medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Public knowledge and attitude towards epilepsy and its associated factors in Debre Berhan, North Shoa, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2018/19. Community based cross sectional study

Abate Dargie[a*], Nigus Alemnew[a], Elyas Admasu[a]

[a] Department of psychiatry, Debre Berhan University, Debre Berhan., Ethiopia

Corresponding author: Mr. abate Dargie, Email: abiarse999@gmail.com, Phone: +251910916569

Abstract

Introduction: Epilepsy is chronic brain disorder characterized by recurrent derangement of the nervous system due to sudden excessive disorderly discharge of the cerebral neurons. People living with epilepsy continue to suffer from enacted or perceived stigma that is based on myths, misconceptions, and misunderstandings that have persisted for many years. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the community general knowledge and attitude towards epilepsy.

Methods: Community based cross sectional study was conducted to assess public general knowledge and attitude towards epilepsy and its associated factors using structured pretested questionnaire. Data was entered into Epi data version 3.1 and transported to SPSS version 21 further analysis. Both Bivariable and Multivariable Logistic Regression was done to identify associated factors. Odds Ratios and their 95% Confidence interval was computed and variables with p value less than 0.05 was considered significantly associated factors.

Results: 596 study participants were participated with a response rate of 98%. Among the study participants 43.6 (95% CI: 39.6, 47.5) had poor knowledge and 41.3 (95% CI: 37.4, 45.1) had unfavorable attitude. Being secondary education, marital status, witnessed seizure, and heard the term epilepsy were showed statistically significant association with poor knowledge about epilepsy. Level of education, low average monthly income, not witnessed seizure, not heard the term epilepsy and distant from health facility showed statically significant association with the unfavorable attitude.

Conclusion In this study, Debre Berhan communities were found to have deficits in terms of general knowledge and attitude about epilepsy; and it should be given due attention.

Key: knowledge, attitude, epilepsy, Ethiopia

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Epilepsy is a chronic brain disorder characterized by recurrent derangement of the nervous system due to sudden excessive disorderly discharge of the cerebral neurons that results in almost instantaneous disturbance of sensation and loss of consciousness(1). It is becoming a burden for more than 70 million people in the world. Nearly 80% of the people with epilepsy are found in developing countries, where the disease remains a major public health problem, not only because of its health implications but also for its social, cultural, psychological and economic effects (2, 3). Epilepsy contributed more than 7 million to the global burden of diseases in 2000 measured in disability adjusted life years (DALYs). It is apparent that close to 90% of the worldwide burden of epilepsy is found in developing regions, with more than half occurring in the 39% of the global population living in countries with the highest levels of premature mortality, the lowest levels of premature mortality and the least levels of income(4, 5). The reported prevalence of active epilepsy in developing countries ranges from 5 to 10 per 1,000 people, and it is more prevalent in rural communities(6, 7). Universally and all over the history, epilepsy has been culturally an undervalued condition(8). Epileptic patients and their families have suffered isolation by society and deprivation of treatment, leading to frequent injuries and death (9). Epilepsy is a fascinating topic by nature and is poorly understood by the public, even among people who know someone with the disorder. Lack of knowledge about the causes of epilepsy has been associated with negative attitudes, beliefs, and stigma (3, 6, 10). Lack of understanding about epilepsy is a leading cause of discrimination in the workplace and in schools (11).

Most studies showed that a high number of people ever heard about epilepsy. However, most of them had lower level of knowledge. A significant number of people argued that epilepsy is mental disease, hereditary disease, contagious, evil spirit or God's curse (12, 13).Due to negative attitude towards epilepsy, many people do not want to work or live with epileptics. Large numbers of people do not want even to shake hands with epileptic individuals, and they try to keep their children away from these patients (14).

The Causes and risk factors of epilepsy includes head injury, perinatal cause, infectious disease, brain tumor, cerebrovascular disease, febrile convulsions and familial past history of epilepsy The annual incidences and prevalence of epilepsy in sub-sharan Africa is high (63–158 per100 000 inhabitants) and 15 per 1000 people respectively. The mean sex ratio of men to women is 1:4 in studies from sub-Saharan Africa(15).

The incidence of epilepsy in Ethiopia is 64 per 100,000. The age-specific incidence was highest in the group aged 0-9 years; the next highest incidence was that in the group aged 10-19 years; the third highest was in the group aged 20-29 years(16).

People living with epilepsy continue to suffer from enacted or perceived stigma that is based on myths, misconceptions, and misunderstandings that have persisted for many years. In the last decade, there has been an increase in individual literacy rate and increased access to technology

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

in Debre Berhan population. However, it is unclear if this has any effect on knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) attitude toward epilepsy.

Fear, misunderstanding, lack of awareness, wrong beliefs and the resulting social stigma and discrimination compounding epilepsy make epileptic patients live poor quality life(17).

Sociocultural attitudes continue to cause a negative impact on the management of epilepsy in many African nations. The disorder is enrobed in superstition, discrimination, and stigma in many of these states. Religious and sociocultural beliefs influence the nature of treatment and maintenance received by people with epilepsy. Many communities in Africa and other developing countries believe that epilepsy results from witchcraft or possession by evil spirits, and hence, treatment should be through the use of herbs from traditional doctors, fetish priests, and religious leaders. Persons with epilepsy are rejected and discriminated against in education, employment, and marriage in Africa because epilepsy is seen as a highly contagious and shameful disease in the eyes of the public(18).

A compiled data of articles across the world showed that the magnitude of poor knowledge towards epilepsy ranges from 40% to 86 % (19-23). Unfavorable attitude also ranges from 28% to 87% (11, 21-26)

Studying knowledge and attitude towards epilepsy in Debre Berhan town enables to know the level of poor knowledge and unfavorable attitude and also it enables to dig out the factors that result in poor knowledge and unfavorable attitude.

Having poor knowledge and unfavorable attitude results in stigmatization and this stigmatization results in delay in medical treatment or medication adherence problems.

Findings could benefit policymakers, the district health team, community members, affected families, and people with epilepsy in designing strategies. Furthermore the study possibly generate information in the area of the topic for large scale researchers to investigate further empirical evidences to control those factors attributable to poor knowledge and unfavorable attitude towards epilepsy in the study area.

This study aimed at studying magnitude of knowledge and attitude of Debre Berhan community towards epilepsy and associated factors for poor community's knowledge and unfavorable community's attitude.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2. Methods and material

The study was conducted at Debre Berhan; the largest town of North Shewa of Amhara region which is located 130 km northeast of Addis Ababa from February 28- March 1, 2019. The total sample size of the study was 596 with a response rate of 98%. The source population was all households in Debre Berhan town. The study population was all households found in the selected kebeles. Each selected Household's and avails during data collection period were sampling units off the study and each individuals in the selected house hold in which the actual data is collected were study units. Individuals who is severely ill to give the desired information were excluded from the study. Authors had selected 2 Kebeles (22%) out of the total 9 Kebeles by lottery method. Then using systematic random sampling method households for our study from the selected kebeles were selected by using a sampling fraction 8 and our starting house hold selected by using lottery method from the first 8 households, since we don't have sampling frame we gave code for every house. For those households that had more than one eligible participants 1 study unit was selected by using lottery method.

2.1. Study variables and operational definitions

The data was collected by using pretested 10 and 12 item screening tools adopted from related studies for knowledge and attitude respectively. The data collectors were interviewed the study participants in the households that can address the common socio-demographic, information and experience, and health facility related characteristics and questions that can assess the community's knowledge and attitude towards epilepsy. The data was collected by five trained undergraduate degree students. The questioner was translated from English to Amharic and back to English to check consistency. To ensure quality, data was checked for completeness, accuracy, clarity, and consistency by the principal investigator. To maintain the quality of the data the questionnaire was pre tested among 5% (30 individuals) of the study households; and the pretested data was not included in data analysis. Intensive training for data collectors and Close supervision was held during the whole data collection period by the research advisor. The first draft of the questionnaire was evaluated by our advisers. Every morning of the study period, Orientation was given to members of data collectors and supervisors about how to take timely action to minimize errors. The reliability of the checklist was cheeked by Cronbach's test and it was 0.9 for attitude and 0.81 for knowledge screening tools.

Independent variables:

Socio- demographic factors: - age, sex, religion, marital status, address, academic status, residence, family role, ethnicity.

Health facility factor: - availability, distance

Experience with epilepsy: - having family member with epilepsy, having epileptic friend, witnessed seizure

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Information factors: - magazines, TV, radios, family members, health care provider, training

Dependent variables: Level of Knowledge and Attitude towards epilepsy

Attitude: The section on attitude had 12 items and four Likert scale response options consisting of 'strongly agree, agree, disagree and strongly disagree'. The response options were given (scored) a point of 4, 3, 2, and 1 respectively. The minimum score is 12 and the maximum score is 48. Individuals who scored below the mean (x□ =34) and ≥ mean (x□ =34) were categorized as unfavorable and favorable attitude respectively.

Knowledge: the general knowledge of the respondents about epilepsy was assessed by using 10 item check list which have "Yes"/No choices. For each correct response to questions on knowledge, one point was given and "Zero" point was given for wrong answers. Based on this grading, a total of 10 points was allocated to the section on knowledge about epilepsy, such that those who scored 7–10 points were considered as having Good knowledge, 0-6 points had poor knowledge.

For internal consistency (reliability) of the knowledge and attitude instrument Cronbach Alpha were used and its value was 0.808 and 0.90 respectively.

Operational definitions

Knowledge about epilepsy: - individuals who scored above and below the mean score based on 10 item knowledge tool towards epilepsy was considered as good and poor knowledge respectively.

Attitude towards epilepsy: - individuals who scored above and below the mean score based on 12 item attitude tool towards epilepsy was considered as favorable and unfavorable attitude respectively.

2.2. Data analysis

After the data, checked for completeness, and consistencies, then coded, entered, using Epi-data version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 21 for analysis. Descriptive statistics was analyzed and presented by using tables, charts and figures. Both Bivariable and multivariable Binary logistic regression was conducted to identify the associated factors with the outcome variables. Variables with p-value less than 0.2 during Bivariable analysis was exported to multivariable analysis to control confounding effect. Variables with p-value less than 0.05 and odds ratio with their 95% confidence interval during multivariable analysis was considered as significantly associated factors with the outcome variables. Assumption tests and model goodness of fit was checked and Hosmer Lemeshow test p-value of 0.79.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3. Results

3.1 Socio-demographic characteristics

A total of 606 households were selected for the sample, and 596 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 98%. Among the study participants 332 (55.7%) were males and 264 (44.3%) were females. The median age of the respondents was 30 years and the interquartile range was 15 years. Among the 596 study participant's majority 470(78.9%) were orthodox religion followers followed by 81(13.6%) Muslim and 45 (7.6%) protestant. Majority of the respondent 494(82.9%) were Amhara ethnicity followed by Oromo which accounts 67(11.2%). Regarding to the income of the respondents near to half 270 (45.3%) had a monthly income of >3000 followed by those whose monthly income is between 1000 & 2999 and < 1000 which accounts 243 (40.8%) and 83 (13.9%) respectively. Among the total participants more than half 333 (55.9%) were married whereas the other 263 (44.1%) were single. Majority 445 (74.7%) of the respondent had a family size 1-3 followed by those whose family members were 4-5 136 (22.8%) (See table 1).

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants, in DBT, Ethiopia, 2019

Variables		Frequency	Percent
Age in years	18-35	372	62.4
	35-45	130	21.8
	>45	94	15.8
Occupation	Farmer or house wife	98	16.4
	Private workers	170	28.5
	Daily laborer	61	10.2
	Student	65	10.9
	Government employee	202	33.9
	Not attend modern education	116	19.5
Educational status	Primary	95	15.9
	Secondary & pre	145	24.3
	>12 grade	240	40.3
Family role	Family head	386	64.8
	Son/daughter	91	15.3
	Live alone	119	20

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3.2 Information, Experience and Health facility related factors

The majority of the respondents 546 (91.6%) had heard of epilepsy as a disorder. The commonest sources of information about epilepsy were from their family or friends 305(55.9%) while the rest were through radio/magazines, TV/training and health professionals which account 92 (16.8%), 85 (15.6%) and 64 (11.7%) respectively. Majority 475 (79.7%) of the respondents had witnessed a seizure in the past but only 151(25.3%) and 46(7.7%) had a friend and a family member with epilepsy respectively. Among our study participants all 596(100%) have health facility near to their home and the majority 483(81%) takes less than 30 minutes whereas 113(19%) takes 31-60 minutes.

3.3 Magnitude of knowledge/ attitude towards epilepsy

3.3.1 Magnitude of poor knowledge

Among our study participants near to half 43.6 (95% CI: 39.6, 47.5) had poor knowledge about epilepsy. This study shows that 192 (32.2%) of the study participants reported seizure attacks didn't originate from the brain, caused by evil spirit 278 (46.6%), and infection or injury can't cause a seizure disorder 310 (52%). Among the study participants 27%, 42.3% and 39.5% of the respondents said epilepsy is contagious, traditional medicine is best for the treatment of epilepsy and wouldn't mind their child to play with epileptic child. Almost 17% of the study participants thought all children with convulsion have seizure disorder. 177 (29.7%) thoughts seizure disorders occurs in family, 176 (29.5%) did not know about the presence of different types of seizure disorder, 203 (34.1%) thought there is no medical test for diagnosing seizure disorder, and 216 (36.2%) reported Seizure disorder can be controlled with modern medicine.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3.3.2 Magnitude of unfavorable attitude

In this study, of 596 participants near to half 41.3 (95% CI: 37.4, 45.1) had unfavorable attitude towards epilepsy. Who didn't agree their family members to marry epileptics accounts 126 (21.1%), didn't agree to recruit epileptics 105 (17.6%), keep their child from contacting epileptics (95 (15.9%) and didn't agree to live together with epileptics 91 (15.3%) (See table 3).

Table 2. Attitude towards people living with epilepsy.

Attitude towards epilepsy	**strongly agree (%**	**Agree (%)**	**Disagree (%)**	**Strongly disagree (%)**
Do you agree to work with epileptics?	141 (23.7)	289 (48.5)	103 (17.3)	63 (10.6)
Do you agree to have close relation with epileptics?	129 (21.6)	271 (45.5)	127 (21.3)	69 (11.6
Do you agree to live together with epileptics?	114 (19.1)	251 (42.1)	140 (23.5)	91 (15.3)
Do you think that epileptics shouldn't be isolated from community?	280 (47)	244 (40.9)	53 (8.9)	19 (3.2)
Do you think epileptics can manage their family?	142 (23.8)	304 (51)	100 (16.8)	50 (8.4)
Do you shake hands of epileptics?	251 (42.1)	274 (46)	36 (6)	35 (5.9)
Do you keep your child from contacting epileptics?	120 (20.1)	244 (40.9)	137 (23)	95 (15.9)
Do you agree to recruit epileptics as servant?	74 (12.4)	182 (30.4)	235 (39.4)	105(17.6)
Do you agree your family member to marry epileptics?	72 (12.1)	177 (29.7)	221 (37.1)	126 (21.1)
Is Epilepsy treatable disease?	168 (28.2)	290 (48.7)	84 (14.1)	54 (9.1)
Epileptics should not learn in schools?	259 (43.5)	195 (32.7)	77 (12.9)	65 (10.9)
Do you think epileptics can lead healthy life style?	154 (25.8)	273 (45.8)	125 (21)	44 (7.4)

3.4 Associated factors of knowledge and Attitude towards epilepsy.

3.4.1 Associated factors of poor knowledge

To determine factors associated with the knowledge about epilepsy, binary logistic regression was done and computed with each independent variable. In Binary logistic regression, respondent's age, marital status, educational status, occupation, income, family role, witnessed a seizure, and heard the term epilepsy in the past were the variables that showed statistically significant association with the outcome variable that is knowledge about epilepsy. In multivariate analysis; educational status, marital status, witnessed a seizure, and heard the term epilepsy in the past was the variables that showed statistically significant association with the outcome variable that is knowledge about epilepsy. Respondents who didn't attend modern education and those who completed secondary education were around 4 times (AOR=3.74, 95%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

CI: 1.80, 7.70) and 2 times (AOR=2.13, 95% CI: 1.27, 3.59) more likely to have poor knowledge than those who completed grade 12 respectively. Those participants who were married were 2 times more likely to have poor knowledge (AOR=1.88, 95% CI: 1.20, 3.21) than those who were single. Those who didn't witnessed seizure were 2 times more likely to have poor knowledge (AOR=1.79, 95% CI: 1.10, 2.90) than those who witnessed seizure in the past. Respondent who hadn't heard the term epilepsy through different mass media or training were around 3 times more likely to have poor knowledge (AOR=2.67 95% CI: 1.27, 5.59) than those who had heard information about epilepsy (see table 4).

Table 3 Associated factors of poor knowledge towards epilepsy.

Variable		**knowledge**		**COR(95%CI)**	**AOR(95%CI)**
		Good	**Poor**		
Age	18-35	234	138	1	1
	35-45	67	63	1.59 (1.06, 2.39)*	0.95 (0.58, 1.57)
	>45	35	59	2.86 (1.79, 4.56)*	1.37 (0.74, 2.53)
Income	<3000	37	46	1	1
	>=3000	299	214	0.58 (0.36, 0.92)*	0.78 (0.45, 1.37)
Occupation	Farmer or housewife	30	68	4.47 (2.66, 7.51)*	1.39 (0 .69, 2.79)
	Private workers	95	75	1.56 (1.02, 2.37)*	1.07 (0.64, 1.79)
	Daily laborer	34	27	1.56 (0.87, 2.80)	0.77 (0.36, 1.64)
	Student	43	22	1.01 (0.56, 1.82)	0.92 (0.41, 2.06)
	Gov't employee	134	68	1	1
Educational status	Not attend modern education	32	84	6.92 (4.21, 11.36)*	**3.74 (1.80, 7.70)****
	1-8	52	43	2.18 (1.33, 3.57)*	1.45 (0.78, 2.69)
	9-12	78	67	2.26 (1.47, 3.49)*	**2.13 (1.27, 3.59)***
	>12	174	66	1	1
Marital status	Single	178	85	1	1
	Married	158	175	2.32 (1.66, 3.24)*	**1.88 (1.20, 3.21)***
Family role	Family head	192	194	1	1
	Son or daughter	57	34	0.59 (0.37, 0.94)*	1.45 (0.67, 3.15)
	Live alone	87	32	0.36 (0.23, 0.57)*	0.70 (0.37, 1.32)
Witnessed a seizure	Yes	291	184	1	1
	No	45	76	2.67 (1.77, 4.03)*	**1.79 (1.10, 2.90)***
Heard epilepsy	Yes	322	224	1	1
	No	14	36	3.70 (1.95, 7.01)**	**2.67 (1.27, 5.59)***

Key: *P-value < 0.05, ** P-value = 0.00

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3.4.2 Associated factors of unfavorable Attitude towards people living with epilepsy

The following factors were found to be significantly associated with the attitude towards epilepsy in bivariate analysis respondent's sex, age, monthly income, educational status, marital status, family role, occupation, whether they had witnessed seizure, whether they had a family member with epilepsy, whether they had a friend with epilepsy, whether they had heard about epilepsy and the distance of their home from a health institution.

In multivariate analysis; educational status, average monthly income, walking time from home to the health institution, witnessed a seizure, and heard the term epilepsy in the past was the variables that showed statistically significant association with the outcome variable that is knowledge about epilepsy. Respondents who earn less than 1000 Ethiopian Birr (ETB) were 2.5 times higher in odds to have unfavorable attitude than those respondents who earn average monthly income greeter than 3000, (AOR=2.52, 95%CI: 1.34, 4.7). Those respondents with who were not attending modern education were 2 times more likely to have unfavorable attitude (AOR=2.1, 95%CI: 1.10, 4.44) than those who completed grade 12.

There was also a significant association between level of attitude and whether the respondent was witnessed seizure or not; those respondent who were not witnessed seizure were 2 times likely to have unfavorable attitude than those who had witnessed seizure, (AOR= 2.27, 95%CI: 1.35, 3.80). Respondent who did not heard the term epilepsy through different mass media or training were 5 times more likely to have unfavorable attitude than those who had heard information about epilepsy, (AOR=4.73 95%CI: 2.04, 11.00). Further, those respondents who lived far from health institutions (>30 minutes on foot walk) were 2 times more likely to have unfavorable attitude than respondents who lived in near to health institution (AOR=1.77, 95%CI: 1.06, 2.79) (see table 5).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4. Associated factors of Attitude towards people living with epilepsy.

Variable		**Attitude**		**COR(95%CI)**	**AOR(95%CI)**
		Favorable	**Unfavorable**		
Sex	Male	221	121	1	1
	Female	139	125	1.56 (1.12, 2.17)*	0.80 (0.59, 1.34)
Age	18-35	237	135	1	1
	35-45	67	63	1.65 (1.10, 2.47)*	0.97 (0.57, 1.64)
	>45	46	48	1.83 (1.16, 2.89)*	0.88 (0.46, 1.68)
Income	<1000	33	50	3.24 (1.94, 5.4)*	**2.52 (1.34, 4.70)***
	1000-2999	133	110	1.77 (1.2, 2.5)*	1.40 (0.90, 2.14)
	>3000	184	86	1	1
Educational status	Not attend modern education	40	76	5.57 (3.44, 9.01)*	**2.09 (1.1, 4.44)***
	1-8	51	44	2.53 (1.54, 4.16)*	1.26 (0.66, 2.41)
	9-12	80	65	2.38 (1.59, 3.69)*	1.74 (0.90, 3.00)
	grade 12 and above	179	61	1	1
Marital status	Single	180	83	2.07 (1.4, 2.91)*	1.39 (0.80, 2.41)
	Married	170	163	1	1
Family role	Family head	201	185	1	1
	Son or daughter	60	31	0.52 (0.31, 0.86)*	0.58 (0.25, 1.38)
	Live alone	89	30	0.39 (0.25, 0.61)*	0.43 (0.22, 0.83)
Occupation `	farmer and housewife	31	67	5.23 (3.10, 8.83)*	2.04 (0.97, 4.28)
	private worker	101	69	1.65 (1.07, 2.54)	1.28 (0.74, 2.20)
	daily laborer	33	28	2.05 (1.14, 3.70)*	0.96 (0.43, 2.13)
	Student	42	23	1.32 (0.73, 2.39)	1.80 (0.75, 4.30)
	government employee	143	59	1	1
Witnessed seizure	Yes	311	164	1	1
	No	39	82	3.98 (2.6, 6.1)*	**2.27 (1.35, 3.80)***
Family with epilepsy	Yes	34	12	1	1
	No	316	234	2.09 (1.06, 4.13)*	1.53 (0.73, 3.2)
Friend with epilepsy	Yes	105	46	1	1
	No	245	200	1.86 (1.25, 2.76)*	1.24 (0.73, 2.20)
Heard the term epilepsy	Yes	341	205	1	1
	No	9	41	7.57 (3.6, 15.9)*	**4.73 (2.04, 11.00)***
Walking time	<30min	297	186	1	1
	30-61 min	53	60	1.8 (1.19, 2.73)*	**1.77 (1.06, 2.79)***

Key: * p value< 0.05

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Discussion

According to the result of this study, 43.6% (95% CI: 39.6, 47.5) of the respondent had poor general knowledge and 41.3% (95%CI: 37.4, 45.1) had unfavorable attitude towards epilepsy. Being secondary education, marital status, witnessed seizure, and heard the term epilepsy were showed statistically significant association with poor knowledge about epilepsy. Level of education, low average monthly income, not witnessed seizure, not heard the term epilepsy and distant from health facility showed statically significant association with the unfavorable attitude.

The overall poor knowledge magnitude was in line with the study conducted in Ethiopia (20, 23). But it is much lower than studies done in southwest Ethiopia (21) and united states (19). This might be due to the study year. The study from United States was done in 2003 and the study done in Ethiopia was during 2015.

Regarding to the attitude towards epilepsy in our study, 41.3 (95%CI: 37.4, 45.1) of the respondent had unfavorable attitude towards epilepsy. This study result is in line with the study done in south India (11).but, this finding is lower than the study conducted in Ethiopia(21, 23), Uganda (24), Nigeria (25) and Pakistan (26). This might be difference in community belief and literacy rate across the countries.

But, the current study results is higher than the study conducted in south Indian (11); this may be due to in this study area more than half of the respondents had experience about epilepsy so those individuals may have favorable attitude. Negative attitudes appeared to be reinforced by beliefs that epilepsy is kind of insanity.

This study shows that respondents who didn’t attend modern education and those who were primary/secondary education were around 4 times and 2 times more likely to have poor knowledge than those who completed grade 12; this finding is in line with the study conducted in Ethiopia, United states and Ghana (11, 23, 27). The possible justification may be individuals in higher education may exposed more to epilepsy awareness creation sessions. In fact it is expected to have knowledge change while academic status increased.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The result revels respondents who hadn't heard the term epilepsy through different mass media or training were around 3 times more likely to have poor knowledge than those who had heard information about epilepsy; this finding is in line with the study conducted in Ethiopia (23). Hearing the term epilepsy may invite individuals to ask/read about epilepsy and this allows to have good knowledge.

This study shows that those respondents who did not heard the term epilepsy through different mass media or training were 5 times more likely to have unfavorable attitude than those who had heard information about epilepsy; this result is in line with the study conducted in Ethiopia, which shows respondents who had heard information about epilepsy had favorable attitude compared with those who had not had information about epilepsy(23). This might be due to mass Medias and trainings are the main strategies to change people's attitude towards persons with epilepsy.

Study participants who earn less than 1000 Ethiopian birr were more likely to have unfavorable attitude than who earn greater than 3000 Ethiopian birr per month. The possible reason might be due to having more monthly income associated with higher in participants' educational level and this implies, they became employed. Living far from the health institution was also a contributor for having unfavorable attitude and this might be due decreased exposure level for health issues like epilepsy.

5. Conclusions

In this study, Debre Berhan communities were found to have deficits in terms of general knowledge and attitude about epilepsy. The knowledge and attitude towards epilepsy are likely to have an important impact in stigmatization and treatment seeking behavior; and it should be given due attention. Lower educational status, those who didn't witnessed seizure and hadn't heard information were related with poor knowledge. Low level of income, those who didn't witnessed seizure, hadn't heard information, lower educational status and those who lived far from health institution were related with unfavorable attitude

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

6. Declarations

6.1. Funding: This study was not supported by any grant. Funding for data collection, entry, analysis and write-ups were provided by the authors.

6.2. Ethics approval:

Ethical clearance was obtained from Debre Berhan University research ethics committee and an official letter of cooperation was written from department of public health to Debre Berhan town Kebele 07 and 09 administration office to obtain their consent about the reason of study and its procedure. Oral consent was obtained from study participant and the study participant was informed about issues of confidentiality and that they have right not to respond to the questions if they didn't want to respond or to terminate the interview at any time. Each study participant was adequately informed about the objective of the study. Data was collected from all community members who had given their consent to participate in the study at the selected Kebeles.

6.3. Availability of data: All relevant materials and data supporting the findings of this study are contained within the manuscript. The remaining data will be available with a reasonable request of the corresponding author.

6.4. Authors' contributions: AD, NA, and EA contributed to the design of the study, analysis, interpretation, and write up the manuscript. AD critically revised the final write up and analysis of the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

6.5. Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

6.6. Consent for publication: “Not applicable”.

6.7. Acknowledgement: It is our pleasure to acknowledge Debre Berhan University and the study participants. Finally, we would like to express our appreciation to our families and friends whose enthusiastic encouragement has helped us to accomplish this research paper.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

7. Reference

1. Fisher RS, Boas WVE, Blume W, Elger C, Genton P, Lee P, et al. Epileptic seizures and epilepsy: definitions proposed by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) and the International Bureau for Epilepsy (IBE). Epilepsia. 2005;46(4):470-2.
2. Kabir M, Iliyasu Z, Abubakar I, Kabir Z, Farinyaro A. Knowledge, attitude and beliefs about epilepsy among adults in a northern Nigerian urban community. Annals of african medicine. 2005;4(3):107-12.
3. Yemadje LP, Houinato D, Quet F, Druet□Cabanac M, Preux PM. Understanding the differences in prevalence of epilepsy in tropical regions. Epilepsia. 2011;52(8):1376-81.
4. Diseases OMdlSPfN, Organization WH, Epilepsy GCa, Diseases PfN, Neuroscience, Epilepsy IBf, et al. Atlas: epilepsy care in the world: World Health Organization; 2005.
5. De Boer HM, Mula M, Sander JW. The global burden and stigma of epilepsy. Epilepsy & behavior. 2008;12(4):540-6.
6. Sander JW. The epidemiology of epilepsy revisited. Current opinion in neurology. 2003;16(2):165-70.
7. Duncan JS, Sander JW, Sisodiya SM, Walker MC. Adult epilepsy. The Lancet. 2006;367(9516):1087-100.
8. Wiebe S, Eliasziw M, Bellhouse DR, Fallahay C. Burden of epilepsy: the Ontario health survey. Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences. 1999;26(4):263-70.
9. IBE I. Epilepsy in the WHO South-East Asian Region.
10. Kartal A. Knowledge of, perceptions of, attitudes and practices regarding epilepsy among medical students in Turkey. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2016;58:115-8.
11. Krishnaiah B, Alwar SP, Ranganathan LN. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of people toward epilepsy in a South Indian village. Journal of neurosciences in rural practice. 2016;7(3):374.
12. Zainy LE, Atteyah DM, Aldisi WM, Abdulkarim HA, Alhelo RF, Alhelali HA, et al. Parents' knowledge and attitudes toward children with epilepsy. Neurosciences (Riyadh). 2013;18(4):345-8.
13. Dantas FG, Cariri GA, Cariri GA, Ribeiro Filho ARV. Knowledge and attitudes toward epilepsy among primary, secondary and tertiary level teachers. Arquivos de neuro-psiquiatria. 2001;59(3B):712-6.
14. Mangena-Netshikweta M. Perceptions about epilepsy in the Limpopo Province of the Republic of South Africa. Curationis. 2003;26(4):51-6.
15. Preux P-M, Druet-Cabanac M. Epidemiology and aetiology of epilepsy in sub-Saharan Africa. The Lancet Neurology. 2005;4(1):21-31.
16. Tekle□Haimanot R, Forsgren L, Ekstedt J. Incidence of epilepsy in rural central Ethiopia. Epilepsia. 1997;38(5):541-6.
17. Aldenkamp AP, Krom MD, Reijs R. Newer antiepileptic drugs and cognitive issues. Epilepsia. 2003;44:21-9.
18. Santos IC, Guerreiro MM, Mata A, GUIMARÃES R, Fernandes L, Moreira Filho DC, et al. Public awareness and attitudes toward epilepsy in different social segments in Brazil. Arquivos de neuro-psiquiatria. 1998;56(1):32-8.
19. Kobau R, Price P. Knowledge of epilepsy and familiarity with this disorder in the US population: results from the 2002 HealthStyles Survey. Epilepsia. 2003;44(11):1449-54.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19001578; this version posted July 8, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. Alhazzani AA, Alqahtani AM, Abouelyazid A, Alqahtani AM, Alqahtani NA, Asiri KM, et al. Public awareness, knowledge, and attitudes toward epilepsy in the Aseer region, Saudi Arabia—a community-based cross-sectional study. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2016;63:63-6.
21. Henok A, Lamaro T. Knowledge about and attitude towards epilepsy among Menit Community, Southwest Ethiopia. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2017;27(1):47-58.
22. Deresse B, Shaweno D. General public knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards persons with epilepsy in South Ethiopia: A comparative community-based cross-sectional study. Epilepsy & Behavior. 2016;58:106-10.
23. Teferi J, Shewangizaw Z. Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and practice related to epilepsy: A community-based study. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment. 2015;11:1239.
24. Kiwanuka F, Anyango Olyet C. Knowledge, attitude, and beliefs on epilepsy among adults in Erute South, Lira District, Uganda. Epilepsia open. 2018;3(2):264-9.
25. Osakwe C, Otte WM, Alo C. Epilepsy prevalence, potential causes and social beliefs in Ebonyi State and Benue State, Nigeria. Epilepsy research. 2014;108(2):316-26.
26. Shafiq M, Tanwir M, Tariq A, Kasi P, Zafar M, Saleem A, et al. Epilepsy: public knowledge and attitude in a slum area of Karachi, Pakistan. Seizure. 2007;16(4):330-7.
27. Nyame P, Biritwum R. Epilepsy: knowledge, attitude and practice in literate urban population, Accra, Ghana. West African Journal of Medicine. 1997;16(3):139-45.