Antihypertensive medications as repurposed treatments for mood disorders: analysis of linked healthcare data for 1.8 million patients.

Authors: Richard J Shaw,[1] Daniel Mackay,[1] Jill P. Pell,[1] Sandosh Padmanabhan,[2] David S. Bailey,[3] Daniel J. Smith[1]

1. Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
2. Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, UK
3. Information Services Division, NHS National Services Scotland, Edinburgh, UK

Financial support

This project was supported by The Chief Scientist Office of Scotland award (D.J.S, D.M, J.P.P, S.P., grant number 173162-01) and the UK MRC Mental Health Data Pathfinder Award (D.J.S., J.P.P., grant number MC_PC_17217).

Conflicts of interest

None.

Word Count: 3,876

Correspondence to:

Dr. Richard Shaw

Address: Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Public Health, 1 Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow, G12 8RZ, UK.

Telephone : +44 (0) 141 330 2334.

Email: Richard.Shaw@glasgow.ac.uk.

Abstract

Background: Recent work suggests that antihypertensive medications may be useful as repurposed treatments for mood disorders. Using large-scale linked healthcare data we investigated whether certain classes of antihypertensive, such as angiotensin antagonists and calcium channel blockers, may have potential as repurposed treatments for Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder.

Method: Two cohorts of patients treated with antihypertensives were identified from Scottish prescribing (2009-2016) and hospital admission (1981-2016) records. Eligibility for cohort membership was determined by receipt of a minimum of four prescriptions for antihypertensives within a 12-month window. One treatment cohort (n=538,730) included patients with no previous history of mood disorder, whereas the other (n=262,278) included those who did. Both cohorts were matched by age, sex and area deprivation to untreated comparators. Associations between antihypertensive treatment and new-onset MDD or bipolar episodes were investigated using Cox regression.

Results: For patients without a history of mood disorder, antihypertensives were associated with increased risk of new-onset MDD. This was most marked for beta-blockers, with a hazard ratio (HR) of 2.68 (95%CI 2.45-2.92). For angiotensin antagonist monotherapy, the HR for new-onset MDD was 1.17 (95%CI 1.04-1.31). Some classes of antihypertensive were associated with protection against bipolar disorder, particularly angiotensin antagonists (HR 0.46; 95%CI 0.30-0.70). For patients with a past history of mood disorders, all classes of antihypertensives were associated with increased risk of future episodes of MDD.

Conclusions: There was no evidence that antihypertensive medications prevented new episodes of MDD but angiotensin antagonists may represent a novel treatment avenue for bipolar disorder.

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder (BD) are leading causes of disability globally (Ferrari *et al.*, 2016, Vos *et al.*, 2016). More than one third of MDD patients will not respond to first-line antidepressants (Linde *et al.*, 2015) and BD is challenging to treat, with antidepressants ineffective for most patients (Sidor and Macqueen, 2011). In recent years there has been very little progress in the development of new medications for mood disorders but there is currently considerable interest in the possibility of repurposing medications from other areas of medicine. For example, it has been suggested that certain classes of antihypertensive medication (particularly calcium-channel blockers, CCBs and angiotensin antagonists, AA) may have a role as repurposed treatments for MDD or BD (Harrison *et al.*, 2016, Harrison *et al.*, 2019, Saavedra, 2017, Vian *et al.*, 2017).

To date, the evidence for repurposing antihypertensive drugs to treat MDD is limited (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2019, Vian *et al.*, 2017). AAs have been reported in small observational studies to be associated with better mental health outcomes (Ahola *et al.*, 2014, Boal *et al.*, 2016, Brownstein *et al.*, 2018, Johansen *et al.*, 2012, Nasr *et al.*, 2011, Williams *et al.*, 2016). However, a recent large linkage study found that although initial prescriptions for AAs were associated with increased risk of depression and bipolar disorder, people receiving longer-term prescriptions for AAs were not at increased risk (Kessing *et al.*, 2019a, Kessing *et al.*, 2019b).

Since the 1980s, CCBs such as verapamil have been suggested as possible treatments for mania (Celano *et al.*, 2011). This was not supported by a review of six double-blind randomised studies and 17 observational studies which found that no evidence for efficacy of CCBs in mania (Cipriani *et al.*, 2016). Nonetheless, despite the relatively limited evidence base to date, CCBs remain candidates for repurposing in BD because of their biological plausibility (Cipriani *et al.*, 2016).

There is very little published work supporting the repurposing of other antihypertensive drug classes for MDD or BD. Case reports and some clinical trials have found that beta-blockers (BB) (particularly propranolol) may be associated with increased depressive features (Luijendijk and Koolman, 2012, Verbeek *et al.*) (although recent observational work suggests that BBs have little influence on mood disorder outcomes (Boal *et al.*, 2016, Johansen *et al.*, 2012, Ko *et al.*, 2002, Luijendijk and Koolman, 2012, Nasr *et al.*, 2011, Ranchord *et al.*, 2016, Verbeek *et al.*)). It is possible that depressogenic effects are restricted to more lipophilic BB (such as propranolol) which cross the blood-brain barrier (Thiessen *et al.*, 1990, Verbeek *et al.*). For other antihypertensive drugs, such as diuretics and centrally acting agents, almost no evidence of any influence on mood disorder outcomes has so far

been described (Celano *et al.*, 2011, Coyne *et al.*, 2002, Hayes *et al.*, 2019, Huffman and Stern, 2007, Nasr *et al.*, 2011, Williams *et al.*, 2016).

Our primary goal was to use Scottish national-level routine healthcare data on over 1.8 million individuals (representing more than 6 million person-years of follow-up) to assess whether patients treated with specific classes of antihypertensive medication as monotherapy were less likely to experience new-onset mood disorder episodes.

Methods

Data Sources

Within the National Services Scotland Safehaven, we created two datasets of cohorts and comparison groups by linking data from: the Community Health Index; Scottish Morbidity Records (SMR) datasets including SMR00 (Outpatient Attendance) from 1997 to 2016, SMR01 (General/Acute Inpatient and Day Case) from 1981 to 2016, and SMR04 (Mental Health Inpatient and Day Cases) from 1981 to 2016; the Prescribing Information System (PIS) from 2009 to 2016; and the National Records of Scotland death certificates from 1981 to 2016. Cohort 1 included patients treated with new-onset antihypertensive treatment who had no previous record of mood disorder. Cohort 2 included patients with new-onset antihypertensive treatment plus a past record of mood disorder. Ethical approval for the project was obtained from the Public Benefit and Privacy Panel at National Health Services Scotland Information and Statistics division.

Time periods in which potential participants were eligible for inclusion were defined on the basis of prescriptions for antihypertensive medications, as well as prescriptions and hospital admission records for psychiatric disorders. To define the cohorts, we initially used PIS data from January 2009 to December 2016 to identify individuals who had a minimum of 4 prescriptions for antihypertensives (defined using British National Formulary (BNF)(Joint Formulary Committee, 2019) sections and paragraphs 2.2, 2.4, 2.5.5, and 2.6.2) within a window of up to 12 months, preceded by 6 months of no antihypertensive treatment record. Patients were then excluded from cohort 1 and included in cohort 2 if they had been prescribed psychiatric medication (indicated by BNF sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.3) within the same window. Following that, we also excluded people from cohort 1 and included them in cohort 2 if they had been admitted to hospital for psychiatric treatment (as indicated by a clinic appointment with the general psychiatry speciality in SMR00 database, ICD10 codes F10-F48, X60-X84 and Z91.5 and ICD9 codes 290-301 and 303-305 in the SMR01 database and a record in the SMR04 databases) during the antihypertensive treatment window and for the preceding 10 years. At this stage, the number of potential cohort 1 members was 968,930 for cohort

1 (See supplementary Figure S1), and for potential cohort 2 members this number was 555,975 (See supplementary Figure S2).

Given that the pool of people for the comparison group was limited, for both cohorts we selected individuals who had an eligible period that ended after 31/12/2009. We aimed to match cohort members with comparisons using a 1:1 ratio for cohort 1 and 1:2 for cohort 2. Comparisons for each cohort were initially selected on the criteria that they had received no antihypertensive medication between 2009 and 2016, and then on the same criteria as their corresponding cohort with respect to psychiatric treatment. Matching was on the basis of age (+/- 2 years), sex and Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation. Subsequently, cohort-comparison pairs were excluded from analysis if they either had a death record prior to the end of their eligible treatment window, or were outside the age range of 18 to 100. This resulted in 538,789 cohort-comparison pairs for cohort 1, and 272,278 cohort 2 members matched to 502,937 comparators.

Antihypertensive monotherapy and polytherapy status

Participants were identified for treatment on the basis of prescribing records for antihypertensive medication within the 2009-2016 PIS dataset. These codes were then used to classify participants into specific classes on the basis of the prescription of thiazide diuretics, beta-blockers (BB), angiotensin antagonists (AA) (including ACE-inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) and renin inhibitors), and calcium channel blockers (CCBs). Patients were included in antihypertensive monotherapy groups if during the 12 months prior to the end date of the eligibility period they received 4 or more prescriptions from a single class of antihypertensive treatment and no prescriptions for any of the other classes. For the analysis of monotherapy, individuals were subsequently censored at the date on which they received a prescription for an antihypertensive drug outside their monotherapy class. Patients were considered to be on polytherapy if during the last three months of the eligible treatment window they received antihypertensive medication from two or more of thiazide diuretics, BBs, AA or CCBs. Remaining study participants who had received at least four antihypertensive treatments but were not eligible for the monotherapy or polytherapy groups were classified as 'other antihypertensive.'

Outcome measures

We had two outcome measures indicating new-onset of treatment for episodes of either MDD or BD, indicated by receipt of medications (PIS) or psychiatric admissions (SMR04) subsequent to the end date of the eligibility window used to define the cohorts. Using PIS data, new onset of treatment for MDD was identified by the prescription of any antidepressant drugs (BNF section 4.3), and new

onset of BD was identified by a prescription for antipsychotics and drugs used for mania and hypomania (BNF section 4.2). Similarly, the 'main' and 'other diagnoses' fields in SMR04 were used to identify first episodes for treatment for MDD or BD (ICD10 codes F32 and F33 used to indicate MDD and ICD10 codes F30 and F31 used to indicate BD).

Confounding variables

Medical comorbidities for study members were defined by having ever received specific prescriptions or attended hospital for specific conditions as indicated in available PIS, SMR00, SMR01 and SMR04 records, up to end date of the eligibility window.

Using PIS data, ever prescribed cardiovascular medication (other than antihypertensives) was defined using prescriptions for drugs from BNF sections or paragraphs 2.1, 2.3, 2.6.1, 2.6.3, 2.6.4, 2.9 and 2.12, and treatment for diabetes was indicated by receipt of prescriptions of antidiabetic medications (BNF chapter 6.1.2.)

Confounding variables were derived from hospital records based on specific ICD10 and ICD9 codes, as indicated in the 'main' and 'other conditions' for SMR00, SMR01 and SMR04 and 'main' admission and 'other' admission condition for SMR04. History of cardiovascular disease was defined using ICD10 codes I20-I25, and I60-I69, and ICD 9 codes 410-414 and 430-438. Head injuries were identified using ICD 10 codes S02.0, S02.1, S02.7 S06, S07 and ICD9 codes 800-804 and 850-854. Substance abuse was identified using ICD codes F10 to F19, and ICD9 codes 292, 292, 303 to 305. Self-harm was identified using ICD codes X60-X84 and Z91.5 and ICD 9 codes E950 – E958.

Additional confounding variables were defined for cohort 2 who had a history mental illness or mood disorders. PIS data was used to identify people who had been prescribed the following types of pharmaceutical treatment: Hypnotics or Anxiolytics (BNF Section 4.1), antidepressants (BNF Section 4.3), or drugs used in psychoses and related disorders (BNF Section 4.2). Hospital admissions records were used to identify people who had been admitted to hospital for the following conditions: Schizophrenia and delusional disorders (ICD10 Codes F10- F19 and ICD9 codes 291-292 and 303- 305), MDD (ICD10 codes F32-F33, and ICD9 codes 296.2-296.3), BD (ICD10 codes F30-F32, and ICD9 codes 296.0, 296.1, and 296.9) and personality disorders (ICD10 codes F60-F69, and ICD9 code 301).

Data analysis

All analyses were carried out within the National Safehaven using Stata 14.0 MP. The curves for first onset of mood disorder by therapy classes are presented using cumulative distributive functions, which are calculated as 100 percent minus the Kaplan-Meier estimate (Cleves *et al.*, 2016). Data

were analysed using Cox proportional hazards models, which were stratified by cohort and control pairs, to investigate the relationship between specific therapy classes and new-onset of MDD or BD following the end of the 12 month eligibility window, after adjustment for age and the other medication and hospital admission variables. Preliminary analyses suggested that the proportional hazards assumption was violated; consequently this was addressed using a Heaviside function (Kleinbaum and Klein, 2010) with separate hazard ratios calculated for the specific therapy classes for the following five time periods: 0 to 3 months, >3 to 6 months, >6 to 12 months, >1 year to 2 years and >2 years .

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics for cohort 1 are shown in table 1. Cohort 2 is presented in Supplementary Table S1. The mean age of the different antihypertensive treatment groups varied, with patients on BBs having the youngest mean age of 53.4 years, while those in the 'other antihypertensive' group had the oldest mean age of 66.6 years. Patients receiving thiazide diuretics, BBs and other patterns of antihypertensive treatments were more likely to be women, while those receiving AAs, CCBs, and polytherapy were more likely to be men. There were small differences between the groups in terms of area deprivation, with patients receiving thiazides the most affluent and those on other medications the least affluent.

Medical history and mood disorder outcomes are also shown in table 1 for cohort 1 and in Supplementary Table S2 for cohort 2. As might be expected for the comparison group, both the percentages of people having been admitted to hospital for cardiovascular disease and receiving prescriptions for other cardiovascular medicines were lower than the equivalent figures for all the antihypertensive treatment groups. However, for the other medical history measures the comparison groups fell within the range of the antihypertensive treatment groups.

Cumulative distribution functions

The cumulative distribution functions for new-onset of an episode of MDD by therapy class are shown in Figure 1a for cohort 1 (See Supplementary Figure S3a for cohort 2). Numbers at risk and number of failures for both the treatment cohorts are shown in Supplementary Table S3. The main difference between the two cohorts was the expected higher incidence rates of MDD episodes in the first 0-3 months for cohort 2, reflecting that (by definition) these patients already had a record of mood disorder. The comparison groups for both cohorts had the lowest risk of receiving treatment for MDD throughout the follow-up period, and patients receiving BB monotherapy had the highest risk for MDD episodes. The curve for the 'other antihypertensive' treatment group in cohort 1 was

similar to the curve for those receiving BBs in that cohort. Among all the antihypertensive monotherapy groups, those receiving AAs had the lowest risk of new-onset MDD.

The cumulative distribution functions for new-onset BD episodes by antihypertensive monotherapy group are shown in Figure 1b. The equivalent figure for cohort 2 is shown in Supplementary Figure S3b. For cohort 1, the 'other antihypertensive' group was more likely to receive treatment for new-onset BD compared to all the other classes of treatment. The comparison group curve lay between the curves for the other antihypertensive classes, and people receiving polytherapy and AAs were somewhat less likely to be treated for BD than the other therapy classes. Once the (expected) sharp incidence rate is accounted for in cohort 2, curves were similar to cohort 1.

Cox proportional hazard models for new-onset of depression

The hazard ratios (after adjustment for hospital treatment for cardiovascular disease, substance abuse, head injury, self-harm, and pharmaceutical treatment for other cardiovascular or diabetic drugs) for the relationship between receiving a specific class of antihypertensive and new-onset MDD over time for people without a prior history of mood disorder (cohort 1) are shown in Figure 2.

For people receiving most monotherapy treatments and the polytherapy group there was a small but consistently elevated hazard ratio of around 1.2, which declined with time. For people receiving 'other antihypertensive' treatments, there was a slightly higher hazard ratio of around 1.5 for all time points. In contrast, the hazard ratio (HR) for people treated with BBs was initially high at 2.68 (95% Cl, 2.45-2.92) in the first three months, before declining to 2.01 (95% CI, 1.88-2.13) after 6 months, and 1.44 (95% CI, 1.38- 1.50) at two years.

We explored the possibility that any associations were restricted to sub-groups among AAs and BBs. During the first three months the hazard ratios for participants being treated with propranolol (N = 30,478) was 4.80 (95% CI 4.22-5.46), with this falling over time (3 to 6 months: HR 3.74, 95% CI 3.31-4.23, 6 to 12 months: HR 3.29, 95% CI 2.99-3.62, 1 to 2 years: HR 2.73, 95% CI 2.51-2.97) to just 2.04 (95% CI, 1.91-2.17) after 2 years. In contrast, the associations for atenolol (n = 16,650), other beta blockers (N = 26,868) and the two subclasses of AAs (ACE inhibitors, N = 105,064, or ARB, N = 16,071) were consistently weak, with a hazard ratio of around 1.1.

There was greater consistency of relationships between all therapy classes of antihypertensive treatment and new-onset MDD episodes for people with a prior history of mood disorder (cohort 2; Supplementary : Figure S4). For most antihypertensive groups, the hazard ratios were around 1.4 at 0-3 months and about 3 for between 3-6 months, with hazard ratios continuing to increase thereafter. However, BBs appeared to have a slightly stronger association at 0 to 3 months (HR 1.93,

95% CI 1.90-1.96) and at three to six months (HR 3.83, 95% CI 3.63-3.04). Further analyses indicated that elevated hazard ratios for people on BBs were restricted to people who had been prescribed propranolol.

Cox proportional hazard models for new-onset bipolar disorder

In adjusted analyses for people with no previous history of mood disorder (cohort 1), most therapy classes of antihypertensive drugs were initially associated with reduced risk of BD episodes, with the risk subsequently increasing towards a null association over time (see Figure 3). The exceptions were those on BBs and other treatment groups, for whom there appeared to be some limited evidence of association with BD outcomes. As before, we investigated subgroups within AA and BBs. The associations for both ACE inhibitors and ARBs were the same as for angiotensin antagonists combined, and participants who were treated with atenolol and other BBs also had a reduced risk of being treated for BD. In contrast, those treated with propranolol had a much higher risk of being treated for BD at 0-3 months (HR 3.33, 95% CI 1.08-10.27), with the risk falling gradually thereafter.

A slightly more complex pattern was evident in adjusted analyses for those with a prior history of mood disorder (cohort 2; Supplementary Figure S5). Most antihypertensive classes were initially associated with a small reduction in risk during the first 3 months. However, this tended to change over time and after a year was associated with increased risk of BD. The exceptions to this were the 'other antihypertensive' group and BBs, which were both associated with increased risk of new-onset treatment for BD. As above, additional analyses indicated that this elevated risk for BBs was restricted to propranolol.

Discussion

Overall, our findings do not provide support for the repurposing of antihypertensive drugs as treatments for depression. Relative to the comparison group, most classes of antihypertensive were associated with small increased risks of being treated for MDD and a slightly lower risk of being treated for new-onset BD. The main exceptions to this were for patients treated with BBs, which were associated with increased risk of subsequent treatment for both MDD and BD (this is perhaps unsurprising given the widespread use of BBs as anxiolytics).

Our results are consistent with studies suggesting that propranolol may be associated with increased long-term risk of mood disorders (Luijendijk and Koolman, 2012, Verbeek *et al.*) Additionally, given our study design, our findings are consistent with of the work of Kessign and colleagues (Kessing *et al.*, 2019b). Relative to untreated comparison group's, both Kessing et al and our study had similar

results in that prescriptions for AAs were associated with a small increased risk of depression (Kessing *et al.*, 2019b) and a reduced risk of bipolar disorder (Kessing *et al.*, 2019a).

Our design is an advance on previous work (Boal *et al.*, 2016) which did not include a control group. Kessing and colleagues correctly highlight that people with hypertension have a small increased risk of future depression. Their solution was to compare periods where patients had received a cumulative 3 or more prescriptions of AAs relative to a reference period which included people who had only 1 or 2 prescriptions for AAs. While this approach might to some extent address the confounding effects of hypertension, it does also have a potential to add other biases. The comparison group used by Kessing and colleagues included people who only ever received one or two prescriptions of AAs. In our study, those who received an initial treatment with AAs but then swapped to other antihypertensives had greatly elevated risk of depression, suggesting that the control group in the Kessing and colleagues' study may be confounded by other aspects relating to adherence to medication (Kessing *et al.*, 2019a, Kessing *et al.*, 2019b)

Using observational data it is extremely unlikely that any one study design will address all potential biases, and it is necessary to compare across studies and designs, a process termed triangulation (Lawlor *et al.*, 2016). On balance, it would appear from the existing literature that AAs are a good candidate for repurposing to treat bipolar disorder. The evidence for repurposing antihypertensive drugs to treat major depressive disorder is weaker. Previously reported protective associations (Kessing *et al.*, 2019b) and the negative associations in our study are weak and occur across different classes of medication. The similarity of associations across multiple classes of medication might reflect non-biological mechanisms and it is well established that patients who are in regular contact with their General Practitioners (for example, for medication reviews or monitoring of blood pressure) are more likely to have psychological problems identified than patients with fewer regular consultations (Bushnell, 2004). Ultimately only a well-designed RCT is likely to resolve these issues but it is unclear at this stage whether the opportunity costs in carrying out such a study are justified.

Limitations

In 2011, which is within our study period, guidelines on the treatment of hypertension changed and BBs were no longer a preferred initial therapy for hypertension (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), 2011). As noted above, BBs such as propranolol are commonly used in primary care settings to treat anxiety (Hayes and Schulz, 1987), as well as for thyrotoxicosis, and angina. It was not possible for us to identify from prescription records the exact reasons for treatment choices. The observed increased risk of new-onset MDD in this group might therefore reflect the exacerbation of an already-established affective disorder.

The comparison groups clearly differ with respect to cardiovascular history and, despite the cohorts and comparison groups being selected on the basis of their mood disorder histories, they may also differ with respect to subclinical symptoms of anxiety and depression. However, for cohort 1 these differences were likely to be small for all antihypertensive classes, except BBs. In contrast, cohort 2's risk of mood disorders (relative to their comparison group) continued to increase over time. This could reflect poorer mental health among cohort 2, undiagnosed onset of new mental illnesses among the comparison group, or residual confounding factors.

Our study design identified people who *consistently* received antihypertensive monotherapy in order to investigate possible protective effects of antihypertensive treatments in the medium- to long-term. As such, this study was not designed to investigate immediate side effects of antihypertensive treatments. A patient who developed depressive symptoms and received treatment for MDD quickly after their first antihypertensive medication prescription would, by design, be included within cohort 2. A patient who changed their antihypertensive treatments in the first 12 months of treatment, perhaps due to side-effects, would have been included in the 'other antihypertensive' group, such that membership of this group may indicate additional health problems beyond hypertension. Another challenge was the classification of patients treated with multiple antihypertensive treatments. We used a pragmatic measure of whether people had received treatment from at least two antihypertensive classes within the final 3 months of the window used to define eligibility. In theory, this might include people on changing prescription regimes. However, the risks of MDD and BD for people in the polytherapy group were within the range of most monotherapies, suggesting that this was a reasonably robust approach.

Using a comprehensive national-level routine healthcare data linkage approach, we found little evidence to support the idea that antihypertensive medications might be usefully repurposed as treatments for MDD. Tentatively, we conclude that some classes of antihypertensive - and angiotensin antagonists in particular - may offer some protection against BD, but this could be due to biases around selection biases, and will require other study designs to resolve.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the eDRIS Team (National Services Scotland) for their involvement in obtaining approvals, provisioning and linking data and the use of the secure analytical platform within the National Safe Haven.

References

Ahola, A. J., Harjutsalo, V., Forsblom, C. & Groop, P.-H. (2014). Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone–blockade is associated with decreased use of antidepressant therapy in patients with type 1 diabetes and diabetic nephropathy. *Acta Diabetologica* **51**, 529-533.

Boal, A. H., Smith, D. J., McCallum, L., Muir, S., Touyz, R. M., Dominiczak, A. F. & Padmanabhan, S. (2016). Monotherapy With Major Antihypertensive Drug Classes and Risk of Hospital Admissions for Mood Disorders. *Hypertension* **68**, 1132-1138.

Brownstein, D. J., Salagre, E., Köhler, C., Stubbs, B., Vian, J., Pereira, C., Chavarria, V., Karmakar, C., Turner, A., Quevedo, J., Carvalho, A. F., Berk, M. & Fernandes, B. S. (2018). Blockade of the angiotensin system improves mental health domain of quality of life: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **51**, 24-38.

Bushnell, J. (2004). Frequency of consultations and general practitioner recognition of psychological symptoms. *British Journal of General Practice* **54**, 838-842.

Celano, C. M., Freudenreich, O., Fernandez-Robles, C., Stern, T. A., Caro, M. A. & Huffman, J. C. (2011). Depressogenic effects of medications: a review. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* **13**, 109-125.

Chowdhury, E. K., Berk, M., Nelson, M. R., Wing, L. M. H. & Reid, C. M. (2019). Association of depression with mortality in an elderly treated hypertensive population. *International Psychogeriatrics* **31**, 371-381.

Cipriani, A., Saunders, K., Attenburrow, M. J., Stefaniak, J., Panchal, P., Stockton, S., Lane, T. A., Tunbridge, E. M., Geddes, J. R. & Harrison, P. J. (2016). A systematic review of calcium channel antagonists in bipolar disorder and some considerations for their future development. *Molecular Psychiatry* **21**, 1324-1332.

Cleves, M., Gould, W. & Marchenko, Y. (2016). *An Introduction to Survival Analysis Using Stata*. Stata Press: New York.

Coyne, K. S., Davis, D., French, F. & Hill, M. N. (2002). Health-related quality of life in patients treated for hypertension: A review of the literature from 1990 to 2000. *Clinical Therapeutics* **24**, 142-169.

Ferrari, A. J., Stockings, E., Khoo, J.-P., Erskine, H. E., Degenhardt, L., Vos, T. & Whiteford, H. A. (2016). The prevalence and burden of bipolar disorder: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Bipolar Disorders* **18**, 440-450.

Harrison, P. J., Cipriani, A., Harmer, C. J., Nobre, A. C., Saunders, K., Goodwin, G. M. & Geddes, J. R. (2016). Innovative approaches to bipolar disorder and its treatment. *Annals of The New York Academy of Sciences* **1366**, 76-89.

Harrison, P. J., Tunbridge, E. M., Dolphin, A. C. & Hall, J. (2019). Voltage-gated calcium channel blockers for psychiatric disorders: genomic reappraisal. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, Epub ahead of print doi:10.1192/bjp.2019.157.

Hayes, J. F., Lundin, A., Wicks, S., Lewis, G., Wong, I. C. K., Osborn, D. P. J. & Dalman, C. (2019). Association of Hydroxylmethyl Glutaryl Coenzyme A Reductase Inhibitors, L-Type Calcium Channel Antagonists, and Biguanides With Rates of Psychiatric Hospitalization and Self-Harm in Individuals With Serious Mental IllnessRepurposing HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors, L-Type Calcium Channel Antagonists, and Biguanides for Serious Mental IllnessRepurposing HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors, L-Type Calcium Channel Antagonists, and Biguanides for Serious Mental Illness. *JAMA Psychiatry* **76**, 382-390.

Hayes, P. E. & Schulz, S. C. (1987). Beta-blockers in anxiety disorders. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **13**, 119-130.

Huffman, J. C. & Stern, T. A. (2007). Neuropsychiatric consequences of cardiovascular medications. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience* **9**, 29-45.

Johansen, A., Holmen, J., Stewart, R. & Bjerkeset, O. (2012). Anxiety and depression symptoms in arterial hypertension: the influence of antihypertensive treatment. The HUNT study, Norway. *European Journal of Epidemiology* **27**, 63-72.

Joint Formulary Committee (2019). *BNF (British National Formulary) March - September 2019.* . Pharmaceutical Press: London.
Kessing, L. V., Rytgaard, H. C., Gerds, T. A., Berk, M., Ekstrøm, C. T. & Andersen, P. K. (2019a). New drug candidates for bipolar disorder – A nation-wide population-based study. *Bipolar Disorders*, Epub ahead of print 10.1111/bdi.12772.
Kessing, L. V., Rytgaard, H. C., Gerds, T. A., Berk, M., Ekstrøm, C. T. & Andersen, P. K. (2019b). New drug candidates for depression – a nationwide population-based study. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **139**, 68-77.
Kleinbaum, D. G. & Klein, M. (2010). *Survival analysis*. Springer: New York.
Ko, D. T., Hebert, P. R., Coffey, C. S., Sedrakyan, A., Curtis, J. P. & Krumholz, H. M. (2002). B-blocker therapy and symptoms of depression, fatigue, and sexual dysfunction. *JAMA* **288**, 351-357.
Lawlor, D. A., Tilling, K. & Davey Smith, G. (2016). Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **45**, 1866-1886.
Linde, K., Kriston, L., Rücker, G., Jamil, S., Schumann, I., Meissner, K., Sigterman, K. & Schneider, A. (2015). Efficacy and Acceptability of Pharmacological Treatments for Depressive Disorders in Primary Care: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *The Annals of Family Medicine* **13**, 69-79.
Luijendijk, H. J. & Koolman, X. (2012). The incentive to publish negative studies: how beta-blockers and depression got stuck in the publication cycle. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* **65**, 488-492.
Nasr, S. J., Crayton, J. W., Agarwal, B., Wendt, B. & Kora, R. (2011). Lower Frequency of Antidepressant Use in Patients on Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Modifying Medications. *Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology* **31**, 615-618.
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2011). Hypertension in adults: diagnosis and management (CG 127). London: NICE.
Ranchord, A. M., Spertus, J. A., Buchanan, D. M., Gosch, K. L. & Chan, P. S. (2016). Initiation of β-blocker therapy and depression after acute myocardial infarction. *American Heart Journal* **174**, 37-42.
Saavedra, J. M. (2017). Beneficial effects of Angiotensin II receptor blockers in brain disorders. *Pharmacological Research* **125**, 91-103.
Sidor, M. M. & Macqueen, G. M. (2011). Antidepressants for the acute treatment of bipolar depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry* **72**, 156-167.
Thiessen, B. Q., Wallace, S. M., Blackburn, J. L., Wilson, T. W. & Bergman, U. (1990). Increased prescribing of antidepressants subsequent to ß-blocker therapy. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **150**, 2286-2290.
Verbeek, D. E. P., van Riezen, J., de Boer, R. A., van Melle, J. P. & de Jonge, P. (2011). A Review on the Putative Association Between Beta-Blockers and Depression. *Heart Failure Clinics* **7**, 89-99.
Vian, J., Pereira, C., Chavarria, V., Köhler, C., Stubbs, B., Quevedo, J., Kim, S.-W., Carvalho, A. F., Berk, M. & Fernandes, B. S. (2017). The renin–angiotensin system: a possible new target for depression. *BMC Medicine* **15**, 144.
Vos, T., Allen, C., Arora, M., Barber, R. M., Bhutta, Z. A., Brown, A., Carter, A., Casey, D. C., Charlson, F. J., Chen, A. Z. & Coggeshall, M. (2016). Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* **388**, 1545-1602.
Williams, L. J., Pasco, J. A., Kessing, L. V., Quirk, S. E., Fernandes, B. S. & Berk, M. (2016). Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Risk of Mood Disorders. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* **85**, 250-252.

Table 1: Sociodemographic, medical event and history variables Cohort 1.

	Comparison		Thiazide diuretics (Thiazide)		Beta Blockers (BB)		Angiotensin Antagonists (AA)		Calcium Channel Blockers (CCBs)		Polytherapy (Poly)		Other Antihypertensives	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
	538,730	100	25,555	100	73,996	100	130,110	100	57,986	100	211,282	100	39,801	100
Gender														
Male	285,329	53.0	7,612	29.8	32,673	44.2	78,459	60.3	31,135	53.7	119,171	56.4	16,279	40.9
Female	253,401	47.0	17,943	70.2	41,323	55.8	51,651	39.7	26,851	46.3	92,111	43.6	23,522	59.1
Survival events														
Treatment for depression	94,073	17.5	6,169	24.1	19,181	25.9	25,461	19.6	9,752	16.8	46,720	22.1	9,321	23.4
Treatment for Bipolar disorder	11,822	2.2	688	2.7	1,251	1.7	1,816	1.4	1,143	2.0	4,341	2.1	1,753	4.4
Died	41,319	7.7	2,211	8.7	4,177	5.6	6,615	5.1	4,092	7.1	17,767	8.9	8,785	22.1
Changed Therapy	na		11,580	45.3	14,701	19.9	43,787	33.6	18,561	32.0	na		na	
History of hospital treatment														
Cardiovascular disease	11,523	2.1	1,149	4.5	10,293	13.9	9,452	7.3	4,358	7.5	44,495	21.1	6,209	15.6
Substance Abuse	6,995	1.3	237	0.9	957	1.3	1,650	1.3	806	1.4	2,822	1.3	583	1.5
Head Injury	15,570	2.9	410	1.6	2,185	3.0	4,054	3.1	1,374	2.4	4,794	2.3	1,003	2.5
Self-Harm	1,373	0.3	48	0.2	317	0.4	421	0.3	155	0.3	471	0.2	130	0.3
History of Prescriptions														
Other Cardiovascular drugs	61,154	11.4	10,009	39.2	29,355	39.7	59,563	45.8	25,688	44.3	127,965	60.6	19,235	48.3
Diabetic drugs	8,372	1.6	854	3.3	2,365	3.2	20,027	15.4	2,242	3.9	23,359	11.1	2,902	7.3
Age														
Mean	59.4		64.9		53.4		56.3		63.9		61.2		66.6	
SD	13.2		11.4		16.6		12.0		11.9		11.2		15.5	
SIMD 2016														
Mean	5.6		5.8		5.6		5.7		5.7		5.6		5.5	
SD	2.8		2.8		2.8		2.8		2.8		2.8		2.8	

Figures

Figure 1: First onset of mood disorders, as indicated by receipt of prescriptions or admission to hospital, by therapy class (people without mental illness).



Figure 1 shows cumulative distributions functions for major depressive disorder (panel a) and bipolar disorder (panel b) by therapy class for people without a history of treatment for mental illness (cohort 1).

Figure 2: Hazard ratios for new onset depression, as indicated by receipt of prescriptions or admission to hospital, by therapy class (people without mental illness).



Figure 2 shows hazard ratios for new onset depression by therapy class for people without a history of treatment for mental illness (Cohort 1). Adjustment was carried out for hospital treatment for cardiovascular disease, substance abuse, head injury, self-harm, and pharmaceutical treatment for other cardiovascular drugs and diabetic drugs.

Figure 3: Hazard ratios for new onset bipolar disorder, as indicated by receipt of prescriptions or admission to hospital, by therapy class (people without mental illness).



Figure 3 shows hazard ratios for new bipolar disorder by therapy class for people without a history of treatment for mental illness (Cohort 1). Adjustment was carried out for hospital treatment for cardiovascular disease, substance abuse, head injury, self-harm, and pharmaceutical treatment for other cardiovascular drugs and diabetic drugs.