeland. 2019. "Does Despair Really Kill? A Roadmap for an Evidence-Based Answer." *American Journal of Public Health* 109(6):854-58. doi: 10.2105/ajph.2019.305016.

Subica, Andrew M. and Li-Tzy Wu. 2018. "Substance Use and Suicide in Pacific Islander, American Indian, and Multiracial Youth." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 54(6):795-805. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2018.02.003.

Telzer, Eva, Nancy Gonzales and Andrew Fuligni. 2014. "Family Obligation Values and Family Assistance Behaviors: Protective and Risk Factors for Mexican–American Adolescents' Substance Use." *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 43(2):270-83. doi: 10.1007/s10964-013-9941-5.

Thai, Nghi D., Christian M. Connell and Jacob Kraemer Tebes. 2010. "Substance Use among Asian American Adolescents: Influence of Race, Ethnicity, and Acculturation in the Context of Key Risk and Protective Factors." *Asian American Journal of Psychology* 1(4):261-74. doi: 10.1037/a0021703.

Tosh, Aneesh K. and Patricia S. Simmons. 2007. "Sexual Activity and Other Risk-Taking Behaviors among Asian-American Adolescents." *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology* 20(1):29-34. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2006.10.010.

von Hippel, Paul T. 2018. "How Many Imputations Do You Need? A Two-Stage Calculation Using a Quadratic Rule." *Sociological Methods & Research*: In press. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124117747303. doi: 10.1177/0049124117747303.

White, Ian R, Patrick Royston and Angela M Wood. 2011. "Multiple Imputation Using Chained Equations: Issues and Guidance for Practice." *Statistics in Medicine* 30(4):377-99.

WHO, World Health Organization. 2015. *Who Global Report on Trends in Prevalence of Tobacco Smoking 2015*: World Health Organization.

Yoo, Grace J., Mai-Nhung Le and Alan Y. Oda. 2013. *Handbook of Asian American Health*: New York : Springer.

Yoo, Hyung, Gilbert Gee, Craig Lowthrop and Joanne Robertson. 2010. "Self-Reported Racial Discrimination and Substance Use among Asian Americans in Arizona." *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health* 12(5):683-90. doi: 10.1007/s10903-009-9306-z.

Tables

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the analysis sample (MI=30)

Variable	N	min	max	Mean	SD
Latent Variables					
Illicit Hard Drugs	7915	-0.42	3.64	-0.08	0.75
Individual Substances					
Smoking	7915	0	1	0.26	0.44
Drinking	7915	0	1	0.49	0.5
Cannabis Use	7915	0	1	0.33	0.47
Cocaine	7915	0	1	0.05	0.22
Methamphetamine	4749†	0	1	0.05	0.21
Other Drugs	7915	0	1	0.09	0.29
Race/Ethnicities					
Chinese	7915	0	1	0.17	0.38
Filipino	7915	0	1	0.35	0.48
Japanese	7915	0	1	0.03	0.16
Asian Indian	7915	0	1	0.02	0.14
Korean	7915	0	1	0.06	0.23
Vietnamese	7915	0	1	0.05	0.22
Other Asian	7915	0	1	0.11	0.32
Multiethnic Asian	7915	0	1	0.08	0.27
Multiracial Asian	7915	0	1	0.14	0.35
Acculturation Variables					
Generation 1	7915	0	1	0.35	0.48
Generation 2	7915	0	1	0.31	0.46
Generation 3	7915	0	1	0.34	0.47
English Use	7915	0	1	0.67	0.45
Controls					
Age	7915	12	43	25.3	8.3
Sex	7915	0	1	0.48	0.5
Parental Education (Mean)	7915	-5.5	4.03	0.58	1.93
Household Income (Logged)	7915	-2.87	3.27	0.09	0.8

† Note: No information on methamphetamine use was available in the waves 1 & 2.

s

Table 2: Distribution of generation status across Asian ethnicities (MI=30)

	Generation Status							
	Generation 1		Generation 2		Generation 3			
Race/Ethnicity	*Percent*	*N*	*Percent*	*N*	*Percent*	*N*	*Total N*	*Total obs*
Chinese	32%	85	29%	77	39%	103	268	1340
Filipino	49%	272	33%	182	17%	95	551	2755
Japanese	7%	3	13%	5	81%	34	42	210
Asian Indian	29%	9	46%	14	24%	8	31	155
Korean	48%	44	21%	19	30%	27	91	455
Vietnamese	54%	41	31%	24	14%	11	77	385
Other Asian	25%	44	38%	67	37%	66	178	890
Multiethnic	16%	20	18%	23	66%	82	125	625
Multiracial	13%	29	35%	78	51%	113	220	1100
Total		547		489		540	1583	7915

Table 3. Generalized linear mixed model coefficients (logistic link) predicting regular tobacco (smoking) and alcohol use by ethnicity, sociodemographics, acculturative factors across Add Health Waves 1-5 (MI=30)

	Tobacco (Model 1)	Tobacco (Model 2)	Tobacco (Model 3)	Alcohol (Model 4)	Alcohol (Model 5)	Alcohol (Model 6)
Ethnicity						
Chinese (ref.)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Filipino	1.589***	1.945***	2.018***	-0.00907	-0.178	-0.190
	(5.50)	(5.66)	(5.74)	(-0.08)	(-1.21)	(-1.29)
Japanese	1.691**	2.055**	1.420	0.305	0.120	-0.0862
	(2.85)	(2.78)	(1.94)	(1.17)	(0.37)	(-0.27)
Asian Indian	0.538	0.613	0.516	0.0821	-0.0278	-0.0858
	(0.77)	(0.73)	(0.62)	(0.28)	(-0.08)	(-0.24)
Korean	1.896***	2.566***	2.730***	0.100	0.154	0.199
	(4.28)	(4.68)	(5.00)	(0.51)	(0.63)	(0.82)
Vietnamese	0.278	0.401	0.710	-0.392	-0.309	-0.212
	(0.54)	(0.66)	(1.13)	(-1.85)	(-1.18)	(-0.81)
Other Asian	2.064***	2.629***	2.326***	-0.307*	-0.324	-0.433*
	(5.87)	(6.10)	(5.37)	(-2.01)	(-1.77)	(-2.37)
Multiethnic Asian	2.471***	3.278***	2.621***	0.307	0.439*	0.218
	(6.34)	(6.69)	(5.36)	(1.79)	(2.10)	(1.03)
Multiracial Asian	2.289***	3.086***	2.465***	0.560***	0.703***	0.483**
	(6.69)	(7.28)	(5.85)	(3.92)	(4.06)	(2.72)
Sociodemographics						
Age		0.863***	0.866***		0.518***	0.519***
		(14.64)	(14.67)		(14.56)	(14.66)
Age squared		-0.013***	-0.013***		-0.008***	-0.008***
		(-12.86)	(-12.89)		(-11.44)	(-11.49)
Female		-1.057***	-0.998***		-0.341***	-0.325***
		(-4.61)	(-4.39)		(-3.58)	(-3.43)
Parental Education		-0.0791	-0.0915		0.0478	0.0409
		(-1.14)	(-1.33)		(1.60)	(1.37)
Household Income		0.271	0.0694		0.363***	0.296***
		(1.46)	(0.36)		(4.45)	(3.52)
Acculturative factors						
1st gen (immigrant) (ref.)			-			-
2nd gen (child of immigrant)			1.076***			0.281*
			(3.56)			(2.09)
3rd gen +			1.435***			0.367*
			(4.59)			(2.59)
English use			0.760***			0.331**
			(3.38)			(2.97)
Intercept	-3.886***	-17.19***	-18.33***	-0.108	-7.742***	-8.131***
	(-14.42)	(-18.22)	(-18.65)	(-1.08)	(-16.67)	(-17.23)
Random intercept variance	9.531***	17.73***	16.34***	1.003***	1.667***	1.612***
	(9.91)	(8.15)	(8.19)	(9.54)	(10.23)	(10.08)
N	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583
Obs	7915	7915	7915	7915	7915	7915

t statistics in parentheses

* p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001

Table 4. Generalized linear mixed model coefficients predicting cannabis use (logistic link) and illicit substance use (linear/identity link) by ethnicity, sociodemographics, acculturative factors across Add Health Waves 1-5 (MI=30)

	Cannabis (Model 1)	Cannabis (Model 2)	Cannabis (Model 3)	Illicit subs (Model 4)	Illicit subs (Model 5)	Illicit subs (Model 6)
Ethnicity						
Chinese (ref.)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Filipino	0.678***	0.697**	0.704**	0.0887*	0.0715	0.0645
	(3.60)	(2.98)	(2.98)	(2.37)	(1.90)	(1.68)
Japanese	0.887*	0.745	0.341	0.189*	0.165	0.102
	(2.30)	(1.58)	(0.73)	(2.18)	(1.90)	(1.16)
Asian Indian	0.836	0.890	0.720	0.190*	0.182	0.167
	(1.91)	(1.63)	(1.34)	(1.99)	(1.91)	(1.77)
Korean	0.504	0.698	0.822*	0.111	0.126*	0.134*
	(1.74)	(1.94)	(2.35)	(1.76)	(2.00)	(2.16)
Vietnamese	-0.320	-0.148	0.0572	0.0248	0.0395	0.0656
	(-0.89)	(-0.32)	(0.13)	(0.37)	(0.59)	(0.97)
Other Asian	0.752**	1.031***	0.775**	0.0952	0.0967	0.0674
	(3.29)	(3.57)	(2.69)	(1.92)	(1.94)	(1.34)
Multiethnic Asian	1.459***	1.898***	1.448***	0.275***	0.282***	0.215***
	(5.70)	(6.00)	(4.47)	(4.84)	(4.97)	(3.69)
Multiracial Asian	1.738***	2.226***	1.745***	0.296***	0.307***	0.245***
	(7.74)	(7.97)	(6.13)	(6.48)	(6.68)	(5.21)
Sociodemographics						
Age		0.204***	0.204***		0.101***	0.102***
		(5.27)	(5.28)		(12.34)	(12.38)
Age squared		-0.001	-0.001		-0.002***	-0.002***
		(-1.91)	(-1.90)		(-10.61)	(-10.63)
Female		-0.828***	-0.783***		-0.106***	-0.103***
		(-5.66)	(-5.46)		(-4.20)	(-4.08)
Parental Education		0.0465	0.0375		-0.00289	-0.00527
		(0.99)	(0.80)		(-0.37)	(-0.67)
Household Income		0.487***	0.330*		0.0441	0.0275
		(3.83)	(2.61)		(1.89)	(1.14)
Acculturative factors						
1st gen (immigrant) (ref.)			-			-
2nd gen (child of immigrant)			0.999***			0.0435
			(4.35)			(1.18)
3rd gen +			0.983***			0.0904*
			(4.48)			(2.26)
English use			0.590***			0.102***
			(3.55)			(3.55)
Intercept	-1.822***	-6.118***	-7.041***	-0.200***	-1.579***	-1.675***
	(-11.07)	(-11.72)	(-12.91)	(-6.50)	(-14.87)	(-15.32)
Random intercept variance	2.994***	4.854***	4.438***	0.137***	0.141***	0.135***
	(10.02)	(10.12)	(10.03)	(23.32)	(23.51)	(23.17)
N	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583
Obs	7915	7915	7915	7915	7915	7915

t statistics in parentheses
* p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001

Figures

Figure 1: Bar graphs showing mean tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and illicit substance use across Asian ethnicities and immigrant generations. For each substance, ethnicities are ordered in ascending mean substance use prevalence. Asterisks indicate statistical significance of nativity effects on substance use prevalence, within each ethnicity (using random intercept generalized linear mixed model). (MI=30)



Figure 2: Smoothed substance use means by age and Asian ethnicity for tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and illicit substances (median splines with 4 knots). Variable Y axes used to maximize acuity. (MI=30)



Source: The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health, Waves 1-5

Appendix 1: Missing data in the sample

	Full Sample			Asian Sample Only		
Variables	*Missing*	*% Missing*	*N*	*Missing*	*%Missing*	*N*
Missing in Substance Use indicators, W1						
Smoking	87	0.43%	20,275	35	2.21%	1,585
Drinking	71	0.35%	20,275	32	2.02%	1,585
Marijuana Use	338	1.67%	20,275	61	3.85%	1,585
Cocaine Use	346	1.71%	20,275	59	3.72%	1,585
Other Drugs	344	1.70%	20,275	61	3.85%	1,585
Missing in Substance Use indicators, W2						
Smoking	6,043	29.81%	20,275	532	33.56%	1,585
Drinking	6,086	30.02%	20,275	536	33.82%	1,585
Marijuana Use	6,133	30.25%	20,275	540	34.07%	1,585
Cocaine Use	6,138	30.27%	20,275	542	34.20%	1,585
Other Drugs	6,129	30.23%	20,275	542	34.20%	1,585
Missing in Substance Use indicators, W3						
Smoking	5,608	27.66%	20,275	407	25.68%	1,585
Drinking	5,651	27.87%	20,275	412	25.99%	1,585
Marijuana Use	5,594	27.59%	20,275	403	25.43%	1,585
Cocaine Use	5,585	27.55%	20,275	403	25.43%	1,585
Meth Use	5,588	27.56%	20,275	403	25.43%	1,585
Other Drugs	5,593	27.59%	20,275	404	25.49%	1,585
Missing in Substance Use indicators, W4						
Smoking	5,083	25.07%	20,275	561	35.39%	1,585
Drinking	5,098	25.14%	20,275	561	35.39%	1,585
Marijuana Use	5,169	25.49%	20,275	565	35.65%	1,585
Cocaine Use	5,152	25.41%	20,275	565	35.65%	1,585
Meth Use	5,142	25.36%	20,275	565	35.65%	1,585
Other Drugs	5,149	25.40%	20,275	565	35.65%	1,585
Missing in Substance Use indicators, W5						
Smoking	16,915	83.43%	20,275	1,368	86.31%	1,585
Drinking	16,905	83.38%	20,275	1,366	86.18%	1,585
Marijuana Use	16,932	83.51%	20,275	1,368	86.31%	1,585
Cocaine Use	16,928	83.49%	20,275	1,368	86.31%	1,585
Meth Use	16,934	83.52%	20,275	1,367	86.25%	1,585
Other Drugs	16,902	83.36%	20,275	1,366	86.18%	1,585
Missing in English use, W1-W3						
English Use Wave 1	29	0.14%	20,275	29	1.83%	1,585
English Use Wave 2	6,036	29.77%	20,275	532	33.56%	1,585
English Use Wave 3	5,577	27.51%	20,275	401	25.30%	1,585
Missing in Sociodemographics, W1						
Generational Status	702	3.46%	20,275	269	16.97%	1,585
Race/Ethnicity	52	0.26%	20,275	52	3.28%	1,585
Mean Parental Education	759	3.74%	20,275	129	8.13%	1,585
Household Income (Logged)	5,582	27.53%	20,275	1,081	68.13%	1585
Sex	31	0.15%	20,275	31	1.95%	1585
Age	46	0.22%	20,275	38	2.40%	1,585

Note: No data were collected for Language use variables in Waves 4 & 5, imputed these as the average of the data available in previous waves.