Risk and Protective Factors for Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviours among Serving and Ex-Serving Personnel of the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force: A **Systematic Review**

Charlotte Williamson^{1*}, Bethany Croak¹, Amos Simms^{2,3}, Nicola T. Fear^{1,2}, Marie-Louise Sharp¹ and Sharon A.M. Stevelink^{1,4}

¹ King's Centre for Military Health Research, King's College London, London, UK ² Academic Department of Military Mental Health, King's College London, London, UK ³ British Army, London, UK

⁴ Department of Psychological Medicine, King's College London, London, UK

^Joint last authors

*Corresponding Author: Charlotte Williamson

King's Centre for Military Health Research, King's College London, Weston Education Centre, 10 Cutcombe Road, London, SE5 9RJ.

Email: charlotte.1.williamson@kcl.ac.uk

Twitter: @CF_Williamson

ORCID:

CW: 0000-0003-2104-921X

BC: 0000-0002-6486-8154

AS: 0000-0002-1154-8085

NTF: 0000-0002-5792-2925

MLS: 0000-0001-8516-0166

SAMS: 0000-0002-7655-7986

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

2

STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

Ethical approval: N/A

Competing interests: CW is currently in receipt of a funded PhD studentship via Phase 4 of the King's Centre for Military Health Research Health and Wellbeing Cohort Study funded by the Office for Veterans' Affairs (OVA), Cabinet Office, UK Government. BC is currently in receipt of a funded PhD studentship from The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), UK Government. AS is a full-time member of the British Army seconded to King's College London. NTF is partly funded by the United Kingdom's Ministry of Defence (MOD). MLS is fully funded by a grant from the OVA. SAMS is supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and the National Institute for Health and Care Research, NIHR Advanced Fellowship, Dr Sharon Stevelink, NIHR300592. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the OVA, the ESRC, the British Army, the MOD, the NHS, or the NIHR.

Funding: This work is part of a PhD nested within Phase 4 of the King's Centre for Military Health Research Health and Wellbeing Cohort Study and funded by the OVA. For the purposes of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Accepted Author Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Authors' Contributions: CW proposed the review. NTF and SAMS secured the funding for Phase 4 of the King's Centre for Military Health Research Health and Wellbeing Cohort Study. CW, MLS and SAMS contributed to the design of the review. CW completed all stages of screening and data extraction. BC was the second rater for 10% of results at title/abstract screening and 20% at full text screening. CW completed the quality assessment for all included papers and BC performed second rater assessment for 20% of included papers. CW wrote the first draft, and all other authors (BC, AS, NTF, MLS, SAMS) contributed to each revision and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Colonel Clare Bennett, Chief Mental Health Officer New Zealand Defence Force, for sharing relevant work being conducted in New Zealand.

ABSTRACT

Purpose

Self-harm and suicide behaviours are a major public health concern. Several factors are associated

with these behaviours among military communities. Identifying these factors may have important

implications for policy and clinical services. The aim of this review was to identify the risk and

protective factors associated with self-harm and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving

personnel of the United Kingdom Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force

and New Zealand Defence Force.

Methods

A systematic search of seven online databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Global Health,

PsycINFO, PTSDpubs and CINAHL) was conducted alongside cross-referencing, in October 2022.

Following an a priori PROSPERO approved protocol (CRD42022348867), papers were independently

screened and assessed for quality. Data were synthesised using a narrative approach.

Results

Overall, 28 papers were included: 13 from Canada, 10 from the United Kingdom, five from Australia

and none from New Zealand. Identified risk factors included being single/ex-relationship, early service

leavers, shorter length of service, junior ranks, exposure to deployment-related traumatic events,

physical and mental health diagnoses, and experience of childhood adversity. Protective included

being married/in a relationship, higher educational attainment, employment, senior ranks, and higher

levels of perceived social support.

Conclusion

Adequate care and support are a necessity for the military community. Prevention and intervention

strategies for self-harm and suicide behaviours should be introduced early and should promote social

networks as a key source of support. This review found a paucity of peer-reviewed research within

some populations. More peer-reviewed research is needed, particularly among these populations

where current work is limited, and regarding modifiable risk and protective factors.

Keywords: self-harm and related behaviours; suicide; military personnel; veterans; systematic

review; associated factors

1. INTRODUCTION

5

Self-harm and suicide behaviours are a major public health concern, with over 700,000 people dying

by suicide and an estimated 14.6 million people engaging in self-harm each year globally [1, 2]. The

aetiology and onset of these behaviours is complex and multifaceted; prevalence and risk are

influenced by several factors including age, sex, ethnicity, geographical region and occupation [3-5].

Military populations are a potentially at-risk group as military service impacts on the health and

wellbeing of personnel during and after service [6]. Self-harm and death by suicide appear to be

relatively rare among the military community, although rates of both behaviours have increased in

recent years but remain either lower or comparable to the general population [7-12]. Suicide

behaviours can present as ideation (i.e. thoughts) and attempts which are also prevalent among

serving and ex-serving personnel, with a reported 11% global prevalence of these behaviours in the

entire military [13].

Previous research has reported that serving and ex-serving personnel with mental health diagnoses

are at risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours, for example post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [14–

18]. Another specific at-risk group includes those separated from the military [19–21], especially if

separation occurred involuntarily (e.g. medical discharge) [22]. Additionally, younger age is a risk

factor [22-24]; a recent UK study reported suicide risk was two-to-three times higher in men and

women under 25 years old who had left service (vs the general population) [24]. Ex-serving personnel

with a shorter length of service (<10 years of service) were also at increased risk [24]. Protective

factors have been less frequently explored, but include social support [25, 26], higher educational

attainment [27], employment [28] and holding a more senior rank (e.g. officer) [15, 18].

6

There remains a paucity of research exploring the risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide

behaviours among several military populations, with available literature focussing on a limited number

of countries. This review focused on military populations from four nations from the Five Eyes Alliance:

namely the UK, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The Five Eyes nations (which also includes the

United States (US)) have a combined military population estimated at 2.6 million, are all developed

countries which share a common language, have similarities in society and culture, broadly similar

military involvement, and well-resourced military healthcare systems [29]. Of the limited systematic

reviews in the field, studies on US military populations make up all or the majority of included papers

[26, 30, 31]. To address this gap in the literature, the current review focuses on the remaining four

Five Eyes nations where attention has been limited previously. Additionally, the US was excluded due

to differences in firearms culture, including access, licensing and laws which affects access to means

of suicide; a recent systematic review highlighted that US veterans are at substantially increased risk

of firearm suicide and have higher rates of firearm ownership than the US general population [32].

The current systematic review aimed to identify risk and protective factors associated with self-harm

and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel of the UK Armed Forces, Canadian

Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force.

2. METHOD

7

2.1. Design

This systematic review was conducted following Cochrane methodology and PRISMA guidelines

(Supplementary 1). Prior to commencing the review, the protocol was registered with PROSPERO

(CRD42022348867).

2.2. Search Strategy

Seven electronic databases were searched in October 2022: PubMed (including MEDLINE and PubMed

Central), Web of Science, Embase, Global Health, PsycINFO, PTSDpubs and CINAHL. All databases were

searched using pre-defined terms related to: (1) self-harm and suicide behaviours, (2) the military, and

(3) geographical locations. See **Supplementary 2** for full search strategy.

The search included all original, peer-reviewed work that identified risk and/or protective factors

associated with self-harm and/or suicide behaviours both during (serving personnel) and after (ex-

serving personnel/veterans) military service. Restrictions were placed on publication dates from 1st

January 2001 to 30th September 2022 to allow for the coverage of the start of the Iraq and Afghanistan

conflicts. Additionally, these limits were chosen due to better availability and quality of literature in

the field of military medicine since 2001 [33].

PROSPERO was searched to identify systematic reviews and meta-analyses of relevance. The reference

lists of included studies and other relevant studies were searched. At least one expert from each

nation was contacted to ensure key papers had been identified. If the full-text of a paper was not

available online, authors were contacted for access.

2.3. Study Selection Criteria

Eligibility was determined using the following criteria:

Published in English

Original, peer-reviewed work

Published 1st January 2001 to 30th September 2022

• Studies that reported risk and/or protective factors for outcomes of self-harm, suicidal

ideation, suicide attempts and/or completed suicide

Population comprising of serving and/or ex-serving personnel (Regulars/Reservists;

Navy/Army/Air Force/Marines) from the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces,

Australian Defence Force or New Zealand Defence Force

If military only make up part of the reported sample, only papers that reported on outcomes

for serving/ex-serving personnel separate from the other population (e.g., general

population) were included

Exclusion criteria included:

• Study design: qualitative, systematic reviews/meta-analyses, pilot studies, case-control

studies, case reports/series, study protocols, grey literature, conference abstracts/papers,

dissertations/theses, and editorials

Outcomes of assisted suicide (i.e. the act of deliberately assisting another person to kill

themselves [34]) and suicide bombing (i.e. a terrorist bomb attack in which the perpetrator

expects to die whilst killing a number of other people)

• Studies that only included a population sample of conscripts (i.e., people compulsorily

enlisted into the military), cadets or officer students

2.4. Screening and Data Extraction

Following the initial search, all identified studies were imported into Endnote 20 and duplicates were

removed. CW independently reviewed the titles/abstracts of all papers. Subsequently, the full papers

for the remaining studies identified as relevant were then reviewed. The reference lists of all included

papers were manually searched for any additional papers of relevance (i.e., cross-referencing). BC

independently performed second reviewer screening on a sample of studies (10% at title/abstract

screening stage and 20% at full text screening stage). The reviewers (CW and BC) independently

decided which studies met the eligibility criteria to be included in the review and, at full text screening

stage, noted any reasons for exclusion. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion. Interrater

reliability was calculated at each screening stage; agreement was 99% at title/abstract and 100% at

full-text stage.

The following data were extracted independently by CW for all included papers:

• General information: title, lead author, publication date, journal title, location/country of

study

Study characteristics: study aim, study design and methods, response rate (where relevant),

sample size, data collection date

• Sample characteristics: age (in years), sex distribution, ethnicity, population type (e.g.,

clinical/non-clinical)

Military characteristics: serving status (serving/ex-serving), engagement type

(regular/reserve), service branch, rank, deployment, era of service

• Outcomes: type (self-harm, suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, completed suicide), definition

and measures

Associated factors

• **Study findings:** conclusions, limitations, future research

Statistical findings are reported in Supplementary 3. Where available, adjusted odds ratios or effect estimates have been presented. Otherwise unadjusted results have been reported and clearly identified.

2.5. Quality Assessment

The quality of each included paper was assessed independently by CW using the National Heart, Blood and Lung Institute (NHBLI) tailored quality assessment tools [35]. BC independently performed second reviewer quality assessment on a sample of papers (20%). Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion. Papers were not excluded based on their quality, instead, results from the assessment provided additional insight into the quality of research in the field.

3. RESULTS

11

Overall, 4,576 papers were identified, of which 497 duplicates were removed (Supplementary 4). The

title/abstracts of 4,079 papers were screened, leaving 94 papers at full-text stage. Experts in the field

identified no additional peer-reviewed papers and cross-referencing identified one additional paper

of relevance. Overall, 28 papers met the inclusion criteria (Supplementary 5).

3.1. Study Characteristics

Included studies used a range of study designs; 23 cohort studies [15, 18, 27, 28, 36-54] and five

retrospective cohort studies [55–59] (Table 1). The majority of papers were from Canada (n=13) [36,

38–40, 43–45, 49–51, 58, 59] and the UK (n=10) [15, 18, 46, 47, 52–57]. The remaining papers were

from Australia (n=5) [27, 28, 41, 42, 48], but none were from New Zealand. Papers explored military

samples of ex-serving personnel only (n=12) [38–41, 43, 49–51], serving personnel only (n=8) [27, 28,

42, 44-47, 52, 55-57, 59] and mixed samples of serving and ex-serving personnel (n=8) [15, 18, 36,

37, 48, 53, 58, 60]. Of the 28 included papers, 24 included mixed male and female samples, however

the percentage of male participants typically ranged from around 85% to 99% [15, 18, 28, 36, 38–40,

43-59]. Of the remaining four papers, three used all male samples [27, 41, 42] and one did not

explicitly report on the sex of the sample directly within the paper [37].

3.2. Quality Assessment

Most papers received a quality assessment score of 'fair' (n=21), and the rest scored 'good' (n=7)

(Supplementary 3). Common reasons for lower scores included lacking a sample size

justification/power calculation, not assessing the exposure variables more than once, and not using

outcome measures which were clearly defined, valid and reliable.

3.3. Reported Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviour Outcomes

Included papers reported on a range of outcomes outlined in Table 1. Four papers reported on self-

harm only [15, 53–55], nine reported on suicidal ideation only [36–38, 44–46, 51, 52, 58], two on

suicide attempts only [28, 50] and three on completed suicides only [56, 57, 59]. The remaining 10

papers reported on a mix of these outcomes, typically referred to as 'suicidality' (often including

outcomes of suicidal ideation, suicide plans and suicide attempts) [18, 27, 39–43, 47–49].

[Table 1]

3.3.1. Definition and Measurement of Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviour Outcomes

Only six papers provided a definition for the outcomes of interest (Table 1), with the remaining papers

failing to report why these terms were not defined.

The majority of papers used self-report surveys (n=17) [15, 28, 36–40, 43, 46, 48–51, 53, 54, 58]. Two

papers collected data via structured clinical interviews [44, 45] and four utilised a mix of self-report

surveys and structured clinical interviews [18, 27, 41, 42]. One study employed clinical assessment

[52]. The remaining papers used ICD codes to define and measure the outcome of interest [55–57,

59].

A variety of outcome measures were used to collect data on the outcomes of self-harm and suicidal

behaviours (Table 1). For instance, ICD codes [55-57, 59], Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [36, 58],

Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised [15, 18] and Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised [46].

13

3.4. Risk Factors

Numerous risk factors were identified in the review [Table 2].

3.4.1. Risk Factors for Self-Harm

Pre-enlistment factors increased the risk of self-harm, including experiencing childhood adversity and

abuse than those without these experiences (approximately doubling the risk) [15, 53]. Certain

demographic groups were also at heightened risk including younger age groups [15, 53, 54] compared

to middle/older age groups and those no longer in a relationship (i.e., separated/divorced) [53]

compared to in a relationship. There were discrepancies between studies regarding the impact of sex,

with two studies reporting the risk of self-harm was increased for females [18, 53] but one study stated

increased risk for males [55]. Military characteristics associated with increased risk of self-harm

included having left service, with ex-serving personnel reported to be as much as three times more at

risk of self-harm than serving personnel or non-military population depending on sample explored

[15, 18, 53, 55]. Other military characteristics that increased the risk of self-harm included being an

early service leaver, defined within the relevant papers as <4 years [47] and ≤2.5 years [55] of service.

Several health-related factors also increased risk of self-harm. The factors with some of the largest

effect sizes (ranging from approximately two to eight times more likely) included clinical or probable

diagnosis of PTSD [15, 18, 55], depression [15, 18], and anxiety [18, 55], as well as history of suicidal

ideation [18]. Other risk factors for self-harm included a lack of social support [53], mental health-

related stigmatisation [18], perceived practical barriers to care [18], negative attitudes to mental

illness [18], and formal medical help-seeking [18] (all approximately tripling risk).

3.4.2. Risk Factors for Suicide Behaviours

Similar risk factors were identified for suicide behaviours (i.e., suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and

completed suicide). Pre-enlistment factors, such as experience of childhood adversity and abuse were

found to increase the risk of suicide compared to those without these experiences (around two to

seven times more likely) [41-43, 49, 52]. Certain demographic characteristics increased the risk of

suicide behaviours, including being single or no longer in a relationship (i.e., separated, divorced,

widowed) [38, 41, 44, 48], lower educational attainment (less than university degree level) [41], and

unemployment [28, 52]. Another identified risk factor for suicide behaviours was a lack of social

support [36]. The influence of age remains unclear, as studies reported a variety of age groups to be

at increased risk of suicide behaviours [36, 41, 56, 57, 59]; for instance younger age groups (e.g., 16 to

24 years [57]), younger/middle age groups (e.g., <25 to 44 years [59]), and a mixture of age groups

(i.e., 25 to 45+ years [41]).

Numerous military characteristics increased the risk of suicide behaviours, including being medically

discharged from service [48], having a shorter length of service (i.e., one to four years [57]) [36, 57],

holding a more junior rank during service [41, 42, 59], serving as a Reservist (vs Regular) [18], and

shorter intervals since return from last Afghanistan deployment (i.e., <4 years) [51]. Being an early

service leaver was another factor that increased risk of suicide behaviours, defined within the relevant

papers as ≤3 years [56] and <4 years [47, 52] of service (e.g., increased risk by as much as eight-fold

[52]). Experience of deployment-related traumatic events [37, 39, 40, 43, 49–51] and exposure to

trauma [37, 41, 42, 46, 50] were other factors associated with increased risk of suicide behaviours.

Several health-related factors were positively associated with suicide behaviours among serving and

ex-serving personnel. These included number of physical health disorders (small effect size of just over

15

one) [44] and number of mental health disorders (odds ranging from around two to 20 times more

likely) [38, 44, 45]. More specifically, clinical or probable diagnosis of PTSD [18, 27, 28, 37, 38, 43, 48],

depression [18, 27, 37, 38, 41–44, 48, 58], anxiety [18, 27, 38, 42, 43, 48], alcohol use disorder [27, 38,

43, 48], cannabis use [27], mood disorder [44], insomnia [38], or panic attacks [43] (ranging from just

over one to 15 times more likely). Other risk factors included a higher number of perceived practical

barriers to care, negative attitudes to mental illness, and formal medical help-seeking [18]. Further,

lifetime suicidal ideation was reported as a risk factor for suicide attempts (up to 12 times more likely)

[18].

3.5. Protective Factors

A number of protective factors were also identified [Table 2].

3.5.1. Protective Factors for Self-Harm

Several factors were identified that decreased the risk of self-harm, including middle/older age groups

[18, 53, 55] (e.g. 35 to 49+ years [18]) compared to other age groups, and experience of less adversity

during childhood (i.e., less than three factors) [15]. Certain military characteristics reduced the

likelihood of self-harm, for example holding a more senior rank (vs junior ranks) [15, 18], being a

Reservist (vs Regular) [53], and having a longer length of service [15, 55]. Further, a higher level of

perceived social support was associated with a lower likelihood of self-harm [18, 53].

3.5.2. Protective Factors for Suicide Behaviours

When considering suicide behaviours (i.e., suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and completed suicide),

several identified factors reduced risk. Certain demographics were significantly associated with

decreased risk of suicide behaviours including being female [57], middle age groups (e.g. 35 to 54

16

years compared to those <35 years) [52], having a current significant relationship (i.e., being married or in a relationship approximately half as likely than those no longer in relationships) [41, 42, 57],

higher educational attainment [41, 42], and being employed [28].

Several military characteristics decreased the risk of suicide behaviours, including holding a more senior rank (vs junior ranks) [18, 57], service in the Royal Air Force [18, 57] or Naval Services [57] (vs Army), and certain deployment-related factors such as higher cumulative duration of Afghanistan-related deployments (i.e., ≥361 days) [51], and less time away on deployment in the past three years

(i.e., up to two years) [51] (all approximately half as likely). Higher levels of perceived social support

[18, 37] and taking longer than five years to seek support (vs less than five years) [52] were also

identified factors that reduced the likelihood of suicide behaviours.

17

4. DISCUSSION

This review identified 28 papers reporting on a range of factors associated with self-harm and suicide

behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel of the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces,

Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force.

A variety of definitions and measurements were used for the outcomes reported in the included

papers. Definition is an important aspect of academic research and clinical practice, yet precise

definitions have been contested, and current terminology varies across nations [61]. For instance,

there are several terms for self-harm, including non-suicidal self-injury, deliberate self-harm and self-

inflicted violence. Definitions of mental health conditions and the use of consistent language are an

important starting point within good quality research papers and are also important for reducing

stigma and encouraging individuals to seek help, particularly relating to self-harm and suicide

behaviours [62].

Several of the identified risk factors reflect those among the general population and similar

occupational groups (such as emergency responders) [3, 63, 64], and were generally consistent across

the included geographical regions. Although no relevant peer-reviewed papers were identified from

New Zealand, suicide prevention work is being conducted [65]. Risk factors for suicide among the New

Zealand Defence Force include current mental health concerns, acute life stressors and negative

attitudes towards help-seeking, and protective factors include positive mental health and social

support [65]. The paucity of peer-reviewed work from New Zealand may be due to the smaller military

population of the New Zealand Defence Force compared to the military populations of other included

nations, making large quantitative studies more difficult.

18

It is important to recognise that some risk and protective factors were not always consistent across

included studies in this review, and the influence of age, sex, certain military service characteristics,

and certain health diagnoses remains unclear. For instance, having served as a Reservist increased the

likelihood of suicide behaviours [18] but decreased the likelihood of self-harm [53], highlighting the

need for a holistic approach when supporting military communities. It is important to develop an

enhanced understanding about motivations for engaging in self-harm and suicide behaviours, as for

some self-harm acts as a coping mechanism to regulate internal emotions, whereas suicide behaviours

become more apparent when the individual can no longer cope [66].

One key risk factor for self-harm was having left service. Potentially the transition from serving to ex-

serving is a period of risk and support should be in place during this time. It might be that after leaving

service, ex-serving personnel no longer feel like part of the military 'family', experience a shift in their

sense of self and have difficulty connecting to civilian life [67]. Alternatively, it could reflect the

influence of time as ex-serving personnel are typically older, and therefore, if considering lifetime

prevalence and risk, this would capture a longer period of time. Additionally, there may be an

underrepresentation among serving personnel as they are potentially more hesitant to report these

behaviours due to stigma and fear of negative consequences to their career [68]; personnel with ill

health (physical or mental) may be forced to leave service (i.e. medical discharge) and those who

involuntarily separate from the military are at high risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours [22, 69,

70].

Poor health was another key identified risk factor, in particular clinical or probable diagnosis of PTSD,

depression and anxiety. This highlights the importance of early detection of mental health problems,

19

providing adequate care and support to military personnel throughout their military career, and

providing continuity of care as they transition out of service. Interestingly, seeking help from formal

medical sources was positively associated with lifetime suicide attempts [18] and taking more than

five years to seek help was negatively associated with suicidal ideation [52]. It is unlikely that seeking

help from formal medical sources has a causal relationship with suicide behaviours. Instead, UK

research has found that ex-serving personnel are known to present to clinical services at times of

crisis, which might involve an active episode of self-harm or suicide behaviours, therefore, placing

them more at risk [71]. Additionally, those who delay seeking help for longer may have discovered

ways to cope with their difficulties on their own, whereas those who seek help sooner may have been

in crisis and at higher risk of suicidal ideation [52]. Clearly, a key challenge relating to self-harm and

suicide behaviour risk is prevention. Most developed nations already have suicide prevention

strategies in place, including for serving and ex-serving personnel, or as part of the wider mental health

strategy [72–75]. The findings of this review suggest that prevention and intervention strategies

should focus on the broader context of improving health and wellbeing (physical, mental, and social

health).

Less research focussed on protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours among the included

military populations. Despite this, one key association was with higher levels of perceived social

support [18, 37], indicating that this was likely a salient factor that could help prevent or mitigate risk,

particularly due to its modifiable nature. This aligns with other international military populations,

including the US [76], as well as in the general population where social support has been

recommended for use in suicide prevention strategies [77]. One way to bolster social support among

military populations is the use of peer support as a preventative strategy [78, 79].

Strengths and Limitations

20

A strength of this review was the search of seven literature databases using a broad search strategy

outlined in an a priori PROSPERO approved review protocol. The protocol was generally followed but

any changes were reflected by updating the protocol (i.e., removing the limit around the age of the

sample). Additionally, a proportion of the eligibility assessment and critical appraisal of papers was

conducted by a second, independent reviewer with high inter-rater reliability. Including a wider range

of geographical regions may have led to more included papers (e.g., Na et al (2021) [80]), however

focus this review aimed to address the literature gap by collating evidence where attention has

previously been limited.

There were some limitations to note. As with all systematic reviews, the findings of this review were

subject to publication bias. Additionally, some potential associated factors received less attention than

others, however this review tried to provide the best synthesis of the evidence currently available.

There were also several limitations relating to the included papers. First, papers used different

definitions and measurements of self-harm and suicide behaviours, limiting the possibility of

comparing findings across studies. However, combining these papers in a review contributes to

understanding. Second, there is no universally agreed definition of veterans (i.e., ex-serving

personnel) which makes cross-cultural comparison difficult. Third, studies did not always report on

some military characteristics (such as service branch, rank, deployment experience, era of service and

time since leaving service) and demographic characteristics (such as ethnicity and sexuality) which

would have been useful for contextualisation and interpretation of the findings. There remains limited

understanding of the impact of sex, experiences of ethnic minority and LGBTQ+ personnel. Fourth,

included studies used a variety of sample sizes (range n= 144 to 233,803), study procedures, and

sample characteristics. For example, the majority of papers relied on self-reported data which may

have been subject to recall bias and social desirability bias.

Implications

This review has several important implications for policy, practice, and research. The identification of

risk and protective factors can be useful to inform military health services and policies including where

to target suicide prevention policy to reduce the incidence and impact of suicide. In the UK, this is one

of nine key health priority themes laid out in the Defence People Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2022-

2027 [81].

Identifying the risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviour outcomes is an

important aspect of the development and implementation of effective prevention and intervention

strategies to protect the mental health and wellbeing of military populations. Evidence on associated

factors can inform healthcare practice and service provision for the Armed Forces community by

highlighting several at-risk groups which may require additional support. This review suggests that

additional support is required during the period of transition from military to civilian life but also

highlights the importance of prevention early on in military service to reduce the impact on personnel

as they transition out of service. The identified protective factors suggest that prevention and

intervention strategies should focus on encouraging help-seeking for mental health problems early on

before crisis events occur, as well as promoting social support networks and strengthening

connections with family, friends and the community as a whole.

Additionally, focus should be placed on modifiable factors (i.e., factors that could reasonably be

altered, such as psychiatric symptoms and social support). Although non-modifiable factors can help

to identify level of risk, they are of less use during prevention and intervention as they cannot be

changed to alter the level of risk. Therefore, particular focus on modifiable risk and protective factors

22

is warranted as they are amenable to therapeutic intervention and can be key to addressing long-term

risk due to their adaptive nature. However, it is still important to understand the role of non-

modifiable factors as there may be an indirect effect, for example when considering military rank, it

might be that officers are at lower risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours because they typically hold

higher socio-economic status and higher educational attainment [82] which are known protective

factors.

Future studies should also focus on conducting longitudinal investigations which distinguish between

pre-, peri- and post-service factors in order to identify pathways of self-harm and suicide behaviours,

and to ensure support is in place at the right point in the military lifecycle.

Conclusions

This review highlighted several risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours which

warrant attention. Adequate care and support are a necessity for serving and ex-serving personnel

who may be at risk of experiencing self-harm or suicide behaviours. Particular focus should be placed

on implementing prevention strategies early on in military service to reduce the impact on personnel

as they transition out of service. The identified protective factors suggest that prevention and

intervention strategies should promote social networks as a key source of support for military

personnel. Whilst this review was limited due to the paucity of peer-reviewed research within some

populations, current work, such as that being undertaken in New Zealand will add to the

understanding. Research should continue to progress towards understanding and preventing self-

harm and suicide behaviours among military populations.

5. REFERENCES

- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network (2020) Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) results. Seattle
- 2. World Health Organisation (WHO) (2019) Suicide: Key Facts. https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide. Accessed 12 May 2021
- 3. Knipe D, Padmanathan P, Newton-Howes G, et al (2022) Suicide and self-harm. Lancet 399:1903–1916. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00173-8
- 4. Office for National Statistics (2022) Deaths from suicide that occurred in England and Wales: April to December 2020. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/deathsfromsuicidethatoccurredinenglandandwales/apriltodecember2020. Accessed 15 Jul 2022
- 5. Bresin K, Schoenleber M (2015) Gender differences in the prevalence of nonsuicidal self-injury: A meta-analysis. Clin Psychol Rev 38:55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPR.2015.02.009
- 6. Stevelink SAM, Jones M, Hull L, et al (2018) Mental health outcomes at the end of the British involvement in the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts: A cohort study. Br J Psychiatry 213:690–697. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2018.175
- 7. Ministry of Defence (2023) Suicides in the UK regular armed forces: Annual summary and trends over time. 1 January 1984 to 31 December 2022
- 8. Ravindran C, Morley SW, Stephens BM, et al (2020) Association of Suicide Risk With Transition to Civilian Life Among US Military Service Members. JAMA Netw Open 3:e2016261–e2016261. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2020.16261
- Government of Canada (2021) Suicide in Canada. In: Gov. Canada.
 https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/suicide-prevention/suicide-canada.html.
 Accessed 16 Aug 2022
- 10. Stuart J, Bennett C (2021) Mental Health in the NZDF Insights for Suicide Prevention
- 11. Bandel SL, Anestis MD (2020) Non-suicidal self-injury among male service members:

 Descriptive information and a preliminary examination of external correlates. Psychiatry Res 285:112815. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSYCHRES.2020.112815
- 12. Turner BJ, Kleiman EM, Nock MK (2019) Non-suicidal self-injury prevalence, course, and association with suicidal thoughts and behaviors in two large, representative samples of US Army soldiers. Psychol Med 49:1470–1480. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718002015
- 13. Moradi Y, Dowran B, Sepandi M (2021) The global prevalence of depression, suicide ideation, and attempts in the military forces: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of cross sectional studies. BMC Psychiatry 21:. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12888-021-03526-2
- 14. Kimbrel NA, Johnson ME, Clancy C, et al (2014) Deliberate Self-Harm and Suicidal Ideation Among Male Iraq/Afghanistan-Era Veterans Seeking Treatment for PTSD. J Trauma Stress 27:474–477. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21932
- 15. Pinder RJ, Iversen AC, Kapur N, et al (2012) Self-harm and attempted suicide among UK Armed Forces personnel: Results of a cross-sectional survey. Int J Soc Psychiatry 58:433–439. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764011408534

- 16. Ramchand R, Rudavsky R, Grant S, et al (2015) Prevalence of, Risk Factors for, and Consequences of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Other Mental Health Problems in Military Populations Deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan. Curr Psychiatry Rep 17:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11920-015-0575-Z/TABLES/5
- 17. Holliday R, Borges LM, Stearns-Yoder KA, et al (2020) Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Suicidal Ideation, and Suicidal Self-Directed Violence Among U.S. Military Personnel and Veterans: A Systematic Review of the Literature From 2010 to 2018. Front Psychol 11:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01998
- 18. Jones N, Sharp M-L, Phillips A, Stevelink SAM (2019) Suicidal Ideation, Suicidal Attempts, and Self-Harm in the UK Armed Forces. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12570
- 19. Reger MA, Smolenski DJ, Skopp NA, et al (2015) Risk of Suicide Among US Military Service Members Following Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom Deployment and Separation From the US Military. JAMA Psychiatry 72:561–569. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMAPSYCHIATRY.2014.3195
- 20. Ravindran C, Morley SW, Stephens BM, et al (2020) Association of Suicide Risk With Transition to Civilian Life Among US Military Service Members. JAMA Netw Open 3:e2016261–e2016261. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2020.16261
- 21. Pease JL, Billera M, Gerard G (2016) Military Culture and the Transition to Civilian Life: Suicide Risk and Other Considerations. Soc Work 61:83–86. https://doi.org/10.1093/sw/swv050
- 22. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (2022) Serving and ex-serving Australian Defence Force members who have served since 1985: suicide monitoring 1997 to 2020
- 23. Ministry of Defence (2019) Deliberate Self Harm (DSH) in the UK Armed Forces. 1 April 2010 31 March 2018
- 24. Rodway C, Ibrahim S, Westhead J, et al (2023) Suicide after leaving the UK Armed Forces 1996–2018: A cohort study. PLOS Med 20:e1004273. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1004273
- 25. Elbogen EB, Molloy K, Wagner HR, et al (2020) Psychosocial protective factors and suicidal ideation: Results from a national longitudinal study of veterans. J Affect Disord 260:703–709. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAD.2019.09.062
- 26. Nock MK, Deming CA, Fullerton CS, et al (2013) Suicide among soldiers: a review of psychosocial risk and protective factors. Psychiatry 76:97–125. https://doi.org/10.1521/PSYC.2013.76.2.97
- 27. O'Toole BI, Orreal-Scarborough T, Johnston D, et al (2015) Suicidality in Australian Vietnam veterans and their partners. J Psychiatr Res 65:30–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.02.003
- 28. Kerr K, Romaniuk M, McLeay S, et al (2018) Increased risk of attempted suicide in Australian veterans is associated with total and permanent incapacitation, unemployment and posttraumatic stress disorder severity. Aust New Zeal J Psychiatry 52:552–560. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867417718945
- 29. International Institute for Strategic Studies (2019) The Military Balance 2019. Routledge, London
- 30. Bryan CJ, Griffith JE, Pace BT, et al (2015) Combat Exposure and Risk for Suicidal Thoughts and Behaviors Among Military Personnel and Veterans: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.

- Suicide Life-Threatening Behav 45:633-649. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12163
- 31. Haney EM, O'Neil ME, Carson S, et al (2012) Suicide Risk Factors and Risk Assessment Tools: A Systematic Review [Internet]. Washington (DC)
- 32. Theis J, Hoops K, Booty M, et al (2021) Firearm Suicide Among Veterans of the U.S. Military: A Systematic Review. Mil Med 186:e525–e536. https://doi.org/10.1093/MILMED/USAA495
- 33. Şenel E (2020) Evolution of military medicine literature: a scientometric study of global publications on military medicine between 1978 and 2017. BMJ Mil Heal 166:e25–e33. https://doi.org/10.1136/jramc-2019-001188
- 34. NHS Digital (2020) Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide. In: NHS Digit. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/euthanasia-and-assisted-suicide/. Accessed 16 Aug 2022
- 35. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (2021) Study Quality Assessment Tools. In: NHLBI Website. https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools. Accessed 16 Aug 2022
- 36. Ketcheson F, King L, Richardson JD (2018) Association between social support and mental health conditions in treatment-seeking Veterans and Canadian Armed Forces personnel. J Mil Veteran Fam Heal 4:20–32. https://doi.org/10.3138/jmvfh.2017-0001
- 37. Nelson C, Cyr K St., Corbett B, et al (2011) Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, and suicidal ideation among Canadian Forces personnel in a National Canadian Military Health Survey. J Psychiatr Res 45:1483–1488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2011.06.014
- 38. Richardson JD, Thompson A, King L, et al (2017) Insomnia, psychiatric disorders and suicidal ideation in a National Representative Sample of active Canadian Forces members. BMC Psychiatry 17:211. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1372-5
- 39. Sareen J, Cox BJ, Afifi TO, et al (2007) Combat and Peacekeeping Operations in Relation to Prevalence of Mental Disorders and Perceived Need for Mental Health Care. Arch Gen Psychiatry 64:843. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.64.7.843
- 40. Sareen J, Afifi TO, Taillieu T, et al (2017) Deployment-Related Traumatic Events and Suicidal Behaviours in a Nationally Representative Sample of Canadian Armed Forces Personnel. Can J Psychiatry 62:795–804. https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743717699174
- 41. Syed Sheriff R, Van Hooff M, Malhi G, et al (2019) Childhood determinants of suicidality: comparing males in military and civilian employed populations. Psychol Med 49:2421–2431. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718003355
- 42. Syed Sheriff R, Van Hooff M, Malhi GS, et al (2020) Childhood determinants of suicidality in men recently transitioned from regular military service. Aust New Zeal J Psychiatry 54:743–754. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867420924742
- 43. Taillieu TL, Sareen J, Afifi TO (2022) Associations among child abuse history, deployment-related traumatic events, mental disorders, and suicidal behaviors in Canadian Regular Force personnel. J Trauma Stress 35:1060–1071. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22814
- 44. Thompson JM, Zamorski MA, Sweet J, et al (2014) Roles of physical and mental health in suicidal ideation in Canadian Armed Forces Regular Force veterans. Can J Public Heal 105:e109–e115. https://doi.org/10.17269/cjph.105.4217
- 45. Thompson JM, Dursun S, VanTil L, et al (2019) Group identity, difficult adjustment to civilian life, and suicidal ideation in Canadian Armed Forces Veterans: Life After Service Studies 2016.

- J Mil Veteran Fam Heal 5:100–114. https://doi.org/10.3138/jmvfh.2018-0038
- 46. Williamson V, Murphy D, Stevelink SAM, et al (2021) The impact of moral injury on the wellbeing of UK military veterans. BMC Psychol 2021 91 9:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/S40359-021-00578-7
- 47. Woodhead C, Rona RJ, Iversen A, et al (2011) Mental health and health service use among post-national service veterans: Results from the 2007 Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey of England. Psychol Med 41:363–372. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291710000759
- 48. Varker T, Cowlishaw S, Baur J, et al (2022) Problem anger in veterans and military personnel: Prevalence, predictors, and associated harms of suicide and violence. J Psychiatr Res 151:57–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.04.004
- 49. Afifi TO, Taillieu T, Zamorski MA, et al (2016) Association of Child Abuse Exposure With Suicidal Ideation, Suicide Plans, and Suicide Attempts in Military Personnel and the General Population in Canada. JAMA Psychiatry 73:229. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.2732
- 50. Belik S-L, Stein MB, Asmundson GJ, Sareen J (2009) Relation between Traumatic Events and Suicide Attempts in Canadian Military Personnel. Can J Psychiatry 54:93–104. https://doi.org/10.1177/070674370905400207
- 51. Boulos D, Fikretoglu D (2018) Influence of military component and deployment-related experiences on mental disorders among Canadian military personnel who deployed to Afghanistan: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open 8:e018735. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018735
- 52. Harden L, Murphy D (2018) Risk factors of suicidal ideation in a population of UK military veterans seeking support for mental health difficulties. J R Army Med Corps 164:352–356. https://doi.org/10.1136/jramc-2018-000921
- 53. Hines LA, Jawahar K, Wessely S, Fear NT (2013) Self-harm in the UK military. Occup Med (Chic III) 63:354–357. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqt065
- 54. Jones M, Jones N, Burdett H, et al (2021) Do Junior Entrants to the UK Armed Forces have worse outcomes than Standard Entrants? BMJ Mil Heal bmjmilitary-2021-001787. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjmilitary-2021-001787
- 55. Bergman BP, Mackay DF, Smith DJ, Pell JP (2019) Non-fatal self-harm in Scottish military veterans: a retrospective cohort study of 57,000 veterans and 173,000 matched non-veterans. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 54:81–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-018-1588-9
- 56. Bergman BP, Mackay DF, Pell JP (2022) Suicide among Scottish military veterans: follow-up and trends. Occup Environ Med 79:88–93. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2021-107713
- 57. Kapur N, While D, Blatchley N, et al (2009) Suicide after Leaving the UK Armed Forces —A Cohort Study. PLoS Med 6:e1000026. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000026
- 58. Richardson JD, King L, St. Cyr K, et al (2018) Depression and the relationship between sleep disturbances, nightmares, and suicidal ideation in treatment-seeking Canadian Armed Forces members and veterans. BMC Psychiatry 18:204. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-1782-z
- 59. VanTil LD, Simkus K, Rolland-Harris E, Heber A (2021) Identifying release-related precursors to suicide among Canadian Veterans between 1976 and 2012. J Mil Veteran Fam Heal 7:13–20. https://doi.org/10.3138/jmvfh-2020-0011

- 60. Irizar P, Leightley D, Stevelink S, et al (2020) Drinking motivations in UK serving and ex-serving military personnel. Occup Med (Chic III) 70:259–267. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kgaa003
- 61. Wilson E, Ougrin D (2021) Commentary: Defining self-harm: how inconsistencies in language persist a commentary/reflection on Ward and Curran (2021). Child Adolesc Ment Health 26:372–374. https://doi.org/10.1111/CAMH.12502
- 62. Perkins A, Ridler J, Browes D, et al (2018) Experiencing mental health diagnosis: a systematic review of service user, clinician, and carer perspectives across clinical settings. The Lancet Psychiatry 5:747–764. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30095-6
- 63. Favril L, Yu R, Uyar A, et al (2022) Risk factors for suicide in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological autopsy studies. Evid Based Ment Health 25:148. https://doi.org/10.1136/EBMENTAL-2022-300549
- 64. Stanley IH, Hom MA, Joiner TE (2016) A systematic review of suicidal thoughts and behaviors among police officers, firefighters, EMTs, and paramedics. Clin Psychol Rev 44:25–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPR.2015.12.002
- 65. Stuart J, Bennett C (2021) Mental Health in the NZDF Insights for Suicide Prevention
- 66. Brereton A, McGlinchey E (2020) Self-harm, Emotion Regulation, and Experiential Avoidance: A Systematic Review. Arch Suicide Res 24:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/13811118.2018.1563575
- 67. Guthrie-Gower S, Wilson-Menzfeld G (2022) Ex-military personnel's experiences of loneliness and social isolation from discharge, through transition, to the present day. PLoS One 17:e0269678. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0269678
- 68. Sharp M-L, Fear NT, Rona RJ, et al (2015) Stigma as a Barrier to Seeking Health Care Among Military Personnel With Mental Health Problems. Epidemiol Rev 37:144–162. https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxu012
- 69. Coleman SJ, Stevelink SAM, Hatch SL, et al (2017) Stigma-related barriers and facilitators to help seeking for mental health issues in the armed forces: a systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative literature. Psychol Med 47:1880–1892. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717000356
- 70. Varker T, Cowlishaw S, Baur J, et al (2022) Problem anger in veterans and military personnel: Prevalence, predictors, and associated harms of suicide and violence. J Psychiatr Res 151:57–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPSYCHIRES.2022.04.004
- 71. Mellotte H, Murphy D, Rafferty L, Greenberg N (2017) Pathways into mental health care for UK veterans: a qualitative study. Eur J Psychotraumatol 8:1389207. https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2017.1389207
- 72. Government of Canada (2017) Canadian Armed Forces and Veterans Affairs Canada Joint Suicide Prevention Strategy. https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/dnd-mdn/documents/reports/2017/caf-vac-joint-suicide-prevention-strategy.pdf. Accessed 3 Jul 2023
- 73. Veterans Affairs New Zealand (2022) The Veteran, Family and Whānau Mental Health and Wellbeing Policy Framework. https://www.veteransaffairs.mil.nz/about-veterans-affairs/our-programmes/mental-health-framework. Accessed 3 Jul 2023
- 74. Department of Veterans Affairs (2020) Veteran Mental Health and Wellbeing Strategy and

- National Action Plan 2020-2023. https://www.dva.gov.au/documents-and-publications/veteran-mental-health-and-wellbeing-strategy-and-national-action-plan#download_docs. Accessed 3 Jul 2023
- 75. Department of Defense (2023) Preventing Suicide in the U.S. Military: Recommendations from the Suicide Prevention and Response Independent Review Committee. https://media.defense.gov/2023/Feb/24/2003167430/-1/-1/0/SPRIRC-FINAL-REPORT.PDF. Accessed 3 Jul 2023
- 76. Dempsey CL, Benedek DM, Nock MK, et al (2021) Social closeness and support are associated with lower risk of suicide among U.S. Army soldiers. Suicide Life-Threatening Behav 51:940–954. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12778
- 77. Hou X, Wang J, Guo J, et al (2022) Systematic review Methods and efficacy of social support interventions in preventing suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Evid Based Ment Heal 25:29–35. https://doi.org/10.1136/ebmental-2021-300318
- 78. Beehler S, LoFaro C, Kreisel C, et al (2021) Veteran peer suicide prevention: A community-based peer prevention model. Suicide Life-Threatening Behav 51:358–367. https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12712
- 79. Ministry of Defence Suicide prevention and peer support in the armed forces: A pocket guide by the Samaritans. In: 2018.

 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_d ata/file/919726/Samaritans_Armed_Forces_guide.pdf
- 80. Na PJ, Tsai J, Hill ML, et al (2021) Prevalence, risk and protective factors associated with suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic in U.S. military veterans with pre-existing psychiatric conditions. J Psychiatr Res 137:351–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.03.021
- 81. UK Government (2022) Defence People Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2022 to 2027. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/defence-people-health-and-wellbeing-strategy-2022-to-2027. Accessed 27 Jun 2022
- 82. The Sutton Trust, Social Mobility Commission (2019) Elitist Britain 2019: The educational background of Britain's leading people

Table 1. Characteristics of Identified in Included Studies (N=28)

STUDY			SAMPLE			OUTCOME		
Study and Location	Study Design	Military Sample Size	Demographic and Military Characteristics	Male (%)	Age	Outcome Type	Outcome Definitions	Outcome Measures
Afifi et al (2016) ⁺¹ Canada	Cohort study	8,161	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel -Regular (n=6,692) and Reserve (n=1,469) Various military ranks including junior non- commissioned member (NCM), senior NCM and officer Deployed (46% of Regulars and 100% of Reservists) and non-deployed (54% of Regulars and no Reservists)	Regulars: 86.1% Reserves: 90.6%	18 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation, suicide plans and suicide attempts	Not reported	Suicidal Ideation: Ever thought seriously about committing suicide or taking his or her own life (yes/no); in the past year (yes/no) Suicide Plan: Ever made a plan to commit suicide (yes/no); in the past year (yes/no) Suicide Attempt: Ever attempted suicide or tried to take own life (yes/no)
Belik et al (2009) ⁺² Canada	Cohort study	8,441 Regular: 5,155 Reserves: 3,286	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel -Regular (n=5,155) and Reserve (n=3,286) Regions of Atlantic, Quebec, Ontario and Prairies Various military ranks including junior NCM, senior NCM and officer	Male and female included but % not reported	16 to 54 years	Suicide attempts	Not reported	Ever attempted suicide or tried to take own life (yes/no)
Bergman et al (2019) ⁺³ <i>UK</i>	Retrospective cohort study	56,205	Ex-serving UK (Scottish) Armed Forces personnel (Regular only)	90.7%	Mean age of first self-harm episode:	Self-harm	First outcome of ICD- 10 code X60-X84 (intentional self-	ICD-10 code X60-X84 (intentional self- harm), Y87.0

							harm), Y87.0	(sequelae of self-
			Born between 1945 and		Male:		(sequelae of self-	harm), Y10-Y34 (event
			1985, resident in Scotland		41.9 years		harm), Y10-Y34 (event	of undetermined
			and registered with NHS		41.5 years		of undetermined	intent) or Y87.2
			Scotland both before and		Female:		intent) or Y87.2	(sequelae of events of
			after service		38.9 years		(sequelae of events of	undetermined intent)
			arter service		30.9 years		undetermined intent)	or ICD-9 code E950-
							or ICD-9 code E950-	E959 (suicide and self-
							E959 (suicide and self-	inflicted injury) or
							inflicted injury) or	E980-E989 (injury
							E980-E989 (injury	undetermined
							undetermined	whether accidentally
							whether accidentally	or purposely inflicted)
							or purposely inflicted)	[first outcome]
							at any position in the	
							record other than the	
							cause of death,	
							excluding any	
							individual whose	
							death was classified	
							as suicide or whose	
							date of death was the	
							same as the recorded	
							date of self-harm,	
							whilst suicide was	
							classified as the	
							occurrence of any of	
							the specified ICD	
							codes in the cause of	
							death field	
Bergman et al (2022)+3	Retrospective	78,157	Ex-serving UK (Scottish)	90.3%	18 to 80 years	Completed suicide	A cause of death	ICD-10 codes X60–
UK	cohort study		Armed Forces personnel				recorded as ICD-10	X84 (intentional self-
			(Regular only)				codes X60–X84	harm), Y87.0
							(intentional self-	(sequelae of self-
			Born between 1945 and				harm), Y87.0	harm), Y10-Y34 (event
			1995, resident in Scotland				(sequelae of self-	of undetermined

Boulos & Fikretoglu (2018) ⁺¹ Canada	Cohort study	4,854 Regular: 3,385 Reserves: 1,469	and registered with NHS Scotland both before and after service Serving Canadian personnel – Regular (n=3385) and Reserve (n=1469) All had deployed to Afghanistan Various military ranks including junior NCM, senior NCM and officer	Regular: 89.0% Reserves: 91.0%	17 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation	harm), Y10-Y34 (event of undetermined intent) or Y87.2 (sequelae of events of undetermined intent) or ICD-9 codes E950–E959 (suicide and self-inflicted injury) or E980–E989 (injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted) [any position on death certificate]. Encompasses death resulting from suicide, intentional self-harm or events of undetermined intent Not reported	(sequelae of events of undetermined intent) or ICD-9 codes E950–E959 (suicide and self-inflicted injury) or E980–E989 (injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted) [any position on death certificate] Have you thought about committing suicide in the past 12 months (yes/no)
Harden & Murphy (2018) UK	Cohort study	144	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel All were actively receiving treatment for mental health difficulties	97.2%	<35 years = 18.8% 35-44 years = 27.8%	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Clinical Risk assessments by psychiatric nurses: Green: no concerns raised by clinical staff, no plans, stable in terms of mental

					45-54 years = 27.4% ≥55 years = 27.1%			health presentation, may have history of suicidal ideation or low mood but no current thoughts and manages with routine support Amber: experiencing suicidal ideation but no plans were in place, suicidal ideation present but without consistent thoughts and evidence of protective factors Red: evidence of recurring suicidal ideation, evidence of
Hines et al (2013)** UK	Cohort study	9,803	Serving (n=7,567) and ex- serving (2,213) UK Armed Forces personnel – Regular (n=8,127) and Reserves (n=1,676) Iraq and Afghanistan era Deployed (n=6,598) and non-deployed (n=3,205)	88.1%	Mean age Self-harm: 31.0 years	Self-harm	Not reported	plan and talks about committing suicide Have you ever purposely harmed yourself (e.g. overdose)? (yes/no)
Jones et al (2019) ⁺⁴ UK	Cohort study	Phase 1: 10,272 Phase 2: 9,990	Serving and ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular and Reserves) Phase 1:	85%	<30 years = 18.8% 30-34 years = 14.9%	Self-harm, suicidal ideation and suicide attempts	Not reported	Self-report survey (Phases 1-3) Have you ever purposely harmed

		Phase 3: 8,581 Interview Study: 1,448	Serving (n=9,109) and exserving (n=1,140) Phase 2: Serving (n=7,141) and exserving (n=1,804) Phase 3: Serving (n=4,454) and exserving (3,319) Interview Study: Serving (n=809) and exserving (n=639) Iraq and Afghanistan era Deployed and nondeployed)		35-39 years = 18.6% 40-49 years = 35.1% >49 years = 16.6%			yourself (e.g. overdose)? (yes/no) Interview Study Suicide Attempt: Ever made an attempt to take your life by taking an overdose of tablets or in some other way? (yes/no) Suicidal Ideation: Ever thought of taking your own life, even though you might not actually do it? (yes/no) Self-Harm: Ever deliberately harmed yourself in any way but not with the intention of killing yourself? (yes/no) [Clinical Interview Schedule – Revised (CIS-R)]
Jones et al (2021) ⁺⁴ UK	Cohort study	4,447	Serving and ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Junior entrants: Serving (n=644) and ex- serving (n=553) Senior entrants: Serving (n=2,171) and ex- serving (n=1,079) Iraq and Afghanistan era	Junior entrants 94.7%	Median age Junior entrants: 35 years	Self-harm	Not reported	Have you ever purposely harmed yourself (e.g. overdose)? (yes/no)

			Deployed and non- deployed Junior entrants: Deployed to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=828); Deployed in combat role to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=298) Senior entrants: Deployed to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=2,238); Deployed in combat role to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=699) Junior entrants (joined services under 17.5 years; n=1,197) and senior entrants (joined services at 17.5 years or older;					
Kapur et al (2009) UK	Retrospective cohort study	233,803	n=3,250) Ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Attended the Military Service Trauma Recovery Day Program	96%	Median age of completed suicide: 22 years	Completed suicide	Verdict of suicide (ICD-10 codes X60- X84 [intentional self- harm] and Y87.0 [sequelae of intentional self- harm]) or undetermined death/open verdict (ICD-10 codes Y10- Y34 [event of undetermined intent], excluding Y33.9 [verdict pending] and Y87.2 [sequelae of events of	Verdict of suicide (ICD-10 codes X60- X84 [intentional self- harm] and Y87.0 [sequelae of intentional self- harm]) or undetermined death/open verdict (ICD-10 codes Y10- Y34 [event of undetermined intent], excluding Y33.9 [verdict pending] and Y87.2 [sequelae of events of

							undetermined intent]) at inquest	undetermined intent]) at inquest
Kerr et al (2018) Australia	Cohort study	229	Ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular only) Deployed and non- deployed Attending PTSD Recovery Program 2007-2014	99.1%	24 to 86 years	Suicide attempts	Not reported	Have you made a previous attempt on your own life? (yes/no)
Ketcheson et al (2018) Canada	Cohort study	666	Serving and ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel Deployed and non- deployed Treatment-seeking at Operational Stress Injury Clinic	90.2%	18 to 64 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the last 2 weeks have you been bothered by thoughts that you would be better off dead, or hurting yourself in some way? (not at all to nearly every day) [Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)]
Nelson et al (2011) ⁺² Canada	Cohort study	8,441	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel – Regular (n=5,155) and Reserves (n=3,286)	Not reported	16 to 84 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the past 12 months, did you seriously think about committing suicide or taking your own life? [World Mental Health – Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMH-CIDI)]
O'Toole et al (2015) Australia	Cohort study	448	Ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel	100%	Mean age 60.4 years	Lifetime suicidality	Suicidality is any self- initiated behaviour occurring on a continuum ranging	Depression screener questions *History of two weeks or more feeling

Pinder et al (2012) ⁺⁴ <i>UK</i>	Cohort study	821	Ageing Australian Vietnam ex-serving Army personnel Serving and ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular and Reserves) Deployed and non-deployed – Iraq Intentional self-harm Serving (n=58) and ex-serving (n=65) and not deployed to Iraq (n=65) and not deployed to Iraq (n=35) No intentional self-harm: Serving (n=545) and ex-serving (n=176); Deployed	Intentional self-harm: 5.6% No intentional self-harm: 94.4%	Mean age Intentional self-harm: 34.40 years	Self-harm [Suicide attempts only prevalence]	from suicidal ideations, to making a suicide plan, through to a suicide attempt [O'Carroll et al, 1996] Self-harm is 'self-poisoning or self-injury irrespective of the apparent purpose of the act' [National Clinical Practice Guideline]	sad, empty or depressed *Two weeks of loss interest in most things like work, hobbies, things usually enjoyed If yes: *Thoughts of death and thoughts of suicide (yes/no) *If yes, questions on suicide plans and suicide attempts Self-Harm: Ever deliberately harmed yourself but not with the intention of killing yourself? (yes/no) Suicide Attempt: Ever made an attempt to take your own life, by taking an overdose of tablets or in some other way (yes/no) [Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS) 2000]
Richardson et al (2017) ¹	Cohort study	6,700	= :	86.1%	Mean age	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the past 12
Canada	Conort study	6,700	Forces personnel (Regular only)	80.1%	35 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	months, did you seriously think about

			Deployed to Afghanistan at least once (45.1%) and non-deployed					committing suicide or taking your own life?
Richardson et al (2018) Canada	Retrospective cohort study	663	Serving (117) and ex- serving (n=546) Canadian Armed Forces personnel Treatment-seeking, presented at specialised outpatient mental health clinic for military service related psychiatric conditions (April 2004 to September 2014) Deployed (n=378) and non- deployed (n=285)	91.0%	Mean age 44.6 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the last 2 weeks have you been bothered by thoughts that you would be better off dead, or hurting yourself in some way? (not at all to nearly every day) [PHQ-9]
Sareen et al (2007) ⁺² Canada	Cohort study	8,441	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel – Regular (70.9%) and Reserves (29.1%) Various military ranks including junior NCM (59.8%), senior NCM (20.1%) and officer (20.1%)	85.3%	16 to 54 years	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and suicide attempts)	Not reported	Suicidal Ideation: In the past 12 months, did you seriously think about committing suicide or taking your own life? Suicide Attempt: In the past 12 months, did you attempt suicide or try to take your own life?
Sareen et al (2017) ⁺¹ Canada	Cohort study	8,161	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel – Regular (n=6,692) and Reserves (n=1,469)	86%	18 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation, suicide plan and suicide attempts [past-year and lifetime]	Not reported	Suicidal Ideation: Have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life?

			Various military ranks including junior NCM, senior NCM and officer Deployed (46% of Regulars and 100% of Reservists) and non-deployed Afghanistan era					Suicide Plan: Have you made a plan for committing suicide? Suicide Attempt: Have you attempted suicide or tried to take your own life
Syed Sherriff et al (2019) Australia	Cohort study	Phase 1: 24,481 Phase 2: 1,798	Serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular only) Various military ranks including other ranks (31.64%), noncommissioned officer (NCO; 45.17%) and commissioned officer (21.99%) Combat exposure (31.74%) and deployment experience (63.33%)	100%	18 to 60 years	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	Not reported	Phase 1: Suicidal Ideation: In the last 12 months, have you ever felt so low that you thought about committing suicide? Suicide Plan: In the last 12 months, have you made a suicide plan? Suicide Attempt: In the last 12 months, have you attempted suicide? Phase 2 [CIDI]: Consider each of the following experiences: Suicidal Ideation: Experience A — seriously thought about committing suicide Suicide Plan: Experience B — made

								a plan for committing suicide Suicide Plan: Experience C — attempted suicide If yes, how old were you the first time it happened and has it happened in past 12 months If no to Experience A, B and C were not asked
Syed Sherriff et al (2020) Australia	Cohort study	Phase 1: 3,646 Phase 2: 901	Ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular only) Left service between 2010 and 2014 Various military ranks including other ranks (52.7%), NCO (31.8%) and commissioned officer (15.6%) Previously deployed (78.9%)	100%	With suicidality <25 years = 10.8% 26-35 years = 40.3% 36-45 years = 21.9% 46-55 years = 15.8% 56+ years = 11.2%	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	Not reported	Phase 1: Suicidal Ideation: In the last 12 months, have you ever felt so low that you thought about committing suicide? Suicide Plan: In the last 12 months, have you made a suicide plan? Suicide Attempt: In the last 12 months, have you attempted suicide?
Taillieu et al (2022) ⁺¹ Canada	Cohort study	6,692	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel (Regular only)	86.1%	18 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation and suicide plans (past-year)	Not reported	Suicidal Ideation: In the past 12 months, have you seriously thought about

			Various military ranks including junior NCM (55.1%), senior NCM (24.1%) and officer (20.9%) Deployed (61.5%) and non-deployed (38.5%)					committing suicide or taking your own life? Suicide Plan: In the past 12 months, have you made a plan for committing suicide?
Thompson et al (2014) Canada	Cohort study	2,658	Ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Released from service between January 1998 and December 2007 Various military ranks including recruits (n=249), junior NCM (1,049), senior NCM (n=880) and officer/cadets (n=480) Deployed and non-deployed	89%	Mean age 43.5 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life? If yes: Has this happened in the past 12 months? [Canadian Community Health Survey]
Thompson et al (2019) Canada	Cohort study	2,755 Recently released: 1,180 Earlier released: 1,575	Ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces (Regular only) 'Recently released' between September 2012 to 2015 'Earlier released' between 1998 to August 2012	87.2%	Recently released: 41 years Earlier released: 50 years	Suicidal ideation (past-year)	Not reported	Have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life? If yes: Has this happened in the past 12 months? [Canadian Community Health Survey]
VanTil et al (2021) Canada	Retrospective cohort study	220,734	Ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces -	89%	Age at release	Completed suicide	ICD-8 and ICD-9 codes E950-E959 (suicide	ICD-8 and ICD-9 codes E950-E959 (suicide

			Regular (95%) and Reserves (5%) Released from service between 1976 and 2012 Various military ranks including junior/senior NCM (82%) and officer (18%)		<25 to >45 years		and self-inflicted injury) and ICD-10 codes X60-X84 (intentional self- harm) and Y87.0 (sequelae of intentional self-harm)	and self-inflicted injury) and ICD-10 codes X60-X84 (intentional self- harm) and Y87.0 (sequelae of intentional self-harm)
Varker et al (2022) Australia	Cohort study	12,806 Serving: 8,480 Ex-serving: 4,326	Serving and ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular and Reserves) If ex-serving, left Australian Defence Force in last 5 years	Male and female included but % not reported	18 to 58+ years	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and plans, and suicide attempts)	Not reported	In the last 12-months, have you: *Felt that life was not worth living *Felt so low that you thought about committing suicide *Made a suicide plan *Attempted suicide [National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing]
Williamson et al (2021) UK	Cohort study	402	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces Personnel Various military ranks including junior rank, NCO and officer Deployed and non- deployed	88.6%	Mean age Moral injury: 50.0 years Trauma: 50.4 years Mixed: 51.3 years No event: 52.1 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Suicidal behaviors questionnaire-revised [SBQ-R]
Woodhead et al (2011) UK	Cohort study	257	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel Post-national service era	85.2%	16 to 64 years Median age	Self-harm (suicidal thoughts, suicide attempts and self- harm)	Not reported	Suicidal Ideation: Have you ever thought of taking your own life

		Post-national	Suicide Attempt:
		service:	Have you ever made
		Male: 49 years	an attempt to take
		Female: 45	your life
		years	Self-Harm: Have you
			ever deliberately
			harmed yourself in
			any way but without
			the intention of killing
			yourself

Note. *1 use data from the Canadian Forces Mental Health Survey; *2 use data from the Canadian Community Health Survey: Mental Health and Well-Being Canadian Forces Supplement (CCHS-CFS); *3 use data from the Scottish Veterans Health Study; *4 use data from various phases of the King's Centre for Military Health Research (KCMHR) Health and Wellbeing Study; NCO: non-commissioned officer; NCM: non-commissioned member; ICD: International Classification of Diseases; NHS: National Health Service (UK)

Table 2. Risk and Protective Factors Identified in Included Studies (N=28)

Study and Location	Outcome	Risk factors	identified	Protective fac	tors identified
		Significant	Non-Significant	Significant	Non-Significant
Afifi et al (2016)	Suicidal ideation,	Suicidal Ideation:	Suicidal Ideation:		
Canada	suicide plans and	- Child abuse exposure	- Deployment-related trauma	-	-
	suicide attempts	- Deployment-related trauma	without history of child abuse		
		[non-significant after full	exposure [Regulars]		
		adjustment]			
		- Child abuse exposure	Suicide Plans:		
		with/without deployment-	- Deployment-related trauma		
		related trauma [Regulars]	without history of child abuse		
		- Experiencing child abuse	exposure [Regulars]		
		exposure only, relative to			
		deployment-related trauma			
		only [Regulars]			
		Suicide Plans:			
		- Child abuse exposure			
		- Deployment-related trauma			
		- Child abuse exposure with/without deployment-			
		with/without deployment- related trauma [Regulars]			
		Suicide Attempts:			
		- Child abuse exposure			
Belik et al (2009)	Suicide attempts	Men:	Men:	Women:	Men:
Canada	Suiciae attempts	- Sexual and other interpersonal	- Exposure to combat	- Civilian in religious terror	- Exposure to peacekeeping
		traumas	operations	and the second seconds.	operations
		- Increasing number of	- Accident or other unexpected		
		traumatic events	trauma:		
		- Deployment-related traumatic	*Auto accident		
		events:	*Man-made disaster		
		*Purposely injured, tortured,	*Natural disaster		
		or killed someone associated	*Unexpected death		
		*Witnessing atrocities [non-	*Child illness or injury		
		significant after full	*Witness death		
		adjustment]	- Civilian in war zone		

		*Toxic chemical exposure *Other accident [non- significant after full adjustment] *Life threatening illness *Caused death accidently [non-significant after full adjustment] - Civilian in religious terror	Women: - Exposure to combat or peacekeeping operations - Accident or other unexpected trauma: *Auto accident *Toxic chemical exposure *Child illness or injury *Life threatening illness - Civilian in war zone - Other trauma		
		Women: - Sexual and other interpersonal traumas [Being mugged/kidnapped nonsignificant after full adjustment] - Accident or other unexpected trauma: *Other accident [nonsignificant after full adjustment] *Man-made disaster *Unexpected death [nonsignificant after full adjustment] *Witness death [nonsignificant after adjustment]			
Bergman et al (2019)* UK	Self-harm	vecerans [vs non vecerans]	Sex: FemaleComorbid disorders: Any cancer, alcoholic liver	 Length of service: 23+ years of service Age: Middle-aged (born 1960 onwards) 	- Length of service: Longer 10-12 years, 13-16 years, 17-22 years

		 Early service leavers (ESLs; ≤2.5 years service), especially those who did not complete initial training Older veterans with 4-6 years and 7-9 years service Comorbid disorders: PTSD, anxiety, lung cancer, diabetes [veterans vs non-veterans] 	disease, mood disorder [veterans vs non-veterans]		
Bergman et al (2022)* UK	Completed suicide	- Older women veterans (>40 years) - Age: 1950-1954 cohort - ESLs (≤3 years service)	 Sex: Female Age: Most recent birth cohort (1985-1995) Length of service: 17-22 years Health: History of mood disorder, PTSD, history of cardiovascular disease 	-	 Male veterans vs male nonveterans Length of service: >22 years [remained nonsignificant after adjustment] Health: Diagnosis of alcoholic liver disease
Boulos & Fikretoglu (2018) Canada	Suicidal ideation	 Reserves vs Regulars [non-significant after adjustment] Deployment: Shorter intervals (<4 years) since return from Afghanistan deployment Deployment-related experiences: *Ever known someone seriously injured or killed *Ever found yourself in lifethreatening situation where you were unable to respond because of the rules of engagement *Ever been injured *Ever felt responsible for death of Canadian or ally personnel 	- Deployment: Higher total number of Afghanistan deployments (i.e., 2+ deployments)	- Deployment: *Higher cumulative duration of Afghanistan- related deployments [≥361 days) *Less time away on deployment in past 3 years (<6 months, 7-12 months, 13-24 months)	- Deployment: *Afghanistan deployment location (Kabul, Kandahar, Multiple) *Other non-Afghanistan deployments *Moderate cumulative duration of Afghanistan- related deployments [121- 140 days, 241-360 days] - Deployment-related experiences: *Ever seen ill or injured women or children who you were unable to help *Ever received incoming artillery, rocket or mortar fire

Harden & Murphy (2018) UK	Suicidal ideation	 ESLs (<4 years service) Employment status: Unemployed Childhood adversity: High preservice childhood adversity 	 Sex: Female Relationship status: Not in a relationship Combat role Non-voluntary discharge from service 	 Age: Middle age groups (35-44 years, 45-54 years) Time to seek help: Longer than 5 years 	*Ever had a close call for example shot or hit but protective gear saved you - Age: Older age groups (55+ years) - Financial difficulties
Hines et al (2013) UK	Self-harm	 Age: Younger age Sex: Female Marital status: Separated/divorced Ex-serving [vs serving] Social support: *Less number of close family/friends (None, 1-2 close) *More family relationship adversity (2+ items) Childhood adversity: *Spent time in local authority care *Childhood anti-social behaviour [only presented at unadjusted level] 	-	 Reserves [vs Regulars] Age: Older age Higher number of social activities 	 Higher number of close family/friends (6-10 close, 11-15 close) Deployment experience
Jones et al (2019)	Self-harm, suicidal	Self-Harm:	Self-Harm:	Self-Harm:	Self-Harm:
UK	ideation and suicide attempts	Ex-serving [vs serving]Sex: FemaleHealth: Probable diagnosis of	- Health: Probable alcohol misuse	- Age: Middle and older age groups (e.g. 35-39 years, 40-49 years, >49 years)	- Reserves [vs Regulars] - Service Branch: RAF
		depression, probable diagnosis of anxiety disorder, probable diagnosis of PTSD, lifetime suicidal ideation	Suicide Attempts: - Ex-serving [vs serving] - Mental health stigmatisation: Moderate score (11-17), higher score (18-24) - Help-seeking: Informal	[significant at varying levels of adjustment] - Rank: More senior rank (Junior NCO to warrant officer, commissioned officer) [significant at	Suicide Attempts: - Rank: NCO to warrant officer - Health: Probable alcohol misuse

		 Mental health stigmatisation (Moderate score 11-17, Higher score 18-24) Perceived practical barriers to care (Higher score 6-11) Negative attitudes to mental illness (Moderate score 11-12, Higher score 13-23) [Higher score non-significant after full adjustment] Help-seeking: Formal medical [non-significant after full adjustment] Suicide Attempts: Reserves [vs Regulars] Health: Probable diagnosis of depression, probable diagnosis of anxiety disorder, probable diagnosis of PTSD, lifetime suicidal ideation Perceived practical barriers to care: Higher score (6-11) Negative attitudes to mental illness: Moderate score (11-12), higher score (13-23) 		varying levels of adjustment] - Perceived social support (Higher score 33-36) Suicide Attempts: - Rank: More senior rank (Commissioned officer) - Service branch: RAF - Perceived social support: Higher score (33-36)	- Help-seeking: Formal non-medical
		- Help-seeking: Formal medical			
Jones et al (2021) UK	Self-harm	- Junior entrants enlisted between April 2003 and March 2013	- Junior entrants <17.5 years	-	-
Kapur et al (2009) UK	Completed suicide	 Age: Younger age at discharge (16-19 years, 20-24 years) Training status: Untrained [non-significant after adjustment] 	 Type of discharge: Medical Length of service: Moderate (5 to <10 years) 	 Sex: Female Marital status: Married, unknown Service branch: Naval Service, RAF 	 Age: Older age at discharge (40-44 years) Length of service: Moderate (4 to <5 years), longer (10 to <15 years)

Kerr et al (2018)* Australia	Suicide attempts	 Length of service: Shorter (<1 year, 2 to <3 years, 3 to <4 years) Employment status: Not working/totally or permanently incapacitated 	 Employment status: Retired, working part-time Conflicts served: Both periods 	 Rank: More senior rank (Officer) Employment status: Employed 	- Deployment [vs no deployment] - Conflicts served: Pre-East
		- Health: PTSD symptom severity	(pre-East Timor and from East Timor onwards)Health: Anxiety, depression		Timor, from East Timor onwards
Ketcheson et al (2018) Canada	Suicidal ideation	 Age: Older age Length of service: Shorter Lower levels of perceived social support (Low, medium) 	-	-	-
Nelson et al (2011) Canada	Suicidal ideation	 Higher number of lifetime traumatic experiences (including exposure to combat) Health: Past year diagnosis of PTSD or major depressive disorder (MDD) Past-year MDD mediates relationship between number of lifetime traumatic events and past-year SI 		- Higher levels of perceived social support	-
O'Toole et al (2015)* Australia	Lifetime suicidality	Suicidal ideation: - Health: PTSD, alcohol dependence, cannabis abuse, depression single (moderate and severe), depression recurrent (mild, moderate, severe), depression lifetime, generalised anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, panic without agoraphobia, social phobia, situational phobia	Suicidal ideation: - Health: Depression single (mild), dysthymia, agoraphobia without panic, panic with agoraphobia Suicide plan: - Health: Depression single (mild), depression recurrent (mild), obsessive compulsive disorder, agoraphobia	-	Suicidal ideation: - Health: Alcohol abuse Suicide plan - Health: Alcohol abuse, dysthymia Suicide attempt: - Health: Alcohol abuse, cannabis abuse

	T	T	T	T	1
			without panic, panic with		
		Suicide plan:	agoraphobia, social phobia		
		- Health: PTSD, alcohol			
		dependence, cannabis abuse,			
		depression single (moderate	Suicide attempt:		
		and severe), depression	- Health: Depression single		
		recurrent (moderate, severe),	(mild, moderate, severe),		
		depression lifetime,	depression recurrent		
		generalised anxiety disorder,	(moderate), dysthymia,		
		panic without agoraphobia,	generalised anxiety disorder,		
		situational phobia	obsessive compulsive		
			disorder		
		Suicide attempt:			
		- Health: PTSD, alcohol			
		dependence, depression			
		recurrent (mild, moderate)			
		depression lifetime,			
		agoraphobia without panic,			
		panic with agoraphobia, social			
		phobia, situational phobia			
Pinder et al (2012)	Self-harm	- Age: Younger age	- Education: Low educational	- Rank: More senior rank	- Age: Older age
UK ,		- Ex-serving [vs serving]	attainment (No qualifications)	(Officer) [non-significant	- Sex: Female [non-
		- Childhood adversity: Higher	- Marital status: Single/Not in a	after adjustment]	significant at unadjusted
		- Health: PHQ or PTSD	relationship,	- Length of service: Longer	level]
		diagnosis, any PHQ diagnosis,	divorced/separated/widowed	term	- Education: Higher
		any depressive syndrome,	[non-significant at unadjusted	- Childhood adversity: Less	educational attainment (A-
		somatization disorder, PTSD	level]	(0-1 factors, 2-3 factors)	Levels, degree) [Degree
			- Service branch: Army, RAF	(6 2 1461615) 2 6 1461615)	significant at unadjusted
			- Rank: More junior rank		level]
			- Length of service: Shorter		- Reserve status [significant
			[significant at unadjusted		at unadjusted level]
			level]		- Rank: More senior rank
			- Deployment: Deployment to		(Officer) [significant at
			Iraq [non-significant at		unadjusted level]
			unadjusted level]		- Service branch: Navy
			undujusted levelj		(including Marines)
	1				(including ivialines)

			- Heath: Any anxiety syndrome, alcohol abuse		[significant at unadjusted level] - Childhood adversity: Less (4-5 factors)
Richardson et al (2017)* Canada	Suicidal ideation	 Health: PTSD, MDD, generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, alcohol abuse and dependency, insomnia (incremental continuous change) Past-year mental health status (1 disorder, 2+ disorders) Marital status: Divorced/separated/widowed 	 Age: Younger age groups (30-39 years) Marital status: Single/never married No deployments to Afghanistan 	-	 Age: Middle/older age groups (40-49 years, 50-60 years) Language: French
Richardson et al (2018) Canada	Suicidal ideation	 Health: Depressive symptom severity Depressive symptom severity mediates relationship between both sleep disturbances and nightmares, with suicidal ideation 	 Sleep disturbances Nightmares Health: PTSD symptom severity, anxiety symptom severity 	-	-
Sareen (2007) Canada	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and suicide attempts)	 Deployment experiences: *Witnessing atrocities or massacres Perceived need for mental healthcare and no help seeking or DSM diagnosis [significant at unadjusted level] 	- Deployment experiences: Exposure to combat	-	- Deployment experiences: Exposure to peacekeeping operations
Sareen et al (2017) Canada	Suicidal ideation, suicide plan and suicide attempts [past-year and lifetime]	Suicidal Ideation (past-year): - Deployment experiences: [significant at varying levels of adjustment] *Combat exposure (lifetime) *Peacekeeping (lifetime)	Suicidal Ideation (past-year): - Deployment experiences: *Military sexual trauma during deployment - Lifetime deployment Suicide Plan (past-year):	-	-

	1	
*Witnessed atrocities	- Deployment experiences:	
(lifetime)	*Combat exposure, (lifetime)	
*Known someone injured or	- Lifetime deployment	
killed (CF deployment)		
*Ever in life-threatening	Suicide Attempt (past-year):	
situation and unable to	- Deployment experiences:	
respond due to rules of	*Known someone injured or	
engagement (CF deployment)	killed	
*Ever been injured (CF	*Seen ill or injured women or	
deployment)	children (CF deployment)	
*Seen ill or injured women or	*Received incoming artillery,	
children (CF deployment)	rocket, or mortar fire (CF	
*Received incoming artillery,	deployment)	
rocket, or mortar fire (CF	- Lifetime deployment	
deployment)		
*Felt responsible for death of		
Canadian or ally personnel (CF		
deployment)		
*Had a close call but		
protective gear saved you (CF		
deployment)		
*Had difficulty distinguishing		
combatants from non-		
combatants (CF deployment)		
- Total number deployment-		
related traumatic events		
(DRTEs)		
, ,		
Suicide Plan (past-year):		
- Deployment experiences:		
[significant at varying levels of		
adjustment]		
*Peacekeeping (lifetime)		
*Witnessed atrocities		
(lifetime)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

1		T	
	*Known someone injured or		
	killed (CF deployment)		
	*Ever in life-threatening		
	situation and unable to		
	respond due to rules of		
	engagement (CF deployment)		
	*Ever been injured (CF		
	deployment)		
	*Seen ill or injured women or		
	children (CF deployment)		
	*Received incoming artillery,		
	rocket, or mortar fire (CF		
	deployment)		
	*Felt responsible for death of		
	Canadian or ally personnel (CF		
	deployment)		
	*Had a close call but		
	protective gear saved you (CF		
	deployment)		
	*Had difficulty distinguishing		
	combatants from non-		
	combatants (CF deployment)		
	- Total number DRTEs		
	[none remained significant after		
	full adjustment]		
	Suicide Attempt (past-year):		
	- Deployment experiences:		
	[significant at varying levels of		
	adjustment]		
	*Combat exposure (lifetime)		
	*Peacekeeping (lifetime)		
	*Witnessed atrocities		
	(lifetime)		
	*Ever in life-threatening		
	situation and unable to		

Syed Sherriff et al (2019) Australia	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	respond due to rules of engagement (CF deployment) *Ever been injured (CF deployment) *Had a close call but protective gear saved you (CF deployment) *Had difficulty distinguishing combatants from non- combatants (CF deployment) - Total number DRTEs - Education: Lower educational attainment (Year 10 or below) - Age: Several age groups (e.g. 25-34 years, 35-44 years, 45+ years) - Relationship status: Single - Childhood trauma: High counts (3+), interpersonal (without non-interpersonal), both interpersonal and non- interpersonal - Childhood disorder: Anxiety - Adult trauma: High counts (3+), non-interpersonal - Adult-onset disorder: Depression - Rank: More junior ranks (NCOs, other ranks)	 Childhood trauma: Low counts (1-2), unclassified, non-interpersonal (without interpersonal) Childhood disorder: Depression, alcohol Adult trauma: Low counts (1-2), non-intimate interpersonal Adult-onset disorder: Anxiety, alcohol Combat exposure 	- Education: Higher educational attainment (Certificate or diploma, Year 11/12, university degree) - Relationship status: Current significant relationship - Service branch: RAF	- Adult trauma: Intimate interpersonal - Service branch: Army - Deployment: Previously deployed
Syed Sherriff et al (2020) Australia	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	 Rank: More junior ranks (NCO, other) Deployment: Previously deployed Childhood-onset trauma: Interpersonal [remains 	 Childhood-onset trauma: Non-interpersonal, 1-2 traumas, 3+ traumas, mean number of types Adult-onset trauma: Combat Childhood-onset disorder: Depression, alcohol 	 Relationship status: Current relationship Educational attainment: Higher (Year 11/12, university degree) Previously Deployed: 	 Educational attainment: Higher (Certificate or diploma) Service branch: Navy, Air Force Childhood-onset trauma: Other

Taillieu et al (2022)	Suicidal ideation	significant after full adjustment] - Adult-onset trauma: Mean number of types - Childhood-onset disorder: Anxiety, any disorder [Anxiety remains significant after full adjustment] - Adult-onset disorder: Anxiety, depression, single, multiple [Anxiety and depression remain significant after full adjustment] Previously Deployed: - Rank: More junior ranks (NCO, other) - Childhood-onset trauma: Interpersonal [remains significant after full adjustment] - Childhood-onset disorder: Anxiety [remains significant after full adjustment] - Adult-onset disorder: Anxiety, depression, alcohol, single, multiple - Deployment trauma exposure types [remains significant after full adjustment] - Non-deployment adult trauma: Multiple, mean Suicidal Ideation:	- Adult-onset disorder: Alcohol Previously Deployed: - Childhood-onset trauma: Non-interpersonal, 1-2 traumas, 3+ traumas) - Childhood-onset disorder: Alcohol, any disorder - Combat exposure - Non-deployment adult trauma: Non-interpersonal, interpersonal	- Relationship status: Current relationship	Previously Deployed: - Age: Several age groups (e.g. 26-35 years, 36-45 years, 46-55 years, 56+ years) - Educational attainment: Higher (Year 11/12, certificate or diploma, university degree) - Service branch (Navy, Airforce) - Childhood-onset trauma: Other - Childhood-onset disorder: Depression - Non-deployment adult trauma: Single trauma
Canada	and suicide plans (past-year)	- History of child abuse	- Exposure to DRTEs - Health: Alcohol abuse or dependence	-	- Health: Panic disorder

Thompson et al (2014)	Suicidal ideation	- Health: MDD, generalised anxiety disorder, panic attacks, PTSD Suicide Plans: - History of child abuse [nonsignificant after full adjustment] - Exposure to DRTEs [nonsignificant after full adjustment] - Health: MDD, PTSD, alcohol abuse or dependence - Physical health conditions:	Suicide Plans: - Health: Generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, panic attacks - Physical health conditions:	- SF-12 physical health	
Canada		Gastrointestinal condition - Mental health conditions: Depression or anxiety, mood disorders - Number of physical health conditions (0-8) - Number of mental health conditions (0-4) - Marital status: Single never married, separated/divorced/widowed	Chronic pain or discomfort, diabetes, respiratory condition, cardiovascular condition, obesity, hearing problem [all significant at unadjusted level] - Mental health conditions: Anxiety disorder, PTSD [both significant at unadjusted level] - Age: Younger and middle age groups (20-34 years, 35-49 years) [35-49 years group significant at unadjusted level] - Sex: Female [significant at unadjusted level] - Educational attainment: High school graduation, some post-secondary education [both significant at unadjusted level]	- SF-12 mental health	

Thompson et al (2019) Canada Suicidal ideation (past-year) - Perceived adjustment: Neither, difficult [significant at unadjusted level] - Group identity: *Strong sense of local/community belonging but not part of a group *Weak sense of local/community belonging but part of a group *Weak sense of local/community belonging but not part of a group - Mental health problem: Mild/moderate, Severe	Income: Below low income measure [significant at unadjusted level] Rank: Junior ranks (Recruits, junior NCM, senior NCM) [Junior and senior NCMs significant at unadjusted level] Age: Middle and older age groups (30-39 years, 40-49 years, 50-59 years, 60+ years) [40-49 years, 50-59 years age groups significant at unadjusted level] Marital status: Single/never married, widowed/separated/divorced [latter significant at unadjusted level] Main employment-related activity year prior: Looked for work, school or training, retired/not looking, disabled [looked for work, school or training, disabled significant at unadjusted level] Household income: Lower/Moderate (Quintiles 1-4) [Quintiles 1-3 significant at unadjusted level]	- Age: Younger age groups (<30 years)
---	---	---------------------------------------

Canada		 Age at release: Younger and middle-age groups (<25 years, 25-34 years, 35-44 years) Rank at release: More junior rank (Junior NCM) Female: Rank at release: More junior rank (NCM) 	 Age at release: Younger age groups (<25 years) Reserve status 	-	 Rank at release: More senior rank (Senior officer) [all significant at unadjusted level] Reserve status [significant risk factor at unadjusted level]
Varker et al (2022)	Suicidality (suicidal	Ex-serving:	Ex-serving:		Ex-serving:
Williamson et al (2021) UK	ideation and plans, and suicide attempts) (past-year)	 Health: *DAR-5 anger total score *PCL-5 PTSD total score *PHQ-9 depression total score *AUDIT alcohol use total score Relationship status: Not in a relationship, In a relationship but not living together Type of discharge: Medical discharge Exposure to morally injurious events 	- Service branch: Navy, Air Force	-	- Sex: Male - Ex-serving status
		Exposure to traumatic eventsExposure to mixed events			
Woodhead et al (2011)	Self-harm (suicidal	Self-Harm (ever):	Self-Harm (ever):		Self-harm (ever):
UK	thoughts, suicide attempts and self- harm)	- ESLs (<4 years service) Suicidal Thoughts (ever): - ESLs (<4 years service) - Ex-serving female [vs non-veterans]	 Ex-serving female [vs non-veterans] Suicidal Thoughts (ever): Ex-serving male [vs non-veterans] 	-	- Ex-serving male [vs non- veterans]
			Suicide Attempts (ever): - ESLs (<4 years service)		

	- Ex-serving female and ex-	
	serving male [vs non-	
	veterans]	

Note. Significance refers to adjusted effect sizes where available. If only unadjusted effect sizes are presented, studies have been marked by an asterisk (*)

ESL: early service leaver; DRTE: deployment-related traumatic event; RAF: Royal Air Force; CF: Canadian Forces; NCO: non-commissioned officer; NCM: non-commissioned member; DSM: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder; MDD: major depressive disorder; DAR-5: Dimensions of Anger Reactions 5-item; PCL-5: PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; PHQ-9; Patient Health Questionnaire; AUDIT: Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test